Form-A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

Execution Petition No.____

321/2022

S.No. Date of order Order or other proceedings with signature of judge proceedings 2 3 1 The execution petition of Mr. Abdul Qadir submitted today by Mr. Noor 03.06.2022 1 Muhammad Khattak Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please. EGISTRAR 14-6-22 This execution petition be put up before Single Bench at Peshawar on 2- \mathcal{W}_{-} 6 · \mathcal{W}_{-} Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The respondents be issued notices to submit compliance/implementation report on the date fixed. Noted **CHAIRMAN** Counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Kabirullah 20th June, 2022 Khattak, Addl: AG for respondents present. Respondents are directed through the learned Addl: AG to submit implementation report on or before the next date. To come up for implementation report on 10.08.2022 before S.B. (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR SERVICE TRIBUNAL

EXECUTION PETITION NO. 32/ /2022

APPEAL NO: 1227/2019

ABDUL QADIR

Ţ.

î.

V/S

INDEX

POLICE DEPTT:

Ξ,

S.N O	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1	Memo of implementation		1 – 2
3	Affidavit		3
4	Judgment dt: 11.04.2022	A	4-11
5	Application	В	12
6	Wakalat Nama		13

Dated: 02.06.2022

APPELLANT

Through: NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVØCATE 0345-9383141

Vice TI

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Implementation Petition No. <u>3</u> \mathcal{A} /2022 In Diary No Appeal No. 1227/2019

Mr. Abdul Qadir, EX-SI S/O Haider Khan R/O Village Jammat, P.O Kandar & District Charsadda.PETITIONER

VERSUS

- The Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar. 1-
- The Capital Police Officer, Peshawar. 2-
- Police(Coordination), 3-The Senior Superintendent of Peshawar.
- The Senior Superintendent of Police(Operations), Peshawar. 4-

IMPLEMENTATION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE THE IMPLEMENT/OBEY RESPONDENTS ТО 11.04.2022 OF THIS JUDGMENT DATED HONORABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT

R/SHEWETH:

î,

-<u>-</u>}

- That the petitioner filed service appeal bearing No. 1227/2019 1before this august Service Tribunal against the impugned order dt: 13.05.2019 & 22.08.2019
- That the appeal of the petitioner/appellant was fixed before 2divisional bench of this Honorable Tribunal on 11-04-2022 and the ŝ. divisional bench of this Honorable Tribunal very graciously allowed the Service appeal of the appellant vide its judgment dated 11-4-2022. The concluding Para of judgment is under:

above discussion, the instant In view of the appeal in hand is allowed by stting-aside the impugned orders and the appellant is re-instated in service with all back benefits". Copy of the attached dated 11-04-2022 is as judgmentA. annexure.....

That after obtaining attested copy of the Judgment dated 3-11-04-2022 the petitioner/appellant applied to the

- 4- That since the passage of more than 02 months of time the department has not implemented or obeyed the judgment dated 11-04-2022 passed by this Honorable Tribunal.
- 5- That the petitioner has no any other remedy but to file this implementation petition.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the judgment dated **11-04-2022** in letter and spirit. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the petitioner.

Petitioner

ABDUL QADIR

Through: Noor Mohammad Khattak Advocate, High/Court, Peshawar

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

EXECUTION PETITION NO.____/2022 IN

SERVICE APPEAL No. 1227/2019

VS

ABDUL QADIR

POLICE DEPTT:

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

Stated on oath that the contents of the accompanying **EXECUTION PETITION** are correct to best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Service Tribunal.



m

DEPONENT

CERTIFICATE:

Certify that no earlier service appeal has been filed by the appellant in the instant matter before this Honorable Service Tribunal.

CERTIF

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

ANINEX A"

AMENDED APPEAL NO. <u>1227</u>/2019

Abdul Qadir, Ex-SI, S/O Haider Khan, R/O Village Jammat, P.O Kandar, Tehsil & District Charsadda.

VERSUS

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, KPK, Peshawar.
- 2. The Capital Police Officer, Peshawar.
- 3. The Senior Superintendent of Police (Coordination), Peshawar.

4. The Senior Superintendent of Police (Operations), Peshawar.

(RESPONDENTS)

(APPELLA

Co Tt

AMENDED APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 13.05.2019, WHEREBY THE PENALTY. OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE WAS UPHELD AWARDED BY **RESPONDENT NO.4 AND AGAINST THE ORDER DATED** 22.08.2019, WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WAS REJECTED FOR THE NO GOOD **GROUNDS.**

PRAYER:

THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS AMENDED APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 13.05.2019 and 22.08.2019 MAY KINDLY SET ASIDE AND THE RESPONDENTS MAY BE BE DIRECTED TO REINSTATE THE APPELLANT INTO WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL SERVICE BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWTH:

FACTS:

1. That the appellant joined the police force in the year 1983 as constable and due to excellent performance, the appellant was promoted to the



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL

Service Appeal No. 1227/2019

Date of Institution	02.10.2019
Date of Decision	11.04.2022

Abdul Qadir, Ex-SI, S/O Haider Khan, R/O Village Jammat, P.O Kandar, Tehsil & District Charsadda.

VERSUS

The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and three others. (Respondents)

MR. NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK, Advocate

MR. RIAZ AHMED PAINDAKHEL, Assistant Advocate General

MR. SALAH-UD-DIN MR. MIAN MUHAMMAD For appellant.

For respondents.

... (Appellant)

Pesha

MEMBER (JUDICIAL) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGEMENT:

ATTESTED

SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:- Briefly stated the facts giving rise to filing of the instant service appeal are that the appellant was serving as SHO Police Station Badhber, when raid was conducted on his room on 09.06.2011. Huge quantity of arms and ammunition, Narcotics, one 05 Liter Can and one and a half bottle Alcohol, 22 KG spare parts, 39 Mobile Phones as well as 12 illegally detained persons were recovered from his room, therefore, departmental action was taken against the appellant under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Removal from Service (Special Powers) Ordinance, 2000. On conclusion of the inquiry, the appellant was dismissed from service vide order dated 29.09.2011. The service appeal of the appellant was, however allowed by this Tribunal vide judgment dated 17.12.2018 and the department was directed to conduct de-novo inquiry within a period of 90 days. On conclusion of the de-novo inquiry, the appellant was again dismissed from service vide order dated 13.05.2019 passed by Senior Superintendent of Police Coordination Peshawar. The appellant filed departmental appeal on 20.05.2019, which was rejected on 22.08.2019, hence the instant service appeal.

2. Notices were issued to the respondents, who submitted their comments, wherein they refuted the assertions made by the appellant in his appeal.

Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that ·3. the statements of the witnesses were recorded at the back of the appellant and no proper opportunity of cross-examination was provided to him, which matter was also brought into the knowledge of CCPO Peshawar through submission of an application dated 19.03.2019, however no action was taken on the same; that inquiry proceedings were conducted in a haphazard manner, without observing the mandatory provisions of Police Rules, 1975; that the alleged recovery of arms and ammunition etc was effected in the absence of the appellant and he was falsely involved in two criminals cases on the same alleged recovery so as to penalize him for ulterior motives; that the appellant has an unblemished record of 36 years service and has already been acquitted in the criminals cases registered against him on the basis of the same issue; that the appellant was previously dismissed vide order dated 29.09.2011, which was set-aside by this Tribunal, however the competent Authority has mentioned in its impugned order that the order of dismissal of the appellant dated 29.09.2011 was upheld, which fact has made the impugned order dated 13.05.2019 as void and of no legal effect; that the alleged incriminating articles were neither recovered from personal possession of the appellant, nor the

TESTED

MINER akinada same were recovered from any place, which was in a exclusive possession of the appellant and the whole story was fabricated with the sole aim of causing damage to the reputation and service career of the appellant; that the allegations leveled against the appellant are false and fabricated, which were not proved in the inquiry proceedings but even then the appellant was wrongly and illegally awarded major penalty of dismissal from service. Reliance was placed on 2003 SCMR 215, 2007 SCMR 192, 2008 SCMR 1369, 2020 PLC (C.S) 1291, 2003 PLC (C.S) 365, 2011 PLC (C.S) 1111, 2012 PLC (C.S) 502, PLJ 2012 Tr.C (Services) 6 and PLJ 2017 Tr.C (Services) 198.

On the other hand, learned Assistant Advocate General 4. for the respondents has contended that residential quarter of the appellant was raided on 09.06.2011 and huge quantity of arms and ammunition as well as Narcotics and Cell Phones etc were recovered from the quarter, which were legally required to be kept in Malkhana of Police Station; that the recovered arms and ammunition etc were kept by the appellant in his custody for ulterior motives; that during the raid, 12 persons were found to have been illegally detained by the appellant without showing their arrest in the daily diary; that a proper regular inquiry was conducted into the matter provided opportunity of the appellant was and cross-examination of the witnesses examined during the inquiry; that the allegations leveled against the appellant stood proved in light of statements of the witnesses recorded during the inquiry; that the appellant was issued final found his reply wasi show-cause notice, however unsatisfactory as he could not put forward any plausible reason in his defense; that the inquiry officer has conducted the inquiry on merit and according to finding of the inquiry officer, the appellant was found guilty of the charges leveled against him; that criminal and departmental proceedings can run side by side, therefore, mere acquittal of the appellant in the criminal cases cannot be considered as a ground for his exoneration in the departmental proceedings; that the

ATTESTED 1 F 12 Intuki Pesharkat

appellant has been found to have committed gross misconduct, therefore, he has rightly been dismissed from service and his appeal is liable to be dismissed with cost.

5. We have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents and have perused the record.

A perusal of the record would show that disciplinary 6. [·] action was taken against the appellant on the allegations that a raid was conducted on his room on 09.06.2011 and huge quantity of arms and ammunition as well as Narcotics and Cell Phones etc were recovered, which were kept by the appellant in his room without maintaining any proper record. Beside that, 12 persons were also allegedly found to have been kept by the appellant in illegal confinement without any The charge sheet as well as entry in the daily diary. statement of allegations did not show the names of the Police Officers who conducted raid upon the room, which was allegedly in possession of the appellant. The raiding party was required to have prepared an inventory regarding the recovered articles and to have submitted a detailed report to the high-ups upon whose order, the raid was conducted. The available record, however does not show that any such exercise was made by the officers comprising the raiding party. The inquiry officer has also not mentioned in his report that any report regarding the raid was drafted by members of the raiding party. Such laxity on part of members of the raiding party has casted serious doubt regarding the allegations leveled against the appellant. While going through the record, it can be observed that the raid was conducted by Muhammad Faisal ASP, Hilal Haider DSP and Khalid Hamdani ASP on the order of their high-ups. The aforementioned Police Officers were material witnesses of the alleged episode but according to the record submitted by the respondents, none of them has been examined as witness by the inquiry officer during the inquiry, for reasons best known to the inquiry officer. The aforementioned fact has created serious dent in

TESTED

HER Doukhw Cibunad the inquiry proceedings. Furthermore, it is evident from the record, that the appellant was not at all present at the time of raid.

The appellant has categorically mentioned in his reply 7. to the charge sheet that as the building of Police Station Badhber was damaged in a bomb blast, therefore, a private house was hired on rent; that the Police Officials alongwith the appellant used to reside in the said house and case properties alongwith other official record were also lying in the said house due to shortage of rooms. In his report, the inquiry officer has not given any findings regarding the aforementioned assertion so made by the appellant in his reply to the charge sheet. The said assertion of the appellant, however, stood proved during the trial of criminal case registered against him vide FIR No. 882 dated 14.10.2011 While P.O. 13/14-AO/9(C) CNSA/3/4 sections under acquitting the appellant vide judgement dated 28.04.2017 in case FIR No. 882/2011 of Police Station Badhber, the then Additional Sessions Judge-XI Peshawar has observed in para-12 of the judgement as below:-

> " P.W-4 Sahibzada Sajjad Ahmed DSP Circle Peshawar has stated during his cross examination that during the days of occurrence, he was the DSP of the area and accused facing trial was serving as SHO of Police Station Badhber. He has that prior correct ťΟ it admitted occurrence, Police Station Badhber was damaged due to bomb blast and the Police Station Badhber was being run in a private rented building. He has also admitted it correct that the recoveries in question were made from the said rented building . of Police Station Badhber".

8. According to the charge sheet as well as statement of allegations, the recovered arms and ammunition etc were not properly entered in record. The allegations that the appellant had not handed over case properties of certain criminal cases to Muharrar of the Police Station is not specifically mentioned in the charge sheet, however while going through the inquiry

TTESTED i o tela sva der einer Shaanwaan

record, it appears that this one is the main charge against the appellant. While scanning the inquiry record, it is evident that no such list of the cases was put to the appellant in the inquiry proceedings, the case properties of which were not handed over by the appellant to Muharrar of Police Station. According to Police Rules 1934, it is duty of Muharrar of Police Station to deposit case properties in *Malkhana*, which is under direct supervision of Muharrar. Nothing is available on the record which could show that during the tenure of the appellant as SHO of Police Station Badhber, any Muharrar had filed any complaint that any case property was not handed over to him by the appellant.

One of the allegations against the appellant was that 9. during the raid, 12 persons were found to have been kept by the appellant in illegal confinement. The particulars of the alleged detainees have not been mentioned in the charge sheet or statement of allegations. If the raiding party had found certain persons in illegal confinement in the Police Station, proper course was to have produced them before the nearest Magistrate for recording of their statements, however the same has not been done. According to the record, the appellant was suspended and closed to Police Line on the same day of the raid i.e 09.06.2011. Some of the persons, who were allegedly kept in illegal confinement have been examined during the inquiry, however it is astonishing that they have disclosed that they were released after 07/08 days of the raid, which was conducted on 09.06.2011. It is not understandable as to why, they were not produced before the nearest Magistrate for recording their statements and releasing them promptly from illegal confinement.



10. It is an admitted fact that on the same set of allegations, case FIR No. 882 dated 29.06.2011 under Sections 13/14 A.O/9(C)CNSA/3/4 P.O Police Station Badhber as well as case FIR No. 06 dated 06.08.2014 under Sections 409/5 (2) PC Act Police Station ACE Peshawar were also registered against the appellant and he has been acquitted in

both the cases. It is by now well settled that every acquittal is honourable. Moreover, in his report, the inquiry officer has mentioned that the appellant remained incarcerated for about 14 and a half months and faced physical and mental torture as well as financial loss. In such circumstances, the competent Authority was not justified in awarding punishment to the appellant. The impugned orders are patently wrong and illegal, hence not sustainable in the eye of law.

11. In view of the above discussion, the appeal in hand is allowed by setting-aside the impugned orders and the appellant is reinstated in service with all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 11.04.2022

(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

Certified to be ture copy NER him knwa PS e Tribunal. Peshawar

Gate of Presentation of Application. Number of Words 28 りわ Copying Fee. Urgent. Tetal. Name of Copyles Date of Complection of Copy. Rete of Delivery of Con-

(SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

ANNER B (12) NO 7553-05cer 17-05-202 10 CCPO cio Cons عمار محال جرائل آرڈر میں قباریر سرس ٹریون علیم تی مراح -11 کو رضی سروی بر مع غبار Tis Back Benefits کا کرے کا حکم ماور فراغ رسمونا هم سانل تو دوزم ² و مي دي لاس س دي Juis als Bach Benefile (2 er, U. 1 2 2 555 july 20 25 july a 255 july 1 Ear -81

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

NO: _____ OF 2022

Abdul Qadir (PLAINTIFF)

(APPELLANT) (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)

VERSUS

(RESPONDENT) Police Deptt: (DEFENDANT)

I/We ____ Abdul Oadir

Do hereby appoint and constitute **NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK Advocate, Peshawar** to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter.

Dated.___/2022

Allan

CLIENTS

ACCEPTED

NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK UMER FAROOQ MOHMAND KAMRAN KHAN

Haider Di HAIDER ALI &

KHANZAD GUD ADVOCATES (GS&PD-444/1-RST-12,000 Forms-22.09.21/PHC Jobs/Form A&B Ser. Tribunal/P2

"R"

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR. JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD, PESHAWAR.

No.

Appeal No. E. P. No. 321 of 20

Aled Appellant/Petitioner Versus

Provincial Plice Officer KPK Perhavor Respondent

Notice to: ____

Senior Superintendent of Police (Coordination)

WHEREAS an appeal/petition under the provision of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province Service Tribunal Act, 1974, has been presented/registered for consideration, in the above case by the petitioner in this Court and notice has been ordered to issue. You are hereby informed that the said appeal/petition is fixed for hearing before the Tribunal the case may be postponed either in person or by authorised representative or by any Advocate, duly supported by your power of Attorney. You are, therefore, required to file in this Court at least seven days before the date of hearing 4 copies of written statement alongwith any other documents upon which you rely. Please also take notice that in default of your appearance on the date fixed and in the manner aforementioned, the appeal/petition will be heard and decided in your absence.

Notice of any alteration in the date fixed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be given to you by registered post. You should inform the Registrar of any change in your address. If you fail to furnish such address your address contained in this notice which the address given in the appeal/petition will be deemed to be your correct address, and further notice posted to this address by registered post will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of this appeal/petition.

Copy of appeal is attached. Copy of appeal has already been sent to you vide this office Notice No.......dated..... Given under my hand and the scal of this Court, at Peshawar this..... for Grapt-men-tion Report Day of..... 3.2-

Registrar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

Note:

1.

2

The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

	KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.
	JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD,
No.	PESHAWAR.
	Appeal No. E.P.No. 321 About Cradin
	Annellant/Petitioner
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Provincial Porice Stricer V. P. Pertomar Respondent
	YOVINGES 15460 J filler File Total
	Respondent No
Notice to	Respondent No. (4) Respondent No. (4) : _ Serviv Superintendent of Police (Operations) Perhawar

66 D ??

GS&PD-444/1-RST-12.000 Forms-22.09.21/PHC Jobs/Form A&B Ser. Tribunal/P2

WHEREAS an appeal/petition under the provision of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province Service Tribunal Act, 1974, has been presented/registered for consideration, in the above case by the petitioner in this Court and notice has been ordered to issue. You are hereby informed that/the said appeal/petition is fixed for hearing before the Tribunal the case may be postponed either in person or by authorised representative or by any Advocate, duly supported by your power of Attorney. You are, therefore, required to file in this Court at least seven days before the date of hearing 4 copies of written statement alongwith any other documents upon which you rely. Please also take notice that in default of your appearance on the date fixed and in the manner aforementioned, the appeal/petition will be heard and decided in your absence.

Notice of any alteration in the date fixed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be given to you by registered post. You should inform the Registrar of any change in your address. If you fail to furnish such address your address contained in this notice which the address given in the appeal/petition will be deemed to be your correct address, and further notice posted to this address by registered post will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of this appeal/petition.

Copy of appeal is attached. Copy of appeal has already been sent to you vide this office Notice No.....dated..... 11 Given under my hand and the seal of this Court, at Peshawar this......20 **2** > Day of..... report / Registrar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar. The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays. Note

2.

Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

GS&PD-444/1-RST-12,000 Forms-22.09.21/PHC Jobs/Form A&B Ser. Tribunal/P2

مراحجه

"B"

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR. JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD, PESHAWAR.

No.

E	PNc. 371	of 20 ²²⁻
		Απορμαμτ/ΡεμμομεΓ
Provincial Police	Officer Versus PH	Peramor Respondent
CPO	. Pasna	ndent No

Notice to: _

WHEREAS an appeal/petition under the provision of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province Service Tribunal Act, 1974, has been presented/registered for consideration, in the above case by the petitioner in this Court and notice has been ordered to issue. You are hereby informed that the said appeal/petition is fixed for hearing before the Tribunal *on.....at 8.00 A.M. If you wish to urge anything against the appellant/petitioner you are at liberty to do so on the date fixed, or any other day to which the case may be postponed either in person or by authorised representative or by any Advocate, duly supported by your power of Attorney. You are, therefore, required to file in this Court at least seven days before the date of hearing 4 copies of written statement alongwith any other documents upon which you rely. Please also take notice that in default of your appearance on the date fixed and in the manner aforementioned, the appeal/petition will be heard and decided in your absence.

Notice of any alteration in the date fixed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be given to you by registered post. You should inform the Registrar of any change in your address. If you fail to furnish such address your address contained in this notice which the address given in the appeal/petition will be deemed to be your correct address, and further notice posted to this address by registered post will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of this appeal/petition.?

Copy of appeal is attached. Copy of appeal has already been sent to you wide this

office Notice No.....dated.....

Given under my hand and	Lthe seal of this Court, at Peshawar this
Day of	1072 2022
For Graph-mon-tio Report	m)
	Registrar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa–Service Tribunat, Peshawar.
Note: 1. The hours of attendance in the court at 2. Always quote Case No. While making a	re the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays.

GS&PD-444/1-RST-12,000 Forms-22.09.21/PHC Jobs/Form A&B Ser. Tribunal/P2

2.2

"B"

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR. JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD,

E.P. No. 321

No.

Appeal Nobelui	Gaan		of 20	
----------------	------	--	-------	--

Provincial Plice Conficer Koll Tosheuser

(2) Respondent

Provindal Police Offic Respondent Nopertawar.

Notice to: _

Notice of any alteration in the date fixed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be given to you by registered post. You should inform the Registrar of any change in your address. If you fail to furnish such address your address contained in this notice which the address given in the appeal/petition will be deemed to be your correct address, and further notice posted to this address by registered post will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of this appeal/petition.

tion	l of this Court, at Peshawar this
For Report	Lia-er
And	Registrar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa–Service Tribunal, Peshawar.
Note: 1. The hours of attendance in the court are the same 2. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence	that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays. Indence.