BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.18/2014

Date of Institution

06.01.2014

Date of Decision

09.10.2020

Sher Daraz S/O Gul Nawaz, P.S.T GPS, Tather Khel, Lakki Marwat.

.. (Appellant)

VERSUS

1. District Education Officer (Male), Elementary & Secondary Education Lakki Marwat, Ex-EDO, Lakki Marwat and (03) Others.

(Respondents)

Arbab Saiful Kamal,

Advocate

... For appellant.

Kabirullah Khattak,

Additional Advocate General

... For respondents.

ROZINA REHMAN

MEMBER (J)

ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR

MEMBER (E)

JUDGMENT

ROZINA REHMAN, MEMBER: Sher Daraz has filed the instant appeal U/S 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the order dated 30.11.2012 whereby his salaries were withheld.

- 2. Brief facts of the case are that appellant was appointed as Primary School Teacher (PST) vide order dated 17.01.2011 on the recommendation of Departmental Selection Committee and accordingly he assumed charge on 18.01.2011. He was performing his duties when in the meanwhile respondent No.4 was directed by respondent No.1 vide order dated 14.03.2011 to withhold salaries of appellant. He filed representation before the authority for release of his salaries but the same was not responded to. He then filed appeal No.149/2012 before this Tribunal for release of salaries which appeal was remanded to the then DCO Lakki Marwat to take decision on the representation of the appellant within 60 days. After remand, the then DCO decided appeals on 28.11.2012 to make payment of salaries to some of the teachers while some were put in hanging position and lastly salaries were released to the teachers including the appellant w.e.f February 2013. He submitted representation on 25.01.2013 for payment of salaries, which was not responded to hence the instant appeal.
- 3. Learned counsel for appellant argued that the appellant has been performing his duties since 17.01.2011; that PST teachers were appointed by the then EDOs over and above the sanction strength, therefore, issue arose by withholding salaries of teachers. He argued that salaries were released to those teachers who made payment to the authorities but were declined to those who did not fulfill their ill wishes and lastly he submitted that the appellant was appointed as per prescribed procedure, therefore, entitled to salaries withheld from 17.01.2011 till 30.01.2013.
- 4. Conversely, learned AAG submitted that the appointment letter in respect of appellant is fake and that he was never appointed as PST. He submitted that the Civil Suit filed by the present appellant was dismissed by



the learned Civil Judge Lakki Marwat and the appointment order on the strength of Civil Suit is fake because relief was declined to the appellant. He, therefore, requested this Tribunal to dismiss the appeal with cost.

5. It is worth to mention here that after hearing arguments, appellant submitted notification dated 25.05.2019 vide which appellant alongwith other teachers were promoted to the post of Senior Primary School Teacher (SPST) (BPS-14). In view of the production of this notification, wherein the name of the appellant is available at Serial No.54, the learned AAG alongwith representative of the department was directed to confirm the authenticity of the above mentioned promotion notification. Kashif Munir Litigation Officer on behalf of the respondents was present in court and in response to query by the Tribunal, he talked to one Muhammad Ilyas D.D.E.O. The Tribunal was informed that the promotion order in respect of the present appellant and other teachers is genuine and that they have rightly been promoted from BPS-12 to BPS-14. From the above, it is crystal clear that the appellant was appointed as PST and he rightly served in the respondents' department but his appointment order was denied while his promotion order was admitted by the respondents. They also denied the presence of GPS Dilawar Titter Khel but the notification in respect of the promotion produced today before the Tribunal clearly shows the name of present appellant at Serial No.54 wherein in Column No.4 of Table-A GPS Dilawar Titter Khel has been clearly mentioned. The appointment order of the present appellant available on file as Annexure-A Page-03 clearly shows that the appellant was appointed as Primary School Teacher vide appointment order dated 17.01.2011 and he assumed the charge on 18.01.2011. The appointment letter which was denied by the respondents, not only in their comments, but also in the arguments is a genuine appointment order because on the

09/ |log |2 strength of this appointment order the appellant is still serving in the said department and has now been promoted which promotion is not denied by the respondents.

6. In view of the above, were are of the opinion that the appellant served in the department but his salaries were withheld from 17.01.2011 till 30.01.2013 without any cogent reason, therefore, the instant appeal stands accepted as prayed for. No order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED. 09.10.2020

> (Attiq ur Rehman Wazir) Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) 09.10.2020

Appellant with counsel present.

Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Kashif Munir Litigation Officer for respondents present.

Arguments heard. Vide detailed judgment of today of this Tribunal placed on file, the instant appeal stands accepted as prayed for. No order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED. 09.10.2020

(Atiq-ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E) (Rozina Rehman) Member (1) 13.03.2020

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, DDA alongwith Mr. M. Ilyas, Dy: DEO for respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 03.04.2020 before D.B.

*
Member

Member

3.4.2000

Due to (COVID 19) the cabe is adjourned to 30, 6, 2020 for the farme.

30.06.2020

Due to COVID-19, the case is adjourned to 25.08.2020 for the same.

25.08.2020

Due to summer vacation case to come up for the same on 09.10.2020 before D.B.



Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General present. Learned counsel for the appellant requested that similar nature appeal has been fixed on 28.11.2019, therefore, the present case may be fixed for 28.11.2019. Request accepted. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 28.11.2019 before D.B.

Member

Member

28.11.2019

Due to general strike of the Pakistan Bar Council, the case is adjourned. To come up on 24.01.2020 before D.B. Muhammad Akram B&AO representative of the respondent department present.

Member

Member

24.01.2020

Due to general strike on the call of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Bar Council learned counsel for the appellant is not available today. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents present. Adjourned to 13.03.2020 for further proceedings/arguments before D.B.

(M. Amin Khan Kundi)

Member

(Hussain Shah)

Member

07.08.2019

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Zia Ullah learned Deputy District Attorney present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourn by way of last chance. To come up for arguments on 27.09.2019 before D.B.

Member

Member

27.09.2019

Appellant absent. Learned counsel for the appellant absent. However junior to counsel for the appellant present and seeks adjournment. Being an old case, last opportunity is granted for arguments. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 03.10.2019 before D.B

Member

Member

27.09.2019 Junior to counsel for the appellant present. Learned Addl: AG for respondents present. Junior to counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourn. Being an old case of 2015, last opportunity be granted. To come up for

arguments on 03.10.2019 before D.B.

Member

Member

27.02.2019

Counsel for the appellant and Assistant A.G for the respondents present.

Request for adjournment is made due to engagement in many cases before the Honourable High Court today.

Adjourned to 16.05.2019 before D.B.

Member

Chairman

16.05.2019

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG alongwith Mukhtiar Alam, ADEO for the respondents present.

Due to demise of his father, learned Member of the Bench (Mr. Hussain Shah) is on leave. Adjourned to 27.06.2019 for arguments before the D.B.

Chairman

27.06.2019

Counsel for the appellant and Muhammad Jan, DDA alongwith Mukhtiar Alam, ADEO for the respondents present. Due to incomplete bench case is adjourned to 07.08.2019 for arguments before the D.B.

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Zia Ullah, learned Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Akram Superintendent for the respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 19.09.2018 before D.B.

(Muhammad Amin Kundi) Member

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member

19.09.2018

Miss. Uzma, junior counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Muhammad Akram, Superintendent for the respondents present. Junior counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel for the appellant is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for the appellant is not available today. before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member (M. Amin Khan Kundi) Member

07.11.2018

Due to retirement of Hon'ble Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct. Therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up on 26.12.2018.

26.12.2018

Arbab Saiful Kamal, Advocate for appellant and Mr. Muhammad Riaz Painda Khel, AAG alongwith Mukhtiar Alam, ADO for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requests for time to further prepare the brief. Adjourned to 27.02.2019 for arguments before the D.B.

Member

Chairman

08.02.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabeerullah Khattak, Addl. AG alongwith Muhammad Akram, Superintendent for the respondents present. Learned AAG seeks adjournment as he is not in possession of the record of the service appeal. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 12.04.2018 before the D.B.

Member

ber

12.04.2018

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, Learned Deputy District Attorney alongwith Muhammad Akram Superintendent for respondents present. Junior to counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment as senior counsel is not available. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 25.06.2018 before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan)

Member

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal)

Member

25.06.2018

Appellant in person present. Learned counsel for the appellant is absent. Mr. Muhammad Jan, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Muhammad Akram, Superintendent for the respondents present. Appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 06.08.2018 before D.B.

(Muhammad Amin Kundi) Member (Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member 26.12.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Hameed ur Rehman, AD (Litigation) alongwith Mr. Ziaullah, GP for respondents present. Arguments could not be heard due to incomplete bench. Case adjourned to 27.04.2017 for arguments before D.B.

Chairman

27.04.2017

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, Government Pleader for the respondents present. Senior counsel for the appellant is stated busy before the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court. Seeks Adjournment. Adjourned for final hearing to 16.08.2017 before D.B.

Member Member

Chairman

16.08.2017

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, DDA for respondents present. Counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 29.11.2017 before D.B.

Member

Chairman

29.11.2017

Appellant with counsel and Addl. AG alongwith Mr. Muhammad Akram, Supdt for the respondents present. Counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Granted. To come up for arguments on 08.02.2018 before the D.B.

Member

Chairman

29.02 2016

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Hameed-ur-Rehman, AD (lit.) alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Written reply not submitted despite extension of last opportunity and cost of Rs. 2000/-. Requested for further adjournment. Another last opportunity is extended subject to payment of further cost of Rs. 1000/- which shall be borne by the respondents from their own pockets. To come up for written reply/comments and cost of Rs. 3000/- on 26.4.2016 before S.B.

一

M**e**mber

26.4.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Sharifullah, ADO alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Written reply by the respondents submitted. Cost of Rs. 3000/- also paid and receipt thereof obtained from the learned counsel for the appellant. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 17.8,2016.

Chairman

17.08.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Sharifullah, ADO alongwith Additional AG for respondents present. Rejoinder on behalf of the appellant submitted and requested for adjournment. Request accepted. Copy of rejoinder also handed over to learned Additional AG. To come up for arguments on

26-12-16 before D/B.

ylember

Mamber

28.08.2015

Appellant with counsel, M/S Javed Ahmed, Supdt. and Khurshid Khan, SO alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Written reply not submitted despite extension of last opportunity. Requested for further adjournment. Last opportunity is further extended subject to payment of cost of Rs. 1000/- which shall be borne by the respondents from their own pockets. To come up for written reply/comments and cost on 10.11.2015 before S.B.

Chairman

10.11.2015

Appellant with counsel, M/S Khurshid Khan, SO and Sharifullah, ADO alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Written reply not submitted despite extension of last opportunity. Cost of Rs. 1000/- paid and receipt thereof obtained. However, another request was made which is granted subject to payment of cost of Rs. 2000/- which shall be borne by the respondents from their own pockets. To come up for written reply/comments and cost on 23.12.2015 before S.B.

Chairman

23.12.2015

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Adeel But, AAG for respondents present. Written reply not submitted. To come up for written reply, comments and cost of Rs.2000 which shall be borne by the respondents from their own pockets. To come up before S.B on 29.2.2016.



09.03.2015

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Amanullah, Supdt. for respondents No. 1 to 3 and Addl: A.G for all respondents present. Respondent No. 4 requested for adjournment. Last opportunity granted for written reply. To come up for written reply on 11.6.2015 before S.B.

Charrman

4 11.06.2015

Appellant with counsel, M/S S harifullah, ADO for respondent No. 1, Khurshid Khan, SO for respondent No. 3 and Muhabbat Khan, AAO for respondent No. 4 alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Written reply not submitted despite last opportunity. Representative of respondent No. 1 submitted application for adjournment which is found misconceived. The same is rejected. The representative of respondent No. 1 is given stern warning to abide by the direction of the Tribunal in future otherwise he will be recommended for departmental proceedings. Last opportunity extended to 28.8.2015 before S.B for submission of written reply.

Charman

27.06.2014

Counsel for the appellant and AAG with Khursheed Khan, SO and Sharifullah, ADEO for the respondents present and requested for time. To come up for written reply on 14.10.2014.

MEMBE

14.10.2014

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP with Sharifullah, ADEO, Mosam Khan, AD and Khursheed Khan, SO for respondents No. 1 to 3 present and requested for further time. Fresh notice be issued to respondent No.4. To come up for written reply on 17.12.2014.

MEMBER

17.12.2014

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP with Mosam Khan, AD for the official respondents present. None is available on behalf of private respondent No. 4. The Tribunal is incomplete. To come up for the same on 9.3.2015.

15.04.2014

Appeal No. 18/2014 Mr. Sher Daras

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Ziaullah, GP for the respondents present. Preliminary arguments heard and case file perused. Counsel for the appellant contended that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law/rules. The appellant file the instant appeal on 06.01.2014 against the order dated 30.11.2012 where the name of the appellant appeared at S.No. 1. The appellant has been performing her duty w.e.f 17.01.2011 regularly and he also filed application for condonation of dely. He requested that the impugned order dated 30.11.2012 of the appellant may be set aside and appellant may be allowed monthly salary w.e.f 17.01.2011 till 31.11.2013.

The learned Government Pleader while assisting the court was of the view that the appeal is badly time barred before the appellate authority as well as this Tribunal. The appellant was to file the instant appeal on 28.12.2012 instead of 06.01.2014. He while relying on judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan as reported on 2011 SCMR 676 (d) that if Departmental Appeal is time barred, the appeal before the Service Tribunal would not be competent; 2012 SCMR 195 that if a Departmental Appeal filed be a Civil Servant is barred by time, no relief can be granted to him even if the appeal before the Service Tribunal is on time and 2010 SCMR 1982 that question of limitation could not be taken lightly. He requested that the instant appeal may be dismissed in limine.

Points raised at the Bar need consideration. The appeal is admitted to regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit the security amount and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, Notices be issued to the respondents. To come up for written reply/comments as well as reply/argument on application for condonation of delay on 27.06.2014.

15.04.2014

This case be put before the Final Bench

for further proceedings.

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments to some extant heard. Pre-admission notice be issued to the GP to assist the Tribunal for preliminary hearing on 15.042014.

Jember

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	_ 					·.
Case No		<u> </u>	1	8/201	4	

	· Case No	18/2014		
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate		
1	2	3		
1	06/01/2014	The appeal of Mr. Sher Daraz presented today by Mr.		
		Saadullah Khan Marwat Advocate may be entered in the		
		Institution register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for		
		preliminary hearing.		
•	** 25 x	REGISTRAR		
2	16-1-9014	This case is entrusted to Primary Bench for preliminary		
-		hearing to be put up there on 3-3-2014		
	77.1 10 ¢	CHAIRMAN CHAIRMAN		
		Spring the Spring to make the Springs		
• •		The second secon		
•				
-				
7		This cash is entrasted to 1 maner with the		
-		The second of th		
		Assessed 20 cm . in Am		
-				
	,			
r				
• • • •				
•				
:				
	•			
	, ' i	<i>i</i> -		

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

S.A No. 18 /2014

Sher Daraz

Versus

D.E.O & others

INDEX

S.#	Description of Documents	Annex	Page
1.	Memo of Appeal		1-2
2.	Appointment Order, 17.01.2011	"A"	3
3.	Charge Report, 18.01.2011	"B"	4
4.	Order of the Letter dated, 14.03.2011	"C"	5
5.	Writ Appeal No. 149 / 2012	"D"	6-8
. 6.	Order of Tribunal, 16.08.2012	"E"	9-11
7.	Order of D.C.O, 28.11.2012	``F"	12-14
8.	Representation, 25.01.2013	"G"	15-16

Appellant

Through

Dated: 6.01.2014

(Saadullah Khan Marwat)

Advocate

21-A Nasir Mension, Shoba Bazar, Peshawar. Ph: 0300-5872676

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

S.A No. /8 /2014

ding a provins

Sher Daraz S/o-Gul Nawaz, P.S.T, GPS, Tather Khel,

Versus

- 1. District Education Officer (Male), Elementary & Secondary Education, Lakki Marwat. Ex-EDO, Lakki Marwat.
- 2. Director of Education, Directorate of Elementary & Secondary Education, KPK, Peshawar.
- Secretary, Government of KPK, Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Peshawar.
- 4. District Accounts Officer,
 Lakki Marwat Respondents

APPELLANT WERE WITHHELD WITH EFFECT FROM 17.01.2011 TO 30.01.2013 FOR NO LEGAL REASON.

⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That having the requisite educational qualifications and on advertisement of the posts of Primary School Teachers (PST), appellant was appointed as such vide order dated 17.01.2011 on the recommendations of the Departmental Selection Committee and charge of the assignment in the school was assumed on the said date, i.e, 18.01.2011. (Copies as annex "A" & "B")
- 2. That appellant was performing her duties in the said school with devotion but respondent No. 1 directed respondent No. 4 through order / letter dated 14.03.2011 not to pay monthly salaries to appellant and if paid, he shall be responsible for the consequences, yet similarly placed teachers were paid salaries by respondent No. 1. (Copy as annex "C")
 - That representation was submitted before the authority for release of the monthly salaries but no response was given, so appellant filed Appeal No. 149 / 2012 before the Hon'ble Tribunal for release of the withheld monthly salaries which appeal came up for hearing on 16.08.2012 and the case was remanded to the then DCO, Lakki Marwat to take decision on the representation of appellant within 60 days. (Copies as annex "D" & "E")
- 4. That after the remand of the case, the then DCO, decided the appeals on 28.11.2012 to make payment of the monthly salaries to some of the teachers while some of the teachers were put in hangining position, yet since December, 2012, monthly salaries



were released to the teachers including appellant with effect from February / 2013. (Copy as annex "F")

That on 25.01.2013, appellant submitted representation before respondent No. 2 for payment of monthly salaries since 17.01.2011 till January 2013, on the ground that salaries have been released to teachers who were appointed after the appointment of appellant but the same met dead response till date. (Copy as annex "G")

Hence this appeal, inter alia, on the following grounds:-

GROUNDS:

- a. That since 17.01.2011, appellant was performing her official duties in the school to the best of her ability without any complaint.
- b. That the then EDOs appointed PST Teachers over and above than the sanctioned strength, so such issue took place by withholding monthly salaries of teachers.
- c. That from this fact all the concerned staff of the then EDOs and the teachers concerned are well aware that salaries of those teachers who made payment to the authorities and the then political figures were released but monthly salaries of those teachers who did not scumb to the ill wishes of the then EDOs were with held.
- d. That appellant was appointed as per the prescribed procedure but withholding of salaries was based on malafide as similarly and equally placed teachers even subsequently appointed were made payment but appellant was ignored for no legal reason, thus discriminated.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the appeal, order dated 30.11.2012 of respondent No. 1 be set aside / modified and monthly salaries withheld since 17.01.2011 till 30.01.2013 be released to appellant, with such other relief as may be deemed proper and just in circumstances of the case.

ther Darz

Appellant

Through

Saadullah Khan Marwat

Dated: 6 .01.2014

Arbab Saif-ul-Kamal

&

Miss Robina Naz, Advocates,

TPPOINTMENT ORDER:

In Compliance of decision of Honourable Court of Civil Judge Lakki, the appointment of the below mained candidate is hereby ordered as Primary School Teacher BPS-07 (Rs 3530-190-9230) plus usual allowances as admissible under the rules on regular basis under the provision of Establishment and administration department circular bearing No. SOR-6 (E&AD) 13-01/2005 dated 10-08-2005 on the terms & conditions given below

S.No	Name	Father No.		
1	Sher Daraz	Father Name	To be posted at	Remarks
†	Sher Daraz	Gul Nawaz	GPS Tetter Khel	Against vacant
TERM	S AND CONDITIO	NA/CL		post(Cour Case)

<u>AND CONDITIONS:</u>

- 1. His appointment will be considered regular without pension or gratuity in terms of section 19 if NWFP Civil Servant Act 1973, as amended vide NWFP Civil Servant (Amendment) Act, 2005. They will be however be entitled to contributory provident fund in such a manner and at such rate as me be prescribed by the Govt.
- 2. His services will be liable to termination on one month notice from either side. In case of resignation with out notice two months pay/allowance shall be refunded to the Govt.
- 3. He would be on probation for a period of two years extendable for another one year and during this period they are not entitled to apply for any long leave etc.
- 4. His services will be governed by such rules and regulations as may be issued from time to
- 5. His services can be terminated at any time in case their performance is found un satisfactory during probationary period, in case of misconduct they will be proceeded against the NWFP removal from service (special power)ordinance, 2000 and the rules framed from time to
- 6. Charge report should be submitted to all concerned.
- 7. No TA/DA is allowed to any one.
- 8. Drawing and Disbursing officer concerned is directed to check and verified the Certificates/ Degrees of the above candidates from the concerned Board/University before the drawl of
- 9. The appointment is liable to termination, if the appointee failed to take over charge with in (15) days of the commencement date.
- 10. The undersigned reserves the rights of amendment in this appointment order in case of any
- 11. He is required to produce his Health and Age Certificates from the Medical Supdt: DHQ Hospital (Tajazia) Lakki Marwat.

(NOOR HASSAN KHAN)

Executive District Officer (E&S) Education Lakki Marwat

Endst: No <u>67-70</u> dated Lakki Marwat the 17-01-2011

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

- 1. Director Schools & Literacy N W F P Peshawar.
- 2. District Co-ordination Officer Lakki Marwat
- 3. Deputy District Officer (M) local office
- 4. District Accounts Officer Lakki Marwat

Executive District Officer (E& S) Education Lakki Marwat

حارج رلودهن: تَلْوَاذَ فَانَ سَلَمُ تَسْتَرَفِيلَ فِي حُوالُم آرِدُر عَبِ ٥٥-67 بعورض ١١٥٠ ١٥٠١ (ايلينري الله سيد سيد سيد المعادة) ا يجولين ضاح تعبدت والمج تعبدت والمن نيز عبد المعادد ا - ليا طابعنه حياني له د يبدنو، للزا دلدين عرض ع 18.01. 2011 Shard ong 3 1 cham
14/1/2-11 To DDO (M) Lakki

D.Os/DDOs (M/F)

Dated 14.03.2011

"As you know that appointment orders of various categories have. been issued and the appointees are trying to take over their charges in the schools.

A large nos. of verbal and written complaints have been received in this regard.

Hence, you are, directed to not consider these appointment oorders and not submit the source proforms to the District Accounts Officer, Lakk Marwat for starting their pay etc. prior the countersignature of the undersigned till further otherwise, you will personally be responsible for the consequences please.

(Haji Abdul Malik) EDO

Sd/_

Moled Sii Solf-Ay Ws Khom D. O. (17)

Sd/-Noorani Sheh

Py p.o. (M)

Rugia Rahim Dy DO(F)

14.3.11

14:3-11

6

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

S.A No. 149 /2012

Sher Daraz Khan S/o Gul Nawaz, PST,

Versus

- 1. Executive District Officer, Elementary & Secondary Education, Lakki Marwat.
- 2. Director, Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 3. Secretary, Govt. of KPK, Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar Respondents

ひくせくひくせくめくせくめくせん

APPEAL AGAINST OFFICE ORDER NO.
D.0s/DDOs, DATED 14.03.2011 OF
R.NO.1 WHEREBY DISTRICT
ACCOUNTS OFFICE WAS DIRECTED
NOT TO PAY MONTHLY SALARIES TO
THE APPELLANT FOR NO LEGAL
REASON.

⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That on 11.05.2010, R.No.3 flouted advertisement in Daily "AAJ" for appointment of PST/CT on merit. (Copy as annex "A")
- 2. That Test & Interview was conducted and thereafter tentative open merit list of the competing candidates was prepared and after going into the due process of appointment, order of

appointment of appellant was then issued vide order dated 17 - 1 - 11. (Copy as annex "B")

- 3. That after assumption of the charge of the post, appellant started function of performance of the official duties by making attendance in the Register at the school concerned. (Copy as annex "C")
- 4. That the then EDO was transferred and the successor started functions and then on 14.03.2011, he wrote letter to Accounts Office, Lakki Marwat, to not release monthly salaries of the newly appointed teacher, male and female and if released, he shall be responsible for the consequences. (Copy as annex "D")
- 5. That by keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, appellant is though performing his/her official duties but the monthly salaries were stopped for no legal reason, yet some of the teachers have got salaries for some months.
- 6. That on 19-10-11, appellant submitted representation before the authorities mentioned therein but without any response till date. The impugned order was kept secret from the appellant and he on his own level received the same from the office on 20.09.2011. (Copy as annex "E")

Hence this appeal, inter alia, on the following grounds:-

GROUNDS:-

- a. That after completion of the due codal formalities, advertisement, test and interview etc. appellant was appointed as such by the competent authority.
- b. That appellant assumed the charge of the said assignment and is performing his/her official duties till date. Some of the appellants got their salaries for 4/5 months.
- c. That appellant was neither served with any notice regarding complaints nor any inquiry was conducted nor he/her was associated with the same nor he/her was given

opportunity of self defence and chance of cross examination.

That R.No.1 (Abdul Malik) is not vested with the power to wrote letter to Accounts Office to hold monthly salaries of appellant.

- e. That appellant is not responsible of any transaction, if any, between the two bigs as he/her has no concern with the same.
- f. That no law exists for stoppage of monthly salary of a servant but EDO, E & SE, mis-used his official status by writing letter dated 14.03.2011 to the Accounts Office.
- g. That at present appellant is serving the department without monthly salaries.
- h. That R.No.1 has again advertised the said posts for recruitment and if such practice was carried out by appointing fresh candidates on the post of appellants, the same will give rise to multiple litigations.
- i. That by stopping monthly salaries of appellant, the action of the respondents is based on malafide.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of appeal, order dated 14.03.2011 of R.No.1 be set aside and respondents be directed to forth with release the monthly salaries from the date of its stoppage with all benefits, with such other relief as may be deemed proper and just in circumstances of the case.

Through

Dated. .01.2012

8.

Arbab Saif Ul Kamal

Saad Ullah Khan Marwat

Advocates.

Appeal No. 130/2012

Nusrat Shaheen D/O Awal Khan, PST, GGPS, Sheri Khel, Faqiraan, Lakki Marwat.

<u>VERSUS</u>

- 1. Executive District Officer, Elementary & Secondary Education,
- Lakki Marwat.

 2. Director, Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.

 3. Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.

 (Resp. (Respondents)

	S.No.	Date of hearing	Order/other proceedings with signature of Judge/ Magistrate
٠,	1	2	3
		16.8.2012	Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Arshad Alam,
			AGP with Mashai Khan, Litigation Officer and Mirzali Khan,
			ADO for the respondents present. Arguments heard and
	-		record perused.
			2. Counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant
		÷	was properly appointed as PST (BPS-7). She has taken over charge of the post. The appellant has not been paid
· j			his monthly salary for no legal reasons. He further argued
	٠. ا	. 1/1/	that there are similar nature of cases decided by this
.	,		Tribunal but he could not produced proper record in this case. However, he filed copy of the judgment by the
	٠,٠		don'ble Civil Judge-IV Lakki Marwat dated 9.3.2012 and
	~ \</td <td>✓ '></td> <td>20.3.2012 whereby Mr. Najeebullah and Mr. Azhar Shah</td>	✓ '>	20.3.2012 whereby Mr. Najeebullah and Mr. Azhar Shah
		5572	espectively were allowed interim release of pay. He did
-		100	not want to file rejoinder to the written comments filed by
		t	he respondents. He requested that the appeal may be
			ccepted as prayed for.
	77.77	3	The respondents contested the appeal and
-		. st	abmitted their written comments. The AGP argued that
		ti-	e appellant has no cause of action; that the appellant
		ha	as not performed her duties, hence no pay; that the
		ar	opeal is not maintainable in its present form; that the

Lakki Marwat.

nature in connected Service Appeals No. 131/2012 Marwarida Bibi, No. 132/2012 Gul Marjan, No. 133/2012 Najma Irum, No. 134/2012, Abid Hussain, No. 135/2012 Islam Badshah, No. 136/2012, No. 137/2012 Naimat Ullah, No. 138/2012 Mujeebullah Khan, No. 139/2012 Farhat Ullah, No. 140/2012 Farid Ullah Khan, No. 141/2012 Abdul Wahab, No. 143/2012 Ismat Kosar, No. 141/2012 Gulshan Bibi, No. 145/2012 Baseer Ullah, No. 147/2012 Nasir Mahmood, No. 148/2012 Noor Asiam Khan, No. 149/2012 Sher Daraz Khan, No. 150/2012, Javed Iqbal, No. 151/2012 Rahim Shah, and No. 259/2012 Ihsan Ullah.

ANNOUNCED 16.8.2012

> (NOOR ALI KHAN) (SULTAN MAHMOOD KHATTAK) MEMBER MEMBER

> > Certification to be tare copy
> >
> > Khyber Cribunal
> >
> > Service Cribunal
> >
> > Peshawar

con of Apolication 28 8.29



BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY/DISTRICT COORDINATION OFFICER, LAKKI MARAT.

Mr. Sher Daraz, PST, GPS Dilawar Khan, Titter Khel, Lakki Marwat.....

Appellant.

Versus

Executive District Officer, E&S Education, Lakki Marwat........

Respondant.

Order.

This order will dispose of an appeal lodged by Mr. Sher Daraz working as PST, at Govt. Primary School Dilawar Khan, Titter Khel. The appellant requested for release of his monthly pay. His case was also remanded by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar in his Service Appeal No.149/2012. In his written appeal the appellant urged for payment of his salary stopped by the competent authority. He was appointed as PST vide order No. 67-70, dated 17.1.2011 issued by the Executive District Officer, E&S Education, Lakki Marwat.

The appellant is present and heard. He stated that he may be paid his salary for his services which he rendered regularly. He argued that the he should not be punished for a mistake of the Department.

Brief history of the case is that the then Executive District Officer, E&S Education, Lakki Marwat floated advertisement in Daily Newspapers inviting applications for recruitment of PSTs. The advertisement appeared in Daily "AAJ" dated 11.5.2010. After advertisement 69 PSTs were recruited.

The then EDO (E&S) Education Lakki Marwat ordered for stoppage of the salaries of the PSTs so recruited vide order dated 14.3.2011. Later on the Provincial Govt. in E&S Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa ordered for inquiry into the recruitment process so carried out. The Inquiry Committee submitted its report. The result is still pending.

Later on the salaries of 63 PSTs were released on the decisions of Courts etc. While salaries of 6 PSTs remained stopped including the appellant. Three PSTs went to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar and requested for release of their salaries namely M/S. Saifud Din S/O Sirajud Din, Javed Iqbal S/O Akbar Khan and the appellant.

Three others namely M/S Mumtaz Khan S/O Akbar Ali Khan, Hikmatullah S/O Asmatullah, and Noor Majid S/O Mir Sada Khan came up stating that they have also been recruited as PSTs in 2011. The Department could not produce their appointment record. Hence rejected.

The Department floated 2nd advertisement in the Daily Newspapers. The advertisement appeared in Daily ÄAJ" dated 20.10.2011. Consequently 68 PSTs were recruited.

L

The EDO, E&S Education, Lakki Marwat gave written statement to the Service Tribunal that posts for the PSTs recruited in pursuance to 1st advertisement dated 11.5.2010, have been reserved.

Mr. Mir Azam Khan, EDO, E&S Education Lakki Marwat is present and examined. He stated that the 2nd advertisement was made due to the reason that sufficient posts were available and that the Department was suffering due to lack of staff. He stated that one vacant post is available. The appellant can be adjusted against the said post. While rest 5 PSTs will be adjusted soon after availability of vacant posts. When asked he could not tell the exact No. of posts laid vacant at the time of first advertisement.

Findings^{*}

After going through the record and examination of the parties it has been construed;-

- 1. That the Department made appointments more than the available vacancies.
- 2. That the EDO (E&S) Education, Lakki Marwat was competent to appoint PSTs.
- 3. That the Provincial Govt, has carried out inquiry into the recruitments made in light of 1st advertisement dated 11.5.2010. The result is pending.
- 4. That the then EDO (E&S) Education, Lakki Marwat stopped salaries of the so appointed PSTs vide order 14.3.2011.
- 5. That the EDO (E&S) Education has given written statement to the Hon'able Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar on 2.7.2012 that posts have been reserved for the appointees of 1st advertisement. But only one post is now available in the Department.
- 6. That different Courts of Law have ordered for release of salaries of PSTs so appointed in pursuance to 1st Advertisement dated 11.5.2010.
- 7. That the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar has also issued orders on 8.6.2012 for release of pay in case of Mst. Nelofar, PST, GGPS
 Billand Khel Lakki Marwat vide appeal No.1514/2011 appointed with others during the period.
- 8. That the Department has released pay to all the PSTs so recruited except 6 including the appellant. The Department has put them on the waiting list for adjustment/release of pay. Three cases are also pending in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar now remanded to this office for decision of Departmental Appeals.

After going through the available record and examination of both the parties, I am of the opinion that the Department may release pay of the appellant against the vacant post. Rest of the following PSTs may be released their pay as and when vacant posts occur in the Department. Their names are listed below along the appellant:-

S.No.	Name of PST	Father's Name	Name of GPS
1.	Sher Daraz.	Gul Nawaz	Dilawar Khan, Titter Khel.
2.	Javed Iqbal	Akbar Khan.	Allawal Khel.
(3)	Saifud Din.	Siraj-ud-Din.	Dilawar Khel, Titter Khel
4.	Hidayatullah.	Sherin Jan.	Alamsha Khel.
5.	Burhanullah.	Raza Khan.	Zaffar Abad.
6:	Mateeullah Shah.	Noor Ali Khan.	Sheikh Mansoor No.2.

This order will also dispose of Departmental Appeals lodged by M/S Sher Daraz; Javed Iqbal, Saifud Din, Gul Marjan, Abid Hussain, Islam Badshah, Azhar Shah, Niamaullah, Najibullah, Farhatullah, Faridullah, Abdul Wahab, Basirullah, Nasir Mehmood, Noor Aslam, Rahim Shah, Ihsanullah and Hidayatullah, on the grounds that they are already enjoying their salaries. Their cases have been remanded by the Khyvber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar in service appeal No.149/2012, 150/2012, 683/2012, 132/2012, 134/2012, 135/2012, 136/2012, 137/2012, 138/2012, 139/2012, 140/2012, 141/2012, 145/2012, 147/2012, 148/2012, 151/2012, 259/2012 and 258/2012.

The pay of the un-adjusted PSTs will be released from the date of Occurrence/availability of the vacancies against which they are adjusted on the basis of seniority etc.

It is to be noted that the decision of this forum will in no way entitle the applicants to the right of appointment in any way whatsoever in case their appointments were found illegal / in violation of merit as a result of the outcome of the Enquiry already conducted by the Provincial Government.

<u>Announced</u> 30/11/2012

(NISAR AHMED)
District Coordination Officer

Lakki Marwat. (Appellate Authority)

مخدست طباب دامر ملیرها ص ایلیمنشری ایند سینری تر بوریشن. K.P.K. بیشهاور لیسل ، برخلاف حکم ورف ۱۵مد/۱۱/08 جاری فرده محم ماحب فیلم کی درت ص کی روسی سائل کو هایوار تنخواه جات از ناریخ لترری ۱۱۵د/۱۵/۱۱ تا عَبْرِی رودامد مس مروم کیا گیا گیا کیا ا) یه در مامل کو ورف المعداده/ده/دا کو بخیشت PST معلم عرتی نیالیا تفا تا قالونی مراحل کی تکمیل کے بعد 2) یم کرسائل نے 1104/10/81 کو اسای مزکورہ کا جارے گورنس يرونرى كول دلاورفان مترفيل، فِيلْم مى دوت مين ليا - أور أج تک فراکفی منفی مردنجا کریتا رہا تھے فی یه که شنخواه جات کی اداشگی نه بهونے یکر اور فرالفی منفی کی ارانجا کرنجی برسائل نے روک ٹر بیونی میں ایسل دائر کی ۔ جو تورف 210م/80/16 کو دناب DCO صاحب می روت کو رنباند نیالیا - تاریال کے قیان ایبل یر 60 دلوں کے رینر فیصلے صادر فر مائیں ی یہ کہ هرف ۱۱مد/۱۱/۵۵ کو Dco صاحب نے فتلف اقسار کے فیصلے سائیل کے علاوہ دیگر معلمین کے باب س کیے ۔جس سی سُت سوں کی داد رُسی ہوئی . جبکہ بہت سوں کو لٹکایا گیا جسمیں سائیل بھی شامیل سکی ۔ تامیم منصلے کی رُوسے ملکے نے سائل کو ماہِ فروری ر1302ء كا عامور سنوره كا كا كا عبد آك كا معلو ا بنس ، كو كما بوكا .

ی یہ قر مذکورہ معاملہ حل نہ ہواتھا کہ ای ڈی او صاحب نے عرتی کیلئے دورا اشتہار جاری کیا اور درجنوں اساتذہ کو عَرِي كَيا . إِنْ سَب كُو عَامِولر سَخُواهِ جَابَ رَبِي كُلُّ ، جَلَمَ سائل کو لٹکائے رہا۔ حالانکہ وہ بھی شنازعہ کھے ی یہ کہ جوزہ طرافقہ کار اینانے کے بُعد سائل کو قانون کے مطابی بوتی کیاگیا تھا۔ اُور تاریخ تقری سے لیکر آن تک فرائفن منفى بطريق احسن انجاك ديماري ع ر جی یم کرکی کو ماموار تنخواه جات سے لورزما آورلی کو مروم رُفُهُمَا خُلَافِ قَالُونُ و وَلَقِعَاتِ عَيْ - كُورِ مِبْي بَرِ بِرِنْتِي و اِسْمَعَاجِهُ، رُسَائِل لَو وَرَضَ ١١٥٥/١٥/١١ سِي لِيكِرُ موضً 3102/10/16 تك كام الوار شخوا 0 مات ادائرك كا حُكُم صادر فرها مين - بنده تازيست دُعالُو ربع كا -کام کا دلادرخان نترخیل ملی روت اخردرازخان ولد الخواز RST Sher Deway

Alliny

المعالث المسال المراق المال المراق المال ا Ph. 12 Coses Sal 40 000 مق بدمند حبعنوان بالامين اپني طرفسي واسط پروي و مواب دسي وکل کاروا کی متعلقه کان مقام کشاور كيد سقد أبال فان سودن اليوكيظ إي كورك كووكي مقررك إقاركيا جانات كرمام. مَصْوَفَ كُومِقِهِ مِن كُلُ كُلُ كُلُ كُلُ إِلَى الْمِتَا يَارْسُرُكُا نِيزِ وَكُلِي صَاحِبِ كُومِينِ لِإِضَى المروَلَقِ زِنَالَتْ وَتَعِيلَهِ بِمُلْفَ ي خراب دسي اوراقبال دعوى اوربفتور فاربي كرني ابرار اور وصولي جيك وروبيدا ورعوى دعوى اور درخواسيت اور نسخ نیز دار کرنے اپنی نگرانی و نبروی کرنے کا اختیار میرکی اور بھیورت فرورت مقدم میکور كري يا فروى دراى سے واسطے اور وسل يا منار قانوني كو اپنے تمراہ يا اپنى بجائے تعزر كا اختيار مرد كا ا ورصاعب مقرر شده كرجين وسى جمله مذكوره بالا اختيارت عامل مول كم اوراس كا ساخته بروا ختر منظور قبول بوگاه دوران مقدمة بي جوخ جيد و برجانه التقار مقدمير سيب سيوكا ال مستحق و كميل ها حب مروس ون مرد کا ایر این ایر و فرمیدی وصولی کرند کا بھی اختیار میجا اگر کونی تاریخ بیشی مفام روره يريمو يا مدسة الريمولتووكل صاحب يا بند نريون كي كرييروى مذكور كري. لهٰذا وكالت نامه دکھ ویا كه سندسیے۔ المعالمة الم المرابع المرابع العاتباذ JUTILLI Slor Data 3 the sound by the

66A 99

KHYBER PAKHTU JUDICIAI	I NKHWA SERV L COMPLEX (O PESHAN	LD), KHYBE	•	PH
No.		18	14.	•
APPEAL	_		of 20 .	
She	Y baraz	. •		
			Apella	nt/Petitione
	Versu			
DEO	(Male)	EBSE	ELaKK	i Marw
			RESI	PONDENT(
Notice to Appellant/Petit	- Govt Iribu	Plead nal Pe	der S Esteura	ervice V
Take notice that	your appeal has	been fixed	for Prelimin	ary hearin
replication, affidaviticor	uter aflicavit/rego	d/arguments/	order before	this Tribun
on 7 7	at	***************************************		

You may therefore place either personally o which your appeal shall b		cate for presen		
- 2		•		
	مد		i.	•
			1.1.	
	a	Khyber Pakh	Registrar, tunkhwa Serv Peshawar.	vice Tribu

0-9 19-4-014 Before The Sewice Tribunal, Hepk, Deshavas.

Sher Daraz vs DEO & others.

Application for Condonation of delay in fitting of Service Appeal in this honorable tribanal.

Respectfully Showeth,

1- That the above mentioned soperal is pending adjudication in this homomorphe tribunal in which today is fixed for heaving.

That the matter in question perfain to pay which is a punning cause and is not hit by is a punning cause and is not hit by the limitalism but if the honourable is of the limitalism but if the appeal is limb payred than in view that the appeal is limb payred than in their case, we applicant Appethine through that case, we applicant for condonation of delay if this application bogs for condonation of delay if any in fifty of the appeal before the honoreable tribunal.

It is therefore most hundly praged that an acceptance of that application the delay in filing of sevice appeal before this honormble tribunal may kindly be condon in the Interest of justice and the appeal may landly be decided as meets. They Applied Applied DI 15/4/14.

thick at aff

m that This application

Nava} de hereby

Before The Service Tribunal, 12pk, Desharas DEO of others Sher Daraz Application for Condonation of delay in filing of Service appeal in this homowrable tribunal. Respectfully Showeth, 1- That the above mer med grapul is panding adjudicalin in this himomable tubunal in which today it fixed for hearing. That the matter in question perfain to Dry which is a summing cause and is not hit by fimitalism but if the honourable is of the view that the appeal is line parved than in That case, The applicant / Appettine through This application bogs for condonation of delay of any in filip of the appeal before The himmable tribunal: It is therefore most hundly prayed that on acceptance of this application The delay in filing of Service apperl before this himmable tribunal may kindly be Conolon in the Interest of justice and The appeal may landly be decided on ments.

Thought from Saful kind. here on 18-5-2003 also. This objection of the respondents does to the any veight as the above recommendation for supersession of 1.74, of the ers had not been approved by the competent authority i.e. the Frinch ster of Pakistan. Moreover, the appellants had agitated the matter their illegal supersession by the C.S.B. meeting held on 6-9-2012 while issue-was primarily, the subject-matter of the appeals filled in them before this Tribunal. Hence, this objection of the responsance dismissed, being devoid of merit.

CIVIL SERVICES

46. In view of the foregoing discussion, we accept the conternous the appellants that they had been superseded in an arbitrary man and a contract of the contrac that they had been subsequently promoted on more or less the same service reserd. By examining the Minutes and the Working Paper for the Central Selection Board meeting held on 6-9-2002, we find Life the appellants had possessed better comparative merit as compared to-some! of the officers recommended for promotion. Even the Central Science of Board meeting held on 6-9-2002, as already pointed out elsewhere in this, judgment, was also aware of this fact and had stated so in black and white that the appellants had higher quantification of A.C.Rs. than those officers recommended for promotion. It was indeed an arbitrary exercise, of authority on the part of the Central Selection Board who had superseded the appellants for promotion without finding envising atverse in their service record and as pointed out by us in the preceding paragraphs, by failing to examine and evaluate that the appellant definitely enjoyed and possessed better comparative merit vis-a-vis some of the officers recommended for promotion when viewed in the context of quantification of marks achieved in the Overall Quantification of A.C.Rs. (Q.A.) as well as in the columns relating to "Quality and Output of Work" and "Integrity" (Moral as well as Intellectual). The Central Selection Board failed to appreciate that the appellants had he mandatory promotion training at the scored much better gra-Pakistan Alministration St., College as compared to some of the officers recommended by them for promotion. Under the circumstances. we find that the appellants had been superseded illegally and in a lation of the promotion policy during the course of the Central Selection Board meeting half on 6-9-2002. Ordinarily, we would have set aside the proceedings of the Central Selection Board meeting held on 6-9-2122 due to the serious acts of omission and commission discussed above and tell the gross larse of respondent No.1 for their failure to ensure separate recording of minutes of the two C.S.B. meetings held on 9-8-1, 12 ca-6-9-2002 (see details in para.18 ante). However, we are refraining from taking the said action as it would open up a Pandora's Bot, at this below stage and create other serious administrative complications (distussed -) detail in para. 18 ante). But it does not mean that the wrong done to the appellants is not to be rectified and they are left to suffer due to a single

of their part. As such, we convert the appellants' supersession is of eferment from the same date i.e. 6-9-2002. The respondents are full become their original seniority as it existed prior to 6-9-2002. As result of this action, the appellants would be entitled to all the interesting.

47. There shall be no order as to costs. Parties be informed.

H.B.T./28/FST

Appeals accepted.

2007 P.L.C. (C.S.) 1267

[Punjah Service Tribunal]

Before E.B. Abid, Member-II

Mrs. NASREEN AKHTAR

veisus

SECRETARY, HEALTH GOVERNMENT OF THE PUNJAB, LAHORE and another

Appeal No. 2055 of 2006, decided on 11th April, 2007.

Punjab Civil Servants Act (VIII of 1974)---

---S. 8---Punjab Service Tribunals Act (IX of 1974), S.4---Promotion---Appeal to Service Tribunal---Appellant who was appointed in BS-14 as Charge Nurse in 1981, due to her satisfactory performance was moted as Head Nurse, but despite being senior she was not awarded BS-17, whereas her junior was granted said grade---Appellant not only was appointed earlier to respondent, but was also promoted in BS-16 prior to the respondent for her satisfactory performance---Appellant being senior to respondent, was entitled to pro form,2 promotion to BS-17 on the basis of seniority-cum-fitness---Claim of appellant to pro forma promotion in BS-17, could not be rejected on the ground that her request was time-barred, because in the matter of promotion and pay, question of limitation was not applied -- Case of appellant for promotion in "S-17 was from date of promotion when her next junior was promoted---Directions were given to the Authority to consider ease of appellant for promotion from the date her next junior was promoted in BS-17---Date of promotion of appellant in BS-16. would be the deciding factor along with well established formula of seniority-cum-fitness at the relevant time. [p. 1269] Λ & S

2002 PLC (C.S.) 1388 ref.

held on 18-6-2003 also. This objection of the respondents does not carrany weight as the above recommendation for supersession of the two officers had not been approved by the competent authority i.e. the Prin. Minister of Pakistan. Mcreover, the appellants had agitated the matter of their illegal supersession by the C.S.B. meeting held on 6-9-2002 which issue was primarily, the subject-matter of the appeals filed by their before this Tribunal. Hence, this objection of the respondents in diam. See, being devoid of merit.

46. In view of the feregoing discussion, we accept the contention the appellants that they had been superseded in an arbitrary manner and that they had been subsequently promoted on more or less the same service record. By examining the Minutes and the Working Paper for the Central Selection Board meeting held on 6-9-2002, we find that the appellants had possessed better comparative merit as compared to somel of the officers recommended for promotion. Even the Central Selection! Board meeting held on 6-9-2002, as already pointed out elsewhere in this judgment, was also aware of this fact and had stated so in black and "white that the appellants had higher quantification of A.C.Rs. than those officers recommended for promotion. It was indeed an arbitrary exercise of authority on the part of the Central Selection Board who had superseded the appellants for promotion without finding anything adversein their service record and as pointed out by us in the precedinparagraphs, by failing to e amine and evaluate that the appellants, definitely enjoyed and possessed better comparative merit vis-a-vis some · of the officers recommended for promotion when viewed in the context of quantification of marks achieved in the Overall Quantification of A.C.Rs. (Q.A.) as well as in the columns relating to "Quality and Output of Work" and "Integrity" (Moral as well as Intellectual). The Central Selection Board failed to appreciate that the appellants had scored much better grades in the mandatory promotion training at the Pakistan Administration Staff College as compared to some of the officers recommended by them for promotion. Under the circumstances we find that the appellants had been superseded illegally and in violetical of the promotion policy during the course of the Central Selection Board meeting held on 6-9-2002. Ordinarily, we would have set aside if proceedings of the Central Selection Board meeting held on 6-9-2002 duto the serious acts of omission and commission discussed above and to the gross lapse of respondent No.1 for their failure to ensure separate recording of minutes of the two C.S.B. meetings held on 9-8-2002 a. 6-9-2002 (see details in para.18 ante). However, we are refraining from taking the said action as it would open up a Pandora's Box at this believe stage and create other serious administrative complications (discussed, detail in para.18 ante). But it does not mean that the wrong done to tappellants is not to be rectified and they are left to suffer due to no i-

on their part. As such we convert the appellants' supersession into deferment from the sam are i.e. 6-9-2002. The respondents are further directed to antedate the premotion of the appellants accordingly and prestore their original amount of the appellants accordingly and a result of this action, the appellants would be entitled to all the backbenefits.

47. There shall be no order as to costs. Parties be informed.

H.B.T./28/FST

Appeals accepted.

2007 P L C (C.S.) 1267

[Punjab Service Tribunal]

Before K.B. Abid, Member-II

Mrs. NASREEN AKHTAR

versus

SECRETARY, HEALTH GOVERNMENT OF THE PUNJAB, LAHORE and another

Appeal No.2055 of 2006, decided on 11th April, 2007.

Punjab Civil Servants Act (VIII of 1974)---

----S. 8---Punjab Service Tribunals Act (IX of 1974), S.4---Promotion---Appeal to Service Tribunal---Appealant who was appointed in BS-14 as Charge Nurse in 1981, due to her satisfactory performance was promoted as Head Nurse, but despite being senior she was not awarded BS-17, whereas her junior was granted said grade---Appellant not only was appointed earlier to respondent, but was also promoted in BS-16 prior to the respondent for her satisfactory performance---Appellant being senior to respectient, was entitled to pro forma promotion to BS-17 on the basis of seniority-cum-fitness---Claim of appellant to pro forma promotion in BS-17, could not be rejected on the ground that her request was time-barred, because in the matter of promotion and pay, question of limitation was not applied--- Case of appellant for promotion in BS-17 was from date of promotion when her next junior was promoted---Directions were given to the Authority to consider case of appellant for promotion from the date her next junior was promoted in BS-17---Date of promotion of appellant in BS-16. would be the deciding factor along with well established formula of seniority-cum-fitness at the relevant time. [p. 1269] A & B -

2002 PLC (C.S.) 1388 ref.

Before The Service Tribunal, 12pk, Desharas DEO of others. Sher Daraz Application for Condonation of delay in fiting of Sewice Appeal in This honorable tribunal. Respectfully Showeth, That the above mentioned oppend is familing. adjudicalien in this honomable to bound in which today is fixed for hearing. That the matter in question perfain to pay which is a running cause and is not hit try fimitalien but if the honourable is of the view that the appeal is lime parred than in That Case, the applicant / Appellant mongh This application beggs for emoloration of delay of any in filing if the appeal before This pinoreable tribuil. It is therefore most hundly prayed that an acceptance of Miss application The delay in folial of Service appeal before the honomble tribunal mil kindly be Conolon in the Interest of Justice and Mindly be decided on ments. Things from the My him Supplied Things from Supplied Before The Service Tribunal, ILPK, Deshavar. DEO of others Sher Daraz Application for Condonation of delay in filing of Service Appeal in This honorable tribunal. Respectfully Showeth, That the above mentioned appeal is pending altjudicalien in this himomable teibonal in which today is fixed its hearing. That the matter in question perfain to pay which is a running cause and is not hit try fimitalihe bai j The honourable is of The view that the appeal is lime parried than in That Case, the applicant / Appellant Insorpti This application beggs for condination of delay of any in filip of the appeal before The pinoreable tribunal. It is therefore most hundly prayed that an acceptance of this application The delay in filing of Service appeal before the homemble tribunal may kindly be Condon in the Interest of Justice and The appeal may landly be decided on ments.

The Applical Applica Though suisan Significant

The Service Tribunal; 12pk, Desharas DEO of others. Sher Daraz Application for Condonalism of delay in filing of Service Appeal in This honorable tribunal. Respectfully Showeth, That the above mentioned appeal is panding adjudicalin in this homomable tibemal in which today is fixed for hearing. That the matter in question perfain to pay which is a running cause and is not hit by fimitalien but if the homourable is of the view that the appeal is time proved than in That case, the applicant / Appellant / Monga Sto Kil - Mis application bogs for emolonation of delay of any in filip of the appeal before This pinorable tribunal. It is therefore most hundly prayed that an acceptance of This application The delay in fitting of Service appeil before the homomble tribunal mil kindly be Condon in the Interest of Justice and The appeal may kindly be decided in months.

DI 15/4/14. D1 15/4/14.

IN THE COURT OF CHAIRMAN SERVICES TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Service appeal No.18/2014.

1 4

APPLICATION FOR ADJOURNMENT/GRANT OF ONE MOERE
CHANCE TO SUBMIT REPLY BEFORE THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL
XHEEX

.Respectfully Sheweth: -

- 1. That the above titled case is pending adjducation before this honourable Tribunal and ax is fixed for hearing today i.e 11.6.2915.
- 2. That today 11.6.2015 has been given last opportunity for submission of reply/comments.
- 3. That due to status quo granted by the Peshawar Highcour Bannu Beach in the imstant case, therefore, we are unable to file reply due the above mentioned reasons will in time.
- 4. That one more phance is required to avail for submission reply before this Honourable Tribunal.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that one more shance for submission of reply may very graciously be granted for submission of reply.

DATED: -11.6.15

Respondent No.1

District Education Officer Lakki Marwat through Representative

(SHARIF WILLAH KHAR)

Affidavit

Solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the conetns of the above application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and blief.

TESTED OF THE STED

DEPONENT

The Service tribunal, 12 Pk, Delli an vs Education Sher Daraz Receipt of Rs 1000 (one Thousand) an account of Cost from the Despondent Depth today in 15/11/15 Asbab Suful kaned Connect for appellant DL 18/1/15 Jest

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL GOVT: OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No 493/2014

Mr. Sher Daraz

Appellant

Versus

District Education Officer (Male) Lakki & Two Others

Respondent

Joint Para wise comments on behalf of respondent 1, 2 & 3

Respectfully Shewith:

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-

- 1. That the appellant has no cause of action and locus standi to bring the present appeal.
- 2. That the appeal of the appellant is not maintainable as the appellant is not a civil servant.
- 3. That the appellant has not come to the honourable service tribunal with clean hands as he conceals that his case was dismissed on the same cause of action by civil judge-I Lakki vide suit No 29/1 of 2010 judgment dated 15/07/2010.
- 4. That the appeal of the appellant is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
- 5. That the appellant has come to the court with malafide intention because as per legal maxim "legal procedure can only be proceeded on valid documents" the documents so provided by the appellant are not verifiable.
- 6. That any departmental action against the appellant could not be taken by presenting fabricated/forged appointment letter as the appellant have involved the Deptt: in un-necessary litigation therefore due to sub justice nature no action has been taken.

FACTS:-

- 1. Incorrect being concocted, hence denied.
- 2. Incorrect, the Para where Respondent NO-1 wrote a letter on dated 14/03/2011 to District Accounts office for not paying salary without counter signature of the Respondent No-1 is correct; the rest of the Para is denied as every case has different nature from other.
- 3. Incorrect, concocted hence denied as learned court of CJ-I Lakki had dismissed his suit (Copy enclosed as Ref: "A"), no representation was made as provincial inspection team (PIT) inquiry regarding fake appointment order was in process (PIT inquiry is enclosed as Ref: "B").

Jahr

- 4. Correct to the extent that DCO had entertained applications of various individuals in this regard, but due to fade nature of appointments he linked all such cases with the final findings of the PIT inquiry.
- 5. Incorrect, his suit No 29/1 of 2010 was dismissed as per Ref: "A" by Civil judge-I Lakki through judgment dated 15/7/2010, his appointment order shows 17/01/2011 as issuance date with reference of court judgment of civil judge-I where thee exist no such judgment, his appointment is fake/fabricated hence denied.

GROUNDS:-

- a. Incorrect. No duty what so ever has performed by him, the rest of the Para is denied as his appointment order is still in question and subject to operation of PIT inquiry.
- b. Incorrect. Some individuals including the appellant has made appointment order through fake signature of the appointing authority which created an embarrassing situation as discussed in Para No 5.
- c. Incorrect concocted, hence denied as per Para No 4 of the preliminary objections
- d. As per Para No 5.

It is therefore humbly prayed that on acceptance of these Para wise comments this Honourable Service Tribunal may very graciously be pleased to dismiss the instant appeal with costs throughout.

District Education District Lakki Mai

(Respondent NO-1

Director E & SE KPK (Respondent No-2)

Secretary E & SED KPK (Respondent No-3)

Ins Sharfullah When ADEO Of DED (MI Lauri Bolemnly afform and clechare on oath that the Contents of instant heply is true and nothing has been Concealed from this Court

لسالت وال الشرك في على المرت المسترد المران ولد مر بالزنون من مرت المعان مل المرت والمعان المعان المع المامت من ضرع وفراه سرم سكري تعدم لله اسراري فرعرمواه مسامد الم المراك الموليين المبيد الله المراك الله المراك به ادر من رئيس رئيد . بين من من في فير فيرواه فيشاور . · Lat Deo Chimi circle 5 اسل خاراضی علم نظری منده ، روح سیلم ۱۵/۱۱ مسی روح میان دادی و میا e l'écle times con des à test استدیاتی اسل منظوری ایسل می مسلم و داری ندیات سول فرد از ایسان میلی ایسل می اسل مدر و سوح ما حال دعدی مدی است کو کندی می مرب 01 1 29 - come in 1 con cos i con 129 du com i c مندمان ما مدمت صوبر ویزه معدم ۱۵/۵/۱۵/۱۵ مارج ما ع مست مرک - 2 iel - vue celie minto come و و مرسر درگری سراف مای عدد صور ماون و صور دانها ت ع

ام) یہ عدالت ماؤت نے شقیات نبیلہ مرت ومات شمارت مودود بر شل کو اون است مروع مادى عنطى كى . 8. اسل على سند مدلات مانت كوى المعامع عادي المعاملة على المعاملة على المعاملة على الم E. Grand by all 2 cm Order الله عدالت العت ع شيخ د كر منار برع وسد الل المدات و فطر انداز ما ع امد مل زون کے مدان، معانی رستی کرونین میں میں FIR درجی سوا ، وس کا وستنعے کیس میں مان اور ان کا درستان کے مدان، الدون عامل مرده شنراه می داری ماعل ما - Li cons () time PSTall (can al lation option is on one apl 24 est Admentice al existing. Competition of the of entire in the contraction of the Al in hip in in out PST of it (Thirty) with in it is in a sure in con the sport in Vacantesen " ! to a character and by file bout for and interest in a continue of Ca The white is a les C Pregrance / For I constitute in a comme را من من مس رسان و رزم برانس المان است را ما درما دم جه مردی را اس لتروت رس رسین الله مساوی دم ريان دين المعالية المعالم المع المراع من معلم المرا المراع عن منطوري البيل عبر سنه ولاي عدر المراع والمراع و Umor Former Sher Dames بالمنزدرائه يسسف Show Dang

IN THE COURT OF MRS. AQSA SAEED CIVIL JUDGE NO. I, LAKKI

MARWAT.

Government of NWFP Through Secretary Education Schools & Literacy NWFP

Date of decision of suit......15-07-2010

District & Sesen

SUIT FOR DECLARATION cum PERPETUAL MANDATORY INJUNCTION.

JUDGMENT

Sher Daraz herein after called plaintiff has brought the instant declaratory suit against the Secretary Schools & Literacy Peshawar and 04 others herein after called defendants to the effect that being permanent resident of U/C Titer. Khel as per government policy, being top candidate of the merit list of PST post which was advertised in the "Newspaper Daily Aaj" on 16-10-2008, he is entitled to be appointed as PST but placing plaintiff at serial No. 3 is wrong, illegal and against the policy. Along with declaration he is also seeking permanent mandatory injunction.

- 2/ Brief but relevant facts of the instant case as per averments of plaintiff contained in his plaint are that PST posts were advertised by the defendant No.
- 2, where plaintiff along with application and documents applied and appeared in test & interview on 10-12-2008; that defendants kept plaintiff in the merit list of

U/C Titer Khel at serial No. 3 whereas Fazal Yazdan and Saeed Akhtar were at serial No. 1 and 2 respectively but the said Fazal Yazdan was also serving in Almizan Bank due to that inquiry was initiated against him after his appointment

Office of the second

as PST, where he resigned from the said post and as Saeed Akhtar at serial 2 was confirmed as SET post so that plaintiff who was after the said two persons came at top on the merit list of U/C Titer Khel and when the post is vacant he is entitled to be appointed against the said vacant post of PST; the defendants were asked time and again to appoint plaintiff being top candidate but they refused to do so, hence the suit in hand.

Defendants were summoned; they appeared and contested the suit of plaintiff by filing their written statement on 02-03-2010. The following issues were framed on 26-03-2010.

ISSUES

2

1 Whether plaintiff has got the cause of action and locus standi? OPP

Whether this court has got the jurisdiction to entertain the instant suit?

Whether plaintiff was at serial No. 3 on the merit list of U/C Titer Khel, where the Fazal Yazdan and Saeed Akhtar were at serial No. 1 and 2?

OPP

Whether after appointment against PST Fazal Yazdan resigned and Saeed Akhtar was appointed as SET and the post in question remained vacant and plaintiff being top candidate is entitled to get his appointment against the same? OPP

When Fazal Yazdan resigned from the in question post and whether after that there was any period of waiting list was remaining for the appointment of the next candidate against the vacant post? OPP Whether plaintiff is entitled to be appointed against the vacant post on the basis of existing / previous merit list? OPP

Relief?

The parties were directed to produce evidence of their choice in support of their respective claims after submitting their list of witnesses. Plaintiff produced

Framiner t District A direct

24/81/5

5

Senior Clerk EDO Office as PW-1 and he himself recorded his statement as PW-2, where after closed his evidence on 20-05-2010. In rebuttal Akram Marwat Assistant EDO Lakki Marwat appeared in the witness box as DW-1 and EDO Noor Hassan as DW-2. Evidence session was closed on 25-06-2010.

The learned counsel for the parties argued over the case. I heard their arguments at length and perused the record on file with their valuable assistance. Now I am going to discuss each and every issue in the light of the evidence of the parties and arguments advanced by their respective counsel.

Issue No. 2

TEST

District & Session Judicipe Plaintiff is not a civil servant and he, filed the instant suit for declaration

cum permanent mandatory injunction for seeking his appointment being successful top candidate of merit list of U/C Titer Khel for the post of PST. Plaintiff has rightly knocked the door of civil court because U/S 9 of Civil Procedure Code, civil court has vast power to entertain the matters pertinent to civil nature. Issue is answered in positive.

Issue No. 3, 4 & 5:

These issues are interlinked and interconnected, therefore, taken together for convenient disposal. Plaintiff produced PW-1 Senjor Clerk EDO Office who produced and exhibited his office record, advertisement dated 16-10-2008, for the in question post is available on file as EXPW 1/1 and on the basis of the same plaintiff applied through an application along with documents which are cay able on file as EXPW ½ to EXPW 1/13. PW-1 has specifically mentioned open merit where 25 75 0/ open merit, whereas 75 % appointments are made on the basis of union council. He exhibited final merit list dated 16-12-2008, as EXPW 1/14, while mentioning that plaintiff Sher Daraz Khan being resident of U/C Titer Khel is at serial No. 3 whereas Fazal Yazdan and Saeed Akhtar were at serial No. 1 and 2 respectively

4

and through appointment order dated 06-03-2009 EXPW 1/15 being top candidate Fazal Yazdan was appointed against the said post but lateron he resigned from the said post and through letter dated 30-1-2010, of EDO his resignation was considered from 01-12-2009. According to PW-1as per EXPW 1/16 Saeed Akhtar who are at serial No. 2 has appointed as SST on 11-12-2009 and who himself in his own writing requested that he has no objection over the appointment of the next candidate at serial No. 3 i.e. plaintiff.

During cross examination he has specifically mentioned that after resignation the specified period for the appointment of the said post has lapsed so that the next candidate of merit list could not be appointed. He exhibited letter dated 02-03-2008, 05-01-2009, 01-10-2005, 31-12-2008 and 12-10-1993 as EXPW 1/D1 while deposing that the concept / policy / law of waiting list for the period of six months after appointment is no more in field / existence on the basis of the said letters / policies and now after appointment remaining candidates cannot be appointed if the said field post is vacant. He further produced record about conducting inquiry against Fazal Yazdan who was serving against the two posts at the same time, but the said fact is not relevant to discuss here and the relevant matter and fact is that when after his appointment against the in questioned post his resignation letter was considered which from the exhibited office record of EDO is clear that from 01-12-2009 the in question post was again

In the light of the above mentioned discussion it is clear that as per merit list being top candidate Fazal Yazdan was appointed as PST in U/C Titer Khel on 06-03-2009 but lateron he resigned on 01-12-2009 and as per standing policy / when the in question post was vacant after 09 months from the appointment order. No doubt plaintiff was at serial No. 3 at the merit list of U/C Titer Khel but candidate at serial No. 1 being deserving candidate was appointed but lateron it was surfaced that the said appointed person is already at job where inquiry was

vacant, whereas the date of appointment of the Fazal Yazdan was 06-03-2009.

ough

Examiner to District & Session

24(8/1)

initiated but he himself resigned on 01-12-2009 and the second cand himself gave his consent in favour of plaintiff because he was already appoi as SET. But when the in question post was vacant after passing the 09 month initial appointment then appointment of plaintiff on that merit list does not se correct at all because period of more then 09 months was passed and the si vacant post cannot be filled on the basis of 09 months back merit list and during the said period defendants / Education Department Authorities appointe some other candidates against the advertised post while violating of their own policy even then the said wrong act does not create right and entitle plaintiff. Any how when the vacant post is re-advertised then plaintiff on humanitarian ground is considered on priority basis due to the said past event. Issue No. 3 is decided in positive and Issue No. 4 & 5 are decided accordingly.

Mistelet & Service Inc Lanhi Marka

Issue No. 1 & 6

In the light of my discussion on foregoing issues it is clear that the in question post was vacant after 09 months and plaintiff who was at serial No. 3 in the merit list but after the resignation of appointed person and appointment of the second one candidate as SET he came at top but on the basis of 09 months back merit list claiming of appointment is not correct at all and as per procedure and rules the said vacant post must be re-advertised and plaintiff can apply for the same where he is given preference as DW-2 EDO is ready for his appointment even on the previous merit list but claiming his appointment on the basis of previous merit list is not correct and he has got no cause of action on the basise of the same and not entitled to get the decree. Therefore both the issues Control and resided in negative. Levery M. C.

Relief?

The crux of the above discussion is that plaintiff is not entitled to claim his appointment against the vacant in question post on the back

Therefore suit of plaintiff is hereby dismissed. Any how when the vacant post readvertise then the case of plaintiff is considered on priority basis due to suffering from the last event. No order as to cost.

Mrs. Masa Saeed Civil Judg No-I, Lakki Marwat

MAPS AGEN SHOOM Che Indicated Magicines Loidy Marvet

CERTIFICATE.

Certified, that the instant judgment of mine consists of 06 pages each has been read over corrected and signed by me after its necessary correction wherever necessary.

approximen No. April cation received on. Copying fee Deposited on. No. Date - Delivery of Copie ं मृह्या ख्ट Vaine of copyist Copy completed on Conv delivered on Signature of expininer

Civil Judgell, Lakki Marwat MAS UCLE PASSA

Chances / Provide Magicacted Leidi Market

Dien or & Seesan Judgo Auto Mariyat.

CONFIDENTIAL



Provincial Inspection Team K.P.

Block No. 15, Attached Department Complex, Khyber Road, Peshawar Cantt. Tele: 9210956-9212270

No.241/INSP/By Name Inq/PIT/2013/ Dated: March 01, 2013

То

The Secretary to

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Elementary & Secondary Education,

Peshawar.

Attention: Section Officer (Schools/Male)

Subject: Inquiry Regarding Irregular Appointments in Different

Cadres in EDO (E&SE) Office Lakki Marwat.

Memo:

I have honour to refer your Notification No.SO(S/M) E&SE/4-17/2012/Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO Lakki dated 31.12.2012 on the subject cited above to forward herewith a copy of inquiry report regarding irregular appointments in different cadres made by Mr.Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO Lakki Marwar containing (Twenty Two) pages alongwith (39) Annexures in two volume for further necessary action at your end.

Kindly acknowledge.

Muhammad Humayun Chairman, PIT.

Encl: Report 1 to 22 pages Annex: A to AM

D3Mohammad Humayon Chainnan PIT 221 [124]nq rep. Illegal Appointment Made EDO La\Ki Morwat 80] [3\Executive District Officer, E&S Depti; to attnd PIP if inq proceeding 1402-13.doc

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: INQUIRY REGARDING IRREGULAR APPOINMENTS IN DIFFERENT CADRES IN EDO (E&SE) OFFICE LAKKI MARWAT

According to the Elementary & Secondary Education Department Notification No SO(S/M) E&SE/4-17/2012/Noor Hassan Khan, Ex EDO, Lakki Marwat dated 31.12..2012, the Chief Minister KPK was pleased to appointment the undersigned as Inquiry Officer to conduct inquiry regarding irregular appointments in different cadres and other irregularities mentioned in the Charge Sheets and submit report within one month (Antexuro-A). Letter in question was received on 08.01.2013. All the accused were asked through registered letter for submission of written replies within seven days failing which it shall be presumed that they had no defence to put in & in that case ex-parte decision shall be taken (Annexure-B). The Elementary & Secondary Education Department nominated Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman, Section Officer (Schools/Male) as departmental representative to assist and appear before the committee along with relevant record (Annexure-C).

A) MR. NOOR HASSAN KHAN;

Mr. Noor Hassan Khan was appointed as EDO (E&SE) Lakk Marwat with effect from 14-03-2009. He served as EDO till 28-02-2011. Subsequently he was posted as Principal, GHSS Khaweshgi, District Nowshera. According to his date of birth he stood retired from service with effect from 17-10 2012. In the light of FR 54-A no action can be taken against a retired civil servant after retirement from service. However department has to register an FIR with local police for recovery of loss caused to Provincial exchequer (Annexure-D). Since a number of Officials are involved therefore it is decided to conduct inquiry and submit report. Loss caused by the Ex-EDO to public exchequer may be recovered from him by registering an FIR with local police.

According to Charge Sheet Mr. Noor Hassan Khan,Ex-EDO(E&SE) Lakki Marwat now Principal GHSS Khaweshgi Payan District Nowshehra has been charged as under;-

"Committed irregularity of illegal appointments in different cautres in violation of merit, Government policy and procedures in the office of EDO (E&SE) Lakki Marwat"

He in his written reply dated 17-1-2013 stated that he joined Education Department in October, 1973 as SV Teacher. He served in various capacities (A nex-E). He added that all the orders have been issued in accordance with rules, regulations & policy of the Government. All the codal formalities were fulfilled before filling vacancies. He always followed rules and regulations therefore he may be exonerated. During the month of May, 2010 applications were invited for various posts through daily "Aaj" tated 11-05-2010 (Annexure-F). A large number of candidates applied for various posts. According to selection

criteria 100 marks have been distributed as per detail given below:-

Academic qualification

= 100 marks*

Academic qualification

SSC or equivalent HSSC or equivalent PTC

marks obtainedx30/total marks marks obtainedx20/total marks marks obtainedx30/total marks

Addit<u>ional qualificatio</u>n

Experience

BA/BSc or equivalent - marks obtainedx10/total marks MA/MSc or equivalent marks obtainedx5/total marks marks obtainedx5/total marks

Total marks

=100

During checking of record it was noticed that the posts of TTAAT (Females) and PST (Male) were kept vacant for the reasons that Peshawar High Court, DI Khan Bench has issued stay order (Annex-G). However appointments have been made against the posts of TT (Female) & PST (Male) in violation of court orders.

Mr. Muhammad Ayub Khan, District Officer(M) Lakki/Marwat 2)

Muhammad Ayub Khan is working as District Officer (Male) Lakki Marwat with effect from 24-4-2008. He was charged as follow:-

"Being member of DSC committee committed irregularity by signing fictitious* and fake merit list and approved some illegal quotas in violation of merit, Government policy and procedures and supporting the Ex-EDC(E&SE) Lakki Marwat in making illegal appointment"

His written reply was received on 17-1-2013 (Annex-H). He claimed that appointment orders of TT(Male), Qari (Male), DM(Male), PST(Male), Lab Assistant and Driver were issued by the Ex- EDO. He neither took part in the meeting of DSC nor signed merit lists. He signed merit lists of CT (Male), CT (Female) and PST (Female) prepared by dealing Assistant. It is possible that some candidates have submitted fake documents with their application. Before issuance of appointment orders verification of documents was required. He requested the EX-EDO for verification of documents before issuing appointment orders which was not honoured. On the direction of Ex-EDO tests & interviews were conducted by the Principal/Headmaster of the school concerned and ministerial staff. He was not member of test/interview committees. He only matched the final merit list with interview list. No discrepancy was noticed. At the time of interview original documents were checked by the interviewing committee. At the time of signing final merit list original documents were not available. Only interview list was provided. He never intentionally signed illegal quota in violation of merit.

3) Mr. Noorani Shah, Dy Distt Officer (M/F)

Mr. Noorani Shah, worked as Deputy District Officer (M/F) with effect from 2.11.2009 to 11.10.2011. He was charged as under:

"Drawing and Disbursing Officer of PST (Male) committed gross negligence and made irregular payment causing huge loss to the public exchequer"

Mr. Noorani Shah the then DDO Lakki Marwat now Headmaster, Government High School Baist Khel, Lakki Marwat in his reply claimed that it is sole responsibility of Selection Committee to appoint suitable candidates against available vacancies (Annex-I). The composition of the District Selection Committee notified by the E&AD vide Notification No.SOR-V(E&AD)2-7/2003 dated 1-11-2006 is as under (Annex-J):

a) EDO Education (E&SE)

-Chairman

b) Nominee of DCO

Member Member

c) One nominee of Administrative Deptt:

Member

d) District Officer concerned

Member

Mr. Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO constituted District Selection Committee of his choice composition of which is as under:-

S.No	Name	Designation	
1	Mr. Noor Hassan Khan	Ex EDO, Lakki Marwat	Chairman
2	Mr. Muhammad Ayub Khan,	District Officer(M) Lakki Marwat	Member
3	Mr. Shafiullah, PS to DCO	Representative of DCO 3	Member
4 .	Mr. Amanullah,	Head Clerk, EDO, Lakki Marwat.	Member
ψ,	Mr. Bahadar Zaman	Senior Clerk, EDO, Lakki Marwat.	
	Mr Haroon Badshsah (For respective caders)	Junior Clerk, EDO, Lakki Marwa shown as Assistant	t i

Since he was not member of the selection committee therefore he was never consulted in the selection process. Appointees were directed to report the concerned headmaster of the school after having medical examination. After completion of these documents by the concerned school, cases were forwarded to DDO. The DDO scrutinized documents for release of salaries. This procedure is in practice since long. Accordingly forwarded names of appointees for payment of salaries after fulfilling all legal requirements. On 28.2.2011 Mr. Abdul Malik took over the charge of EDO. He decided that salaries may be

(86)

stopped. His order was implemented with letter and spirit.

4) Mr. Amanuliah, Head Clerk, EDO, Lakki Marwat

Mr. Amanullah is working as Head Clerk (BPS-14) in the office of the DDO (M) E&SE Lakki Marwat with effect from 23-5-2004 till date. He was charged as follow:-

"Committed gross negligence, supporting and assisting the Ex-EDO in making illegal appointment in violation of merit, Government policy and procedures in the office of EDO (E&SE) Lakki Marwat"

His written reply was received on 15-01-2013 by post (Annex-K). In his reply he claimed that he has no concern with appointment orders during the tenure of Mr. Noor Hassan Khan therefore he committed no irregularity or illegality. He never assisted or supported the EDO in illegal appointments, in violation of merit, Government Policy and procedure in practice. Files dealt with were of routine manners & being no authority, he has no concern with appointments, under the quoted laws; being defective. He is neither guilty nor liable to any penalty specified in the rules. He has signed CT (Female) DSC minutes dated 24-2-2011which negates his statement.

5 Mr. Bahadar Zaman, Senior Clerk, EDO, Lakki Marwat,

Mr. Bahadar Zaman, worked as Junior Clerk at Govt High School Tajori District Lakki Marwat with effect from 30-4-1995 to 15-2-2010. On his promotion as Senior Clerk he was transferred to EDO office, Lakki Marwat on 16-2-2010. He has been charged as follows:-

"Committed gross negligence, supporting and assisting the Ex-EDO in making illegal appointment in violation of merit, Government policy and procedures in the office of EDO (E&SE) Lakki Marwat"

His written reply was received on 16-01-2013 by post which is within given time. In his reply he claimed that he has no concern with appointment orders during the tenure of Mr. Noor Hassan Khan therefore he committed no irregularity or illegality (Annex-L). He never assisted or supported the EDO in illegal appointments, in violation of merit, Government Policy and procedure in practice. Files dealt with were in routine manners & being no authority, he has no concern with appointments. Under the quote I laws, being defective he is neither guilty nor liable to any penalty specified in the rules. Checking of record revealed that he has signed CT (Female) DSC minutes dated 24-2-2011.

6 Mr. Haroon Badshah, Junior Clerk, EDO, Lakki Marwat,

Mr. Haroon Badshah is working as Junior Clerk, in the office of Deputy District Officer (Female) Lakki Marwat with effect from 22-4-2006 till date. Following charges were leveled against him:-

"Committed gross negligence, supporting and assisting the Ex-EDO in making

Page 4 of 22

illegal appointment in violation of merit, Government policy and procedures in the office of EDO (E&SE) Lakki Marwat"

His written reply was received on 15-01-2013 by post which is within given time. In his reply he claimed that he has no concern with appointment orders during the tenure of Mr. Noor Hassan Khan therefore he committed no irregularity or illegality (Annex-M). He never assisted or supported the EDO in illegal appointments in violation of merit, Government Policy and procedure in practice. Files dealt with were in routine manners & being no authority, he has no concern with appointments. Under the quoted laws, being defective he is neither guilty nor liable to any penalty specified in the rules.

He admitted that he distributed and collected application forms. Due to death of his father on 27-1-2011 he handed over data as well as other documents to Ex-EDO. He has also signed PST (Female) DSC minutes dated 24-2-2011.

OBSERVATIONS

The composition of the District Selection Committee notified by the Provincial Government is as under:

a) EDO Education (E&SE)

Chairma

b) Nominee of DCO

Member

c) One nominee of Administrative Deptt:

Member Member

d) District Officer concerned

District Selection Committee notified by Mr. Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDQ is as under:-

S.No	Mame	Designation Ex EDO, Lakki Marwat	Chairman
	Mr. Noor Hassan Khan	EX EDO, LANG MA	
	,	District Officer(M) Lakki Marwat	Member
	Mr. Muhammad Ayub	District Officer(in) Carry 14	
	Khan,	5000	Member
3	Mr. Shafiullah, PS to DCO Mr. Amanullah,	Representative of DCO Head Clerk, EDO, Lakki Marwat	Member
	Mr. Bahadar Zaman	Senior Clerk, EDO, Lakki Marwat,	
;	Mr Haroon Badshsah (For respective cadres)	Junior Clerk, EDO, Lakki Marwat shop as Assistant	/n

Since composition of the DSC is not in accordance with notification of the Provincial Government therefore it by itself is irregular. Ex-EDO E&SE Lakki Marwat intentionally avoided to associate representative of the Administrative Department.

PST (Male):-

Mr Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO invited applications for various posts through daily Aaj dated 11-5-2010. A number of applications were received for the post of PST (Male). A number of PST (M) were appointed without preparation of merit lists and convening meeting of DSC. Test and interview were conducted however due to stay granted by the PHC Bench D.I.Khan vide letter No. 3022/judl/AR dated 23-9-2010 further action was kept pending. DO (Male) in his statement confirmed the same. Mr. Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO issued fifty one (51) appointment orders as per details given below. Copies of appointment orders are attached (Annexure-N).

STATEMENT SHOWING THE DETAILS OF APPOINTMENTS PST (MALE)

		<u> </u>	IENT SHOWN	ORDER	DATE	CATEGORY	REMARKS
S	No.	NAME	FATHER'S NAME	NO.		- 1999 AIOU	<u> </u>
		Rustam Khan	Qasim Khan	201-5	08-02-2011	- 1999 AIOO	No such quota '
1		Said Nawaz	Mir Ali Khan	10937-41	04-102011	Class-įV Quotą	exists at the moment.
2		Qayyum Khan	Pir Ghulam Khan	11714-19	25-10-2010	Class-IV Quota	No such quota exists at the moment.
3		Muhammad	Hayatullah	8344-49	22-01-2011	Class-IV Quota	No such quota exists at the moment.
	4 5	Anwar Niamatullah	Sheikh Rasool	10001-6	8-09-2010	Court Case	Court orders not produced. Appointed as 1999 denied candidate
-	6	Azhar Shah	Mehmood Shah	11772-77	25-10-2010	Court Case	Court orders not produced. Appointed as 1999 denied candidate Court orders not
	7 .	Sayed Wali	Sarfaraz Khan	11795- 801	26-10-2010	Court Case	produced. Appointed as 1999 denied candidate Court orders not
j		Saif ur Rahman	Abdul Raheem Khan	1203-40	01-11-2010	Coult Case	produced Court orders not
	8		Zafar Khan	11391-96	05-11-2010	Coul Case	produced Court orders not
	9	Hidayatullah	Mir Qadar Khan	11917-22	25-11-2010	Cou (Case	produced Court orders not
	10	Amanullah Damsaz Khan	Saday Khan	1690-95	01-01-2011	Coult Case	produced. Appointed as 1999 denied candidate
•	 	Rahim Shah	Zarban Shah	1400-07	01-01-2011	Const Case	Court orders not produced Court orders not
٠.	12	Sher Daraz	Gul Nawaz	67-70	17-01-2011	Court Case	produced Court orders not
Ų,	+	Hidayatullah	Maman Baksh	8355-62	24-01-2011	Court Case	produced Court orders not
•	14	Raham Dil Kha		135-38	01-02-2011	Court Case	produced

- "				:			
· .	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FATHER'S NAME	ORDER	DATE	CATEGORY / QUOTA	REMARKS
S	No.	NAME		NO. 1315-20	09-02-2011	Court Case	Court orders not produced
16	3 .	Sher Bahadur	Syed Daesnary			Court Case	Court orders not
4	7	Noor Aslam	Muhammad Yousaf	1391-97	10-02-2011	Court Case	produced Court orders not
1	8	Munawar Khan	Ayoub khan	1615- 1620	19-02-2011	Court Case	produced. Appointed as 1999 denied candidate Court orders not
	9 .	Najeeb Ullah	Gul Rehan	1878-80	22-02-2011	Court Case :	produced Court orders not
<u>,</u> –		Abdui Wahab	Arzoman Khan	278-81	22-02-2011	Court Case	produced Court orders not
*	.0 	Gul Mar Jan	Wazir Khan	298-302	22-02-2011	Court Case	produced Court orders not
$\sqrt{}$?1 	Islam Badshah	Abdul Habib	2108-12	25-02-2011	Court Case	produced Court orders not
! -	22	Abid Hussain	Noor Qalam Khan	2108-12	25-02-2011	Court Case	produced
1 T	23 24	Momin Khan	Mir Abbas	2108-12	25-02-2011	Court Case.	Court orders not produced. Appointed as 1999 denied candidate. Court orders not
	25	Niamatullah Khan	Amir Nawaz Khan	1441-46	16-2-2010	Court Case.	produced. Appointed as 1999 denied candidate Court orders not
À	26	Samiullah	Ajab Khan	1427-33	16-2-2010	Court Case.	produced. Appointed as 1999 denied candidate Court orders not
V	27	Shah Wali Khan	Hamsedullah Khan	2340-46	11-3-2010	Court Case.	produced. Appointed as 1999 denied candidate Court orders not
	28	Momin Khan	Manboob Khan	4672-78	23-4-2010	Court Case.	produced
	29	Inamuliah Khan	Muhammad Khan	12516-21	21-12-2010	Deceased Son	Documents be
	30	Farhatuliah	Akbar Jan	1288-92	22-12-2010	Deceased Son	produced Documents be
	31	Abdul Hamid	Rahim Jan	725-30	18-01-2011	Deceased Son	produced
	32	Attaullah Khan	Taj ali Khan	1647-52	21 02-2011	Deceased Son	produced
	 	Shafqat Ullah	inayatullah Khan (Late)	1840-44	22-02-2011	Deceased Son	produced Documents be
Vp² .oʻ		Ihsanullah 🗸	Abdul Ghani	,1860-65	22-02-2011	Deceased Son	produced No of disable
A.	35	Mashal Khan	Abdul Jalil	1434-40	16-2-2010	Disable	persons & total number of employees not konown. No of disable
	36	Amjadullah Kha	an Abdul Sattar Khan	9285-91	29-07-2010	Disable	persons & total number of employees not konown.

SNo.	NAME	FATHER'S NAME	ORDER NO.	DATE	CATEGORY / QUOTA	REMARKS
SNO.	NAME	TATILLE STATE	NG.			No of disable persons & total
Ì		Muhammad Aslam	1004.80	30-12-2010	Disable	number of
7	Kaleem Ullah	Khan	1684-89	30-12-2010		employees not
٠		TATELLY .			0%	known.
		<u></u>				No of disable
			1			persons & total
		A L L L L L L L CUPEON	1690-95	30-12-2010	Disable	number of
8	Nasir Mahmood	Muhammad Subhan	1030-33	00 1,5 = 0	. 😁 🚶	employees not
	,				_ : ;	known.
	-		 			No of disable
		• ,		·		persons & total
	Ì Ì	11 1 1 C-H	144-47	01-02-2011	Disable	number of
39	Munawar Khan	Abdul Sattar	, , , , , , , ,			employees not
	1			·		known.
		<u> </u>	_			No of disable
						persons & total
		Aldrea Khan	303-06	23-02-2011	Disable	number of
40	Javed Iqbal	Akbar Khan	00000			employees not
		,	i 1	•	ii .	known.
		ļ			Ex-Service Man Son	No such quota
		K) to the same	95-98	21-01-2011	Quota	exists at the
41	Faridullah	Abdul Manan	30.00		Quota	moment.
<u>/</u> _					Merit	No meeting of DS
42 ,	Zafar Ullah Shah	Saifullah Khan	3651-56	27-3-2010	Wient	held
12 :		<u> </u>			Merit	No meeting of DS
43	Farmanullah	Hayatullah Jan	4185-87	09-4-2010		held
	1	 			Ment	No meeting of DS
44	Abdul Qadir Khan	Habibullah Khan	11802-05	26-10-2010	184	held
77 	7,000, 4				Merit	No meeting of DS
45	Niamatullah Khan	Inayatullah Khan	29-32	01-01-2011	wera.	held
70	(TIGITIGATION)			22.00.004.1	Merit	No meeting of DS
46	Khalid Usman	Amir Nawaz	1106-11	29-01-2011	Men	held
40	Titland Comman				Ment	No meeting of DS
47	Bashirullah	Muzaffar Khan	224-27	10-02-2011	i mem	held
+1	Basimanon			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Merit	No meeting of DS
48	Fahimullah	Mashal Khan	2001-05	24-02-2011	Ment	held
40	Familienan	7.1.20		 		No such quota
		4001.06	5-11-2010	Rtd. Employee Son.	exists at the	
49	Asim Jan	Hakam Jan	1821-26	3-11-2010	Quota	moment
				+	D. J. Berlinger	No such quota
		<u> </u>	14770 04	25-10-2010	Shahaed Brother's	exists at the
50 Wahid Ullah		Saifullah	11778-84	25-10-2010	Quota	moment
						No such quota
	iftekhar Ahmad	40.50	201-5	08-02-2011	Teacher Son	exists at the
51	Khan	Sher Ali Khan	201-0	00-02-2011	1	moment

WP No. 451 with CM 441 of 2010, WP 222/2008 & WP No22 of 2010 PHC DI Khan Bench remanded cases to EDO to decide the same 15 days & 60 days respectively (Annex-O). No specific orders with regard to the appointment of petitioners were passed. The Ex-EDO misinterpreted the judgments of the courts in his own way. It is astonishing to note that only seven persons were appointed on merit. Three candidates were appointed against class-IV quota which does not exist. Twenty four appointment orders were issue under the shelter of court cases. One candidate was appointed as PST being 1999 qualified from Allama Iqbal Open University. Six candidates were appointed against deceased son quota. Similarly six were appointed against disable quota. Actual number of posts falling to

(8)

the share of disable has not been indicated. Mr. Farid ullah s/o Abdul Manan was appointed being ex-serviceman. No such quota exists at the moment. One person was employed being teacher's son. One person was appointed being brother of Shaheed and one person being son of retired employee. Ex-EDO failed to produce merit list and minutes of the DSC. Thus all the appointments can be termed as irregular. Ex-EDO Lakki Marwat issued appointment orders in piecemeal just to conceal his illegal acts. Details of piecemeal appointments are as under:

SNo.	Number of persons appointed	Date of appointment	Dispatch Number
1.	One	29-07-2010	9285-91
2.	One	8-09-2010	0001-06
. 3.	Three	25-10-2010	11772-77, 11778-84,
4.	One :	26-10-2010	11795-801
5.	' One	1-11-2010	12035-40
6.	Two	5-11-2010	1821-26,11391
7.	One	25-11-2010	11917-22,
8.	One -	21-12-2010	12516-21
9.	One	22-12-2010	1288-92
10.	· Two	30-12-2010	1684-89,1590-05
11.	One	1-1-2011	1690-95
12.	One	17-1-2011	67-70
13.	One	18-1-2011	725-30
14	One	21-1-2011	95-98
15.	One	22-1-2011	8344-49
16.	One	24-1-2011	8355-62
17.	One	29-1-2011	1.106-1.1
18.	One	1-2-2011	144-47
19.	One	9-2-2011	1315-20
20.	Orie	10-2-2011	1391-97
21.	One	19-2-2011	1615-20
22.	One	21-2-2011	1647-52
23.	Four	22-2-2011	1840-44,1860-65.
24.	Two	23-2-2011	1878-80, 278-81
25.	One	24-2-2011	298-302,303-06
26 .	One	08-2-2011	2001-05
27.	One		201-5
28.	One	4-10-2010 1-2-2011	10937-4.1 135-38

29.	Three	25-2-2011	<u> 3 2108-12 </u>
30:	One	16-2-2010	# 1441-46 1
31.	One	16-2-2010	1427-33
32.	One	11-3-2010	2340-46
33.	One	23-4-2010	4672-78
34.	One	16-2-2010	1434-40
35.	One	1-2-2011	144-47
36.	One	27-3-2010	3651-56
37.	One	9-4-2010	4185-87
38.	One	1-1-2011	29-32
39.	One	8-2-2011	201-5
40.	One	1-1-2011	1400-07
41.	One	26-10-2010	11802-05
42.	One	10-2-2011	224-27
43.	Fifty one (51)		Ψ,

Six (6) persons were appointed against deceased son quota on 21-12-2010, 18-01-2011, 21-2-2011, 22-2-2011, 5-2-2011 & 22-12-2010 which are justified. Neither meeting of DSC was convened nor was final merit list prepared. Two (2) appointment orders of Mr.Gul Marjan s/o Wazir Khan, GPS Guli Khel Battani and appointment of Ismat kausar D/o Shah Muhammad, Masha Mansoor, GGPS Azim Kilia (Masha Mansoor) have been allotted same dispatch number i.e 298-302 dated 23-2-2011 (Annex-P). Similarly appointment orders of Mr.Damsaz Khan s/o Saday Khan, GPS Kotka Gul, Karam Sra Darga and appointment of Nasir Mehmood S/o Muhammad Subhan, GPS Purana Manji wala have allotted same dispatch number i.e 1690-95 dated 30-12-2010 (Annex-C). Thus all the appointments are irregular. Mr. Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO is personally responsible for the same. Members of the DSC can't be held accountable for this irregularity.

PST(Female);-

About 1134 applications were received for the post of PST(1). According to DDO (Female) only nineteen (19) vacancies were available. Ex-EDO issued seventy nine (79) appointment orders. Thirty nine appointment orders were issued vide notification No. 2074-79/PST(F) dated 25-2-2011 duly approved by the DSC (Annex-R). Remaining forty (40) orders have been issued on 16-2-2010, 5-11-2010,4-1-2011,13-1-2011,10-2-20112,24-1-2011,23-2-2011, and 25-2-2011 that too without obtaining approval of DSC. Appointment order of two persons against deceased son quota seems justified. Remaining appointment orders are irregular. Three appointment orders were issued prior to advertisement dated 11-5-2011. Miss Bibi Zainab d/o Munawar Khan was appointed at GGPS Langer Khel as PST against deceased son quota. Reportedly her father is alive.

, No.	Name of Candidate	Father's Name	Name of School	Date of Issue Order	Pay Status	Service Status	Name of Court	Remarks
1	Asma Bibi	Saheb Gul	GGPS Saifullah Mash Mastikhani	18-10-10	Stopped	Terminaled	Reasons	Fake appointment order
2	Zarin Taj	Zari Jan	GGPS Saifullah Mash Mastikhani	18-10-10	Stopped	Terminated	Rensons	lfake appointment order
3	Bibi Sadiqa	Haibat Khan	GGPS Kolka Zarwali Khan (Naurang)	28-01-11	Stopped	Terminated	Reasons	Fake appointment order
4	Rukia Bibi	Wali Jan	GGPS Wanda Mashmasti Khani	22-02-11	Stopped	Terminated	Reasons	Sake appointment order
5 -	Shakila Bibi	Najibullah	GGPS Mir Azam Michin Khel	22-02-11	Stopped	Terminated,	Reasons	Fake appointment order
8	Shamshad Bibi	Rahim Yar Khan	GGPS Ghati Michin Khel	22-02-11	Stopped	Terminated	Reasons	Fake appointment order
7	RUKHSANA HAYAT	Hayatullah	GGPS Textile Mills Sera-e- Naurang	25-02-11	Stopped	Terminated	DSC Approval	July approved by DSC, PTC Marks 563, Not 653 as recorded in the merit lists. Service terminated due to take documents.
8	NUSRAT SHAHEEN	Awal Khan	GGPS Sheri Khel Faqiran	25-02-11	Stopped	Terminated	DSC Approval	Duly approved by DSC. Passed FA 2009. Marks for experience not correct. Service terminated due to fake documents.
. 9 .	. JAMSHID BIBI	Ghulam Nabi	GGPS Hamid Abad Lakki	25-02-11	Stopped	Terminated	DSC Approval	Outy approved by DSC, SSC found Fake, Service terminated due to fake documents.
10	FEHMIDA BIBI	Amin Khan	GGPS Toti Abad Lakki	25-02-11	Stopped	Terminated	DSC Approval	Duly approved by DSC. Service terminated due to fake documents.
11	NAUREEN NIAZI	Abdul Ghaffar	GGPS Wanda Khara	25-02-11	Stopped	Terminated	DSC, Approval	Ouly approved by DSC. Service terminated due to Take documents.
12	SHAMIM BEGUM	Anmad Jan	GGPS Khushal Adamzai	25-02-11	Released	Terminated	DSC Approval	Duly approved by OSC. Passed F.A in July 2010. No eligible. Service terminated due to fake documents.
13	RIFAT RANI	Feroz Khan	GGPS Jhang Khel No. 2	25-02-11	Stopped	Terminated	DSC Approval	Ouly approved by DSC. Only two vacancies in the U.C. She is at S. No. 28 in U.C inerit list. On the orders of the PHC her appointment was terminated and Miss Sonia Tuman was appointed.
14	Ruqia Bibi	Muhammad Khan	GGPS Kechi Qamar	25-02-11	Stopped	Terminated	100	§
15	Abda Moin	Moeenullah	GGPS Khan Khel Mastaran	21-12-10	Pay Stop	Regular Case panding in Service Tribunal K.P	13	1 de 1
ຸາຮ	Afshan Bibī	Azim Khan	GGPS Wanda Faqiran (Sheri Khel)	21-02-11		Regular Case pending in Service Tribunal K.P	1,79 145,	
17	Ismat Kausar	Shah Muhammad	GGPS Azim Kala Mashmansoor	23-02-1	1 Pay Stop	Regular Case pending in Service Tribunal K.P	10) 11	2 2 8
18	MARWARIDA BIBI	Saheb Jan	GGPS Ghazi Khel	25-02-1	1 Pay Stop	Regular Case pending in Service Tribunal K.P	DSC Approva	

		Muhammad Afzal	GGPS Rehamdil/ Qureshi Adamzai	25-02-11	Stopped	Regular Case pending in Service Tribunal K.P	Approvai	Duty approved by the DSC.
o l	Farhada Matin		GGPS Hafiz Mala Khel	24-10-10	Released			ox servicemen at the moment.
21	Rukhsana Bibi	Saheb Khan	GGPS Hafiz Mala Khel	24-10-10	Released	•	-	
22	Kulsoom Bibi	Habibullah	GGPS No. 3 Mela Mandra Khel	11-05-10	Released	-		No Court Order available.
23	Ishrat Rehana		GGPS Hafiz Mala Khel	01-03-11	Released	<u>.</u>	Reverted CT to PST	in the Heat
24	Bibi Zainab	Munawat Khan	GGPS Langer Khel Hindal	/ 01-04-11	Released			lier Father still alive. Her Husband was a Sailor in Pak Navy. Provl. Govt. is not supposed to provide her job.
25	Miss Muserat	Nasrullah Khan	ggps Sharbi Khel	13-01-11	Released			Does not appears in the final merit list. No vacaricy is available in the U.C.
26	Farhat Bibi	Tahir Sháh	GGPS Sargrah Muhammad Khan	24-01-11	Released			Appointed against Deceased
27	Madiha Asmal	Asmatullah	GGPS Akhtojan Baitani	02-10-11	Released	-		Son quota, Unattested, DSC approval not available.
28	Zainab Nooreen	Banauddin	GGPS Akhtojan Baitani	22-02-11	Released		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
29	Sabra Bibi	Ali Jan	GGPS Kotka Zarwali Khan (Naurang)	22-02-11	Released	-		
30	Farida Begum	Mirzali Khan	GGPS Zarjano	24-02-11	Released			Duly approved by the DSC
31	SHEHLA MEHMOOD	Mehmood Hashim	GGPS Khushdil Adamzai	25-02-11	Released	s	DSC Approval	She was a regular student Govt College Lakki. Experience marks not allowed.
32	NAJMA NOOREEN	Muhammadullah	GGPS Wanda Khan Doran	25-02-11	Release	d -	DSC, Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. She did BSc from Bannu Univ: hence not eligible for exp: marks.
33	HUSAN PARI	Nawaz Khan	GGPS Azal Mir Baltani	25-02-11	1 Release	d .	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC
34	HAMIDA GUL	Dilawar Khan	GGPS Ghandi Qamar Zaman	25-02-1	1 Release	ed	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC
35	KANWAL UROOJ	Muhammad Ramzan	GGPS Wanda Khan Doran	25-02-1	1 Release	be	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC She passed PTC in 2009, eligible for teaching experience.
36	GAMAR TALAT	Hamiduilah	GGPS Amina Jabo Khel	25-02-1	1 Releas	ed -	DSC Approval	2010. Thus ineligible.
3		Gulnawaz Khai	GGPS Harama	25-02-	11 Releas	ed	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC No vacancy in U/C.
3	8 FAHMEDA	Inayatullah Kh	an GGPS Zarjan	o 25-02-	11 Releas	sed	Approva	Duly approved by the DSC She was a regular student Govt. College Lakki. Not entitled for experience ma
	GULSHAN BIBI	Jan Gui	GGPS Khan Khei Mandaz	25-02	-11 Relea	sed -	ALbions DSC	Duly approved by the DS No vacancy available in U Expereince marks irregula



10	SHAKIBA	Ali Khan	GGPS Harama Tala Wakil	25-02-11	Released		DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC.
41	Shakila Bibi	Mir Sardar	GGPS Gulbaz	25-02-11	Released	· •	3.	No vacancy in U/C. She is at 5#3. No approval of DSC. She passed PTC in 2009. Not entitled for experience marks.
42	Sameen Ara	Mir Ajab Khan	GGPS Wada Kuttana	25-92-11	Released	-		No vacancy in U/C. DSC approval not available.
43	Farida Yasmin	Abdul Manan	GGPS Dulatmir Lawang Khel	25-02-11	Released	-		I, a vacancy in U/C. Poor merit.
44	Nadia Noreen	Rehmatullah	GGPS Tarkha Bazi Khel No. 2	25-02-11	Released	. -		No vacancy in U/C.
45	Razia Nooreen	Mujib Rasool	GGPS Landiwa Mazullah	25-02-11	Released	<u>-</u>		22-64-3-11/0
46	Iram Naveed	Nobat Khan	GGPS . Muhammad Nawaz Tajazai	25-02-11	Released	-		No post available in U/C. Experience marks wrongly ewarded.
47	Gul Rabbani	Azad Khan	GGPS Akhtojan Baitani	25-02-11	Released			No post available in U/C. Experience marks wrongly awarded.
48	Tania Aman	Amanullah.	GGPS Jhang Khel No. 2	03-06-12	Released		In place of Rifat Rani	<i>,</i>
49	Asma Dilawar	Dilawar Khan	GGPS No. 3 Meta Mandra Khel	05-11-12	. Released			
50	Mehtab Gul	Momin Khan	GGPS Gul Akhtar Ghazni Khel	-	Released		· ·	
51	Asia Bano	Yousaf Khan	GGPS M. Ayaz Bakhmal Ahmadzai	23-02-11	Stopped		é.	
52	HASINA LATIF	Latifullah	GGPS Hafiz Mala Khel	25-02-11	Stopped		DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. Regular Student of Jinnal Coilege Peshawar. Not seligible for experience marks.
53	SHAKILA	Abdul Qayum	GGPS Mash Habibullah	25-02-11	Stopped	:	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC.
54	ROMANA GUL	Saifur Rehman Shah	GGPS Qeemat Manjiwala	25-02-11	Stopped		DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. She passed BSc. from Univ. of Bannu. Not entitled for experience marks.
55	SOBIA GUL	Gul Badshah	GGPS Shamoni Khattak Maazullah	25-02-11	Stopped	-	DSC Approval	Ouly approved by the DSC.
5 8	ROBINA SHAHEEN	Falak Naz	GGPS Langer Khel Hindal	25-02-11	Stopped		DSC. Approval	Duly approved by the DSC.
57	SUMIA RAHIM QURESHI	Abdul Raheem	GGPS Sarga Khero Khel	25-02-11	Stopped	•	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC.
58	SHEHLA	Mirzali Khan	GGPS Wanda Kalan	25-02-11	Stopped	-	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC.
59	SHAHEEN FARHAT NISA	Watan Khan	GGPS Wanda Lughman	25-02-11	Stopped	-	DSC Approval	*Duly approved by the DSC. She passed PTC in 30-3- 2010. Regular student Govt. College. Not entitled for exporience marks.
60	NIGHAT SULTANA	Muhammad Bashir	GGPS Shakh Quli Khan No. 2	25-02-11	Stopped		DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. She passed PTC in 2009, Not entitled for experience marks.
61	MUSARAT SHAHEEN	Muhammad Nazir Khan	GGPS Sherjan Abad	25-02-11	Stopped		DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC.

				,			15	<u> </u>
62	ZAHEEN BEGUM	Nasib Ali Shah	GGPS Kotka Madat	25-02-11	Stopped	-	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. Regular student of Bannu Univ: in 2007.
63	FARIDA BIBI	Muhammad ghulam	GGPS Jhang Khel No. 2	25-02-11	Stopped	•	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. PTC documents not available.
64	HAJRA MUSARAT	Muhammad Ibrahim	GGPS Biland Khel	25-02-11	Stopped		DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. She was a regular student of ESC. Not entitled for experience marks.
65	NADIA PERVEEN	Altaf Khan	GGPS Nar Gul Hassan Shah	25-02-11	Stopped		DSC Approval	Liuly approved by the DSC. No vacancy in U/C. Regular student of Bannu Univ: Not entitled for experience marks.
66	ROMANA SOHRAB	Sohrab Khan	GGPS Kotka Zarwali Khan (Naurang)	25-02-11	Stopped		DSC Approval	Culy approved by the DSC.
67	SADIA KHAN	Kalu Khan	GGPS Bai Khan	25-02-11	Stopped	-	DSC -> Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. No vacancy available in U/C.
68	NAJMA IRAM	(Ghazni Khel) · Amanullah Khan	GGPS Illawal Khel	25-02-11	Stopped	Case pending in Service Tribunal K.P	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC.
69	SAKINA GUL	Shah Alam Khan	GGPS Tabi	25-02-11	Stopped	•	DSC Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. No vacancy available in U/C.
70	SHAGUFTA BIBI	Yunas Khan	Murad GGPS Wanda Banochi	25-02-11	Stopped	•	DSC (*Approval	Duly approved by the DSC. No quota is reserved for ex- nvicemen at the moment.
71	ZAITOON BIBI	Umer Khan	GGPS Wanda Aurangzeb	25-02-11	Stopped	-	DSC Approval	Usily approved by the DSC. Overage. No vacancy in U/C Literit position 72. If condidates within age limit are available then relaxation cannot be accorded.
72	Abida Perveen	Abdul Aziz	GGPS Langer Khel Hindal	25-02-11	Stopped	-	Appinnt: Order not Available	r.
73	Rukhsana Bibi	Syed Ahmad.	GGPS Aghza Quzha	25-02-11	Stopped		\$44 142 142	n rassed F.A on 21.7.2010.
74	Naila Hassan	Noor Hassan	GGPS Tari Khel No. 2	25-02-11	Stopped	-	,	D/O of Noor Hassan Ex-ED Lakki Marwar
75	Anmera Bibi	Gui Muhammad	GGPS Sargarha Mehmad Khan	25-02-11	Stopped		1360	
76	Safina Bibi	Muhammad Shafi	GGPS Ghulam Alı Simti	25-02-11	Stopped		े हैं चंड	Only three seats in the U.C. Her merit position is 72.
77	Fatirna Bibl	Babrai Khan Renar	GGPS Mirsha Baitan	25-02-11	Stopped		150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	3.No.7 in U/C. Not approve by DSC. Only matriculate.
78	Mehnaz Bibi	Amanullah Khan	GGPS Wanda Arsala	25-02-11	Stopped			No vacancy in U/C. Not spproved by DSC. S.No.2
79	Bakht Nama	Farooz Khan	GGPS Kolka Muhammad Nawaz	10-02-11	Stopped	-	Appennt: Order not Available	

Applications for the post of PST (F) were invited through daily Aaj Peshawar dated 16-10-2008. Last date for submission of application was 31-10-2008. According to office order No 10449-53 dated 31-8-2009 Miss Rabia Kalsoom D/O Muhammad Sacid has Leen appointed as PST (Female). At the time submitting application she was matriculate. She passed Primary Teaching Certificate from Allama Iqbal Open University on 14-3-2009. Similarly she passed F.A. examination from BISE Bannu on 26-7-2009. She was not eligible for the post of PTC. It is astonishing to know how she was awarded marks and selected against open merit quota. Dispatch No 10449-53 dated 31-8-2009 tally with dispatch register. It may be pointed out that after passing FA/FSc a candidate becomes eligible to appear in the PTC course. In the instant case she clams to pass PTC examination first in then appeared in FA. His

ppointed is irregular. His husband is working as KPO in EDO office who used unfair means of her appointment as PST(Annex-S).

ater on, documents were got verified by the sitting EDO which revealed that following six emale PST appointed vide office order No 2074-79/PST(F) dated 25-2-11 presented fake locuments.

		E-ther's name	Place of appointment GGPS Khushdil Adamzai			
S.No	Name	Father's name				
1	Shamim Begum	Ahmad Jan	GGPS, Hameed Abad,			
2	Jamshed Bibi	Ghulam Nabi	1			
			lakki			
3	Rukhsana Hayat	Hayatullah	GGPS Textile Mills			
	Fahmida Bibi	Amin Khan	GGPS Tooti Abad			
4	Nusrat Shaheen	Awal Khan	GGPS Sheri Khel Faqiran			
5		Abdul Ghafoor Niazi	GGPS Wanda Khara			
6	Naureen Niazi	About Gralout Mazi				

Their services have been terminated vide office order No.5800-806 dated 27-07-2012 but no criminal proceedings have been initiated against them (Annex-T). Cases should be registered with Anti Corruption Establishment for producing fake certificat is and forgery otherwise this practice will continue in future. He appointed Miss Bibi Zainab d/o Munawar Khan at GGPS Langer Khel as PST against deceased son quota vide office order No. 1611-17 Dated 4-1-2011(Annex-U). Reportedly her father is alive.

CT(Male)

After inviting applications through advertisement dated 11-5-2010 recruitment process was completed. Minutes were approved by the DSC (Annexure-V). Appointment orders of nine CT (Male) were issued through a consolidated notification No. 2119-24/CT(M) dated 25-2-2011 (Annex-W). Mr. Ihsanullah s/o Watan Khan, Dallo Khel, Mr. Munir Khan S/O Atlas Khan Lakki Michan Khel, Fakhrul Islam s/o Muhib Ullah Mr. Abdur Rehman s/o Abdul Qayyum and Mr. Atiq ur Rehman s/o Abdul Qayyum were appointed as CT Teacher against retired son quota which does not exist at the moment. Four candidates were appointed on 31-5-2010, 4-11-2010, 23-11-2010 without observing codal formalities. Three appointment orders were issued without preparation of merit list and approval of DSC. Mr. Gohar Rehman s/o Muhammad Ayub, District Officer was appointed CT teacher vide office order no. 11874-78 dated 30-11-2010 against quota reserved for Disable person special meeting of the DSC was held on 20-11-2010 for his appointment. All the appointments are illegal

OPEN MERIT

1								<u> </u>		
O Nim I Nim	/	Father	name i	& addro	ess	Posted	Cit	Renjarks		
0.110		1 auto	OL: L	D/O	Condi	CHS	No 1	Against a V	acant post.	
1. La	ig Shah	Nabi	Shan	R/U	Gandi	0110	140,11			

		Khan Khel		Jajori		1 6.1 A
2.	Naqibullah Khan	Muhammad Umer Abad	Zaman R/		No.1	Against a Vacant post. Teaching experience in
		•			,	private institution not countable. Experience marks wrongly awarded
3.	Muhammad/ Iqbal	Zar Gul Khan				No codal formalities i.e advertisement, test, interview, preparation of merit list & approval of DSC have been
<u> </u>			<u> </u>			observed.

SESSION WISE MERIT (OPEN MERIT)

R	er	7	าล		
				_	

Lemair	.3	P.		g v
S.No.	Name .	Father name & address	Posted at.	Remarks
1.	Dilawar Khan 🗸	Mehr Jan R/O Langer Khel Hindal	GMS Satar Bhettani	Against a Vacant Post.
2.	Abdul Majid Khan		GHS Khan Khel Mandozai	Against a Vacant Post.
3.	Hidayatullah	Saadullah R/O Umer Titter Khel	GHS No.1 Taori	Against a Vacant Post.
4.	Abdul Wahab	Muhammad Yousaf Mina Khel	GHS Wanda Aurangzeb	Against a Vacant Post.
5.	Sifatullah /.	Asmat Ullah R/O Asmat Abad	GHSS Landiwah	Against a Vacant Post.
6. Q	Wahid Ullah	Hameed ullah Jan	GHS Daraka, Aziz Khan	He is at S.Mo. 126 in the session wise merit list

RETIRED GOVT SON

S.No.	Name	Father name & address	Posted at	Remarks
1. /	lhsanullah	Watan Khan R/O Dallo Khel	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Retired son quota. does not exist at the moment
2. V	Munir Khan	Atlas Khan R/O Lakki Michan	GHS Abdul Khei	Ex-Service men Quota Does not

(12)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	START CHAPTER THE START		Khèl		exist at the moment
		Apdur Rehman	Abdul Qayyum		He applied for the post of CT on 7-10-
					2010 i.e 4.5 months later after the last date
4.		Fakhrul Islam	Mohibullah Khan		Retired son quota. does not exist at the moment
5.		Mr. Ateeq ur Rehman	Abdul Qayyum.	GHS Wanda Baru	He was appointed against retired employees son quota which does not exist. Approval of DSC not obtained

COURT CASE

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.,			
S.No.	Name	Father name & address	Posted at	Remarks
1.	Muhammad Jamil	Abdullah Jan		Record not available
2.	Fakhar Zaib	Abdul Aziz	}	No court decision and other
				record are available in the office.
3.	Inamullah	Nasir Jan	_	Record is not available in
	-	}		the office. He is working
	·			against CT post at GHS
4.				Jang Khel & drawing his
,				monthly salary under
*				personal No.499376. The H/M concerned failed to
				provide his record in spite of
	,	-		proper correspondence of
			-	the EDO vide his letter
		,		No.7592-97 dated
		·	· .	10.05.2011.
.4	Gohar Rehman	Muhammad	GMS	He was appointed against
		Ayub	Samandi	Disable quota. Approval of
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		DSC not obtained

CT(Female)

According to dealing Assistant eighteen (18) posts of CT (Female) were lying vacant (Annex-X). Against the post of CT (Female) Ex-EDO issued twenty two (22) appointment orders.

		· " Khel "		exist at the moment
3.	Abdur Rehman	Abdul Qayyum		He applied for the post of CT on 7-10-2010 i.e 4.5 months later after the last date
4.	Fakhrul Islam	Mohibullah Khan		Retired son quota. does not exist at the moment
5.	Mr. Ateeq ur Rehman	Abdul Qayyum	GHS Wanda Baru	He was appointed against retired employees son quota which does not exist. Approval of DSC not obtained

COURT CASE

S.No.	Name	Father name & address	Posted at	Remarks
1.	Muhammad Jamil	Abdullah Jan		Record not available
2.	Fakhar Zaib	Abdul Aziz		No court decision and other record are available in the office.
3	Inamullah	Nasir Jan		Record is not available in the office. He is working against CT post at GHS Jang Khel & drawing his monthly salary under personal No.499376. The H/M concerned failed to provide his record in spite of proper correspondence of the EDO vide his letter No.7592-97 dated
				10.05.2011.
4	Gohar Rehman	Muhammad Ayub	GMS Samandi	He was appointed against Disable quota. Approval of DSC not obtained

CT(Female)

According to dealing Assistant eighteen (18) posts of CT (Female) were lying vacant (Annex-X). Against the post of CT (Female) Ex-EDO issued twenty two (22) appointment orders.

(72)

Twenty appointment orders duly approved by DSC were notified vide office order Nos. 1976-78 dated 25.2.2011, 2125-28 dated 25.2.2011 and 2143-48 dated 25.2.2011 in three consolidated lists (Annexure-Y). Appointment order of Nargus Naureen is not traceable. Two appointment orders were issued in piecemeal and without obtaining approval of DSC. A number of anomalies were noticed in the appointments of twelve teachers. Miss Fareeda Khanum D/O Bahadar Shah who secured second position in the merit list was dropped for unknown reasons. Similarly Miss Nargus Naureen D/O Khan Badshah & Miss Rehana Gull D/O Muhammad Qasim (disable Quota) recommended by DSC for appointment was ignored for unknown reasons.

r. o.	Name of Candidate	Father's Name	Name of School	Date of Issue Order	Service Status	· 	Remarks
1	Rukhsana Gul	Gul Marjan	GGMS Mash Habibullah	25.2.2011 .	. •		
2.	Nasim Akhtar	Rasool Khan	GGMS Jhang Khel	25.2.2011			SSC DMC not available. B.A degree and CT cutificate seem fake. Being fresh candidate not ontitled for experience marks. She possess the lowest merit BA Degree fake. CT certificate is also
3	Hameeda Bano	Zainud Din	GGMS . Nagibuliah Tajori	25.2.2011			doubtful, All the documents are unattented.
4	Maimoona : Rehman (PST)	Gul Rehman	GGMS Wanda Shahab Khel	25.2 2011			• 4
5	Rizwana Gul	Muhammad Casim	GGMS Bharat. Khel Landiwah.	25.2.2011			Applied as frest: candidate but awarded two extra marks for experience.
8	Shabaum Bibi	Izal Khan	GGMS Kolka Ayaz Khan Bakhmal Ahmadzai	25.2.2011	-		All the certificales are fake. All the documents are unattested. She was appointed against retired.
7	Faiga Bashir	Bashir Hussain	GGMS Abdul Khel	25.2.2011		-	empliyee ward quota, which does not exists, She war, at S. No. 48 in the session-wise merit list. She applied for the post of CT - May 2010 while her Mother retired. October 2010.
8	. Semrin Bibi	Muhammad Nawaz	GGHS Tajori.	25.2.2011	-	•	She was appointed against retired employee ward quota, which does not exist. She applied for the CT post on 12.2'2011 i.e. it months after the last date of submission of application. She never appeared in the interview but appointed.
9.	Rehana Shaheen	Zulfiqar Ahmad	GGMS Urner Daraz Tajori.	25.2.2011		-	Overage by 3 years 8 months. All the documents are unattested.
10	Rizwana Kokab	Abdul Hadi	GGMS Abdul Khel	25.2.2011	-		CT cortificate not available. Hence, session is unknown. CNIC (Bannu) differs from delpicite certificates (Lakki Marvat)
11	Hameeda Bibi	ibrahim Khalil	GGMS Tabi Murad	25,2,2911	•		9
12	Adila Tariq PST	Muhammád Tariq	GGMS Jhang Khel	25.2.2011		-	17 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
13	Nargas Yasmin	Juma Khan	GGMS Mandan Majiwala.	25.2.2011			100 000 300
14	Razai Begum	Karim Khan	GGMS Kheru Khel Pacca	25.2.2011	-	-	
15	Nazia Gul	Syed Murotaz Ati	GGMS Naqibullah Tajori	25.2.2011			
16	Bibl Rugia	Nabiuliah Jan	GGMS Landiwa.	25 2.2011	-		



17	Kaisoom Bibi	Habibur Rehman	GGMS: Bachka <u>.</u> Ahmadzai	25.2.2011		She passed CT exemination in 2004. In
18	Saima Malik Nazir	Momin Khan	GGMS Chazi Khel.	25.2.2011		the sersion-wise mentilist 2002 has been reflected which is incorrect. From the mentilist of 2009 it is evident that no candidate of 2003 session comes in the session wise metit.
19	Akhtar Bibi PST,	Alamdad Khan	GGMS Khwaja Khel	25,2,2011	•	
20	Khurshid Talat PST	Juma Khan	GGMS Xotka Ahmad Khua	25.2.2011	-	CT certificate not available. Hence, session is unknown.

TT(Female)

DCO Lakki Marwat floated an advertisement dated 11-6-2010 in the newspapers in which it was clearly mentioned that no one shall apply under disable quota for the reasons that no vacant post is available in the said quota (Annex-Z). Moreover due to stay order issued by the PHC Bench DI Khan further process was kept pending. Neither meeting of DSC was held nor any merit list was approved but appointment orders were issued by the Ex-EDO. During checking of record it was noticed that Miss Gulshan Bibi D/O Abdul Manan was appointed as Theology Teacher by the DCO against disable quota, in violation of court orders (Annex-AA). Since case was initiated by Ex-EDO therefore he is equally responsible. Similarly Miss Shehzadi Ambareen D/O Muhammad Yousaf was also appointed as TT (Female) and adjusted/appointed at GGMS Tajori Lakki Marwat. It may be pointed out her name was not in the list of candidates who appeared for Test & Interview.

Qari(Male)

Lt Col 40th Battalion, FF Regiment, Kohat recommended that Mr. Salim Qil Khan brother of Sepoy Khushdil Khan (Shaheed) may be appointed as Junior Clerk. No vacant post of Junior Clerk was available therefore he was appointed as Qari vide office order No. 4/19-24 dated 7-4-2010 without advertisement of post, preparation of merit list and convening meeting of DSC (Annex-AB). It may be pointed out that according to Mr. Salim Dil Khan s/o Rhan Dil Khan he is Matriculate, hence even not qualified for the post of Qari. Appointment of Mr Salim Dil can be termed as irregular For army shaheeds GHQ maintains waiting list and provides jobs. Since Sepoy Khushdil Khan (Shaheed) was an employee of Army therefore army authorities are supposed to provide job to his family members. Provincial Government is provides job to bereaved family members of its own employees who die/expire during service. Such cases shall be initiated by the Department in which deceased was employed.

Lab Assistant

Ex-EDO appointed Mst Najma Bibi D/O Haq Nawaz as Lab Assistant (BPS-7) vide Notification No 2368-73 12-3-2010 at GGHS Shishbaz Khel without advertising the post. No test and interview was conducted. She has taken over the charge & is drawing her salary. Her appointment is also irregular (Annex-AC).

DM(Male)

Applications were invited by the EDO (E&SE) for the post of DM (Mala) in the daily "Aaj" dated 11-10-2008. After conducting test and interview final merit list was prepared and approved by the DSC. Appointment orders of three DM (Male) i.e. Rahil Azam S/O Khair Muhammad, Bad-e-Alam S/O Mir Abbas Khan and Mushtaq AHMAD S/O Imam Muhammad were issued in a consolidated merit list on 6-3-2009 (Annex-AD). Later on, Mr. Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO, appointed Mr. Feroz Khan who had not even applied for the post (Annex-

AE). Another candidate Mr. Farmanullah S/O Wali Khan having the lowest merit was appointed under court reference. Candidates with better merit were ignored. Record is not available in the office.

Driver

The post of Driver was advertised in the daily "Aaj" dated 11-5-2010. According to selection criteria candidates having SSC and LTV are eligible. Ninety candidates applied for the post of driver. Motor Vehicle Examiner recommended three candidates for appointment. Mr. Sohail Ahmad S/O Abul Hassan having not yet passed SSC was ineligible. He was placed on the top of the merit list. According to his CNIC his date of birth is 01-01-1991. On the last day of submission of applications his age was hardly twenty years. Ten years experience has been shown at his credit which is unbelievable. On the basis of his experience he has awarded 8/10 marks (Annex-AF). From the statement of MVE Bannu/Lakki Maryat it is evident that some one-else having ten years experience appeared in the test (Annex-AG). He has been appointed by the EX-EDO vide office order No. 11573-78 dated 19-10-2010 in violation of recruitment policy (Annex-AH).

According to office order No 911-16 dated 15-5-2009 Mr Jamshed Ahmed S/O Noor Hassan Khan son of Ex-EDO was appointed as Naib Qasid at GMS Mina Khel (Annexure-Al). According to terms and conditions of his appointment order he would be on probation for a period of two years extendable for another one year and during this period he shall not be entitled for long leave. Mr Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO appointed Mr Jamshed Ahmed S/O Noor Hassan Khan, resident of Tari Khel as Junior Clerk at GHS Amir Vali v de office order No 2585-89 dated 17-3-2010 against deceased son quota/Class-IV quota (Annexure-AJ). It may be pointed out that neither Mr Jamshed Ahmed completed probation period nor he was the senior most in the list of Class-IV officials having SSC. It is also astonishing to note that Mr Noor Hassan Khan is still alive then how he appointed his own son against deceased son quota. Mr Jamshed Ahmed is still performing his duties at GHS Amir Wali. Dispatch No 2585-89 dated 17-3-2010 was compared with Dispatch Register and found correct.

According to E&A Department letter No SO(R-VI)/E&AD/Misc/Updation/09 dated 13-1-2009 the Provincial Government has reserved two percent quota for disable candidates, ten

(69)

percent quota for females in all the Provincial Services (except to cadres exclusively reserved for females) and 0.5 percent for minorities (Annexure-AK). Contrary to these written instructions Ex-EDO recruited candidates against retired government son quota which does not exists at the moment. Provincial Government is bound to provide jobs to son/daughter of deceased government servants. Similarly Federal Govt and Army authorities are bound to provide jobs to the children of their deceased employees. Mr. Noor Hassan Khan, provided jobs to Mr. Salim Dil Khan Brother of Sepoy Khushdil Khan (Shaheed). He also appointed his own son Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, resident of Tari Khel as Junior Clerk at GHS Amir Wali vide office order No 2585-89 dated 17-3-2010 against deceased son quota.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Charge of illegal and irregular appointments leveled against Mr. Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO Lakki Marwat stands proved without any doubt. Not only eligible and qualified candidates were deprived of their due rights but it also created liabilities for the Provincial Government. His illegal action lead to litigation and caused huge loss to Provincial exchequer. He appointed a large number of candidates without approval of the DSC. Mr. Noor Hassan Khan, Ex-EDO (E&SE) Lakki Marwat is personally responsible. Since he stands retired from service therefore in the light of FR 54-A disciplinary action cannot be taken at this stage therefore either an FIR may be registered with local police for recovery of losses caused to Provincial Exchequer or his case may be referred to NAB.
- 2) Members of DSC Mr. Muhammad Ayub Khan, DO (Male) and Mr. Shafiullah Khan, HRDO (Representative of DCO) signed merit lists, they are equally responsible. Mr. Muhammad Ayub, District Officer appointed his own son against quota reserved for Disable person. Both the officers may be reverted to lower post.
- 3) Mr. Amanullah, Head Clerk, Mr. Bahadar Zaman, Senior Clerk, and Mr. Haroon Badshah, Junior Clerk, EDO office, Lakki Marwat are responsible for preparation of melit lists; marking and maintenance of office record. They failed to perform their duties honestly. They also facilitated/supported the Ex-EDO in the illegal appointments. Stoppage of two increments for period of two years is recommended. They may also be transferred from the EDO Office immediately.
- 4) Mr. Noorani Shah, DDO in violation of court orders made payments. Lie may be reverted to lower pay scale.
- Action may also be taken against Mr. Muhammad Akram Marwat dealing Assistant who processed appointment of Miss. Gulsan Bibi D/O Abdu Manan despite the fact in the advertisement it was clearly mentioned that quota reserved for disable does not exist therefore no one shall apply the same. The case of Gulshan Bibi was gct approved.

General Observations

1) According to the E&AD letter No. SO(R-II)(S&GAD)5-2/80 clated 27-10-1981 in

pursuance of the policy decision of the Federal Government, the question of reemployment of Armed Forces Personal in Civil posts was considered in Provincial Cabinet in its meeting held on 23-9-1981 and it was decided that ten percent of all vacancies to be filled in by initial recruitment are to be reserved for Ex-Servicemen at all levels. Amendments to be made in all the service rules keeping in view the interest of Ex-Servicemen. No exception is to be allowed at any department (Annex-AL). In the light of above all the departments including E&SE at any department may amend the service rules particularly pertaining to teaching cadres to avoid litigations and court cases.

- 2) All the clerical staff working in the EDO offices who have completed their tenure must be transferred to other offices.
- 3) At the time of inviting applications computer printed forms were issued at a cost of rupees twenty (Rs 20/-) per candidate (Annex-AM). Amount collected by the Ex-EDO on account of cost of application has not been deposited in the treasury but unlawfully utilized which may also be recovered.
- 4) In order to discourage production of fake documents the EDO must register cases with local police or Anti Corruption Establishment against those whose appointment orders have been terminated on account of production of fake certificates/degrees or produced fake appointment orders.

5) Services of all the persons appointed without advertisement of post, preparation of merit list and approval of DSC may be terminated after serving them with show cause notices.

MUHAMMAD HUMAYUN INGUIRY OFFICER. Receipt

9 have received Rs. 3000/- in appeal of Mr. Sher Daraz vs Education in appeal No. 18/2014 Joday dated 26.4.2016

Counse/ 2322 2322 24 SYED 26-4-2016

Ab. o. 16

BEFORE THE KPK, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

S.A No. <u>149</u>/2012

Sher Daraz Khan

Veṙ̃šū̃s∵ >=

EDO & Others

REJOINDER

Respectfully Sheweth,

PRELIMINARY OBJECTION.

All the 05 preliminary objections are illegal and incorrect. No reason in support of the same is ever given as to why appellant has not come to the Hon'ble Court with clean hands, concealment of important facts, has no cause of action, appeal is not maintainable and time barred.

ON FACTS

- 1-4. Not commented upon by the respondents, so the paras of the appeal are admitted correct by them.
- 5. Not correct. Appellant was appointed as PST in the prescribed manner and enquiry, if any, was perhaps initiated against the authority and appellant has no concern with the same. The representation was not meted out as per law, rules and policy.

GROUNDS:

All the grounds of the appeal are correct and legal while that of the reply are incorrect and illegal. Appellant is performing his official duties in the school since 17.01.2011 without any complaint.

Similarly appointed teachers were awarded with monthly salaries by tainting objections like complaint

against appellant but those similar teachers whose succumbed in to the ill wishes of the then EDO/DEO were made payment of salaries and those who refused like appellant were compelled to litigation. Appellant has no concern with any enquiry because no adverse action was done by him. No rules exists to stop monthly salary of a servant who is performing duties and was appointed as per the prescribed manner.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal be accepted as prayed for.

Through

Dated: 16.68.2016

Appellant

Saadullah Khan Marwat

Arbab Saif Ul Kamal

Miss Rubina Naz Advocates,

AFFIDAVIT

I, Sher Daraz Khan, Appellant do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that contents of the **Appeal** & **replication** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief while that of the reply of the respondents are illegal and incorrect.

I reaffirm the same on oath once again to be true and correct as per the available record.

ATTESTED

Oath Commissioner Zahoor Kian Advocate

Distt: Court Peshawar

2016

DEPONENT

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the following Govt Primary Schools exists in Village/Union Council Titter Khel w.e.f January 2011.

S. No.	EMIS Code	School Name	Year of Establishment
1	25649	GPS No. 1, Titter Khel	1925
2	25650	GPS No. 2, Titter Khel	1970
3	25651	GPS No. 3, Titter Khel	1987
4	25652	GPS No. 4, Titter Khel	1993
5	25365	GPS Chur Khel Titter Khel	1990
6	25754	GPS Dilawar Titter Khel	1994

It is further certified that no Govt Primary School in the name of GPS Titter. Khel exists w.e.f January 2011.

EMIS/CO

ASDEO (Circle)

ADEO (P&p)3/2020

SDEC (M) Lakki Marwat

Countersigned

KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

No. 305 /ST Dated 23 /60 / 2020

To

The District Education Officer Male, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Lakki Marwat.

Subject: -

JUDGMENT IN APPEAL NO. 18/2014, MR. SHER DARAZ.

I am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of Judgement dated 09.10.2020 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for strict compliance.

Encl: As above

REGISTRAR '
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.



Office of the

Accountant General

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Phone: 091 9211250-53

No. HAD-24(80)/Bannu/Vol-III/2015-16/3003 Dated: 10.05.2016 The District Accounts Officer, RECOMERY/FIXATION OF lease and herewith copy of application presented ST Ceneral on the subject. prof pie case it is completed more than 03 years service, so is entitled premature increment linder rule 10 of the pay revision rules 1978. On his second promption he has not completed 03 lears of his service so he is entitled bally to next stage & admissibility of premarure increment will be subject to FR 22 to felaxation by the concerned Deptt: t es recitested that pay of the concerned may be revised sintimation to this office. r, Pay Fixation Distt:Accountsio ffice Nigrean or information ar

40/



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD (SOSR-1) 12-2/ 2013 Dated Peshawar the: 19-11-2013

To:

The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar.

Subject: -

GUIDANCE REGARDING FIXATION OF PAY IN REGARD DIFFERENCE

CATEGORIES OF TEACHERS.

Dear Sir,

am directed to refer to your letter No.H-24(414)/Edu: Corrp/2013-14/1991 dated 12-09-2013 on the subject noted above and to state that the view point of AG Office is hereby confirmed / endorsed for further necessary action as desired.

Yours Faithfully,

Műhammad Afgar) ION OFFICER (SR-1)



Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Phone: 091 9211250-54

No: H-24(113)/Edu: Master/2012-13/ 2067 Copy forwarded for information and compliance to:

DATED1 211-2013

jul la

All DAOs/AAOs in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 2

HR Lab.

Pay Roll 2, 8 & 3 Section (L).

4. CIA Cell

5. Accounts Officer (Pay Fixation Party)





Office of the

Accountant General

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Phone: 091 9211250-53

No.H-24(414)/Edu:Corrp/2013-14/

Dated:02.09.2013

To,

The Secretary,

To Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Finance Deptt:Peshawar.

Subject:

GUIDANCE REGARDING FIXATION OF PAY IN

DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF TEACHERS.

Memo:

Kindly refer to the Elementary & Secondary Education Deptt: Notification No SO(B&A)/1-18/E&SE/2012 dated 11.7.2012 duly endorsed by the Finance Dept: vide NO.SO(FR)/FD/10-22(E)/2010 dated 16.7.2012 regarding up-gradation/re-designation of posts of different categories of teachers.

- 2. It is added in the above cited notification that "the posts will be filled in the manner as may be prescribed by the Elementary & Secondary Education Deptt:by making necessary services rules or amending the existing service rules, if any, for the post". Later on the Elementary & Secondary Education Deptt: framed the rules and circulated amongst all concerned teacher cadre vide Notification No.SO(PF)4-5/SSR/Meeting/2012 dated 13.11.2012 for filling the post. (copy enclosed for ready reference).
- According to the said rules the Distts: Education Officers granted BPS-12 to the existing PTC teachers & re-designated as PST teachers. Some of them promoted from BPS-12 to BPS-14 having 05 years service re-designated as Sr:PST. While certain of them promoted from BPS-14 to BPS-15 on some day. and re-designated as Primary School Head teacher having 10 years service. An example of fixation made by the DEOs is given below:-

Mr.A---- pay on 6.1.2013 as PST (BPS-12) Rs.14500/- PM Promoted as Sr.PSTon 7.1.2013 to BPS-14 RS.15320/-PM (Next stage Then from promoted as PSHT on 7.1.2013 to BPS-15 Rs.16200,

(Next stage + premature).

DAMy Document\HAD Section\Guidence regarding fixa doc

WALC

This office is of the view that the pay on promotion from BPS-14 to 5...S-15 is contrary to the instruction issued by the Finance Deptt:letter No.FD(SR-I)1-8/76-II dated 15.12.1981 as there incumbents not rendered service in BPS-14 for 3 years and therefore, their pay has to be fixed directly from BPS-12 to BPS-15 and granted them next stage +premature in BPS-15 instead of BPS-12 to BPS-14 and then from BPS-14 & BPS-15.

In view of above if the mode of fixation stated in para 4 above is correct may kindly be confirmed or advised for future processing of the subject issue as the fixation of these employees lying pending in the DAOs.

Encl: As above.

Dy:Accountant General (HAD)

Copy forwarded for information to:-

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Elementary & Secondary Education Deptt: Peshawar with the request also to examine the issue and directed all DEOs not to submit the cases to Audit Offices before confirmation of the view of this office is clarified by the Finance Deptt:.

All DAOs/AAOs in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

The Distt:Accounts Officer Swabi with reference to their letter dated 29.7.2013.

Dy:Accountant General (HAD)

D:\My Document\HAD Section\Guidence regarding fixa.doc

Meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee was held in the office of the District Education Officer (Male) Swabi on 07.02.2013 at 10.00 hours to discuss the agenda items. The following attended the meeting.

1. Abdus Salam, DEO(M) Swabi.

Chairman

2. Islam Bahader, DDEO (M) Swabi.

Member

3. Ghulam Mustafa Dy: Director(Estab), Representative of the

Director (E&SE) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

Member

7 (Seven) Scrutiny Committees as per 3 members each consisting on Principals/SDEOs/ASDEOs & Superintendents

The meeting started with recitation from the Holy Quran, the following 564 cases of PST B-12 (for adjustment/replacement of 564 Senior PST teachers upgraded to Head Teachers B-15) were placed before the committee for scrutiny and consideration in the light of existing rules and notification No. SO (B&A)/1-18/E&SE/2012 dated Peshawar the 11.07.2012 & Separate notification for eligibility reproduced as under vide No. SO (PE)4-5/SSRC/Meeting/2012/Teaching Cadre dated 13.11.2012 issued by Govt:of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Elementary & Secondary Education Department.

Primary School Head Teacher (BPS-15)" By promotion on the basis of seniority cum fitness, from amongst Senior Primary School teachers with at least 10 years service and having qualification prescribed for initial recruitment of primary school teachers.

Senior Primary School Teacher (BPS-14) By promotion, on the basis of seniority cum fitness, from amongst primary school teachers.

1				1		ting	Award	Remarks
Į	S#	SL#	Name of Teacher	Father name	Name of School	BPS	ed	D CDGTD 44
.		1058	Iltimas Khan	Ziarat Khan	GPS No.2 Shahmansoor	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
ł	1 2.	1058	Muhammad jamil	Fazle Wahid	GPS Khalil	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	3.	1061	Mumtaz Ahmad	Gulzar Ahad	GPS Thand Koi No.3	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
ł	4.	1062	Sher Akbar	Ali Akbar	Gps Wakeel Abad	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
·	5.	1063	Gul Badshah	Shah Gul	GPS Kot Gabai	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
i	6.	1064	Muhammad Arif	Nissr Muhammad	GPS Bachai Dagai	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	7.	1065	Aimal Khan	Hakim Khan	GPS Thand Koi No.1	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	8.	1066	Nisar Muhammad	Zahir Shah	GPS Saproona	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
i	9.	1067	Abdul Razio	Sher Hassan	GPS No 2 Maneri Bala	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
ì	10.	1068	Muhammad Altaf	Ali Jan	GPS No.2 Panipir	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	11.	1069	Mohd Shario	Saeed Ullah	GPS No.6 Jalbai	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	12.	1070	Akhtar Ali	Muntazir Khan	GPS Shaheeda Qadim	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
1	13.	1071	Noorul Qamar	Noorul Hadi	GPS Marghuz	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
1	`			Muhammad		12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
,	14.	1072	Zia Ullah	Hamayun	GPS Gango Dher	12	14	Rec; as SPST B-14
	15.	1073	Afsar Ali Shah	Dilawar Shah	GPS No. 2 S.Jana	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	16.	1074	Maqbool Hussain	Ali Gouhar	GPS # 1 Kalu Khan	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	17.	1075	Ghulam Jelani	Abdul Wahab	Gps Islamia Lahor	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	18.	1076	Sardar Said	Syed Mashal	GPS No 1 Maneri Bala	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	19.	1077	Saidul Hadi	Said Nazir	Gos No 2 Kunda	1	1	Rec: as SPST B-14
	20.	1078	Noor Zaman	Nadir Shah	GPS No. 1 S.Jana	12	14	1 : 1
	21.	1079	Zar Nawas Khan	Mir Nawas Khan	GPS.No.2 Shagai	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	22.	1080	Muhammad Amin	Muhammad Sher	GPS No 2 Maini	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
Ċ	23.	1081	Khalid Khan	Zafer Khan	GPS Seria	12	14	Rec: as SPST 8-14
٠	24.	1082	Najmul Hassan	Awal Sher	GPS Saproona	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	25.	1083	Gohar Ali	Shamshad Khan	Gps NO2 HARYAN	12	14	Rec. as SPST 8-14
٠	26.	1084	Mohd Ali	Sardar Ali	GPS No.1 JHR	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	27.	1085	Riaz Mohd	Mohd hayat	Gps Lahor Gharbi	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	28.	1086	Sabir Ahmad	Muahmmad Wali	GPS No.1 Topi	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	29.	1088	Tila Muhammad	Muhammad - Azam	GPS Kalu Dher	12	14	Rec. as SPST B-14

٠,	/						•	•
ب	91.	1167	i Ihsan Ullah	Aman Ullah Khan	12.	12	14°	Rec: as SPST B-14
	92.	1168	Aimal Khan	Gohar Ali	GPS Sher Ali Banda	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	93.	1169	Alam Zeb	Ghufran Ullah	GPS # 1 Ghullaman	12	14	Rec: as SPST 8-14
	94.	1170	Fazie Rab		GPS No.3 TDR	12	14	Rec. as SPST B-14
	95.	1171	Javid Iqbal	Fazle Mula	GPS,No.1 Kelabat	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
•	33.	1171	3840 IQD8I	Sahib Gul M. Shafgur	GPS Managl	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
1	96.	1172	Inayat Ullah	Rahman	GPS Sher Ali Banda			Nec. 85 3F31 B-14
	97.	1173	Ayaz Muhammed	Wisal Muhammad	GPS # 2 Shews	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	98.	1174	Sajid Khan	Gul Zada	GPS Shahbaz JHR	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	99.	1176	Fazal Ullah	Ghulam Sarwar	GPS Dodher	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	100	1177	Bile) About		Gps Gujrano Dhok	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	100.	1177	Bilal Ahmad	Mohd Ghulam	Nabi	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	101.	1178	Khan Zaib Muhammad	Sarfaraz	GPS No.3 Swabi	12	14	
	102.	1179	Rehman	Abdul Hamid	GPS Shalmani	''2	-	Rec: as SPST B-14
	103.	1180	M.Shauaib	M.Zeman	GPS Pikrari	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	104.	1181	Jehan Zeb	Muzafer Shah	GPS A.Bala	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	105.	1183	Imtiaz Ahmad	Abdul Wadood	GPS No. 1 S.Jana	12	141	Rec: 85 SPST B-14
4	106.	1184	Mohd Siddique	Ahmad	GPS No.1 TDR	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	107.	1185	Muhammad Ashfaq	Muhammad Qasim	GPS NO1 TOPÌ	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
ı				HAJI WARID		12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	108.	1186	IRSHAD ULLAH	KHAN	GPS No.3 BAMKHEL	12	14	
	109.	1187	Muhammad farooq	Amir Muhammad	GPS # 2 Kalu Khan	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	110.	1188	Amir Sher	Ali Sher Nisar	GPS No.1 Baja GPS PALODAD	12	14	
	111.	1189	Fazii Illahi	Muhammad	PAYAN	12	. 14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	112.	1190	SARDAR HUSSAIN	SHER ALI KHAN	GPS No. 1 BAMKHEL	12	14	Rec: as SPST 8-14
	113.	1191	Abdul Hameed Khan	Ahmad Gul	GPS SERI	12	14	Rec: as SPST 8-14
٠				Syed Rahim	· OF 3 SEKI	- 12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	114.	.1192	Syed ijaz Ali Shah	Shah	GPS Jamal Abad KSK	42.	4.4	00075
	115.	1193	Mehtaj ud Din	Ibhaj ud Din	GPS Seray	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	116.	1194	Abdul Halim	Zaffir Gul	GPS Ismaila	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14 Rec: as SPST B-14
	117. 118.	1195 1196	Farman Ali	Noor Hassan	GPS Kalu Dher	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	119.	1197	Munir Khan	Raidul Khan	GPS Miskin Abad Y.H	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	120.	1198	M.Asghar Khan Faizan ur Rehman	Fida M Khan	GPS.No.2 Khoro	12	14	Rec: as SPST 8-14
	121.	1199	Imran Ali	Gul ReHAN Gul Dad Khan	Gps No 1 bazar	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	122.	1200	Siyar Khan	Ajab Khan	GPS Payo Dher Lar GPS Marghuz	12	14	Rec; as SPST B-14.
	123.	1201	Aamir Sohail	Salat Khan	GPS No 4 Maneri Bala	12	14	Rec; as SPST B-14
	124.	1202	Mohd Hussain	Mohd Yaqoob	GPS No.2 TDR	12	14	Rec; as SPST B-14
•	125.	1203	Riaz Ahmad.	Mohd Ullah	GPS NO3 SWABI	12	14	Rec. as SPST B-14
1	126.	1204	Nisar Muhammad	Haji Muhammad	GPS # 3 Shewa	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	127.	1205	Niaz Muhammad	Momin Khan	GPS Major Banda	12	14	Rec; as SPST B-14
•					GPS No.2	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
1	128.	1206	Imtiaz Muhammad	Taj Muhammad	Shahmansoor		4.0	Day on 0007 0 44
	129.	1207	Shah Rehman	Shah Randan	GPS GULSHAN ABAD	12	14	Rec: as SPST 8-14
	130.	1208	Pir Zaman Khan	Tej M.Khan	GPS Akori	12	14	Rec: as SPST 8-14
ł	131.	1209	Riaz	Faqir	GPS Kajeer	12	14 .	Rec: as SPST 8-14
١	132.	1211	Inam-Ullah Khan	Abdullah Khan	GPS,No.2 Kalabat	12	14	Rec; as SPST B-14 Rec: as SPST B-14
	133.	1212	Sher Ghani	Sher Bahadar	GPS Shagai Turlanki	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
١.	134.	1213	Muhammad Israr Tariq Muhammad	Rahim Ullah	GPS Mehmood Abad	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	,135.	1214	i and winiauiuso	Faujdar Khan Shah Nazar	GPS Pir Tab Banda	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
ļ	136.	1215	Gul Amin_	Khan	GPS,No.6 Topl E			
	137.	1216	Gohar Rehman	Abdur Rehman	GPS No3 JHR	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	138.	1217	Akber Khan	Wali Muhammad	GPS Bacha Banda	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	139.	1218	Zainullah khan	Murtaza khan	GPS fazal Abad	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	140.	1219	Muhammad Ibrahim	Zar Farosh	GPS # 1 Shewa	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14 Rec: as SPST B-14
	141	1220	Gulzada	Sultan Shah	GPS S.B.Banda	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	142.	1221	Zuhrab Ali	Shah Umar	GPS-1 Y.H	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	143.	1222	Amir Hussain	M.Hussian Abdul Wahid	GPS,No.1 Bhaltí	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	144.	1223	Abdul Munim KHAN	KHAN	GPS NO1 BAJA			
	145.	1224	Muhammad Arif	Waheed Ullah	GPS No 4 Kotha	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	146.	1225	Ayaz Muhammad	Sabit Khan Nisar	GPS No.2 Baja	12	14	Rec: as SPST B-14
	147.	1226	Abdur Rehman	Muhammad	GPS No.1 Zaida			Rec: as SPST B-14
•	148.	1227	Muhammad Nisar	Muhammad shahmim	GPS Sokili	12	14	Rec: as SPST 8-14

. ç.

4

[11.	T :	T	6.4				
12.	1330	Rehman Wali	Ali Bor Khan	C==10(CT not PTC		
	1338	Mazhar		Gps Wakeel Abad			
13.	1444	Zulfiqar Hussain	Musarat Shah	GPS No.2 JHR	Oil study Leave		
14.			Ziarat Gul	GPS No.1 TDR	Proceded on long leave		
15.	1476	Zarwali Shah	Amin ut Haq	Gps No 1 Tano	Proceded on long leave		
16.	1477	Abdur Rashid	Khurshaid Khan	GPS MUGHUL KOT	File not produced		
	1485	Sarwar Khan	Mir Alam				
17.	1515	Nawab Ali Khan		GPS Sher All Banda	Suspended from service		
18.	1574 Tanveer All		Aman Khan	GPS Sher Ali Banda	Suspended from service		
19.		Muhammad Idrees	Niaz Muhammad	GPS No.5 Sheikh Jana	Proceded on long leave		
20.	1		Abdul Hadi	GPS M.Changan No1	Proceded on long leave		
	1844	Nasim Ullah	Azim Ullah		File not produced		
		T'		GPS No.1 Shahmansoor			

Islam Bahader DDEO (M) Swabi. Member

(GHULAM MUSTAFA)
Dy: Director (Estab)

Representative of Director (E&SE) KP Member

(Abdus Salam DEO(Male) Swabi Chairman

Endst:No. <u>471-G</u> / Dated Swabi the <u>13/02/2013.</u>

Copy of the above is forwarded for information and n/action to the:-

- 1. Secretary to Government Elementary & Secondary Education Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. Director Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Deputy Commissioner Swabi.
- 4. All Members Departmental Promotion Committee.
- 5. All SDEOs (Male) District Swabi:
- All concerned schools.
- 7. Superintendent local office.
- 8. ADEO (Primary) Local Office.

DY: DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (MALE) SWABI