Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	
Execution Petition No.	323/2022

	Execution Petition No. 323/2022			
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge		
1	2	3		
1	03.06.2022	The execution petition of Syed Nasir Hussain Shah submitted today by Syed Noman Ali Bukhari Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please. REGISTRAR		
2-	14-6-22 Called 146/22	This execution petition be put up before Single Bench at Peshawar on head to be some and the next date. The respondents be issued notices to submit compliance/implementation report on the date fixed. CHAIRMAN		
	20th June, 2022 Meled Milh M/1/2	Counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl: AG for respondents present. Respondents are directed through the learned Addl: AG to submit implementation report on or before the next date. To come up for implementation report on 10.08.2022 before S.B. (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman		



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 323 /2022 In Service Appeal No.862/2017

Syed Nasir Hussain

VS

police Deptt

INDEX

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Memo of Execution Petition		01-02
2.	Copy of Judgment	- A -	03-07
3.	Vakalat Nama		08

PETITIONER
Syed Nasir Hussain

THROUGH:

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT

Date: 18/01/2022 Cell No: 0306-5109438



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Mr. Syed Nasir Hussain, IHC No.309 District Police, Hangu.



VERSUS

- 1. The Inspector General Of Police, KPK, Peshawar.
- 2. The Regional Police Officer, Kohat, Region, Kohat.
- 3. District Police Officer Hangu.
- 4. The Secretary Finance KP Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED: 21.01.2022 OF THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the applicant/Petitioner filed Service Appeal No.862/20170 for Back benefits.
- 2. That the said appeal was finally heard by the Honorable Tribunal on 21.01.2022. The Honorable Tribunal is kind enough to accept the appeal as prayed for. (Copy of judgment is attached as Annexure-A).
- 3. That the respondents were totally failed in taking any action regarded the Hon'able Tribunal Judgment dated 21.01.2022.

- 4. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the respondent after passing the judgment of this august Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
- 5. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the respondents are legally bound to pass formal appropriate order.
- 6. That the petitioner has having no other remedy to file this Execution Petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may be directed to obey the judgment dated 21.01.2022 of this august Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favor of applicant/appellant.

PETITIONER
Syed Nasir Hussain

THROUGH:

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI)
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT.

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the above Execution Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



DEPONENT

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAF

APPEAL NO. 262/2017

Heltyther Takktskinkhyv Service Einkhungh

Diary No. 908

Dated 18-8-2017

Syed Nasir Hussain, IHC No.309, District Police Hangu.

VERSUS

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, KPK, Peshawar.
- 2. The Regional Police Officer Kohat Region, Kohat. .
- 3. The District Police Officer, Hangu.
- 4. The Secretary Finance KPK Peshawar.

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ODER DATED 01.08.2017, WHEREBY THE REVISION OF APPELLANT UNDER RULE 11-A OF THE POLICE RULES 1975 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 24.01.2017 OF RPO KOHAT REGION HAS BEEN REJECTED WHEREIN THE RPO KOHAT REGION UPHELD THE ORDER DATED 27.04.2016 OF THE DPO HANGU.

PRAYER:

y

THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 01.08.2017, 24.01.2017 AND 27.04.2016 MAY BE SET ASIDE AND RESPONDENTS MAY BE DIRECTED TO GRANT MONETARY BACK BENEFITS IN THE SHAPE OF SALARIES TO THE APPELLANT FOR THE REAMINING PERIOD OF ABSENCE FROM DUTY WHICH WAS CONSIDERED IN SERVICE BUT NOT ON DUTY BY THE RESPONDENTS. ANY OTHER REMEDY, WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT, MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 862/2017

Date of Institution ...

18.08.2017

Date of Decision

21.01.2022

Syed Nasir Hussain, IHC No. 309, District Police Hangu.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and three others.
... (Respondents)

Syed Noman Ali Bukhari, Advocate

For Appellant

Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney

For respondents

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT

case are that the appellant joined Police Department in the year 1998. During the course of his service, the appellant was charged in FIR U/Ss 9-C CNSA/13, AO/411 Dated 13-05-2008. The appellant was proceeded against departmentally on the same very charges and was ultimately removed from service vide order dated 24-09-2008. In the meanwhile, the appellant was acquitted of the criminal charges vide judgment dated 26-03-2010. The appellant filed departmental appeal, which was rejected vide order dated 04-06-2010. The appellant filed service appeal No. 1266/2010, which was decided vide judgment dated 30-03-2016 and the appellant was re-instated in service with direction to the

respondents to conduct de-novo inquiry. As a result of de-novo proceedings the appellant was re-instated in service vide order dated 13-04-2016 and the respondents issued another order dated 27-04-2016 that the appellant spent one year, eleven months and thirteen days in detention, out of detention period with effect from 13-05-2008 to 24-09-2008 were considered as on full pay, while the remaining detention period with effect from 25-09-2008 to entailment of his leave credit were counted as leave of the kind due and the remaining period of absence from duty was considered as in service but not on duty and was not entitled for salary. The appellant filed departmental appeal dated 02-09-2016 against the order dated 27-04-2016, which was rejected vide order dated 24-01-2017. The appellant filed revision petition dated 31-01-2017, which was also rejected vide order dated 01-08-2017, hence the instant service appeal with prayers that the impugned orders dated 01-08-2017, 24-01-2017 and 27-04-2016 may be set

aside and the appellant may be granted monetary benefits in shape of salaries for

the remaining period of absence from duty, which was considered as in service

but not on duty.

O2. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the impugned orders are against law, fact and norms of natural justice and material on record, therefore not tenable and liable to be set aside; that the appellant remained unpaid employee for period from removal from service till re-instatement into service and in this respect, the appellant furnished affidavit to this effect, but the respondents did not take it into consideration; that the appellant was acquitted of the criminal charges, hence there remains no ground to deprive the appellant of his salaries; that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and has been deprived of his legal right of salaries for the remaining period, which is against the norms of natural justice and fair play.

03. Learned Deputy District Attorney for respondents has contended that the appellant was arrested in narcotics case and an FIR to this effect was registered

against him; that the appellant was proceeded against on the same charges and was removed from service vide order dated 24-09-2008; that in pursuance of judgment of this tribunal, the appellant was re-instated in service and his detention period was considered as on pay but rest of his period was treated as in service but without pay on the established principle of no work no pay.

- 04. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.
- on which the appellant was proceeded departmentally and was ultimately removed from service. Being involved in a criminal case, the respondents were required to suspend the appellants from service under section 16:19 of Police Rules, 1934, which specifically provides for cases of the nature. Provisions of Civil Service Regulations-194-A also supports the same stance, hence the respondents were required to wait for the conclusion of the criminal case, but the respondents hastily initiated departmental proceedings against the appellants and dismissed him from service before conclusion of the criminal case. It is a settled law that dismissal of civil servant from service due to pendency of criminal case against him would be bad unless such official was found guilty by competent court of law. Contents of FIR would remain unsubstantiated allegations, and based on the same, maximum penalty could not be imposed upon a civil servant. Reliance is placed on PLJ 2015 Tr.C. (Services) 197, PLJ 2015 Tr.C. (Services) 208 and PLJ 2015 Tr.C. (Services) 152.
 - 06. The appellant was exonerated of the criminal charges by the competent court of law vide judgment dated 26-03-2010. It is a settled law that if a civil servant is dismissed from service on account of his involvement in criminal case,

ESTED then he would have been well within his right to claim re-instatement in service after acquittal from that case. Reliance is placed on 2017 PLC (CS) 1076. On the

isame very ground, the appellant was re-instated in service by judgment dated

30-03-2016 of this Tribunal. In 2012 PLC (CS) 502, it has been held that if a person is acquitted of a charge, the presumption would be that he was innocent. Moreover, after acquittal of the appellant in the criminal case, there was no material available with the authorities to take action and impose penalty. Reliance is placed on 2003 SCMR 207 and 2002 SCMR 57, 1993 PLC (CS) 460.

In view of the situation, we are of the considered opinion that the 07. appellant was exonerated of the criminal charges by the competent court of law, which means that he was innocent. Moreover, he was re-instated in service by this tribunal and he was again proceeded against in de-novo proceedings, where he was declared innocent and was re-instated. In a situation, grant of back benefits to an employee who was re-instated in service by a court/Tribunal or the department was a rule and denial of such benefits was an exception. Reliance is placed on 2015 PLC (CS) 366. The appellant was entitled to back benefits, as it was the police department, which on the basis of a wrong opinion kept him away from performance of his duty. In such circumstances, depriving him of his salaries would not be in accordance with law, particularly when nothing is available on record that the appellant had remained gainfully employed in any service during the period of his absence from duty.

In view of the foregoing discussion, the instant appeal is accepted as 08. prayed for. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to record room.

<u>ANNOUNCED</u>

(AHMÁD ŠULTAN TAREEN)

CHAIRMAN

Tertified th he ture copy

Peshawa

VAKALATNAMA

IN THE COURT OF KR Service Tribural, Prish.
Appellant Petitioner Plaintiff VERSUS Respondent (s) Defendants (s)
I/WE Syed hasy Hussain
do hereby appoint and constitute the SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI Advocate
High Court for the aforesaid Appellant(s), Petitioner(S), Plaintiff(s) /
Respondent(s), Defendant(s), Opposite Party to commence and prosecute / to
ppear and defend this action / appeal / petition / reference on my / our behalf and
l proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the

same including proceeding in taxation and application for review, to draw and

deposit money, to file and take documents, to accept the process of the court, to

appoint and instruct council, to represent the aforesaid Appellant, Petitioner(S),

Plaintiff(s) / Respondent(s), Defendant(s), Opposite Party agree(s) ratify all the

DATE 2 - 6 - /202 2

acts done by the aforesaid.

(CLIENT)

ACCEPTED

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

CELL NO: 0306-5109438

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD, J.B.

PESHAWAR.

No.	
Appeal No. 17. N	O: 32-3 of 20 22 Appellant/Petitioner rsus Respondent
1 Rued Nasix	Appellant/Politioner
V vc	rsus
LI I G D U	Resnondent
Ind.	Pagnandant No.
	Respondent No
Notice to: _ the Cozimal	ulie Officer Nohat
Region K	Respondent No. 2. Volice Officer Kohat Dhat.
whethers an appear/petition under	the provision of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Province Service Tribunal Act, 1974, has be	en presented/registered for consideration, in t and notice has been ordered to issue. You are
hereby informed/that/the said appeal/petit	ion is fixed for hearing before the Tribunal
*onat <u>8.00 A.</u>	M. If you wish to urge anything against the so on the date fixed, or any other day to which
the case may be postponed either in perso	n or by authorised representative or by any
Advocate, duly supported by your power of A	ttorney. You are, therefore, required to file in
alongwith any other documents upon which	ate of hearing 4 copies of written statement ch you rely. Please also take notice that in
default of your appearance on the date fix	ed and in the manner aforementioned, the
appeal/petition will be heard and decided in	our absence.
Notice of any alteration in the date fi	xed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be
address. If you fail to furnish such address vo	inform the Registrar of any change in your ur address contained in this notice which the
address given in the appeal/petition will be d	eemed to be your correct address, and further
this appeal/petition.	st will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of
5.01	
Copy of appeal is attached. Copy of a	ppeal has already been sent to you vide this
office Notice Nod	ated
Given under my hand and the seal of	this Court, at Peshawar this
Day of	
for ple_estation	
for bue	•
Lingo	Registrar,
The state of the s	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays.

2. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

Note:

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESIIAWAR.

JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD, S. PESHAWAR.

No.	O to the		
Appeal No. 1	0. 323 of 20 22 is Hustain Appellant/Petitioner		
Jac Nos	18 Husland Appellant/Petitioner		
tegg	Respondent		
-			
Notice to: _ Distr. Police	Respondent No. 3.		
WHEREAS on appeal/notition under	the manifestary of the TZL by TXL to		
Province Service Tribunal Act, 1974, has be	the provision of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa en presented/registered for consideration, in t and notice has been ordered to issue. You are		
hereby informed that the said appeal/petit	tion is fixed for hearing before the Tribunal M. If you wish to urge anything against the		
appellant/petitioner/you are at liberty to do	so on the date fixed, or any other day to which n or by authorised representative or by any		
Advocate, duly supported by your power of A	Attorney. You are, therefore, required to file in ate of hearing 4 copies of written statement		
default of your appearance on the date fix	ch you rely. Please also take notice that in ked and in the manner aforementioned, the		
appeal/petition will be heard and decided in			
given to you by registered post. You should	xed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be inform the Registrar of any change in your our address contained in this notice which the		
address given in the appeal/petition will be d	eemed to be your correct address, and further ost will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of		
this appeal/petition.			
Copy of appeal is attached. Copy of appeal has already been sent to you vide this			
office Notice Nod	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Given under my nand and the scal of this Court, at i eshawar this			
Day of	20 2 2		
for 1-ple atation			
1 P anost	Registrar,		
	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal,		

1. The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays.

Peshawar.

2. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD, S.S.

PESHAWAR.

No.	_		
Appeal No E.P.	10. 323 of 20 22		
Syed Non	10. 32.3 of 20 22 Y Hussain Appellant/Petitioner Tersus What Porhawas Respondent		
V	ersus \(\sigma \)		
the 1-67-121	(VI) Porhawab Respondent		
•	Respondent Nog		
Notice to: _ the Insposed	Respondent Nog I General CF Police PK Deshawad		
Nonce to.	Dr Perhamad		
WHEDEAG	T N T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		
WHEREAS an appeal/petition under the provision of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province Service Tribunal Act, 1974, has been presented/registered for consideration, in the above case by the petitioner in this Court and notice has been ordered to issue. You are hereby informed that the said appeal/petition is fixed for hearing before the Tribunal *on			
Copy of appeal is attached. Copy of appeal-has-already been sent to you vide this			
office Notice No	dated		
Given under my hand and the seal o	f this Court, at Peshawar this 1514		
Day of Leport	Registrar,		
	> Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.		
1\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	rosnawar		

The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR. JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD S B PESHAWAR.

7		SHAVVAR.		
No.				
•	5.D. 1	14. 323		
	Appeal No.	VO	of	20 7-2
••••	Appeal No TE.P. 1 Synd Nasi	x Hussoi		ellant/Petitioner
11		Versus		
· 11	1 1-C7-P	KYh	******	Respondent
	· ,		1	
1	1 0 1	Kespondent	No.14	
Notice to:	tu Secretary	Finance	WYh	le shawor.
	4 ·	•	•	
*				
WHEREAS	an appeal/petition un	der the provisi	on of the	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Province Service	Tribunal Act, 1974, ha the petitioner in this C	s been presente	d/registere	ed for consideration, in
nereby informed	that the said appeal/p	etition is fixed	for hearing	or before the Tribune
*on	6.1at 8.00	A.M. If you wi	ish to urge	anything against the
the case may be	ner you are at liberty to postponed either in po	do so on the dat	e fixed, or	any other day to which
Advocate, duly su	pported by your power	of Attorney. You	iorised rep lare, there	fore, required to file in
this Court at leas	st seven days before th	e date of heari	ng 4 copies	s of written statement
alongwith any of default of your a	ther documents upon appearance on the date	which you rely. I fixed and in t	Please als	so take notice that in
appeal/petition w	ill be heard and decided	l in your absence	ne manne. 2.	r aforementioned, the
Notice of a	ny altonation in the dat		···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
given to you by r	my alteration in the dat egistered post. You sho	e fixed for hear ould inform the	ing of this Registrar	appeal/petition will be of any change in your
address. If you fai	l to furnish such addres	s your address c	ontained i	n this notice which the
address given in t notice posted to th	he appeal/petition will l 1is address by registere	oe deemed to be	your corre	ct address, and further
this appeal/petitic		a post will be dec	micu sum	sient for the purpose of
C	Seal is attached. Copy			
Copy or app	seat is attached. Copy of	o f appeal has al	ready been	n sent to you vide this
office Notice No	••••••	dated	****************	******
Given unde	r my hand and the sea	of this Court, a	at Peshawa	ar this 1515
	•	· ·		
Day of	•••••••	2	0 2 —	
	A. 1.	4. —		
100 7-	- / Xe - at at 1	, ,		
\ \/	_ple_atation		W _	
,	-7001	SKhyber P	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	istrar, wa Service Tribunal,
4	,c- / \<\	ARILYNOII	~~~~~~~~	······································

. The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays.

Peshawar.

2. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

Note: