19.03.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney alongwith Muhammad Tausif, ADO for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.

This appeal is accepted as per our detailed judgment of today, in connected service appeal No. 479/2014 entitled "Jamshjaid Khan Vs. Director, E&SE, Peshawar and others". Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

19.03.2018

Camp Court Abbottabad.

19.04.2017

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Hamid Mansoor. Assistant alongwith Mr. Muhammad Bilal, Government Pleader for the respondents present. Rejoinder submitted. Due to non-availability of D.B arguments could not be heard. To come up for final hearing before the D.B on 17.10.2017 at camp court, Abbottabad.

Chairman Camp court, A/Abad

17.10.2017

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Bilal, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Hamid Mansoor, Junior Clerk for the respondents present. Arguments partly heard. Representative of the Education Department is directed to provide the original application of the appellant alongwith all relevant documents. To come up for further arguments before this D.B on 18.01.2017 at camp court, Abbottabad.

MAMM Member

Chairman Camp Court, A/Abad.

18.01.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Bilal, DDA alongwith Mr. Muhammad Tausif, ADO for respondents present. Representative of the department has not brought the record as per order sheet dated 17.10.2017. Seeks Adjournment. Last opportunity granted. Adjourned. To come up for record and arguments on 19.03.2018 before D.B at camp court Abbottabad.

Member

Camp court, A/Abad.

17.11.2015

None present for appellant. Mr. Shafiq-ur-Rehman, ADO alongwith Mr.Muhammad Siddique, Sr.G.P for respondents present. Written reply submitted by respondents No.1 to 3 while respondents No. 4 & 5 failed to submit the same despite last opportunity. No further opportunities are extended to the said respondents. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 17.5.2016 at Camp Court A/Abad.

17.05.2016

None for the appellant and Mr. ZahidCladimaADO alongwith ADO alongwith Mr. Muhammad Siddiglie Shisp 的 ad. respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant intends to place certain documents on record. Seeks adjournment. Learned counsel for the appellant may apply for placing documents on record within a fortnight in office with a copy in advance to Sr.GP. To come up for reply/arguments on application before D.B on 22.11.2016 at camp court, Abbottabad.

Member

Camp court, A/Abad,

22.11.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Senior Clerk alongwith Mst. Bushra Bibi, Government Pleader for respondents present. The Bench is incomplete. Counsel for the appellant submitted application for placing on file certain documents. Copy supplied to learned Government Pleader. To come up for arguments on application as well as final hearing before D.B on 19.04.2017 at camp court, Abbottabad.

Camp Court, A/Abad

4 20.5.2015

None present for appellant. Mr.Abdullah, EDO Torghar alongwith Mr.Muhammad Tahir Aurangzeb, G.P for respondents present. Requested for adjournment. To come up for written reply/comments on 20.7.2015 at camp court A/Abad.

Charman Camp Court A/Abad

20.07.2015

Since the 24 July 2015 has been declared as a public holiday on account of Eid-ul-Fiter. Therefore case is adjourned to 14.09.2015 for submission of written reply at Camp Court Abbottabad.

Supdt.

14.9.2015

None present for appellant. Mr. Shafiq-ur-Rehman, ADO alongwith Mr.Muhammad Tahir Aurangzeb, G.P for respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Requested for adjournment. Last opportunity granted. To come up for written reply/comments on 17.11.2015 before S.B at Camp Court A/Abad.

Charman
Camp Court A/Abad

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant was initially employed in Folice-Department in BPS-5 and, after obtaining NOC, he was employed in Education Department in BPS-7 and that the appellant is now serving in Education Department in BPS-12. That the respondents have denied to count previous service or the appellant rendered in Police Department towards the service benefits. That ine appellant preferred service appeal which was converted into a departmental appeal by the Unibunal vide judgment dated 18.11.2013. That the departmental appeal was not responded within the statutory period and hence the present service appeal on 7.4.2014.

That the appellant is entitled to service benefits rendered by him in the Police Department and that the previous service is countable towards the financial benefits accrued to the app llant during the course of service.

Foints urged need consideration. Admit. subject to deposit or security & process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply for 20.5.2015 before S.B at eamp court A/Abad.

# Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	
Case No	481/2014

	Case No	481/2014
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1 .	2	3
1	07/04/2014	The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Ihsan-uz-Zaman presented today by Mr. Sardar Javaid Iqbal Advocate may be
		entered in the Institution register and put up to the Worthy
		Chairman for preliminary hearing.  REGISTRAR
2	2-5-14	This case is entrusted to Touring Bench A.Abad for
		preliminary hearing to be put up there on $17-11-15$
		CHAIRMAN
		CHAIRPIAN
.*		
	· ·	

### BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 481 of 2014

Muhammad Ihsan-uz-Zaman......Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

Director, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar etc......Respondents

### **SERVICE APPEAL**

**INDEX** 

S#	Particulars of documents	Annexure	Pages
1	Memo of service appeal.	• • • • •	1-6
2	Correct addresses of the parties.	•••••	٦
3	Copy of the appointment order.	"A"	8-9
4	Copy of advertisement.	"B"	[0
5	Copy of appointment order.	"C"	11-12
6	The copy of application to D.C.O.	"D"	13
• 7	Attested copy of the appeal.	"E"	14
8	Attested copy of order dated 18.11.2013.	"F"	15-16
9	Copy of envelope sent by the respondent No.1.	"G"	17
10	Wakalat Nama.		

Dated 04.04.2014

Muhammad Ihsan-uz-Zaman ......Appellant

Through

SARDAR JAVED IQBAL, Advocate High Court, Mansehra.

### BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 48 of 2014

Muhammad Ihsan-uz-Zaman, PST GPS Samal Boot (Bellian) resident of Arbora, Tehsil Oghi District Mansehra ..... Appellant

#### VERSUS

- 1. Director, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Education Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 3. Executive District Officer Education, Mansehra.
- 4. District Co-ordination Officer, Mansehra.

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-IV OF THE
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE
TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 TO COUNT
APPELLANT'S PREVIOUS SERVICE
W.E.F. 09.05.2006 TO 31.08.2009
RENDERED IN POLICE DEPARTMENT
ACCORDING TO THE SERVICE
RULES.

74/14

Respectfully Sheweth!

1. That, the appellant joined police department as a police constable and

served the department for about more than seven years.

(Copy of the appointment order is annexed as annexure "A").

2. That. advertisement an published by E.D.O Education Mansehra asking for application for the post of teachers and the appellant also submitted application for the appointment through proper channel. The application of the appellant was duly processed and after completion of all requisites formalities appellant was appointed as teacher.

(Copy of advertisement and appointment order are annexed as annexure "B" & "C" respectively).

- 3. That, after joining the new post as a teacher the appellant started his duties to best satisfaction of his superiors but while serving as such the appellant came to know that his previous service in police department was not being considered.
- 4. That, appellant submitted diverse application E.D.O Education for the redressal of his grievances but no

action has been taken on said application.

5. That, appellant submitted an application before District Co-Officer, ordination ' Mansehra requesting him to pass an order for counting his previous service but no intimation has been conveyed by the appellate authorities with regard to the fate of his appeal.

(The copy of application is annexed as annexure "D").

6. That, later on, the appellant filed an appeal No.299/11 before this Honourable Court in which 18.11.2013, the said appeal was converted into petition, before him and referred the same to the appellate authority (Respondent No.1) disposal of the same on merits within three months. The appellant handed over the appeal to respondent No.1 but he refused to receive the same and return back the appeal to appellant on 25.03.2014.

(Attested copy of the appeal, order dated 18.11.2013 and envelope sent by the

respondent No.1 are annexed as annexure "E, F & G" respectively).

- 7. That, the conduct of the respondent No.1 clearly shows that they are not interested in redressal of the grievance of the appellant, therefore, the instant appeal is being filed.
- 8. That, the appellant seeks indulgence of this Honourable Court on the following amongst other grounds:-

#### **GROUNDS**

- a. That, the reluctance rather the refusal of respondent No.2 to count his Service rendered in police department against the facts and law.
- b. That, in order to debar the appellant from the Service rendered by him in police department, respondent No.3 has showed cold shoulder in deciding the issue relating to his service.
- c. That, according to FR-26, the service so rendered in other departments shall be counted for the purpose of pension or other benefits.

- d. That, no where in the advertisement it has been mentioned that in case of appointment the previous service will not be counted in the absence of specific indication in the advertisement, it would tantamount to glaring violation of FR-26.
- e. That, the appellant has joined the new assignment/post through proper channel and of the acceptance of his application he was offered the post and started his duties, therefore, he cannot be deprived of the counting of his previous service on any consideration.
- f. That, neither respondent No.3 nor respondent No.4 has applied their independent mind to look into the grievance of appellant and appellant is initiated for the inclusion of his previous service but he deprived of such benefit on one or other pretext which shows malafide on the part of respondent No.3 and respondents No.4.
- g. That, previously in most of the cases education department has counted the previous service of candidates the

proof of which should be produced at the time of arguments.

h. That, the respondent No.1 while refusing from receiving the appeal of the petition has grossly violated the order passed by this Honourable Court and his act comes with the ambit of contempt of court.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed and requested that respondent No.3 may kindly be directed to count the service rendered by appellant in police department.

Dated 04.04.2014

Muhammad Ihsan-uz-Zaman

...Appellant

Through

SARDAR JAVED IQBAL, Advocate High Court, Mansehra.

#### AFFIDAVIT.

I, Muhammad Ihsan-uz-Zaman, PST GPS Samal Boot (Bellian) resident of Arbora, Tehsil Oghi District Mansehra, Appellant, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of this service appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Court.

Dated 04.04.2014

Muhammad Ihsan-uz-Zaman (DEPONENT)

ATTESTED

\* S M Summan Advocate

\*\*TOTARY PUBLIC Manuserro

### BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No	of 2014
Muhammad Ihsan-uz-ZamanA	ppellant

#### **VERSUS**

Director, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar etc......Respondents

#### SERVICE APPEAL

#### **CORRECT ADDRESSES OF THE PARTIES**

#### **APPELLANT**

Muhammad Ihsan-uz-Zaman, PST GPS Samal Boot (Bellian) resident of Arbora, Tehsil Oghi District Mansehra.

#### **RESPONDENTS**

- 1. Director, Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Education Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 3. Executive District Officer Education, Mansehra.
- 4. District Co-ordination Officer, Mansehra.
- 5. District Police Officer, Mansehra.

#### Dated 04.04.2014

Muhammad Ihsan-uz-Zaman ...Appellant

Through

SARDAR JAVED IQBAL, Advocate High Court, Mansehra.

ONSTABULARY NO. ( DITTO (	) in ) in ) in ) in	OF			DIS	STRICT. STRICT.
Name S U S	Futher's Name	Tribe or caste  Village or Town  Post and Telegraph Office	Police Station District Province	Date of Sirth  Height  Chet Versurement	Date of Enrolment	age on kintolment Distinctive harks
Milly thran-us-2	P. C. F. Let Zaman	Palhan Arbore.	Wangehra	12-6-1982	354 - 55 - 554	the con Jely
Verification Roll No.	dated	received back	and attached to the		,	
Service or Department	Rank of Grade	Pay of last Appointmen	Fron To	Years	Period	Days
. 1						
Cause of and character on discharge from above service.			Reference to C above Service service.	orders approving	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
above service.  Agreement.—I understand the provisions of the Agreement of	ct and of the Rules is gree to serve faitfully d understake not to	ssned under	! abové service	orders at proving	7	
Cause of and character on discharge from above service.  Agreement.—I understand d the provisions of the Agreement of the Agreement of the Agreement of the Agreement of approved a certificate of appoint of the Agreement of the	et and of the Rules is gree to serve faitfull d understake not to i pointment issued unde	send under the punder the presignt my a per section 8 of	! abové service	orders at proving	<b>Y</b>	

## LAST PAY CERTIFICATE

٠.	Las	t Pay	, Certif	; icate o	r CONSTA	BLE	MUHAN	MAD	EHSAN	UT ZAP	7 <i>A</i> X
							MANS			,	\$. :
م	horo	ceedi	ng to 🚄	011	GTION	DE	PARTME	NI	MANSE	HRA.	
- 1	٩٧.		i	1			3 - 200				
٤			! llowing	İ				•	·PAY =	3820-	
12	1 000	Shik 1	: 	:				H	RA =	1002-	<del>:</del> ·
), 'h, ', ',	Sw	) Stan	tive Pa	y:—	2925	25				500	
S.	OM	ciati	ng Pay	R/do	271	٠.				681- 100-	
X	Įķ×0	្ ឯង្គ្រា	; ge Comj	pensat	on Allowa	nce:—		•	=	300-	
di	10	41,									•
J	<i>M</i>	, JAV				i	·	-	1 .	379-	1
				1	·					3340-	!
	13	,								775-	l
-	100	ucu	ns:— (x 50)	67.9	P Fund	290/	pp	A - [	)·R-2009	- 764 -	
							IN POLIN	المساد	)		
3.	U.		over (	7-1	67/1911	í, Tá af	His	N CI-HI T, A	-css -		
ο.	лe ·	mad	e over c	imirge 		:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		
	on	the _	i .			:		noon of _			<u> </u>
						i			į		
4.				to be 1	nade from	the pay	of the Gove	rnment s	ervant as	detailed on	the
1	rev	rese.	·  -					•			
5		has revo		d leav	salary as	detailed	below. Dec	luctions l	nave been	maderas no	ted on
		i reve	nse.						f 1	•	
	Fr	om	<u> </u>	1	to]_			_at Rs		a	month
	Fr	om —	1		to_			_at Rs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a	month
	Fr	om =		i	1 -				:		i
	_						Pay E	Allowa	ince of	his va	2nK
6.	Н	is er	uitled t	o drav	the follow	ring;—	,	2	ad the	in	
7.	Н	is al	so enti	led to	 joining tin	e for		al-a	ays.		
8.							l from him t	:	<u>:</u>	lhe beginni	ng of the
0,					d on the re			apos one c			
							; ;			!	
*	-	0 -			•	i .				141	
in	<u>.</u>	18	-1/[		,		•		111	M V	111001
17			C	1		;		Signat	ure: øjstr	lot Police O	/
	,	T ! -	- Y		1		•			X" /	1 .



## Amerer "C

## IVE DISTRICT OFFICER SCHOOLS & LISTERACY MASNEURA

## ORDER

As approved by the Departmental Selection Committee, the competent authority has been pleased to appoint the following PST (TRAINED) BPS-7 (@ Rs.2940-160-7740) plus usual allowances as admissible under the rule & and BPS-9 (@ Rs.3820-230-10720) if FA/FSc Second Division against vacant posts mentioned against each in the interest of Public Service with effect from the date of taking over their charge subject to the following terms & conditions.

Disable 2% quota

Disable 2% quota	Remarks
The state of the s	Union Council   Place of Posting
Name of Candidate Fathers	GPS Hola (H Not)
	CDS Reer But (B Shungh) AVP
Syed Mohsin Ali S Bashir Hussain	CDS Vodar (Sachan)
5/10 11010111111111111111111111111111111	
Shabir Muhammad Shair Muhammao	

()pc	n Merit		<u></u>	Place of Posting	Remarks
· [	Name of Candidate	Father's Name	Union Council		ΔVP —
No ¦	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S Darbar Shah	Chatter Plain	GPS Dambori (C/P)	AVP
] i	Fakhraj Hussain	Noshar	Kathai .	GPS Shahtoot (Dilbori) GPS Sathan Gali (H Kot)	ΛVP
7	Hukum Dad Liagat Khan	Wilayat Khan	Battal	GPS Sathar Gur (Sachan)	AVP
, ,	Rasheed Ahmed	Muhammad Irian	Garlat Bherkund	GPS Beer But (B Shungh)	ΛVP
 5 ·	Wahab Shagdi	Muhammad Hilal	Mansehra Deh	GPS Neel Batla (B Shungh)	AVP
	Jahanzeb	Malik Aman	Oghi	GPS Samal Boot (Bellian)	AVP
7	Muhammad Ihsan	Badi uz Zaman Saced Akhter	Balakot .	GPS Rathi (Bhogermang)	AVP
8	Zahid Hussain	Abdul Qaddus	S Mahazullah	GPS Rathi (Bhogermang) GPS Chotta Bala (J Devli)	AVP
Ģ.	Adil Hussaih Abdul Majid	Aurangzeb	Laber Kot	GPS Serian (Karori)	ÄVP
10.	Shafqat Shah	Akhter H Shah	Dilbori	GPS Bai Payeen (Ichrian)	VAN,
11	Munic Hussain	Muhammad Anwar	i Inayat abad	GPS Gallian (Parhinna)	AVP
12. 13.	Yar Muhammad	Abdul Wali	Malik Pur Darband	GPS Khand Garan	AVP
14	Muhammad Kamran	Muhammad Ramzan	Darvand	(Shanaya)	AVP -
		Muhammad Habib	Bellian	GPS Chajri Payeen (Bellian)	
15	Quicer Hamced	Abdul Sattar	B Shungli	GPS Nikka Pani (Nikka Pani)	AVP
16	Muhammad Miskeen	Muhammad Yaqoob	Shergarh	GPS Poodnial (Shergarh)	AVP
17	Muhammad Arif	Munsif Shah	Shamdhara	GPS Sathan Gali (H Kot) GPS Kodar (Sachan)	AVP
18	Rifaqat Ali Shah	Khan Muhammad	Shamdhara	GPS Kodar (Sachan)	AVP
19 .20	I Shoaib Alam	Farced Khan	Dilbori	GPS Dosum (II Kot)	AVP
u	Muhammad Aslam	Said Khan .	Hilkot .	GPS Arbora (Oghi)	AVP
<b>}</b>	Muhammad Khalid	Asar Khan	Oghi Battal	GPS Jemung (Battal)	AVP
23	Khilafat Hussain	Aziz ur Rehman	Hamsherian	GPS Chatta (B Shungli)	AVP.
2.1	Qamar Zaman	Atta ur Rehman	Balakot	GMPS Kala Jamal Mera	AVP
25	Maysood Ahmed	Raja		(Kaghan)	AVP
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Munawar Khan	Dhodial	GPS Chungari (1 Devli)	AVP
26	Sabir Hussain	Muhammad Nawaz	Garlat	GPS Doodh Kot (Shanaya)	AVP
27 28		Muhammad Miskeer	Attershisha	GPS Dabar Katha (Jabori)	

Union Council Wise 75%

Union Council Wise 1576					
S No Same of Candidate  1 Dater Sadjq 2 Maiik Junaid 3 Khalid Mehmood 4 Mohammad Mushtaq 5 Ingran Shah	Father's Name Mohammad irfan Malik M Bashir Abdul Haleem Abdul Ghani Rehmat Shah Masood Ul haq	Attershisa Attershisa	Place of Posting  GPS Pehlwan  GPS Pehlwan  GPS Baffa Mera 1  GPS Baffa Mera 1  GPS Chamrasi  GPS Shungli	Remarks AVP AVP AVP AVP AVP AVP AVP	

	_	
1.	.1	
u	1	
V		

					盤.
158	Shafiq Ur Rehman	Abdur rasheed	T/Sabir Shah	GPS Nokot	AVP
159	Bilal Ahmed	Muhammod Marrof	T/Sabir Shah	GPS Tarangri Bala	AVP
160	Tanweer ul Islam	Ghulam Rabbani	Tanda	GPS BelaTanda	AVP &
161	Farukh Mchmood	Muhammod Saleem	Tanda	GPS Bajna	AVP

#### TERMS & CONDITIONS.

- His appointment is made on purely temporary basis and liable to termination at any stage without assigning any reason/notice.
  - He will be governed by such rules and regulations enforce and as may be prescribed by the Govt: from time to time for the category of the Govt: servant which they belong.
  - In case any of the above candidate failed to assume the charge of his post within 15 days of his appointment, candidature ship will be stand automatically cancelled.
  - The DDO concerned is responsible to get verified their certificates etc from the concerned Universities/Board & RDE etc before the drawl of their pay and report genuineness of their degrees/Certificates or otherwise.
  - Ile will get initial of the scale including usual allowances as admissible under the rules, they are entitle to annual increment according to the rules except Pension and commutation.
  - 6 His services are liable to termination on one month prior notice from either side in case of resignation without prior notice, their one month pay /allowances if any shall be forfeited to Govt.
  - 7. He will not contribute any amount towards GP Fund however they will contribute CP Fund @Rs,5% of the minimum of the pay and the 5% contribution will be made by the Govt.
  - He shall be required to furnish the copies of all their certificates/Degrees along with original with the original receipt and photo copies of thereof pertaining to the verification fee of the concerned examination Agencies i.e. Board/University to the District officer S & L. The District officer S & L shall arrange verification of all the certificates/Degrees of the appointee and will issue a clearance certificates to each appointee for the release of his pay. Their pay bills should not be submitted to the District Account officer Mansehra before verification of all certificates/Degree from the concerned institution of each candite.
  - 9 He should produce age and health certificate from the Medical Supdit: DHQ, Hospital Manschra.
  - 10 The DDO must check their original certificates/Degrees etc.
  - The overage candidates should not be handed over charge, The age limit is 18 to 35 years.
  - 12 No TA/DA is allowed.
  - 13 Charge report should be submitted (in duplicate) to all concerned.
- The Candidates are directed to take over charge w.c.f. 01/09/2009.

Muliammad Javed
EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER
E&S EDUCATION MANSEHRA.

Endosement No 15648 / PTC(M) apptt: 2009, Dated Mansehra the 22 / 0 8 / 200

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to the:-

- 1. Secretary to Government of NWFP S&L Department Peshawar.
- 2. Director Schools & Lit:Department NWFP Peshawar.
- 3 Dy:District Officer (M) Manschra
- 4. District Account officer Mansehra.
- Budget & Account officer local office.
- 6 Candidates concerned.

DISTRICT OFFICER (MALE) E&S EDUCATION MANSEHRA.

4. Ashiq Hussein GPS Baseqi Dhodial

Jumshid Khan GPS Chitta Batta Manschra

6. Aquel Ahmad GPS Hussainia Mansehra



₹ To

The Director E&SE,

KPK, Peshawar.

Subject:

#### **Counting of Previous Police Service**

Respectfully Stated:

- 1. That the appellant had field an appeal titled Muhammad Ihsan-Uz-Zaman vs Secretary Education Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar etc. Before the service tribunal KPK, Peshawar and said was treated as departmental appeal and was sent to this office for decision with in 90 days.
- 2. That as per order of the tribunal the copy of the appeal might have been sent to this office but as a matter of precaution I am submitting the copy of the tribunal for consideration in Accordance with the order of Service Tribunal KPK, Peshawar. (attested copy of the said order dated: 18-11-2013) is hereby annexed.

Thanking you in anticipation.

Dated: 19-12-2013

Your Faithfully,

Muhammad Ihsan-Uz-Zaman

GPS Arbora Mansehra.

Signature <u>fin</u>

Ameranu F"

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTOONKHAWA, PESHAWAR

Appeal No.

Muhammad Ihsan-Uz-Zaman, P.S.T GPS Samal Boot (Bellian) R. P. R. Appellant Appellant Appellant Research Appellant Research Appellant Research Resea

235

VERSUS

- Secretary Education Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar.
- 2. E.D.O Education Mansehra.
- 3. D.C.O Mansehra.
- 4. District Police Officer, Mansehra......Respondents

APPEAL UNDER KHYBER PAKHTOONKHAWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 TO COUNT APPELLANT'S PREVIOUS SERVICE W.E.F. 09.05.2006 TO 31.08.2009 RENDERED IN POLICE DEPARTMENT ACCORDING TO THE SERVICE RULES.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. That the appellant joined police department as a police constable and served the department for about more than three years. (Copy of appointment order is annexed as Annexure "A").

2. That an advertisement was published by E.D.O Education Mansehra asking for application for the post of teachers and the appellant also submitted application for the appointment through proper channel. The application of the appellant was duly processed and after completion of all requisites formalities appellant was appointed as teacher. (Copy of advertisement and appointment order are

annexed as Annexure "B" & "C" respectively).

18.11.2013

Number of Year

Date of Compicion of Cary

Counsel for the appellant and Mr.Sakinullah, ADO on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 with Mr.Muhammad Tahir Autangzeb, G.P. for the respondents present. Representative of the respondents produced copies of service record of Ashiq Hussain appellant in the connected appeal, and preliminary arguments heard.

At the outset of arguments, it was pointed out to the learned counsel for the appellant that a service appeal lies to the Tribunal under section 4 of the NWFP (KPK) Service Tribunal Act, 1974 only when a civil servant is aggrieved by any final order, whether original or appellate, made by a departmental authority in respect of any of the terms and conditions of his service; but in this case neither there is any final order, original or appellate, nor a departmental appeal in terms of the above referred law has been made available by the appellant so far. The appellant has placed on file a simple joint application dated 13.10.2010 of the appellant and other appellants in the connected appeals to the DCO Manschra for counting of previous police service, which was referred by the DCO to the EDO (Education); and when no action was taken on the application, this appeal was lodged for counting previous service of the appellant rendered by him in the Police Department.

The learned counsel, in view of the above situation, prayed for treating this appeal as a departmental appeal and referring the same to the appellate authority (now Director, E&SE, KPK, Peshawar) for disposal of the same on merits. In view of the predicament being faced by the appellant due to inaction on the part of the respondent-department on his application moved for the purpose of counting his previous service, the request of the learned counsel for the appellant is accepted and while treating service appeal as a departmental appeal, the same is referred to the appellate authority i.e. Director, E&SE, KPK, Peshawar for decision on merits within reasonable time but not later than three months of the receipt of this order; where-after, if the appellant still feels aggrieved of the order of the appellate authority, he may have recourse to the remedy available to him under the law. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with no order as to costs. File be consigned to the receipt.

ANNOUNCED 18.11.2013

Khyba Service Kibunai,

nts

ì.

١٠٠

રે(તે •

admad

# وكالم

بدالت بناب سرول مر بمرون م فيراحان زمان مندرجہ بالاعنوان میں اپی طرف سے پیروی وجواب دای بنام مح<u>ام معر کمنیا) امیم مرسم</u> الم مسكر مسكر مسكر بدس شرط وكيل مقرركيا بي من جربيثي يرخود يابذريعه مختار خاص روبر وعدالت عاضر موتار مول گااور بوقت بکارے جانے پروکیل صاحب موصوف کواطلاع دیکر حاضر کروں گااگر کسی پیشی پرمظبر حاضر نہ ہوااور غیر حاضری کی دجہ سے کسی طور پر مقدمہ میرے خلاف ہو گیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدرمقام کچبری کےعلاوہ کسی اور جگہ کچبری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یابروز تعطیل بیروی کرنے کے مجازنہ ہول گے۔اگر مقدمہ مقام کچہری کے کسی اور جگہ ساعت ہونے پر بروز کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے یا پیچیے ہونے پر مظہر کو کوئی

نقصان بہنج تو ذمہ داریا اس کے واسطے کسی معاوضہ ادا کرنے ، مختار ناسہ واپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ <u>ہو تگے کہ مجھے کل ساختہ پر داختہ صاحب مثل کر دہ ذات خود منظور وقبول ہوگا اور صاحب موصوف کوعرضی دعویٰ اور درخواست</u> اجراء ڈگری ونظر ثانی ایل مگرانی دائر کرنے نیز روپیہ وصول کرنے اور رسید دینے اور داخل کرنے کا ہوتم کا بیان دینے اور سیرد ٹالٹی دراضی نامہ و فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے وا قبال دعویٰ کا اختیار ہوگا اور بصورت اپیل وبرآ مدگی مقدمہ یامنسوخی ڈ گری پکطرفہ درخواست تمم امتناعی یا دُکری قبل از فیصله اجرائے دُگری بھی صاحب موصوف کوبشرط ادائیگی علیحد ، بیروی مخار نامه کرنے کا مجاز ہوگااوربصورت ضرورت اپیل یا پیل کے واسطے کسی دوسرے دکیل یا بیرسٹر کو بجائے اپنے ہمراہ مقررہ کریں اورالیے مشیر تانونی کوہمی اس امریس وہی اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے جیسے صاحب موصوف کو بوری فیس تاریخ بیش سے بہلے ادانہ کرول گا تو صاحب موضوف کو بورا اختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی بیروی نہ کریں اور ایسی حالت میں میرا مطالبہ صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔ لہذا مختار نامد لکھ دیا ہے کہ بیسندر ہے۔مضمون مختار نامین لیا ہے اور اچھی طرح سمجھ لیا ہے اور منظور ہے۔

.<u>₹</u>/

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

### Service Appeal No.481/2014

Muhamm	nad Ihsan-u-2	Zaman		PETIT	IONER
1		<u>VERISUS</u>			
Director -	E&SE	Department	KPK	Peshawar	and
others			•••••	RESPONĎ	ENTS

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 OF KHYBER PAKHTOONKHWA

### **INDEX**

## **Writ Petition**

S.No	Description documents	of	Annexure	Pages		
1.	Comments	of		1-3		1
	Respondents					• ;
2.	Affidavit			4	,	1.1

## Before Khyber Pakhunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar.

#### Appeal No 481/2014

Muhammad Ihsan uz Zaman.....Appellant

#### **VERISUS**

- 1.Director, Elementarry and Secondary Education Peshawar
- 2.Secretary (E & SE) KPK, Peshawar
- 3. Executive District Officer Education (M) Mansehra
- 4.District Co-Ordination Officer, Mansehra
- 5. District Police officer Mansehra ......Respondents

#### **Service Appeal**

## PARA WISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPENDENTS No.1,2 & 3 AS UNDER:-

#### **PRELIMINERY OBJECTIONS.**

- 1. That the Appellant is not aggrieved person.
- 2. That the Appellant has got no cause of action/locus standi to file the instant appeal.
- 3. That the appeal is not maintainable in the present circumstances of the issue.
- 4. That the appellant has concealed the material facts from Honorable Service Tribunal.
- 5. That the appeal is time barred and not maintainable in eye of Law.
- 6. That the appeal is groundless and based on malafide, hence the same is liable to be dismissed.
- 7. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct.
- 8. That the appeal is against the fact, prevailing rules and Law.

#### **FACTUAL OBJECTIONS.**

- 1. No comments.
- 2. In -correct. The appellant did not apply through proper channel and did not provide any NOC or permission from Police Deptt. The appellant applied for the PST post in Education Deptt Mansehra as a fresh candidate on simple application along with necessary academic documents.
- 3. No comments
- 4. In-correct.
- 5. No comments
- 6. In-correct.Need to proof.
- 7. In-correct
- 8. The appeal is against the facts, prevailing rules and Law and liable to be dismissed.

#### <u>GROUNDS</u>

- A. In-correct .The appellant neither appealed to respondent No.2, and nor the respondent refused .
- B. In- correct .Para B is incorrect ,the appellant did not apply through proper channel as per rules.
- C. Correct Para C is correct, if any employee apply through proper channel with the permission of his/her parent Deptt.
- D. Para D is incorrect, all the Govt employees were bound to apply through proper channel to avail this benefit.
- E. Para E is incorrect, the appellant did not apply through proper channel. Hence does not deserve the counting of Police services.
- F. In-correct

- G. Correct .Para G is correct, services of the all those employees counted who joined Education Department after observing all the legal and codal formalities.
- H. Incorrect, the appellant has no right to file an appeal before KP Service Tribunal Peshawar that the respondents has further solid/genuine ground will be given at the time of Arguments.

#### PRAYER.

It is therefore humbly prayed that this Honorable Service Tribunal may very graciously dismiss the appeal with cost.

Respondent 1 to 3.....

1. The Director (E & SE)KPK - 1. The Secretary (E & SE) KPK,

3. The DEO(M) Mansehra-

## **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Abdullah District Education Officer (Male) Mansehra, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the Reply in service appeal No.481/2014 of titled Muhammad Ihsan u Zaman versus Govt; is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Court.

DISTRICTED CATION OFFICER

(MALE) MANSEHRA

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

### Service Appeal No.481/2014

Muhamr	nad Ihsan-u	Zaman	,	PETIT	IONER	
	!	VERISUS				
Director	E&SE	Department	KPK	Peshawar	and	
others		************		RÉSPOND	ENTS	
•				•	•	

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 OF KHYBER PAKHTOONKHWA

## **INDEX**

### Writ Petition.

	S.No		$\overline{f}$	Annexure	Pages
		documents			
ĺ	1.	Comments o	f		1-3
		Respondents			
	2.	Affidavit	,		4
	<u> </u>			1	

# Before Khyber Pakhunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar.

## Appeal No 481/2014

		•	Annollant
7/ Lamanad	Theon 117	Zaman	Appellant
Minaminau	IllSall uz	ZJELIALECTION	*****

#### **VERISUS**

- 1.Director, Elementarry and Secondary Education Peshawar
- 2.Secretary (E & SE) KPK ,Peshawar
- 3. Executive District Officer Education (M) Mansehra
- 4.District Co-Ordination Officer, Mansehra
- 5. District Police officer Mansehra ......Respondents

#### Service Appeal

## PARA WISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPENDENTS No.1,2 & 3 AS UNDER:-

## PRELIMINERY OBJECTIONS.

- 1. That the Appellant is not aggrieved person.
- 2. That the Appellant has got no cause of action/locus standi to file the instant appeal.
- 3. That the appeal is not maintainable in the present circumstances of the issue.
- 4. That the appellant has concealed the material facts from Honorable Service Tribunal.
- 5. That the appeal is time barred and not maintainable in eye of Law.
- 6. That the appeal is groundless and based on malafide, hence the same is liable to be dismissed.
- 7. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct.
- 8. That the appeal is against the fact, prevailing rules and Law.

#### FACTUAL OBJECTIONS.

- 1. No comments.
- 2. In -correct. The appellant did not apply through proper channel and did not provide any NOC or permission from Police Deptt. The appellant applied for the PST post in Education Deptt Mansehra as a fresh candidate on simple application along with necessary academic documents.
- 3. No comments
- 4. In-correct.
- 5. No comments
- 6. In-correct.Need to proof.
- 7. In-correct
- 8. The appeal is against the facts, prevailing rules and Law and liable to be dismissed.

#### **GROUNDS**

- A. In-correct .The appellant neither appealed to respondent No.2, and nor the respondent refused .
- B. In- correct Para B is incorrect ,the appellant did not apply through proper channel as per rules.
- C. Correct Para C is correct, if any employee apply through proper channel with the permission of his/her parent Deptt.
- D. Para D is incorrect, all the Govt employees were bound to apply through proper channel to avail this benefit.
- E. Para E is incorrect, the appellant did not apply through proper channel. Hence does not deserve the counting of Police services.
- F. In-correct

- G. Correct Para G is correct, services of the all those employees counted who joined Education Department after observing all the legal and codal formalities.
- has no right to file an appeal before KP H. Incorrect, the appellant Service Tribunal Peshawar that the respondents has solid/genuine ground will be given at the time of Arguments.

## PRAYER.

It is therefore humbly prayed that this Honorable Service Tribunal may very graciously dismiss the appeal with cost.

Respondent 1to 3.....

1.The Director (E & SE)KPK-//-

2.The Secretary (E & SE) KPK,

3.The DEO(M) Mansehra-

## **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Abdullah District Education Officer (Male) Mansehra, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the Reply in service appeal No.481/2014 of titled Muhammad Ihsan u Zaman versus Govt; is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Court.

DISTRICTED CATION OFFICER
(MALE) MANSEHRA

#### BEFORE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Muhammad Ehsan-uz-Zaman ......Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

Director Elementary and Secondary Education, Peshawar and others .......Respondents.

#### **SERVICE APPEAL**

## REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT

Respectfully Sheweth!

#### PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.

- 1. Para No.1 of the preliminary objection is incorrect. The appellant is an aggrieved person.
- 2. Para No.2 of the preliminary objection is incorrect. Appellant has got cause of action to file the instant appeal.
- 3. Para No.3 of the preliminary objection is incorrect. The appeal is maintainable in its present form.
- 4. Para No.4 of the preliminary objection is incorrect. The appellant has never concealed any fact from this Honourable tribunal.
- 5. Para No.5 of the preliminary objection is incorrect. The appeal is never time barred nor any thing has been pint pointed by the respondents in this regard.
- 6. Para No.6 of the preliminary objection is incorrect. Neither any malafide has been

urged at the bar nor discernible from the record.

- 7. Para No.7 of the preliminary objection is incorrect. Estopple does not attract to the appeal in hand.
- 8. Para No.8 of the preliminary objection is incorrect. Instant appeal has been filed in accordance with relevant law, rules and regulations.

#### **FACTUAL OBJECTIONS.**

- 1. Para No.1 of the comments needs no reply.
- 2. Para No.2 of the comments is incorrect. The appellant duly applied for the post in hand in accordance with relevant law, rules and regulations and also submitted NOC from the department with the application that's why the mother/police department relieved the appellant and after fulfilling the legal and codal formalities and requisite test/interview, the appellant was appointed in Education Department.
- 3. Para No.3 of the comments needs no reply. Whereas the para No.3 of the appeal is correct.
- 4. Para No.4 of the comments is incorrect whereas the para No.5 of the appeal is correct.
- Para No.5 of the comments needs no reply.
   Whereas the para No.5 of the appeal is correct.
- 6. Para No.6 of the comments is incorrect whereas the para No.6 of the appeal is correct

- and all the relevant record has been annexed with the appeal by the appellant.
- 7. Para No.7 of the comments is incorrect. As the respondents' conducted was clearly that they are not going to redress the grievances of the appellant, therefore, the instant appeal has been filed by the appellant for redressal of his grievances which is liable to be accepted.
- 8. Para No.8 of the comments is incorrect. Whereas the para No.8 of the appeal is correct. Instant appeal has been filed in accordance with the relevant law, rules and regulations.

#### **GROUNDS**

- Para No.(a) of the grounds is incorrect. The appellant filed an appeal before respondent No.1 according to rules and regulations which is available on the file as annexure "E" and it was the duty of the respondent No.1 to inform the respondent No.2 regarding the said appeal. Furthermore, as the appeal is well in the knowledge of the respondent No.2, therefore, he was duty bound to do the needful in accordance with law, rules and regulations but despite the knowledge of instant appeal, the respondent No.2 has not done any needful in this regard which amounts refusal.
- b. Para No.(b) of the grounds is incorrect. The appellant applied through proper channel

and the appellant also annexed NOC from police department with his application for appointment that's why the Parent/police department relieved the services of the appellant and after due test/interview and fulfillment of legal and codal formalities, the appellant was posted in Education Department.

- c. In reply to Para No.(c) of the grounds it is submitted that the appellant has applied through proper channel with duly permission/NOC from his parent department. Similarly, other employees who applied through their parent department have been enjoying their benefits but the respondents are refusing the same to the appellant in a sheer malafide and discriminatory manner.
- d. Para No.(d) of the comments is incorrect whereas para No.(d) of the appeal is correct.
- e. Para No.(e) of the comments is incorrect whereas the para No.(e) of the appeal is correct. The appellant is entitled for the counting of period of police service.
- f. Para No.(f) of the comments is incorrect whereas the para No.(f) of the appeal is correct.
- g. In reply to para No.(g) of the comments it is submitted that the petitioner has fulfilled all the legal and codal formalities and deserves the counting of his period during which he served the police department like those employee who were accommodated by the respondents as law and constitution favour equal treatment with all the employees.

h. Para No.(h) of the comments is incorrect whereas the para No.(h) of the appeal is correct.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may please be accepted and the respondent No.3 may kindly be directed to count the service rendered by appellant in police department.

Dated 15.04.2017

Muhammad Ehsan-uz-Zaman ....Appellant

Through

SARDAR JAVED IQBAL, Advocate High Court, Mansehra.

#### AFFIDAVIT.

I, Muhammad Ehsan-uz-Zaman, appellant, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the foregoing rejoinder are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Dated 15.04.2017

TESTED

Alan

Muhammad Ehsan-uz-Zaman (DEPONENT)