28.03.2022

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl: AG alongwith Mr. Raziq, HC for respondents Present.

Written reply/comments not submitted. Representative of the respondents seeks time to submit the same on the next date. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/comments on 17.06.2022 before S.B.

(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER(E)

17th June 2022

Late Diary

Junior to counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Despite three opportunities given to the respondents they have not provided reply/comments. Therefore, their right to file reply is struck off. To come up for arguments on 26.07.2022.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

17th June, 2022 Mr. Ahmad Jan, Inspector (Legal) for the respondents turned up later and produced copy of order No. 668-74/22, dated 11.04.2022 saying that the grievance of the appellant has been redressed. Since the appellant has gone after adjourning the matter, therefore, it will be considered on the next date. $1 \cdot e > 6 \cdot 07 \cdot 2022$

> (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

ORDER

This order is hereby passed to dispose of Revision Petition under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rule-1975 (amended 2014) submitted by **Ex-FC Naeem Khan No. 1136.** The petitioner was dismissed from service by SSP/Operations, Peshawar vide order Endst: No. 2969-73/PA, dated 28.12.2020 on the allegations that he while posted at Police Station Tehkal Peshawar was proceeded against departmentally on account of subjecting one Radiullah @ Amieray Tehkaly to inhuman and degrading treatment. His appeal was rejected by Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar vide order Endst: No. 382-88/PA, dated 19.02.2021.

Meeting of Appellate Board was held on 29.03.2022 wherein petitioner was heard in person. Petitioner contended that he was innocent.

The petitioner has long service of 07 years, 05 months & 03 days at his credit. The Board is of the opinion that the penalty imposed on petitioner is harsh and decided that the petitioner is hereby re-instated in service and his penalty of dismissal from service is converted into forfeiture of three years service. However, the intervening period to be treated as leave of kind due, if any on his credit.

Sd/-SABIR AHMED, PSP Additional Inspector General of Police, HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

No. S/ 668-74 /22, dated Peshawar, the _11_ 4 /2022.

S Dur Ma

0 25/4/22

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

1. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar. One Service Roll, one Fauji Missal and one enquiry file of the above named FC received vide your office Memo: No. 9782/CRC, dated 27.05.2021 is returned herewith for your office record.

Senior Superintendent of Police, Operations, Peshawar. 2.

- 3. PSO to IGP/Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, CPO Peshawar.
- 4. AIG/Legal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. PA to Addl: IGP/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

6. PA to DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

7. Office Supdt: E-IV CPO Peshawar.

05/04/22

(IRFAN TARIQ) PSP AIG/Establishment, For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

OFFICE OF THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER, PESHAWAR

No.<u>4301-06</u>/CRC, the Peshawar dated _______/08/2022.

Copy of above is sent for information and necessary action to the:-

- 1. DSP/HQrs Peshawar 1 DSP/Legal
- 2. Pay Officer 3. OASI

cN.

4. FMC & I/C Computer Cell

(Raham Hussain)SP/HQrs FOR CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER, PESHAWAR

14.09.2021

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard. Record perused.

Points raised need consideration. Instant appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notice be issued to respondents for submission of written reply/comments in office within 10 days of the receipt of notices, positively. If the reply/comments are not submitted within the stipulated time, the office shall submit the file with a report of noncompliance. File to come up for arguments on 26/1/2022 before D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

26.01.2022

Appellant alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Reply/comments on behalf of respondents are still awaited. Learned Additional Advocate General sought time for submission of reply/comments. Granted. To come up for reply/comments before the S.B on 28.03.2022.

(Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E)

Appellant Deposited Section & Process Fee



FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of Case No.-/2021 S.No. Date of order Order or other proceedings with signature of judge proceedings 2 1 3 The appeal of Mr. Naeem resubmitted today by Syed Noman Ali 13/04/2021 1-Bukhari Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please. 27 05 21 REGISTRAR This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put 2up there on <u>07/06/2</u> **CHAIRMAN** 07.06.2021 The Worthy Chairman is on leave, therefore, case to come up for preliminary hearing on 14.09.2021 before 1 S.B.

بر م

1

. .*

÷

The appeal of Mr. Naeem Ex-Constable No. 1136 Police station Tehkal Peshawar received today i.e. on 03/03/2021 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Copies of charge sheet, statement of allegations, enquiry report and replies thereto are not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 2- Annexure-A of the appeal is illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.

No. 515 /S.T. Dt. 10/03/2021 REGISTRĂE SERVICE TRIBUNAL **KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA** PESHAWAR. Syed Noman Ali Bukhari Adv. Pesh. Respected Si'r, Copy of charge short Not some an appellent therefore not accorded with appellent. Ensuing also not

Providel.

(D)

Objecture Repul.

fole Resubmate.

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 4814 /2021

Naeem

د. 1

έ.

V/S

Police Deptt:

<u>INDEX</u>

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of Appeal		1-4
2.	Copy of FIR	A	5
3.	Copy of Bail order	В	6-7
4.	Copy of Show cause notice	C	8
5.	Copy of impugned order	D	9
6.	Copy of departmental appeal	· E	10
7.	Copy of rejection	F	11-13
8.	Vakalat nama		14

ANT APPELL NAEEM

THROUGH:

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI) ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT.

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO.____/2021

Naeem Ex-Constable No. 1136 Police station Tehkal Peshawar.

5

n Tin

.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. The Capital City Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

2. The senior superintendent of Police, Operation, Peshawar.

.....(Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KP SERVICES TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 28-12-2020 WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS DISMISSED FROM SERVICE ILLEGALLY WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY AND WITHOUT JURISDICTION AND AGAINST THE REJECTION ORDER DATED 19.02.2021 WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHOUT SHOWING ANY COGENT REASON.

PRAYER:

THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 28.12.2020 AND 19.02.2021 MAY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED IN TO SERVICE WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOR OF APPELLANT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

FACTS:

÷.

ų.

- 1. That the appellant was appointed in police department as a constable and Performing his duty with the entire satisfaction of his superior.
- 2. That the FIR was registered against the appellant on the basis of which, appellant was arrested and behind the bar. If any charge sheet was issued at that time but never served upon the appellant in jail. Copy of FIR is attached as annexure-A.
- 3. That the ex party inquiry was conducting against the appellant at the time when appellant was behind the bar which is against the law and norms of the justices.
- 4. That thereafter, appellant was bail out by the supreme court of Pakistan on 23.12.2020 and on next date 24-12 -2020 show cause was issued to the appellant, wherein , seven days has been provided to the appellant for reply to the show cause but without waiting for the reply just after three days on 28-12-2020 the impugned order has be passed against the appellant wherein major penalty of dismissal from service imposed upon the appellant mere on the basis of allegation without proof which is against the law rules and regulation. Copy of bail order, show cause and impugned order is attached as annexure-B, C & D.
- 5. That the appellant feeling aggrieved filed departmental appeal against the impugned order dated 28.12.2020 which was rejected without showing any cogent reason vide order dated 19.02.202. Hence the present appeal on the following grounds amongst other. Copy of departmental appeal and rejection order is attached as annexure-E & F.

GROUNDS:

- A. That the appellant the impugned order dated 28.12.2020 and 19.02.2021 is against the law, norms of justices and without lawful authority. Hence liable to be set-aside.
- B. That no proper inquiry was conducted and charge sheet and statement of allegation was also never served upon the appellant

because at the time of inquiry and charge sheet the appellant was behind the bar and so the appellant was deprived of self-defense which is violation of law and superior court judgment.

٣.

- C. That the impugned order is passed in the violation of CSR194-A. According to CSR-194A, accused official shall be suspended the till the decision of competed court of law/finalization of criminal case.
- D. That the inquiry report along with the show cause was also not provided to the appellant, which is clear violation of Superior Court judgment. That principal is also held in the appeal of the Waleed Mehmood vs Police Deptt.
- E. That the impugned order is clear violation of supreme court judgment that charge sheet and statement of allegation not only be issued but shall be communicated to the person by before imposing major punishment to the accused.
- F. That no proper and regular inquiry was conducted. Neither the appellant was associated with the enquiry proceedings nor any statement of witnesses recorded in the presence of appellant. Even a chance of cross examination was also not provided to the appellant which is violation of norms of justice.
- G. That the similar nature case titled as Farman Ali vs police deptt was already accepted by the Hon'able Tribunal, so it is requested that the appellant may also be reinstated.
- H. That the attitude and conduct of the Department shows that they were bent upon to remove the appellant at any cost.
- I. That there is no chance of self-defense was provide to the appellant and according to Supreme Court judgment mere on the basis of allegation no one should be punished.
 - J. That it is the maxim of the law (audi alteram peltrum) that no one should be unheard, and the impugned order is also passed in violation of article of 10-A OF the constitution of Pakistan which told us about the fair trial which was the fundamental right of the appellant but denied to the appellant. So the impugned order is not tenable in the eye of law.
 - K. That the impugned order is against the articles 2A, 4,and 25, of the constitution of Pakistan 1973.



ù hù

L. That the show cause was issued to the appellant on 24-12 -2020, wherein, seven days has been provided to the appellant for reply to the show cause but without waiting for the reply just after three days on 28-12-2020 the impugned order has been passed against the appellant which clearly show malafide an illegal act on the part of department so the impugned order on this sole ground liable to be set aside.

- M. That no chance of personal hearing was provided to the appellant and as such the appellant has been condemned unheard throughout.
- N. That the appellant seeks permission to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

NT NAEEM

THROUGH:

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI) ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT.

ابتدائي اطلاعي ريورب 710 ومت وقوع شادهل 12- chill 5 1120 = 1, 24-96 A314 9015 980 Sun Constilly 355-118-118 Kpk 3111 Jun & Cr 136 pred 121 the Ubally ... استالط ازران الاوا ميل ١١) ابتذابي اطلاع يتحدد بترو _ اجرد سوس مدر با بر أدب وربر الرجل بر سامه ميم الله مترفع معلى المرب عاد من الدوم الدوم الدوم الدوم الدوم المربعة المت والم مسكال و مربعة أرب سنر - كيه وريا مع معلومات ترف برمعلوم ميرا منط ترتب ال - لولسين المخاران عالم التدهد - عالى لينم عالم المروفة المروف منوب لا وما كر مرارد الم م ب حسب من يوند اعتمارات كالما المرافسيارات استقال مر ب مدر والاجل الله كاروتك حرائم ما من باكر صب الحكم المت مان ما منك ولاف مولد المراج ما الم الم بر از المتحر المرجوطة الندون المتبسس حاله فلالا من فالخ عاط عدى مرد المرا (m) of sho pstk 24-6-20

10 0545-9049013 shafing | كاراض محارطان م. مم بسمنندی تش چک به نبر (13 کا23 قادم طور رهن دانید برقد عارزم، و 11 00، 20 لیا کی الادم طور با عا ابتدائی اطلاعی ر پورٹ الم اللہ میں الم اللہ میں ا ابتدائی اللا اللہ اللہ میں اللہ دست اندازی پلس ر پارٹ شدہ: پردند ۲۵۱۶موسنا بلاذ جدارتی سل میں الحالہ ا مناع <u>العبية الر</u> ت الح ومت 34 50 13447 u C k + k Key Kinn . الك ك من المرا نى اطلاع يى درج كرومور : سو تى مدد ؛ مر أدب و د رو بو بو ما ما يعجل مر الله علي من المسلما و تعريج العد قدرف مداوسة ول العد على سلم المنا قدمة في سلم المورية المرجة المعد على مر معد المدون المعد قدرف العد ومرمعة المدون المعد المدون المعد ومرمعة العد ومرمعة المدون المعد ومرمعة العد ومرمعة ومرمعة ومرمعة ومرمعة ومرمعة ومرمعة ولي مرمعة العد ومرمعة ومرم مرمع مسلمان مرمعة ومرمعة وم مرمع مسلمان مرمعة ومرمات ومرمعة وم ب ين الله . المناع - 36 " ما رصب المدرف علم م درور وما كو مرسد المرجعين -بالما من حالة ومنها إن المنام المراضية إت استعال من يد مذكر و بلا لم ما ان كورتك جرائم مدى باكر مد الحل استون ، مناطلات دند جرائم بالادرج مرار معدل در و طال الدون ستبسس والم مكم عادية كاط عام مرج تراين مينا . PS TC 24-6-2 ->

Scanned with CamScanner

108/20 10751 13281

Petitioner(s)

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN (Appellate Jurisdiction)

Present:

Mr. Justice Manzoor Ahmad Malik Mr. Justice Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel Mr. Justice Syed Mansoor Ali Shah

Crl. P. Nos. 132-P, 135-P, 136-P, 1114, 140-P of 2020 (Against the order of the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar dated 14.09.2020 passed in Cr. M. BA No. 2312-P, 2310-P, 2311-P, 2310-P & 2311-P of 2020)

Zaheer Ullah	Crl. P. 132
Shahriyar Ahmad	Crl. P. 135
Naeem Khan	Crl. P. 136
Imran ud Din	Crl. P. 1114
Touseef Alam	Crl. P. 140

Versus

The State elc	In all	Respondent(s)				
For the Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abdul Fayyaz, ASC (in all petitions except Crl. P. 1114)				
		Mr. Abdul Khaliq Khan, ASC (m.Cd. P. 1114)				
For the complainant:	rant: Syed Amjad Ali Shah, ASC alon with Rafi Ullah (victim) in perso					
For the State	:	Mr. Anis M. Shahzad, State Counsel Wajid Ali SI				
Date of Hearing	:	18.12.2020				

ORDER

Manzoor Ahmad Malik, J.-The petitioners (in all these petitions) have called in question the orders dated ▶14.09.2020, whereby bail was refused to them by the learned

£Sт EDSenior Court Associate

Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamahad Scanned with CamScanner

2. P. Not. 132-P of 2020 etc.

V

Peshawar High Court, Peshawar in case FJR No. 710 duted 24.06.2020, offence under sections 166, 342, 355, 337-A(i) FPC read with sections 118, 119 of the KP Police Act, 2017 & Sections 20, 21, 22 & 24 of Cyber Crimes Act, 2016 registered at P.S. Tehkal, Peshawar.

2. Heard. Record perused.

PREM

r-Hapoding

ιĽ

3. It has not been disputed by the learned State Counsel that all the offences alleged against the petitioners fall outside the prohibitory clause of section 497, Code of Criminal Procedure. Grant of bail in such like cases is a rule and refusal an exception. No exceptional circumstances have been pointed out for refusing concession of bail to the petitioners.

4. For the foregoing, the instant criminal petitions are converted into appeals and the same are hereby allowed. Appellants Zaheer Ullah, Shahriyar Ahmad, Naeem Khan, Imran ud Din and Touseef Alam are allowed bail (in the instant FIR) subject to their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.100,000/- (Rupees one hundred thousand) each, with one surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Certified to be True Copy Senior Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad

Sd/J

Sd/J

Sd/J

2

Scanned with CamScanner



5.

6.

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, (OPERATIONS), PESHAWAR Phone, 091-9213054

Accuir

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE (Under Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975)

- I. Mansoor Aman (PSP), Senior Superintendent of Police (Operations) Peshawar as competent/authority, under the Police disciplinary Rules 1975; do hereby serve you Constable Nacem No. 1136 while posted to PS Tchkal as follows:-
- 2. (i) That consequent upon completion of the departmental enquiry conducted against you by SP City Peshawar, who found you guilty of the charges;
 - (ii) Ongoing through the findings and recommendations of the inquiry officer, the material on record and other connected papers including your defense before the said officer; I am satisfied that you have committed the following misconducts;

That you have been found guilty of the charges already conveyed to you vide this office Charge Sheet No. 379/E/PA dated 24.06.2020,

- As a result thereof I, Mansoor Aman (PSP), Senior Superintendent of Police (Operations) Peshawar as Competent Authority decided to impose upon youmajor/minor penalty including dismissal from service under the said Rules.
- You are, therefore, require to Show Cause as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you.
- If no reply to this notice is received within 7-days of its delivery, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and in that case an ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

You are at liberty to be heard in person, if so wished.

No. 2904 /PA dated Peshawar the 24

MANSO DRAMAN, PSP SR: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, OPERATIONS, PESHAWAR

3. OSI/CRC/PO

4. FMC along with complete enquiry file containing _____ pages for record.



OFFICE OF THE SR: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, (OPERATIONS) PESHAWAR , Phone. 091-9210508

<u>order</u>

This office order will dispose of formal departmental proceedings against Constable Naeem No. 1136 wh while posted to PS Tehkal was placed under suspension and proceeded against departmentally under the Khybe Pakhtunkhwa Police (Efficiency & Disciplinary) Rules, 1975 on account of subjecting one Radiullah alias Aimera da Tehkal to inhumane and degrading treatment.

2. Charge sheet along with statement of allegations was issued to him and SP City Peshawar was appointed a Enquiry Officer to scrutinize the conduct of aforementioned accused official w.r.t the allegations levelled against him During the course of enquiry, the Enquiry Officer issued letters to Superintendent Central Prison Peshawar vide No 2667/PA dated 18.09.2020 and No. 2967/PA dated 15.10.2020 for service of charge sheet and statement of allegations upon the accused official but he refused to acknowledge its receipt and as such declined to offer his statement in rebuttal of the charges. Consequently, the EO concluded the enquiry proceedings ex-parte and recorded his findings dated 24.12.2020 wherein he held the accused official guilty of gross misconduct and recommended him for major penalty.

3. On receipt of the findings, Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the delinquent official and Lines Officer CCP Peshawar was authorized vide this office letter No. 2910-12/PA dated 24.12.2020 addressed to Superintendent Central Prison Peshawar to serve the Final Show Cause Notice on the delinquent official. He received the Final Show Cause Notice but declined to offer his written statement meaning thereby that he had nothing to offer in his defence.

4. Having gone though relevant record including i) findings of the departmental enquiry, ii) finding report of the Special Investigation Team constituted vide CCPO's office order endst: No. 257-59/PSO dated 24.06.2020, and iii) findings of the Judicial Enquiry Commission constituted to probe the Tehkal incident, 1 am fully convinced that Constable Naeem No. 1136 is guilty of gross misconduct and that his retention in the department would be prejudicial to good order of the discipline in the force. Therefore, in exercise of the powers vested in me under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police (Efficiency & Disciplinary) Rules, 1975, I Mansoor Aman PSP, SSP (Operations) Peshawar have decided to impose major penalty of dismissal from service on the accused official. He is, therefore, dismissed from service with immediate effect.

MANSOOR AMAN, PSP Senior Superintendent of police, Operations, Peshawar

No. 2969-73 /PA dated Peshawar. the _28/12 /2020. Copy for information and necessary action to:-

- 1. The Capital City Police Officer Peshawar.
- 2. SsP City, Security & HQs Peshawar.
 - 3. OSI/CRC/PO

4. FMC along with complete enquiry file containing _____ pages for record.





OFFICE OF THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER PESHAWAR Phone No. 091-9210989 Fax No. 091-9212597

<u>ORDER</u>

This order will dispose of departmental appeal preferred by **Ex- Constable Naeem** Khan No.1136 who was awarded the majnor punishment of "Dismissal from Service" under PR-1975 by SSP/Operations Peshawar vide OB No.2969-73/PA, dated 28-12-2020.

2- The allegations leveled against him were that he while posted in Police Station Tehkal Peshawar was proceeded against departmentally on account of subjecting one Radiullah @ Amieray Tehkaly to inhumane and degrading treatment:

3- He was issued Charge Sheet and Summary of Allegations by SSP/Operations Peshawar and SP/City Peshawar was appointed to scrutinize the conduct of the official. During the course of enquiry the accused official refused to acknowledge the receipt of Charge Sheet and declaimed to offer statement for his self defence. Hence the enquiry officer after completion of enquiry recommended the delinquent official for major punishment being guilty of the charges. The competent authority in light of the findings of enquiry officer issued him Final Show Cause Notice which he also declined to offer his statement. The competent authority in light of the findings of the enquiry officer awarded him the above major punishment.

4- He was heard in person in O.R. The relevant record along with his explanation perused. The Judicial Enquiry Commission has already held him guilty of the charges leveled against him. Therefore his appeal for setting aside the punishment awarded to him by SSP/Operations Officer Peshawar vide order No.2969-73/PA, dated 28-12-2020 is hereby rejected/ filed.

HSAN) PSP CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER, PESHAWAR 2021

No. <u>589 - 88</u> /PA dated Peshawar the Copies for information and n/a to the:-

- 1. SSP/Operations Peshawar.
- 2. SP/City Peshawar.
- 3. OSI/CRC/PO/FMC
- 4. Official concerned.

VAKALATNAMA

NO.____/20

IN THE COURT OF Kp . Service (12: bone), Peshen

	Nacem	Ba. Constable	Appellant
x			Petitioner
			Plaintiff
		VERSUS	
	Police	Depth	espondent (s)
			efendants (s)
1			

I/WE Nocen Ex-Carctan.

do hereby appoint and constitute the SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI Advocate High Court for the aforesaid Appellant(s), Petitioner(S), Plaintiff(s) / Respondent(s), Defendant(s), Opposite Party to commence and prosecute / to appear and defend this action / appeal / petition / reference on my / our behalf and al proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same including proceeding in taxation and application for review, to draw and deposit money, to file and take documents, to accept the process of the court, to appoint and instruct council, to represent the aforesaid Appellant, Petitioner(S), Plaintiff(s) / Respondent(s), Defendant(s), Opposite Party agree(s) ratify all the acts done by the aforesaid.

DATE____/20

٠...

_ (CLIENT)

<u>ACCEPTED</u>

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

CELL NO: 0306-5109438

"B"

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR. JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD, \underline{O} . PESHAWAR.

No.

Note:

1.

2

	• •) <i>L</i>	,8.14	of 20	21
	N			Appella	nt/Petitioner
hi	(^{rsus} kpk	Re.	spondent
		· .	Respondent	No1	
Notice to:	the	capital	city.	Police	Officer
~			1)eshawo	ig .	

Notice of any alteration in the date fixed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be given to you by registered post. You should inform the Registrar of any change in your address. If you fail to furnish such address your address contained in this notice which the address given in the appeal/petition will be deemed to be your correct address, and further notice posted to this address by registered post will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of this appeal/petition.

The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays.

Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

"B"

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR. JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD, DB PESHAWAR.

No.

	Appeal No. 4814 of 20 21	
	Naem	
	the C-C-P-O UM Respondent	
	Respondent No2	• •
Notice to:	the Service Superintendent CF Police Operation Poshowas	

Notice of any alteration in the date fixed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be given to you by registered post. You should inform the Registrar of any change in your address. If you fail to furnish such address your address contained in this notice which the address given in the appeal/petition will be deemed to be your correct address, and further notice posted to this address by registered post will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of this appeal/petition.

Copy of appeal is attached. Copy of appeal has already been sent to you vide this

ofi. ce Notice No.....dated.....

8K Given under my hand and the seal of this Court, at Peshawar this..... Day of.... .20 Registrar Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar. Note: The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays. 1 2 Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

13/12/2020