


Form- A  
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of \_\_\_\_\_

Execution Petition No. 427/2022

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	28.07.2022	<p>The execution petition of Muhammad Arif submitted today by Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please. This execution petition be put up before Single Bench at Peshawar on <u>5-8-22</u>. Original file be requisitioned. Notices to the parties be also issued for the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL**  
**PESHAWAR**

Execution Petition No. 427 /2022  
In Service Appeal No.4053/2020

Muhammad Arif

V/S

Education Department

-----  
**INDEX**

S. No.	Documents	Annexure	P. No.
01	Memo of Execution Petition	-----	01-03
02	Copies of order dated 26.01.2009 and memo of service appeal	A&B	04-09
03	Copy of judgment dated 14.09.2021	C	10-15
04	Copy of order 18.10.2021	D	16
10	Vakalat Nama	-----	17

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

  
(TAIMUR ALI KHAN)  
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT  
PESHAWAR

Contact No. 03339390916

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,  
PESHAWAR.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 844

Dated 28/07/2022

Execution Petition No. 427 /2022.  
In Service Appeal No.4053/2020

Muhammad Arif, PST,  
GPS, Sikandar Janubi No.1 Paroa, District D.I.Khan.

**PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Director Elementary and Secondary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. District Education Officer, (Male) Dera Ismail Khan.
4. The Deputy District Officer (Male) Elementary and Secondary Education, Dera Ismail Khan.

**RESPONDENTS**

.....  
**EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE  
RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE  
JUDGMENT DATED 14.09.2021 OF THIS  
HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND  
SPIRIT.**  
.....

**RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:**

1. That the petitioner has filed service appeal No.4053/2020 in the Honorable Tribunal against the impugned suspension order dated 26.01.2009 passed by respondent No.3, whereby the petitioner was suspended with effect from 30.07.2007 and against the in action vide which the respondents are not acting in accordance with law for entertaining the appeal/representation of the appellant vide dated 16.05.2019 for reinstatement of the appellant in the department with

all back benefits. **(Copies of order dated 26.01.2009 and memo of service appeal are attached as Annexure-A&B)**

2. The said appeal was heard by this Honourable Service Tribunal on 14.09.2021. The Honorable Service Tribunal allowed the appeal by setting aside the impugned order and the petitioner was reinstated in service with all back benefits. **(Copy of judgment dated 14.09.2021 is attached as Annexure-C)**
3. That in the compliance of judgment dated 14.09.2021, the petitioner was reinstated into service vide order dated 18.10.2021, but with immediate effect and without back benefits, which means that the judgment dated 14.09.2021 of this Honorable was not implemented in true letter and spirit as the Honorable Service Tribunal set aside the impugned suspension order dated 26.01.2009 of the petitioner and reinstated him into service with all back benefits by the accepting the appeal of the petitioner on 14.09.2021. **(Copy of order dated 18.10.2021 is attached as Annexure-D)**
4. That as the Honorable Service Tribunal set aside the impugned suspension order dated 26.01.2009 of the petitioner and reinstated him in service with all back benefits in its judgment dated 14.09.2021, therefore the petitioner is entitle to be reinstated with effect from 30.07.2007 i.e the date on which the petitioner was suspended and also entitle for back benefits.
5. That not implementing the judgment dated 14.09.2021 in its true letter and spirit of this Honorable Service Tribunal by the respondents by reinstating the petitioner in service from the date of suspension i.e 30.07.2007 with all back benefits is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
6. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the department is legally bound to implement the judgment dated 14.09.2021 of this Honorable Service Tribunal in its letter and spirit.
7. That the petitioner has having no other remedy except to file this execution petition for fully implementation of judgment dated 11.01.2022 of this Honorable Tribunal.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the judgment dated 14.09.2021 of this Honorable Service Tribunal in its true letter and spirit by reinstating the petitioner into his service with effect from 30.07.2007 with all back benefits. Any other remedy, which this august Service Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favour of petitioner.

*M. Arif*

**PETITIONER**  
Muhammad Arif

**THROUGH:**


*(Signature)*

**(TAIMUR ALI KHAN)**  
**ADVOCATE HIGH COURT**  
**PESHAWAR**

**AFFIDAVIT**

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the execution petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

*M. Arif*  
**DEPONENT**



"Anex A"

(4) (9)

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER (E/S) EDUCATION DIKHAN.  
SUSPENSION.


Mr. Muhammed Arif PTC GPS: Thoke Mahey Tehsil Paroa is hereby suspended w.e.f. 30-7-2007 in police case vide FIR No. 111/07 dated 30-7-2007 as intimated by Dy: District Officer (M) Paroa vide his No. 45 dated 20-5-2008 and No. 152 dated 29.9.2008.

Sd/-

Executive District Officer  
Ele/Secondary Edu: DIKHAN.

Endst No. 910-12 dated DIKHAN the 26/01/2009.  
Copy to the -

- 1- The Dy: District Officer (M) Paroa w/r to his No. 194 dated 7-11-2008.
- 2- The District Account Officer, DIKHAN.
- 3- The District Co-ordination Officer, DIKHAN.
- 4- ~~XXXX~~

  
Executive District Officer  
Ele/Secondary Edu: DIKHAN.

4-A (10)

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER (E/S) EDUCATION DIKHAN**

**SUSPENSION**

Mr. Muhammad Arif etc GPS; Jhok mahey Tehsil Parova is hereby suspended w.e.f 30/07/2007 in police case wide FIR NO.117/07 dated 30/07/2007 as intimated by Dy District Officer(M) Parova vide his number 45 dated 20/05/2008 and No.152 dated 29/09/2008.

Sd/-

Executive District officer  
Ele/Secondary Edu; Dikhan.

Endst No.910-12 dated DIKhan 26/01/2009 .

Copy to the ;

1. The Deputy District Officer (M) Parova w/r to his no.194 dated 07/11/2008.
2. The District Account Officer, DIKhan.
3. The District Coordination Officer, DIKhan.

Sd/-

Executive District officer  
Ele/Secondary Edu; Dikhan.

Better Copy  
D. Asu

B (5) (4)

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE**  
**TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

Service Appeal No. \_\_\_\_\_/2020

**Muhammad Arif Ex PTC, Government Primary School,  
Jhok Mahey, Tehsil Paraova District Dera Ismail Khan.**

**(Appellant)**

**VERSUS**

1. Government Of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary elementary/ secondary education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Director elementary/ secondary education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
3. Executive District Officer(M), elementary/ secondary education, Dera Ismail Khan.
4. The Deputy District Officer(M), elementary/ secondary education, Parova, Dera Ismail Khan.

**(RESPONDENTS)**

**SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974, AGAINST THE IMPUGNED SUSPENSION ORDER NO. Endst. No.910-12, DIKhan DATED 26/01/2009 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO. 3 AND AGAINST THE IN ACTION VIDE WHICH THE RESPONDENTS ARE NOT ACTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW FOR ENTERTAINING THE APPEAL/REPRESENTATION OF THE APPELLANT VIDE DAIRY NO.5531 DATED 16/05/2019 FOR THE RE INSTATEMENT OF THE APPELLANT IN THE DEPARTMENT WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS.**

\_\_\_\_\_



**Prayer:**

On acceptance of the instant appeal and by setting aside suspension order no. Endst. No.910-12, Dikhan dated 26/01/2009 passed by the respondent no. 3 with the directions to the respondents to reinstate the appellant into his services with all back benefits.

**Respectfully Sheweth;**

1. That the petitioner was appointed as PTC teacher in the respondents department in the year 1986.
2. That during the services, the petitioner did not leave any stones unturned towards his high ups.
3. That unfortunately in the year 2007, the petitioner was charged in FIR No.111, dated 30/07/2007 registered u/s 365-A and 395-PPC, in police station, Wahwa, District Dera Ghazi Khan.
4. That the appellant was sent to jail and in this respect the respondent no.3 issued the Impugned suspension order No.910-12 dated 26/01/2009 of the appellant and resultantly the appellant was suspended. Copy of the impugned suspension order along with its better copy is annexed as Annexure-A.
5. That later the appellant was acquitted from the charges leveled against him by the August Supreme Court of Pakistan. Copy of the Judgment of August Supreme Court of Pakistan dated 06/03/2019 is annexed as Annexure-B.
6. That due to the break of central jail DIKhan, the accused was also booked in the FIR NO.742 dated 10/10/2013 u/s 224/225-B, PPC and the accused was also acquitted in the said case on 15/04/2019. Copy of the order of acquittal dated 15/04/2019 is annexed as Annexure-C.

7. That after release from the jail on 02/05/2019, the appellant submitted the departmental representation/appeal to the high ups vide application dated 16/05/2019. Copy of application of dated 16/05/2019 is annexed as **Annexure-D.**
8. That in correspondence and consequences upon the departmental representation/appeal of the appellant, the respondent no.3 sent letter no.60/DEO(M) dated 01/01/2020 to the respondent no.2 for obtaining the legal opinion along with the check list and the said letter along with the check list was forwarded to respondent no.1 by the respondent no.2 vide letter no.1947 dated 20/02/2020 and the respondent no.1 further forwarded the letter to the litigation section <sup>SON & ASC</sup> legal opinion vide letter no.87 dated 06/03/2020 and up till now no further progress have been made out by the respondents for the reinstatement of the appellant. Copy of letter no.60 dated 06/01/2020 along with its better copy of and check list is annexed as **Annexure-E.**
9. That feeling aggrieved with the impugned orders dated 26/01/2009 passed by respondent no.3 and in action by respondents by not reinstating the services of the appellant with back benefits upon the appeal/representation of the appellant, the appellant is having no other remedy except to knock at the doors of this honorable forum on the following grounds.

### **G R O U N D S**

- a. That the impugned office order No. 910-12 dated 26/01/2009 passed by respondent no.3 is illegal, against the natural justice, ulterior motives, based on discrimination and ineffective upon the rights of the Appellant.

- b. That the impugned transfer order is based on discrimination as the impugned order of the Appellant is totally based on mala fide, arbitrary, against the cannon of justice, equity and fair-play. Thus the impugned transfer order is liable to be cancelled.
- c. That it is an interesting factor that the appellant was once suspended vide impugned letter no.910-12 dated 26/01/2009 and later no further extension for the suspension of the appellant was issued which is a clear illegality duly committed by the respondents in the case of appellant.
- d. That now it is settled law that once the suspension letter is issued then the same is expired after 90 days and the respondents did not issue the further suspension letters of the appellant which is an illegality on the part of respondents. Copy of Service Book of the appellant is annexed as Annexure-F.
- e. That it is also very interesting that after the impugned letter dated 26/01/2009, the respondents did not issue the further suspension letters as well as even no termination letter of appellant was ever issued by the respondents and this aspect was also mentioned by respondent no.3 in the check list.
- f. That the respondents landed into the field of errors because it was mandatory for the respondents to issue the new suspension letter after 90 days failing which after the 90 days, the suspension period become automatically in operative and the respondents did not bother to care this and thus committed illegality and resultantly the impugned order is against the law.
- g. That it is pertinent to mention here the respondents did not care about the dictums already laid down in the

D. Asc

9 5

judgments citations, "2013 SCMR 752, PLC 2019, CS 255" wherein it is categorically mentioned that if any employee is reinstated in services, he will be reinstated with all back benefits, further all in cases of acquittal the department is legally bound to reinstate the services of the employee.

- h. That the Appellant is the victim of unlawful and illegal Act of the Respondents and such a mala fide act on the part of Respondents is against the law and rules, without jurisdiction and lawful authority, against the natural justice misuse of official powers and is the outcome of victimization known by the respondents and it has caused an immense mental torture and agony to the appellant.
- i. That any further grounds if will be needed will be agitated during the course of arguments.

**It is therefore, On acceptance of the instant appeal and by setting aside suspension order no. Endst. No.910-12, Dikhan dated 26/01/2009 passed by the respondent no. 3 with the directions to the respondents to reinstate the appellant into his services with all back benefits.**

Dated: /\_\_\_/2020

Your Humble Appellant

M. Arif

Muhammad Arif

Through Counsel

Burhan Latif Khaisori  
Advocate Supreme Court  
Of Pakistan

9  
10



**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE**

**TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

Service Appeal No. 4053 /2020

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 3394

Dated 5-5-2020

**Muhammad Arif Ex PTC, Government Primary School,  
Jhok Mahey, Tehsil Paraova District Dera Ismail Khan.**

**(Appellant)**

**VERSUS**

1. Government Of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary elementary/ secondary education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Director elementary/ secondary education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
3. Executive District Officer(M), elementary/ secondary education, Dera Ismail Khan.
4. The Deputy District Officer(M), elementary/ secondary education, Parova, Dera Ismail Khan.

**(RESPONDENTS)**

**SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974, AGAINST THE IMPUGNED SUSPENSION ORDER NO. Endst. No.910-12, DIKhan DATED 26/01/2009 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO. 3 AND AGAINST THE IN ACTION VIDE WHICH THE RESPONDENTS ARE NOT ACTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW FOR ENTERTAINING THE APPEAL/REPRESENTATION OF THE APPELLANT VIDE DAIRY NO.5531 DATED 16/05/2019 FOR THE RE INSTATEMENT OF THE APPELLANT IN THE DEPARTMENT WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS.**

Filed to-day  
Registrar  
05/05/2020

ASL

(11)

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL,**  
**PESHAWAR.**

Service Appeal No. 4053/2020

Date of Institution ... 05.05.2020

Date of Decision ... 14.09.2021

Muhammad Arif Ex-PTC, Government Primary School, Jhok Mahey,  
Tehsil Paraova District Dera Ismail Khan.  
... (Appellant)

**VERSUS**

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary  
Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar and three others. ... (Respondents)

Mr. TAIMUR ALI KHAN,  
Advocate

For appellant.

MR. RIAZ AHMED PAINDAKHEL,  
Assistant Advocate General


For respondents.


MR. SALAH-UD-DIN  
MR. ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

**JUDGMENT:**

**SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:-**

  
Brief facts of the instant appeal are that the appellant, while serving as PTC Teacher was charged in case FIR No. 111 dated 30.07.2007 under sections 365-A/395 PPC registered in Police Station Wahwa District Ghazi Khan, who was arrested and sent to jail. Vide the impugned suspension order No. 910-12 dated 26.01.2009, the appellant was suspended from service with effect from 30.07.2007 on the ground of his involvement in the criminal case. The appellant was ultimately



acquitted by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan vide judgment dated 06.06.2019. While in custody in the above mentioned criminal case, the appellant was also charged in another criminal case FIR No. 742/2013 under sections 224/225-B PPC registered at Police Station Cantt D.I.Khan and was acquitted in the said criminal case vide order dated 15.04.2019. Upon release of the appellant from the jail on 02.05.2019, he submitted departmental appeal on 16.05.2019, however the same was not responded, therefore, the appellant filed the instant service appeal.

2. Notices were issued to the respondents, who contested the appeal by way of submitting comments, refuting the contentions of the appellant.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that after charging of the appellant in the criminal case, he was suspended by the department with effect from the date of his charging in the criminal case and thereafter no further order has been made by the respondents; that after his acquittal in the criminal case, the appellant approached the respondents by way of filing departmental appeal/representation on 16.05.2019 for his reinstatement in service, however the same remained pending and ultimately vide letter dated 01.01.2020, the same was forwarded to the Director Elementary and Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar, however no response was received by the appellant; that as the appeal was sent to the appellate Authority vide letter dated 01.01.2020, therefore, the limitation for filing of service appeal shall be counted from the said date and the appeal in hand is, therefore, within time; that there are numerous rulings of worthy Supreme Court of Pakistan, wherein it has been held that decision of cases be made on merits by avoiding technical knockout including the ground of limitation; that after suspension of the appellant by the competent Authority, no further order has been made by the respondents, therefore, the appellant is still under suspension and after his acquittal in the criminal case, he is entitled to all

13

back benefits in view of Article 194 of CSR as well as FR 53 and 54-A; that the impugned suspension order is liable to be set-aside and the appellant is entitled to be reinstated in service with all back benefits.

4. On the other hand, learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents has contended that the appellant was required to have filed departmental appeal before the Director Elementary and Secondary Education, however the appellant filed departmental appeal before the District Education Officer (Male) District D.I.Khan, who was not the Authority competent to decide the appeal of the appellant; that the appellant had filed departmental appeal on 16.05.2019 while the instant service appeal has been filed on 05.05.2020, therefore, the appeal in hand is badly time barred and is liable to be dismissed on this score alone.

5. We have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. A perusal of the record would show that the appellant while serving as PTC Teacher was charged in case FIR No. 111 dated 30.07.2007 under sections 365-A/395 PPC registered in Police Station Wahwa District Ghazi Khan, who was arrested and sent to jail. Vide the impugned suspension order No. 910-12 dated 26.01.2009, the appellant was suspended from service with effect from 30.07.2007 on the ground of his involvement in the criminal case. The suspension order of the appellant is still in field and has not been followed by any subsequent order one way or the other. In view of F.R-53 clause (b), the appellant is entitled to fully amount of his salary and all other benefits and facilities during the period of his suspension. F.R-53 is reproduced for ready reference as below:-

*"F.R.53 A government servant under suspension is entitled to the following payments:-*

- (a) *In the case of 1 [an employee of the Armed Forces] who is liable to revert to Military duty, to*




14

the pay and allowances to which he would have been entitled had he been suspended while in military employment.

- (b) 2[(b) In the case of a government servant under suspension, other than that specified in clause (a), he shall be entitled to full amount of his salary and all other benefits and facilities provided to him under the contract of service, during the period of his suspension.]

7. The impugned suspension order was passed on the basis of involvement of the appellant in the criminal case, however the appellant has now been acquitted by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan in the said case. It is by now well settled that every acquittal is honourable. In view of F.R. 54 clause (a), the appellant is entitled to receive full salary for the entire period of his absence from duty.

8. The appellant submitted departmental appeal to District Education Officer (Male) D.I.Khan on 16.05.2019, who kept the same pending and sent it to the appellate Authority i.e Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar vide letter No. 01.01.2020 and copy of the same was also sent to the appellant for information. The District Education Officer (Male) D.I.Khan was not an appellate Authority, therefore, in view of rule-6 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appeal) Rules, 1986, he was required to have withheld the appeal of the appellant and he should have been informed of the fact and reasons for the same. In view of second proviso to rule-6 of the *ibid* rules, in case an appeal is so withheld, the same may be resubmitted within 30 days of the date on which the appellant is informed of the withholding of the appeal and, if resubmitted properly in accordance with the requirements of the rules *ibid*, shall be deemed to be an appeal under rule-3 of the rules *ibid* and shall be dealt with in accordance with the provision of *ibid* rules. The provision of rule-6 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appeal) Rules, 1986, has not been complied with, therefore, the appeal is not hit by limitation. Even otherwise too, in view of peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, it would be highly unjustifiable





15


to deny the rights of the appellant merely on the alleged technical ground of limitation.

9. In view of the foregoing discussion, the appeal in hand is allowed by setting-aside the impugned orders and the appellant is reinstated in service with all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED  
14.09.2021

  
(SALAH-UD-DIN)  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

  
(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)  
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)



25-7-22

2400  
26/

26/

26-7-22  
26-7-22

**OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER  
(MALE) DERA ISMAIL KHAN**

Tel: 09669280128- 09669280131. Email: emisdikhan@yahoo.com

D 6

RE-INSTATEMENT

WHEREAS Mr. Muhammad Arif S/O Muhammad Afzal residence of Parova, District, D.I.Khan was appointed against the post of PTC (Trained) in BPS-07 at Govt. Primary School, Miran, Tehsil Parova, District, DIKhan. Vide the then DEO(Male)DIKhan Endst:No:1678-869 dated 24/09/1986 and taken over charge on 05/10/1986.

AND WHEREAS Mr. Muhammad Arif was posted / transferred at GPS, Jhock Mahey , Tehsil Parova. Due to police case according to FIR No.0111/07 dated 30/07/2007 and intimated by the then Dy: DEO(M)Parova vide Memo. No: 45 , 152 and 194 dated 20/05/2008 , 29/09/2009 and 07/11/2008 respectively . The above named official was suspended from service with effect from 30/07/2007 by the then Executive District Officer (Elementary & Secondary) Education, DIKhan under Endst: No: 910-12 dated 26/01/2009.

AND WHEREAS According to the judgment of Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan, in case of writ petition/appeal No. 166-L &167-L of 2012 by Mr Muhammad Arif shall be released forthwith and the impugned judgment dated 13/12/2018 shall remain intact to the extent of co-accused/co-convict to the said case.

NOW THEREFORE In the light of decision issued by Honorable Services Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Appeal No.4053/2020 Mr Muhammad Arif is hereby re-instated in the services with immediate effect. And also adjusted against the vacant of (PTC) at GPS Sikandar Janubi No.1 for further duty.

- Note
1. Necessary entry to this effect should be made in his service book.
  2. His back benefits depend upon the outcome of CPLA.
  3. If the judgment of Honorable Service Tribunal is reversed by the August Supreme Court., then he will remove from the service and return all benefits to Govt.
  4. He shall have to produce affidavit on judicial stamp paper that he will have return all benefits, Salaries etc, if judgment is reversed by the August Supreme Court.

Sd/  
DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER  
(M) DERA ISMAIL KHAN.

Dated DIKhan The 18/10/2021

Endst: No. 22881-87 / DEO (M)

Copy to the:-

1. Honorable Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtun Khwa, Peshawar.
2. District Comptroller of Accounts, DIKhan.
3. Sub: Divisional Education Officer (Male) Parova. With the directions to receive judicial stamp paper before handing over charge.
4. Litigation Branch, Local Office.
5. Head Teacher GPS, Sikandar Janubi, Tehsil, Parova, District, D.I.Khan.
6. Official concerned.
7. Master file.

DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER  
(M) DERA ISMAIL KHAN.

**VAKALAT NAMA**

NO. \_\_\_\_\_/2021

IN THE COURT OF KP Service Tribunal, Peshawar

Muhammed Asif (Appellant)  
(Petitioner)  
(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

Education Deptt (Respondent)  
(Defendant)

I/We, Muhammad Asif

Do hereby appoint and constitute **Taimur Ali Khan, Advocate High Court Peshawar**, to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/Counsel on my/our costs.

I/We authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case at any stage of the proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against me/us.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_/2021

M. Asif  
(CLIENT)

ACCEPTED

Taimur Ali Khan  
**TAIMUR ALI KHAN**  
Advocate High Court  
BC-10-4240  
CNIC: 17101-7395544-5  
Cell No. 0333-9390916

**OFFICE:**

Room # FR-8, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Bilour Plaza, Peshawar,  
Cantt: Peshawar