# KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR CHECK LIST

CASE TITLE: M, Ishfaq V/S Govt of K. P Jothan

Sŧ	10 1 4/3 901 0	/ /\ · ·	1 70
$\frac{1}{1}$		YES	NO
<del>                                   </del>	The special results of	<b>✓</b>	<del>                                     </del>
_ 2	Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponents have signed the requisite documents?		<del>                                     </del>
3	Whether appeal is within time?		
4	Whether the enactment under which the	1	
5	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned?	<b>✓</b>	
6	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned?  Whether affidavit is appended?	i. V	<del></del>
7	Whether affidavit is duly attested by		
8	Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?	1	
9		· /	
1 9	Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished?	*   - <del>-</del> -	<del></del>
10	Whether annexures are legible?	×	✓
11	Whether annexures are attested?	1	
12	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?	<b>/</b>	
13	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG?		
14	Whether Power of Attorney of the Co		
14	Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents?	1	
_15	Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct?		
16	Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting?	- V.	
17	Whether list of books has been provided the	×	-
18	Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal?  Whether case relate to this court?	V.	<del></del>
.19	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?	. 1	
20	Whether complete spare copy is first:		
21	Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? Whether addresses of parties given are complete? Whether index filed?	1	
22	Whether index filed?	1	
23	Whether index is correct?	1	
24	Whether Security and Process For death in the security and Process For	V	<del></del>
	Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974 Rule 11, notice along with carry of appeal and the service Tribunal Rules 1974		<del></del>
25	Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and a Price Tribunal Rules 1974		
,·	to respondents? On		• .
26	Whether copies of comments/reply/sets 1		
27	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite party? On		
_/	party? On		
		1	

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled.

Name:	NOON Mo hammad	KIT
Cianat	1.	

Signature:

Dated:

### **VAKALATNAMA**

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO:	OF 2022
M. Ishfara	(APPELLANT)(PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)
<u>VER</u>	<u>sus</u>
Gort of K.Pg	(RESPONDENT) Other (DEFENDANT)
I/We	
KHATTAK Advocate, Pesha compromise, withdraw or refer my/our Counsel/Advocate in without any liability for his det engage/appoint any other Advo I/we authorize the said Advoc	stitute NOOR MUHAMMAD awar to appear, plead, act, or to arbitration for me/us as the above noted matter, fault and with the authority to ocate Counsel on my/our cost. Cate to deposit, withdraw and sums and amounts payable or in the above noted matter.
Dated/2022	m, ()
	CLIENTS
	ACCEPTED
	NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK
	UMER FAROOO MOHMAND
	KAMRAN KHAN
	HAIDER ALI
	KHANZAD GUL

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 648 /2022

MUHAMMAD ISHFAQ

· V/S

GOVT: OF K.P.&
OTHERS

### INDEX

S.N O	JDOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1	Memo of appeal		1-4
2	Affidavit	**********	5
3	Coadunation of delay		6
4	Sanction order dt: 04.09.2012	A	7
5	Promotion order dt: 29.01.2013	В	8-9
6	Abolition order dt: 18.05,2015	С	10
7	Service rules	D	11: 13
8	Judgment dt: 19.11.2019	E	14 = 25
9	Promotion & posting orders	F&G	26-27
10	Departmental appeal	Н	28-29
11	Wakalat Nama		30

Dated:

/.04./2022

**APPELLANT** 

Through:

NOOR MOHANMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE 0345-9383141

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL	NO	/	2021
--------	----	---	------

	·	· A	PPFII
Offic	e of the District Attorney at Service Tribuna	l Peshawa	ar
Mr. N	Muhammad Ishfaq, Assistant (BPS-16),		

### **VERSUS**

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary Establishment Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

**3-** The Secretary Law, Parliamentary Affairs & Human Rights Department, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.

4- The Director General of Law & Parliamentary Affairs & Human Rights Department, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 10-12-2020 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS PROMOTED TO THE POST OF ASSISTANT (BPS-16) ON REGUALR BASIS WITH IMMEDIATE EFFECT AND NOT W.E.F. 30-01-2013 I.E. WHEN THE POST OF ASSISTANT WAS SANCTIONED/CREATED AND ALSO AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS

### PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the impugned order dated 10-12-2020 may kindly be modified/rectified to the extent that the appellant may very kindly be promoted to the post of Assistant (BPS-16) with effect from the date when the post Assistant BPS-16 was sanctioned/created i.e. w.e.f. 30-01-2013 with all back benefits including seniority. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favour of the appellant.

### R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

Brief facts giving rise on the present appeal are as under:

- 1- That the appellant is the employee of the respondent Department and is serving the respondent Department quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of his superiors.
  - 2- That earlier on strengthening of Law, Parliamentary Affairs & Human Rights Department and its lower formations, 28 posts of office Assistant were sanctioned/ created by Finance Department in the office of Government Pleader (renamed/redesigned as District Attorneys) in various department of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

3- That on promotion of 19 office Assistants to the post of Superintendents in various offices of District Attorneys in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, resultantly 19 posts of Assistants (BPS-16) fall vacant.

4- That it is pertinent to mention here that the senior clerks including the appellant who were entitled for promotion on these vacant posts of office Assistant were not considered rather 19 out of 28 posts of office Assistants were abolished and only 09 posts were left behind.

5- That as per Service Rules, 75% quota was fixed for promotion on the basis of seniort7-cum-fitness amongst the Senior Clerks with at least five years' experience as Junior Clerk and 25% quota for initial recruitment. However, this time the relevant rules were ignored and the Law department has ultimately advertised 08 posts of Assistant without observing 75% promotion quota.

Copy of Service Rules dated 26-04-2017 is attached as annexure.....

6- That being aggrieved from the above action of the respondent department, the Senior Clerks filed a writ petition before the Honorable Peshawar High Court which yielded fruit. The august court while accepting the writ petition has issued directions to the respondents for action in accordance with law/rules on the subject, relevant portion of its verdict is reproduced below:

"In the circumstances mentioned hereinabove, this writ petition is allowed in terms that the respondents shall strictly adhere to the rules and the quota reserved to be filled through promotion shall not be disturbed and the respondents shall consider the

petitioners for promotion strictly in accordance with law and rules on the subject".

Copy of judgment dated 19-11-2019 is attached as annexure.....

- 8- That felling aggrieved appellant preferred departmental appeal before the respondent No.3 but no response has been given till the expiry of statutory period of ninety days. Copy of the departmental appeal is attached as annexure
- 9- That after being highly aggrieved from the act of the respondents and having no other remedy the appellant filed this appeal on the ground inter alia as under:-

### **GROUNDS:**

- A- That the impugned order dated 10-12-2020 of the respondent department by promoting the appellant with immediate effect and by not promoting the appellant from the dates when the said posts were sanctioned/created is against law, facts, and norms of natural justice, hence not tenable and liable to be rectified/modified.
- B- That the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules by the respondent Department on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the respondents acted in arbitrary and malafide manner by promoting the appellant with immediate effect and not promoting the appellant from the dates when the said posts were sanctioned/ created.
- D- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of

the said Article the appellant is fully entitle for promotion to the said post when it became sanctioned/created.

- E- That the appellant has been discriminated on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated the principle of natural justice.
- F- That the relevant service rules on the subject have not been adopted and thus the respondents have contravened the norms of justice and fair play.
- G- That as per Section-9 of the Civil Servant Act, 1973 read with rule 7 of the APT rules, 1989 the appellant is fully entitled to be promoted from the date when the posts of Assistant were created i.e. w.e.f. 2013.
- H- That appellant seeks permission to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

Dated: 18-04-2022

**APPELLANT** 

**MUHAMMAD ISHFAO** 

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHANMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

UMAR FAROOQ MORMAND

&

KHANZAD GÜ ADVOCATES

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL **PESHAWAR**

SERVICE APPEAL NO	SERVICE APPEAL NO.		/2022
-------------------	--------------------	--	-------

**MUHAMMAD ISHFAQ** 

**GOVT: OF K.P DEPTT:** 

### **AFFIDAVIT**

Stated on oath that the contents of the accompanying service appeal are correct to best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Service Tribunal

**CERTIFICATE:** 

Certify that no earlier service appeal has been filed by the appellant in the instant matter before this Honorable Service Tribunal.

CERTIFICATION

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL	NO.	·	·.	/2022

MUHAMMAD ISHFAO

**GOVT: OF K.P & OTHERS** 

### **APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING THE ABOVE NOTED** APPEAL

### R.SHEWETH:

- That the appellant has filed an appeal along with this application in 1which no date has been fixed so for.
- That the appellant prays for the condonation of delay in filing the 2above noted appeal inter alia on the following grounds:

### **GROUNDS OF APPLICATION:**

- A- That valuable rights of the appellant are involved in the case hence the appeal deserve to decide on merit.
- B- That it has been the consistent view of the Superior Courts that cases should be decided on merit rather on technicalities including the limitation. The same is reported in 2004 PLC (CS) 1014 and 2003 PLC (CS) 76.

It is therefore prayed that on acceptance of this application the delay in filing the above noted appeal may please be condoned.

Dated: \_\_\_.04.2022

APPELLANT

**MUHAMMAD ISHFAQ** 

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK

**ADVØCATE** 

ANNIEX A"



### GOVERNMENT OFKHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT

No.BO.II/FD/3-2/LD/SNE/2012-13 Dated Peshawar the 04.09.2012

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Law Department.

SUBJECT:

STRENGTHENING OF LAW, PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS & HUMAN RIGHTS DEPARTMENT AND ITS ATTACHED OFFICES.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letters No.SO(G)(LD)(19-2)/2012 dated 13.08.2012 & No. SO(G)(LD)(19-2)/2012/14335-37 dated 31.08.2012 on the subject noted above and to say that in pursuance of the approval of the competent authority (Chief Minister), Finance Department agrees to the creation of 152 posts of various categories, with immediate effect, as per following

Law Department:

S.No.	/—————————————————————————————————————	·	
	Name of posts.	BPS	No. of post
1.	Naib Qasid.	01	10. 01 0031
	TOTAL:	1 01	12
ocate General's of			12

S.No.	Name of posts.	BPS	No. of post
<u>. 1,                                    </u>	Senior Clerk.	09	110.01 pos
2.	Junior Clerk.	07	03
3.	Driver.	. 04	00
4.	Naib Qasid.	01	01
	TOTAL:		11

### Directorate of Human Rights.

S.No.	Name of posts.	BPS	No. of post
_1	Administrative Officer.	17	01
2,	Junior Clerk.	07	04
3.	Naib Qasid.	01	05
4.	Chowkidar.	01	. 02
	TOTAL:		12

### Government Pleaders offices.


S.No.	Name of posts.	BPS	No. of post	
1.	Office Superintendent.	16	20	
2	Assistant.	14	03	
3.	Senior Clerk.	09	20	
4.	Junior Clerk.	07	20	
5.	Naib Qasid.	01	30	
6.	Chowkidar.	01	24	
_	TOTAL:			
	G:TOTAL:		152	

The Administrative Department may prepare audit copy(s) alongwith breakup in respect of Govt: Pleaders offices under the relevant DDO code and submit the same to this

gepartment for authentication.

Yours faithfully,

**BUDGET OFFICER II** 







### GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA LAW. PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS & HUMAN RIGHTS DEPARTMENT

Dated the Pesh: 29/01/2013

No. E&A/LD(2-77/2012:- On the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee, the competent authority is pleased to promote the following Assistants (BPS-14) of the Government Pleader Offices in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa to the post of Superintendant (BPS-16) on regular basis with immediate effect:

S.NO	NAME OF THE OFFICIAL / OFFICER
1.	Mr. Akbar Ali
2.	Mr. Muhammad Bilal
3.	Mr. Afzal Haider Zahid
4.	Mr. Rizwan Ullah
5.	Mr. Saleh Shah
6.	Mr. Muhammad Arif
7.	Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad
8.	Mr. Akhtar Zaman
9.	Mr. Jehanzeb
10.	Mr. Asif Khan
11.	Mr. Khalid Mehmood
12.	Mr. Syed Mateen Abid Gillani
13.	Mr.Muhammad Qaiser
14.	Mr. Shuja-ud-Din
15.	Mr. Muhammad Imran
16.	Mr. Misal Shah
17.	Mr. Meshkat Ullah
18.	Mr. Jan Ali
19.	Mr. Asad Ullah Khan

- 2. The above officers on their promotion will remain on probation for an initial period of one year in term of Rules 15 of Civil Servant (Appointment, Promotion & Transfer) Rules, 1989, automatically extendable to another year, if not terminated through a specific order.
- 3. Consequent upon their promotion as Superintendant (BPS-16) on regular basis, the following Postings / Transfers are hereby order in the public interest with immediate effect.

S. No	Name	From	To
1.	Mr. Akbar Ali	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
,		Pleader Bunner	Pleader Khyber
		•	Pakhtunkhwa, Service
			Tribunal Peshawar
2.	Mr. Muhammad Bilal	Office of the Director	Office of the Government
		Human Rights Peshawar	Pleader Haripur
3.	Mr. Afzal, Haider Zahid	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
		Pleader Peshawar	Pleader Labour Court
			Peshawar
4.	Mr. Rizwan Ullah	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
		Pleader Karak	Pleader Karak
5.	Mr. Saleh Shah	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
		Pleader Nowshera	Pleader Nowshera

Contd: page-2





			the state of the s
6.	Mr. Muhammad Arif	(2)	$\mathbf{I}_{z \sim f}$
•	Tranaminad Arif	Office of the Government	Office of the C
		Pleader D.I.Khan	Office of the Government
7.	Mr. Musher 41		Pleader Mansehra
٠.	Mr. Mushtaq Ahmed	Office of the Government	056 61
8.	34	Pleader Chitral	Office of the Government
٥.	Mr. Akhter Zaman	Office of the Government	Pleader D.LiKhan
		Pleader Tank	Office of the Government
9.	Mr. Jehanzeb	Office of the Government	Pleader Tank
		Pleader Bannu	Office of the Government
10.	Mr. Asif Khan	Office of the Government	Pleader Bannu
·		Pleader (Lawre D	Office of the Government
11.	Mr. Khalid Mehmood	Pleader (Lower) Dir	Pleader Batkhela
į		Office of the Government	Office of the Government
12.	Mr. Syed Mateen Abid	Pleader Lakki Marwat	Pleader Lakki Marwai
(	Gillani	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
ĺ	Gillaili	Pleader Labour Court	Pleader Abbotabad
1.7	M. M. 1	Peshawar	- I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
13.	Mr. Muhammad Qaiser	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
		Pleader Peshawar	Pleader Peshawar
14.	Mr. Shuja-ud-Din	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
		Pleader Peshawar	Pleader Kohat
15.	Mr. Muhammad Imran	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
		Pleader Mardan	Pleader Mardan
16.	Mr. Misal Shah	Office of the Government	
	•	Pleader Sawabi	Office of the Government
1.7	Mr. Mishkat Ullah		Pleader Sawabi
	Will Wilsinkut Offan	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
18.	Mar In Ali	Pleader Kohat	Pleader Hangu
10.	Mr. Jan Ali	Office of the Government	Office of the Government
		Pleader Charsadda	i Pleader Classadda
19.	Mr. Asad Ullah Khan	Office of the Government.	Office of the Government
		Pleader Khyber	Pleader Swall
		Pakhtunkhwa Service	19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (
,		Tribunal Peshawar	1 1 1

(Muhammad Arifeen)

Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Law, Parliamentary. Affairs & Human Rights Department.

Endst: No. E&A/LD/2-77/2012/1480-58

Dated: 29-01-2013

### Copy is forwarded to:

- 1. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,
- 2. The Ps to Secretary, Law, Parliamentary, Affairs & Human Rights Department.
- 3. All Government Pleaders in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. All Districts Accounts Officers in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Officers Concerned.
- 6. Personal file of the Officers Concerned.

(Sajjad-Ur-Rehman) Section Officer (General)





Government of kityber pakhtunkhwa Finance department

> No.BO-il/FD/3-2/SNE/LD/14-15 Date Peshawar the 18.05.2015

Tc

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Law Department

SUBJECT:

ABOLITION OF POSTS IN SR. GOVERNMENT PLEAERS OFFICES AT

VARIUS DISTRICTS OF LAW, PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND HUMAN

RIGHTS DEPARTMENT DUE TO ECONOMY MEASURES.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to your letter No. SO(G)(LD)/15-40/2014-15/13269-70 dated 08.05.2015 on the subject noted above and to convey Finance Department's concurrence to the abolition of the following posts in the offices of the Law Department indicated below with immediate effect:-

S#	Name of Govt: pleader office	Addl: Govt: pleader (BPS- 17)	Superintendent (BPS-17)	Assistant (BPS-16)	Total
1,	PR4006-Govt Pleader Peshawar			01	01
2.	PR4008-Lab: Court, Peshawar			· 01	01
3.	CA4226-Govt: pleader Charsadda			01 .	01
4.	NR4222-Nowshera			.01	01
5.	SU42§7-Swabi			- 01	01_
6.	BD4148-Bunner	01	01	01	03
7.	MD4004-Malakand			01	01
8.	DA4236-Dir. (Lower)			01	01
9.	DP4154-Dir. (Upper)		. 01	01	02
10.	CL4161-Chitral		01	.01	02
11.	SH4001-Shangla	,	01	01	02
12.	KD4078-Kohistan		01	01	02
13	BM4125-Battagram	01	01	01	03
14.	MA4001-Mansehra	- 01		. 35	01
15.	TG4004-Tor Ghar	01		01	02
16.	HR4046-Haripur		01	. 01	02
17.	HG4126-Hangu			01	01
18.	KK4207-Karak			01	01
19.	LK4160-Lakki Marwat	01		01	. 02
20.	TK4012-Tank	, ,		t · 01_	01
	Total	05	07	19	31

Yours faithfully,



Copy forwarded to the:-

- 1) Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- 2) Director FMIU, Finance Department
- 3) Master File.

(SYED NOOR AHMAD SHAH)
BUDGET OFFICER-II

S BLIDGE OFFICED







# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA LAW. PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS & HUMAN RIGHTS DEPARTMENT

Dated Peshawar the 26-04-2017

NOTIFICATION:

113414-64

No. SO(G)/LD/15-18/2014 In continuation of this deptt's Notification No. SO(G)/LD/15-18/2014/2768-2837 dated 12-01-2016 and in pursuance of the provisions contained in subrule (2) of rule 3 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989 and in supersession of all previous rules made in this behalf the Law, Parliamentary Affairs and Human Rights Department, in consultation with the Establishment and Finance Departments, hereby lays down the method of recruitment, qualification and other condition of service specified in columns 3 to 5 in following table which shall be applicable to posts in the offices of the Senior Govt Pleaders as specified in column 2 of the table given below:

Sr. #	Nomenclature	Qualification	Age Limit	Method of Recruitment
	of Post		13. Em. 1 - 12. And 1	
		The state of the s	7. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
1	District			The second secon
1			1.42T.	By promotion on the basis of seniority-
	Attorney (B-19)			cum-fitness from amongst the Deputy
			7.00	District Attorney having twelve years
1			• ÷	service in BS-17 and above with at least.
				three years service in BS-18.
	*		14.5	Provided that the length of service for
				promotion of persons appointed in BS-18
			Company	by initial recruitment shall be seven years
			24.50	as such.
2	Deputy District	a) Practicing Lawyer	25-40	3) 700/
	Attorney (B-18)	with 2 <sup>nd</sup> Class LLM		i) 70% by promotion, on the basis of
	1.44011.67 (5 10)	degree from a	years	seniority-cum-fitness; from amongst Assistant District Attorneys with five
1		recognized		years service as such;
		University with five	-,	moses to the manufacture of
	•	years standing at the:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4	ii) 30% by initial recruitment.
		Bar experience;		
		preferably on civil		
		side; OR	24.4	
		b) Practicing Lawyer	• • •	
		with 2 <sup>nd</sup> Class LLB	27.5° 4	
		degree from a		
		recognized		
		University with total	1	
		eight years standing		
	• •	at the Bar		
		experience including		
		three years High		
	-	Court level practice,		
		preferably on civil		
3	Assistant	Side.		44.4
	District	Practicing Lawyer with	25-35	By initial recruitment.
		2 <sup>nd</sup> Class LLB degree or	·- years	
	Attorney (8-17)	equivalent qualification		
l İ	1	from a recognized		
			*** - "	Towns



	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	'	University with three	7 11	
ĺ		years standing at the Bar		
1	,			
ļ	· .	experience.		
<b> </b>		<u> </u>	1 2 2 2	
4	Superintendent		-	By promotion, on the basis of seniority-
İ	· '.			
				cum-fitness from amongst holders of the
1 -		The state of the s	4. 100	post of Assistant with at-least five years
į.	' .	19.75	特   44   37	services as such.
5	Assistant	Second Class Bachelor	20-32	i) 75% by promotion, on the basis of
•	,	Degree or equivalent	Veare	seniority-cum-fitness, from amongst
			years -	Senior Clorke with at least Survey
		qualification from a		Senior Clerks with at least five years
l		recognized University.		service as Junior Clerk and Senior
			3 7 7 7	Clerk; and
-				ii) 25% by initial recruitment.
6	Computer	i) 2 <sup>nd</sup> Class Bachelor	20-32	By initial recruitment.
	Operator	Degree in Computer	years	
		Science/Information	76613	
1	•	Technology (BCS/BIT		
		four years) from a		
	· · · .			
<u> </u>		recognized		•
]		university; OR	]	
	1	ii) 2 <sup>nd</sup> Class Bachelor-		Market Committee
		Degree from a		
	. '	recognized university	1 1 1 1 1 1	
		with one year		
	1	Diploma in		
	. '	Information		
		•		
		Technology from a	180	
	٠.	recognized Board of	No. of the Park	
7		Technical Education.		
<i>i</i> .,	Senior Clerk	· -·	•	By promotion on the basis of seniority-
				cum-fitness from amongst Junior Clerks
	·			
			,,,,,	with at least two years service as such.
8	Junior Clerk	i) Matriculati		
<b>.</b>	adulor cierk	i) Matriculation with	18-30	i) 33% by promotion, on the basis of
	•	second division or	years	seniority-cum-fitness, from amongst
		equivalent		Naib Qasids and Chowkidars with two
j	•	qualification from a		years service as such who have
	•	recognized Board;		passed S.S.C. examination; and
		and		ii) 67% by initial recruitment.
	•	ii) A speed of 30 words		Note: For the purpose of promotion, there
•		per minute in typing.		
		per manace in typing.		shall be maintained a joint seniority list
				with reference to the dates of acquiring
	,			the Secondary School Certificate.
				and secondary solidor continuate,
	·		in production of	Provided that:
				i iovided tilat.
		·		IV 16 man and many a court
				1) If two or more officials have acquired
	·			the S.S.C. in the same session, the
_ ,		'	=,	inter-se seniority in the lower post-
		: ' <b>1</b>	3	shall be maintained for the purpose of
		•		determining seniority in the higher
				post;
				ii) Where a senior official does not
	ļ		` ` '	noccase the requisite available to
		,		possess the requisite qualification at
	i	*1		the time of filling up a vacancy, the
				official next junior to him possessing
}		<b>!</b> ~	. ,	the requisite qualification shall be
		ا ا		promoted in preference to the senior
				official or officials.
,	•			ornicial of officials,
}	1		i	

C

، نو ∫.	Naib Qasid	Preferably literate	18-40	By initial recruitment.
<u> </u>			years	
10	Chowkidar	Preferably literate	18-40 years	By initial recruitment
11	Sweeper	Preferably literate	18-40 years	By initial recruitment

(Muhammad Arifeen)

Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Law, Parliamentary Affairs & Human Rights Department

### Endst: No. & Date Even.

### Copy forwarded to:-

- 1. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. All the Administrative Secretaries to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Advocate General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,
- 4. The Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 5. All the Senior Govt. Pleaders in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. The Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission.
- 7. The Manager, Government Printing Press, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for publication in Government Gazette. He is requested to send ten (10) copies of the same to this Department.
- 8. The Director of Archives and Libraries, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 9. The Section Officer (R-IV), Establishment Department.
- 10. The Librarian, Establishment/Administration Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The PS to Secretary Law Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

KI

(Rizwan Ullah Khan) Section Officer (General) BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR

Writ Petition No.

- Mr. Ali Haider, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Swabi.
- 2. Mr. Faheez Zaman, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Hangu.
- 3. Mr. Nisar Ahmad, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Abbottabad.
- 4. Mr. Shahid Ali, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Malakand.
- 5. Mr. Muhammad Ismail Khan, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Karak
- 6. Mr. Muhammad Ilyas, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Swat.
- 7. Mr. Muhammad Ishfaq, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Charsadda.
- 8. Mr. Raza Khan, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Peshawar.
- 9. Mr. Zafar Ali, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Nowshera.

### VERSUS:

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary Establishment Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Law, Parliamentary Affairs & Human Rights Department, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- 4. The Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission, 2nd Fort Road, Peshawar Cantt.

XAMINE

WP2430-2017-Ali-Haider-Vs-State-Full

### RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

Brief facts giving rise to present petition are as under:-

- That the petitioner are the law abiding citizens of Pakistan and are working as Senior Clerk in the offices of Senior Government Pleaders (District Attorney) mentioned in the heading of writ petition.
- That since from the date of separation of the prosecution wing from the Law Department till notification of new Rules (26.4.2017), all promotion of ministerial staff of Government. Pleader Offices were made on the basis of the Rules of the Establishment Department of the year 1982 and 2012. However, Rules for the Government Pleader Offices (now District Attorney) are notified on 26.04.2017. Copies of Rules of 1982, 2012 and 2017 are attached as Annexure-A, B, & C. respectively.
  - That it is worth to mention here that in all the above mentioned Rules of 1982, 2012 and 2017, the post of Assistant is to be filled in as;-

75% By promotion on the basis of seniority cum fitness from amongst Senior Clerks with five years service. as Junior Clerk and Senior Clerk...

> XAMINER Nawar High Court





### 25% By initial recruitment.



Thus as per Recruitment Rules, the petitioners have 75% promotion quota in the posts of Office Assistants.

- 4. That vide Finance Department memo dated 06.07.2010 total 24 posts of Office Assistant BPS-14 were created in the offices of Government Pleaders at various station, and total 19 persons were working as Office Assistants against those posts as evident from the seniority list dated 24.07.2012. All the 19 Office Assistants were promoted as Superintendent (BPS-16) vide Notification dated 19.01.2013 on the basis of Rules of 2012 (Annexure-B). Copies of posts creation, seniority list and promotion order are attached as Annexure-D, E, & F respectively.
- 5. That the respondents, instead of giving promotion to the petitioners against those 19 posts vacated by Office Assistants on their promotion, kept the issue pending and finally abolished 15 posts of Office Assistant and as such now only 9 posts of Office Assistants available as shown in details of strength of Government Pleaders Offices stood on 20.05.2016. This also shows the malice of the respondents by giving promotions to 19 persons, whereas denying promotion against those 19 posts to low cadre officials. Copy of list of strength is attached as Annexure-G.
- That the more injustice caused to the petitioners when all the remaining posts of Office Assistant were advertised for initial recruitment vide publication dated. 10.05.2017. (at. Sr. No. 27) without observing 75% and 25% quota. It is also to be noted that previously all Office Assistants were directly recruited and no promotion quota for the Senior Clerks was observed for the office of Government Pleaders (now District Attorneys). Copy of the Advertisement is attached as Annexure-H.
- 7. That despite being senior most, eligible and waiting for promotion since long, the petitioners are deprived illegally from the promotion due to illegal and unlawful advertisement dated 10.05.2017, therefore, the petitioners are constrained to file the instant writ petition on the following grounds amongst the other inter alia. Copy of Strength List is attached as Annexure-I.





### GROUNDS:

- A. That that impugned advertisement to the extent of Sr. No. 27 whereby posts of Office Assistant meant for promotion are given to initial recruitment as well as all actions/omissions of the respondents in this regard are illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional, violation of 75%: 25% quota, therefore, the same is not tenable and liable to be set aside.
- B. That the career of the petitioners has been damaged twice 1<sup>st</sup> by abolishing 15 posts of Office Assistants vacated due to promotion on 29.05.2013 (Annexure-F), and 2<sup>nd</sup> when all the remaining posts are advertised for initial recruitment. Thus the petitioners have been deprived from their legal right of promotion in an arbitrary manner.
- C. That as per quota 75%: 25% (07) posts of Office Assistants come in share of petitioners for promotion, whereas (02) posts of Office Assistants come in share of initial recruitment, but the respondents have advertised all the posts which is a clear violation of Rules notified on 26.04.2017. (Annexure-C) therefore, the advertisement dated 10.05.2017 to the extent of Sr. No. 27 is violation of Rules (Annexure-C).
- D. That the fundamental rights of petitioners protected under Article-9, 25 and 38 are violated by the respondent by advertising their promotion quota posts for initial recruitment.
- E. That to have legitimate expectancy and prospects of equal promotion cannot be denied to the petitioners under the garb of executive domain by advertising promotion quota posts for initial recruitment. Therefore the whole action of the respondents is unlawful, without lawful authority and amount to colorful exercise of powers in an illegal manner.
- F. That 75% quota of the posts of Office Assistant has been given and granted vide Rules dated 26.04.2017(Annexure-C) and being the Statutory Rules, the respondents are legally bound to observe the same without any exercise of illegal discretion, and similarly, the petitioners are also entitled to be treated as such under the law as per Rules (Annexure-C)
- G. That the acts/omission of respondents and advertisement dated 10.05.2017(Annexure-H) is against the spirit of Article-2A, 3, 4, 9, 25 & 38 of the Constitution.

H. That the petitioners seek permission to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

EXAMINER Peshawar High Court

WP2430-2017-Ali-Haider-Vs-State-Full

(18)

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this writ petition the august may be pleased to declare the advertisement dated 10.05.2017(Annexure-H) to the extent of Sr. No. 27 as illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority, violation of Rules dated 26.04.2017(Annexure-C) and ineffective upon the rights of petitioners and liable to be set aside. The respondents may also be directed to abide by Rules and to grant promotion to the petitioners against 75% quota fixed in Rules against the posts of Office Assistant, instead of filling through initial recruitment. Any other remedy which this august Court deems file that may also be awarded in favor of petitioner.

### INTERIM RELIEF.

The respondents may be restrained from making appointment against the posts of Office Assit: advertised on 10.05.2017 at S.No. 27 OR not to finalize the appointments till the disposal of main writ petition to avoid legal complication.

FETTTIONE

Ali Haider erc.

THROUGH:

(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI) ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT, PESHAWAR.

### **VERIFICATION:**

It is verified that no other Writ Petition earlier has been filed between the present parties, except the present one.

DEPONENT

### LIT OF BOOKS:

1. Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.

2. Any other case law as per need.

ATTESTED

EXAMINER Peshawar High Cour

NOTE: Prior Notice along with the copies of the Writ Petition has already been given to the respondents as per amended High Court's.Rules dated. 23.02.2016

19)

(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI) ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT, PESHAWAR.

ATTESTED

EXAMINER Peshawar High Cour

### REFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR.

Writ Petition No. /2017

V/S Government of KPK.

### ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

### **PETITIONERS**

Ali Haider

1. Mr. Ali Haider, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Swabi.

2. Mr. Feeheez Zaman, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Hangu.

3. Mr. Nisar Ahmad, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Abbottabad.

4. Mr. Shahid Ali, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Malakand.

5. Mr. Muhammad Ismail Khan, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Karak.

6. Mr. Muhammad Ilyas, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Swat.

7. Mr. Muhammad Ishfaq, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Charsadda.

8. Mr. Raza Khan, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Peshawar.

9. Mr. Zafar Ali, Senior Clerk, Office of Senior Government Pleader (District Attorney) Nowshera.

### RESPONDENTS

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary Establishment Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Law, Parliamentary Affairs & Human Rights Department, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- 4. The Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission, 2<sup>nd</sup>
  Fort Road, Peshawar Cantt

  PETITIONER

Ali Haider etc

THROUGH:

(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI) ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT, PESHAWAR

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Peshawar High Coun

WP2430-2017-Ali-Haider-Vs-State-Full

Common of the same of

200

21

# JUDGMENT SHEET PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

Writ Petition No. 2430-P of 2017.

Mr. Ali Haider etc Versus

Date of hearing 19.11.2019

Petitioner (by) Muhammad Asif Kleam Moscockle
Respondent (by) Noor Muhammad Whatana Advocake

Riaz Weem Add1:08

### **IUDGMENT**

MUSARRAT HILALI, 1.- Through the instant petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, the petitioners have sought the following relief:-

"that acceptance of this writ petition the august Court may be please to declare the advertisement dated 10.5.2017 to the extent of Sr. No.27 as illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority, violation of Rules dated 26.4.2017 and ineffective upon the rights of petitioners and liable to be set aside. The

Jarram J

TESTED

EXAMINER Peshawar High Court



respondents may also be directed to abide by Rules and to grant promotion to the petitioners against 75% quota fixed in Rules against the post of Office Assistant, instead of filling through initial recruitment. Any other remedy which this august Court deems fit that may also be awarded in favour of petitioner."

2. In essence, petitioners are working as Senior Clerks in the offices of Senior Government Pleaders (District Attorney) fully mentioned in the heading of the instant writ petition; that 24 posts were created by respondents in the offices of Government Pleaders at various station while 19 persons were working as Office Assistant against those posts; that vide notification dated 19.1.2013, the above Office Assistants were promoted to the Post of Superintendent (BPS-16); that petitioners who were liable to be promoted on those vacant posts of Office Assistant under 75% quota fixed for promotion to the said post under the relevant rules were not considered for promotion rather 15 posts of Office Assistant out of

Javan

ATTESTED

EXAMINER

Peshawar High Court



24 posts were abolished and only nine(09) posts were shown to be available; that the above posts were advertised by respondents vide publication dated 10.5.2017 without observing 75% and 25% quota, so aggrieved from the same, petitioners have approached this Court through filing the instant writ petition.

- The respondents on Court order submitted their parawise comments to the writ petition.
- 4. Arguments heard and record annexed with the petition gone through.
- 5. According to the rules framed in the years 1982, 2012 and 2017, 75% of the post of "Assistant" are reserved to be filled by promotion on the basis of seniority-cum-fitness from amongst the Senior Clerks with at least five years experience as Junior Clerk and Senior Clerk and 25% is to be filled in by initial recruitment, however, in the instant case, it appears that respondents are intending to intrude upon 75% quota

many

ATTESTED

EXAMINER court



posts of Office Assistant, by promotion, abolished 15 posts of 'Office Assistant' and the remaining posts were advertised to be filled through initial recruitment.

- 6. We are of the view that the grievance of the petitioners is justified in law as the respondents are not competent to alter the statutory rules by means of administrative instructions and where a cadre has a definite quota reserved for departmental promotion, it has to be filled first and thereafter direct recruitment shall be made. The respondents cannot abolish the quota reserved to be filled by promotion and destroy the chances of promotion to those who are already in service since years.
  - hereinabove, this writ petition is allowed in terms that the respondents shall strictly adhere to the rules and the quota reserved to be filled through promotion shall not be

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
PASHAWAR High Court



(25)

disturbed and the respondents shall consider the petitioners for promotion strictly in accordance with law and rules on the subject.

Announced 19.11.2019

JUDGE

JUDGE

Autorion 2011/19

Date of Presentation of Application.

No of Pages..

Copying fee.

Total ..... Date of Preparation of Copy

Date of Delivery of copy.

Received By..

CERTIFIED/TØSE TRYS 🦪

Peshawar High Good Pressure Authorised Unider Arricle 107 The Ganung-Shahadat Order

13 JAN 2020

(D.B) Hon'ble Justice Musarrat Hilali. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ishtiaq İbrahim.

"A.Qayum PA"

Commission of the State of Sta



## DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF LAW & HUMAN RIGHTS KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Phone: 091-9217204

Email: dir.kpk@gmail.com
Website: www.humanrights.kp.gov.pk
Plot No. 21, Sector B-2, Phase-V, Hayatabad, Peshawar

Dated Peshawar, the 10/12/2020



ORDER -

11026-56

No. DG/SLT/AD/Promotion/2-16/2019/- On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee the competent authority is pleased to promote the following Senior Clerk (BPS-14) of the Offices of District Attorneys in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa to the post of Assistant (BPS-16) on regular basis with immediate effect.

S. No.		Nam	e of t	he O	fficia	al					
1.	Mr.Ali Haider						<u>-</u>		, <del></del>	<del></del> -	
2.	Mr. Faheez Zaman			•							<u></u>
3.	Mr. Nisar Ahmad		<u> </u>		•					- <u>:</u> ·	
4.	Mr. Shahab Ali									<del></del>	
5.	Muhmmad Ismail		<u> </u>				·:.	<del></del>		<del>-</del>	<del></del>
6.	Muhammad Ilyas		· ·				:	<del>- 1</del>		<del>~</del> -	
7.	Muhammad Ishfaq						<del></del>				

1. The Officials on promotion will remain on Probation in term of Section 6(2) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servant, 1973 read with the amendment Rule 15(1&4) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servant (Appointment, Promotion & Transfer) Rules, 1989, for one year, if not extended for another year.

DIRECTOR GENERAL

Law and Human Rights Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

### No. DG/SLT/AD/Promotion/2-16/2019:-

Dated Peshawar, the 10/12/2020

Copy Forwarded for Information to:

- 1. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. PS to Secretary Law, Parliamentary Affairs and Human Rights Department.
- 3. PA to director General, Law and Human Rights.
- 4. All District Attorneys Offices in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- All District Accounts Offices concerned.
- 6. Official Concerned for Compliance.
- 7. Master File for Record.

ASSISTANT SOLICITOR (M&E)

A contraction was light county town to the



## ANNEX - G

### RECTORATE GENERAL OF LAW & HUMAN RIGHTS KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Phone: 091-9217204 Email: <a href="mailto:dhr.kpk@gmail.com">dhr.kpk@gmail.com</a>
Website: <a href="www.humanrights.kp.gov.pk">www.humanrights.kp.gov.pk</a>
Plot No. 21, Sector B-2, Phase-V, Hayatabad, Peshawar

Dated: 17/02/2021

ORDER:

No. DG/SLT/AD/Posting/Transfer/2-2/2019. 1026-56-3 The Competent Authority is pleased to order the posting/transfer of the following Assistant (BPS-16) of District Attorney Offices Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in the best public interest with immediate effect:-

S#	Name of Official	From	To
1.	Mr. Ali Haider	Directorate General of	District Attorney Office
	,	Law and Human Rights.	Peshawar. (Against the vacant
			post).
2.	Mr. Faheez Zaman 🖠	District Attorney Office	District Attorney Office Kohat
		Hangu.	(Against the vacant post)
3.	Mr. Nisar Ahmad	District Attorney Office	District Attorney Office
		Abbottabad.	Abbottabad (Against the vacant
:			post)
4.	Mr. Shahab Ali	District Attorney Office	District Attorney Office Swat
		Malakand at Batkhela.	(Against the vacant Post).
5.	Muhmmad Ismail .	District Attorney Office	19
		Lakki Marwat.	(Against the vacant post)
6.	Muhammad Ilyas	District Attorney Office	
		Lower Dir.	Mardan (Against the vacant
	<u> </u>		post).
7.	Muhammad Ishfaq	District Attorney Office	
.] `		Mardan.	Tribunal Peshawar (Against the
1			vacant post).

### -SD-DIRECTOR GENERAL

Law and Human Rights Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

### No. DG/SLT/AD/Posting/Transfer/2-2/2019:- Dated Peshawar, the 17/02/2021

Copy Forwarded for Information to:

1. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

- 2. PS to Secretary Law, Parliamentary Affairs and Human Rights Department.
- 3. PA to director General, Law and Human Rights.
- 4. All District Attorneys Offices concerned in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. All District Accounts Offices concerned.
- 6. Master File for Record.

ASSISTANT SOLICITOR (M&E)

The Worthy Secretary,
Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Law, Parliamentary Affairs and Human Rights Department

Through <u>DIRECTOR GENERAL OF LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS</u> <u>KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA.</u>

Subject: <u>DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL FOR THE GRANT OF BACK</u>
<u>BENEFITS ON PROMOTION FROM THE POST OF SENIOR</u>
<u>CLERK (PBS-14) TO THE POST OF ASSISTANT (BPS-16) VIDE</u>
<u>ORDER DATED 10.12.2020</u>

R/Sir,

The appellant humbly and respectfully submits as under:-

- 1. That 28 posts of Office Assistants were sanctioned/created by the Finance Department in the office of Government Pleader now District Attorney at various Districts as per (Flag-A).
- 2. That 19 Office Assistants were promoted to the post of Superintendent (BPS-16) in various offices of District Attorney Khyber Pakhtunkhwa vide order No. E&A/LD/2-77/2012/1480-1558, dated 29.01.2013 as per (Flag-B). Resultantly on their promotion to the post of superintendent 19 post of Assistant (BPS-16) fell vacant.
- 3. That the Senior Clerks who were entitled to be promoted on those vacant posts of Office Assistant were not considered for promotion rather 19 out of 28 posts of office Assistant were abolished as per (Flag-C) and only 9 posts of office Assistant were left behind.
- 4. That as per relevant Service Rules 75% quota was fixed for promotion on the basis of seniority-Cum Fitness amongst the Senior Clerk with at least five years' experience as Junior Clerk and Senior Clerk and 25%quota for initial recruitment. But ignoring the relevant Rules Law Department advertised 8 posts of Assistant without observing 75% promotion quota (Flag-D)
- 5. That the aggrieved Senior Clerk filed a Writ Petition before the Peshawar High Court Peshawar. The said writ petition was allowed by the Hon'ble Peshawar High court Peshawar and directions were issued that the respondents shall strictly adhere to the Rules and the quota



(29)

reserved to be filled through promotion shall not be disturbed and the respondents shall consider the petitioners for Promotion strictly in accordance with Law and Rules on the subject as per Judgment (Flag-E) (duly highlighted).

- 6. That the posts of Assistant remained vacant for more than 7 years, due to which the applicant was entitled to back benefits w.e.from 30.01.2013, but the same were not extended/given to the appellant at the time of promotion. Which made the appellant compel to submit this appeal.
- 7. That the directions of Hon'ble Peshawar High court Peshawar, Directorate General Law & Human Rights promoted 7 Senior Clerk to the post of Assistant (BPS-16) vide order No.DG/SLT/AD/Promotion/2-16/2019/1026-56, dated 10.12.2020 (Flag-F) who were entitled to be promoted w.e.f. 30.01.2013.

Keeping in view the above mentioned facts, it is, therefore, requested that this appeal may please be allowed and back benefits may graciously be granted to the appellant w.e.f. 30.01.2013.

### (MUHAMMADASAFAQ)

Assistant
Directorate General of Law & Human
Of Rights

Copy (in advance) forwarded to the Worthy Secretary Law, Parliamentary Affairs and Human Rights Department for information and necessary action please.

(MUHAMMAD ISHFAQ)

Assistant

Directorate General of Law & Human

Rights

13' 2° 27'

### Form- A

### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

<b>A</b> .1		C 40 /0000	

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	28/04/2022	The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Ishfaq presented today by Mr. Noon Muhammad Khattak Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.  REGISTRAR
2-	W 2/2	This case is entrusted to Single Bench at Peshawar for preliminary hearing to be put there on
·	13.05 2022	Learned counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment in order to further prepare the brief. To come up for preliminary hearing before the S.B on 20.07.2022.
		(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman