#### Form- A

#### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court	of				•			-	
-------	----	--	--	--	---	--	--	---	--

Execution Petition No.\_\_\_\_\_473\_/2022

Order or other proceedings with signature of judge

18.08.2022

Date of order proceedings

2.

S.No.

1

1

The execution petition of Mr. Khan Bahadar submitted today by Mr. Lajbar Khan Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar on \_\_\_\_\_\_. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The respondents be issued notices to submit compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.

3

By the order of Chairman

#### REGISTRAR

#### HTUNKHWA SER<u>VICE TRIBUNAL.</u> <u>BEFOR</u> PESHAWAR.

472/2022 Application No.\_

IN

Appeal No.1011/20**12** 

..... Appellant Khan Bahadar .....

# Versus

Govt. of K.P. through Chief Secretary and others..... Respondents

## INDEX

C NIO	Description of documents.	Annexure	Pages.
S.No. 1	Implementation application with		1-2
_	affidavit.	A-B	
2	Attested copy of grounds of appeal and order/judgment dated 31.05.2022	A-D	3-10
3	Copy of application for compliance to	C	11-14
	the authority concerned		

Petitioner/ Applicant

Through Laiban fran Advocate High Court.

Dated: 17.08.2022

# <u>BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL.</u> <u>PESHAWAR.</u>

Application No.\_\_\_\_/2022

IN

Appeal No.1011/2022

Khan Bahadar son of Wazir Khan

R/o Village Chilgul Khan, P.O. Shodag, Tehsil Tangi...... Appellant

Versus

 Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

 Secretary Higher Education, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

> Application for implementation of judgment/ order of this Hon'ble Tribunal dated 31.05.2022.

#### Respectfully Sheweth;

- 1) That the applicant/ appellant had assailed his termination order dated 16.08.2012 and 10.09.2012 before this Hon'ble Tribunal. This Hon'ble Tribunal was pleased to accept his service apjæal No.1011/2012 vide order and judgment dated 31.05.2022. (Attested copy of grounds of appeal and order/ judgment dated 31.05.2022 are Annexure "A and B").
- 2) That petitioner approached the respondents/ concerned authorities for implementation of the said order and judgment dated 31.05.2022 but they paid no heed. (Copy of application for compliance to the authority concerned is Annexure "C").

- 3) That the respondents are not implementing the order and judgment dated 31.05.2022 of this hon'ble Tribunal which amounts to clear contempt.
- 4) That the implementation of the judgment dated 31.05.2022 is necessary to meet the ends of justice. Justice demands the implementation order/judgment in its true letter and spirit.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application, the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the order/judgment dated 31.05.2022 in true letter and spirit.

Petitioner/ Applicant

Through

Lajbar Khan Advocate High Court.

#### AFFIDAVIT

I, do hereby affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the **Application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

١ĺ

Deponent

-INNEX /

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

# Service Appeal No. [0] /2012

Khan Bahadur Ex-Driver Higher Education Department, Peshawar.....Appellant.

#### Versus

The Chief Secretary Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

The Secretary Higher Education Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

The Secretary Administration Department Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

old کونی Mr. Jab Bahadur Driver/Higher Education Department, Peshawar ......Respondents

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT,1974.

Respectfully Sheweth,

1.

2.

3.

.4.

Facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:-

1. 2.

3**.** '

=x-Porte 12-9-201

That appellant was appointed as driver (BPS-4) on regular basis vide order dated 01/10/2011 by the respondent No.2 (Annexure-A).

That appellant assumed his assignment and served to the best of his capabilities and no complaint what so ever has ever lodged against him during his entire service period.

That to the utter dismay and surprise of the appellar t the legal service of the appellant was terminated by respondent No.2 vide order dated 16/08/2012 on the ground that since respondent No.4 Mr. Jan Bahadur has been appointed on the post of the appellant, therefore the service of the appellant shall stand cancelled as evident from the impugned order (Annexure-B).

That being aggrieved from the impugned termination order appellant preferred departmental appeal (Annexure-C), but the same has been filed/rejected vide order dated 10/09/2012 (Annexure-D) on the ground that since the termination order has been issued on the direction of respondent No.1, therefore cannot be set aside, hence the instant/present service appeal inter-alia on the following grounds:-

#### Grounds:

С.

4.

A. That Respondents have not treated appellant in accordance with law, rules and policy on subject and acted in violation of Article 4 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Appellant was appointed on regular basis and the service of regular employee cannot be terminated without adhering to the prescribed procedure provided for in the statute and the statutory rules. The termination order without adhering to the prescribed procedural rules cannot be clothed with validity and action would be mandatory to set aside the same.

B. That the impugned termination order is the violation of section 16 of the Civil Servant Act, 1973.

That No charge sheet and statement of allegation has been served upon the appellant.

D. That no inquiry has been conducted.

E. That appellant has been denied of his defense.



 $\mathbb{Z}$ 

That no personal hearing has been provided to the appellant.

G. That no final show cause has been served upon the appellant.

H. That no law has been mention in the impugn order that under what law, the service of the appellant has been terminated.

J. That no word of termination lies in any service law.

- J. That appellant services has been terminated on flimsy ground that since respondent No.4 has been appointed on the post of appellant, therefore, the services of the appellant stood cancelled.
  - That departmental appeal of the appellant has been rejected on the ground that the impugned termination order has been issued on the direction of respondent No.1, which is not only illegal but in my humble view unwarranted and highly undesirable.

That appellant is jobless since his termination therefore, entitle for back benefits.

M.

L.

K.

**F.**.

That appellant would like to seek the permission of this Honourable Tribunal to advance some more ground at the time of hearing.

It is therefore humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal, this Honourable may graciously be pleased to declare the impugned orders dated 16/08/2012 passed by respondent No.2 and the impugned rejection order dated 10-/09/2012 as void, unlawful and without lawful authority and set aside the same and also reinstate the appellant with all back benefits.

Any other relief as deemed appropriate in the circumstances of case not specifically asked for, may also be granted to appellant.

Through

1 2 2 1

Abdul **Hälče**m Khattak, ` Advocate, Peshawar. Dated: \_\_\_\_/ 09/ 2012

. 8 . .

# Affidavit

I, Khan Bahadur Ex-Driver Higher Education Department, Peshawar do hereby solemnly affirms on Oath that the contents of the instant service appeal are correct and true to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from the notice of the Honourable Tribunal.

Deponent TATTESTED h-q-12 MALIO MAHMOOD 401 CE AND gath commessiver. CREEBRINAR HIGH SOURP

1600

22

WHERE AND APPEARS . . . . . . . . . . . . .

10/8/22 10/8-22

1018/2)

л. Эбоног Г

بال المراجع ، و المسلح ، الم المسلح ، و المسلح ، و

ANNER B'

# KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

# ESHWAR.

Service Appeal No. 1011/2012

MEMBER (J) MRS. ROZINA REHMAN MEMBER(E) BEFORE: MISS. FAREEHA PAUL

Khan Bahadur Ex-Driver Higher Education Department, Peshawar.

Versus

- 1. The Chief Secretary Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,
- 2. The Secretary Higher Education Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
- 3. The Secretary Administration Department of Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Mr. Jan Bahadur Driver, Higher Education Department, Peshawar. ... (Respondents)

Mr. Ashraf Ali Khattak Advocate

For appellant

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Paindakhel For respondents Asstt. Advocate General

> Date of Institution.....14.09.2012

## JUDGEMENT

FAREEHA PAUL, MEMBER (E): The service appeal in hand has been instituted under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974, against the impugned order dated 16.08.2012 whereby service of the appellant had been terminated and order dated 10.09.2012 whereby his departmental appeal was rejected.

2. Brief facts of the case, as given in the memorandum of appeal, are that the appellant was appointed as driver (BPS-04) on regular basis vide order dated 01.10.2012 by Higher Education Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar. He assumed his duty and started serving to the best of his capabilities and no complaint was ever lodged against him during his entire service period. Suddenly his services were terminated by the department vide order dated 16.08.2012 on the ground that another Driver, Mr. Jan Bahadur, had been appointed on the post of appellant by the Administration Department Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa vide its order dated 12.07.2012. Aggrieved from the impugned termination order appellant preferred departmental appeal dated 28.08.2012 but the same was regretted vide letter dated 10.09.2012 on the ground that termination order had been issued on direction of respondent No. 1, the Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Hence the instant service appeal.

3. Respondents were put on notice who submitted written replies/ comments on the appeal. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the learned Assistant Advocate General and perused the case file with connected documents in detail.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that respondents had acted in violation of Article 4 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan and that he was appointed on regular basis and services of regular employee could not be terminated without adhering to prescribed procedure. He further contended that neither a charge sheet and statement of allegations had been served upon the appellant nor any inquiry had been conducted. The appellant was not given any opportunity of personal hearing, neither was any show

cause notice served upon him. His services had been terminated on flimsy grounds that since respondent No. 04 had been appointed on the post of appellant therefore his services stood cancelled.

The learned Assistant Advocate General argued that services of the 5. appellant were terminated because Secretary Administration department had appointed 26 drivers in Civil Secretariat vide order dated 12.07.2012 under the powers vested in him vide section 4(3) of Appointment/Promotion and Transfer Rules 1989 of the recruitment policy which states, "Initial recruitment to posts in BPS-15 and below other than the posts in the purview of the Public Service Commission, in all the departments shall continue to be made in accordance with Rule 10, 11 and 12 (Part-III) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989, the criteria as laid down in S&GAD letter No. SORI(S&GAD)4-1/75, dated 11.02.1987 and the zonal allocation formula contained in S&GAD notification No. SOS.III(S&GAD)3-39/70 dated 02.10.1973 as amended from time to time." Since appointment of the appellant was neither in accordance with Section 3 of (Appointment Promotion and Transfer) Rules nor in accordance with recruitment policy therefore it was cancelled.

6. After going through the entire record available before us and hearing the arguments put forth by the learned counsels it is clear that appointment order of the appellant was issued by the Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa-Higher Education Department in the name of Secretary Higher Education who, in our opinion, is a responsible person and it not expected that he would go against the Appointment, Promotion and Transfer Rules 1989. He issued that order under Rule 10 and sub rule 2 of APT rules which is very clear that initial recruitment to posts which do not fall within the purview of Commission shall be made by Departmental Selection Committee after vacancies are advertised in newspaper. Appointment order of the appellant indicates that his services were governed by Civil Servants Act 1973 and all the rules made there-under. In view of that it was noted that the entire action taken against him was not in accordance with the law/rules. The appeal in hand is therefore allowed and the impugned orders dated 16.08.2012 and 10.09.2012 are set aside. Respondents are directed to reinstate the appellant in service with effect from 16.08.2012 with all back benefits including his service, salary and other allied benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. Consign.

7. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 31<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2022.

(ROZI) REHMAN) Member (J) Sale

TECT

FAREEHA PAUL) Member (E)

10/8/22

ANNER C TU

#### Eminent,

Secretary Higher Education, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

То

### APPLICATION FOR COMPLIANCE OF THE ORDER/ JUDGMENT OF LEARNED KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR DATED:31.05.2022, IN ITS TRUE LETTER AND SPIRIT.

#### Respected Sir,

Concisely to the facts that applicant being Ex-Driver of your esteemed department terminated vide impugned order dated:16.08.2012 as well as 10.09.2012, which assailed by the applicant before the learned Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar in Service Appeal No. 1011/2012, which ended up on 31.05.2022, in favor of the applicant and the relevant last portion is of the judgment is reproduce herein for your kind attraction;

> "the appeal in hand is therefore allowed and the impugned orders dated:16.08.2012 and 10.09.2012 are set aside. Respondents are directed to reinstate the appellant in service with effect from 16.08.2012 with all back benefits including his service, salary and other allied benefits"

# (COPY OF ORDER/JUDGMENT IS ANNEXED HEREWITH)

It is therefore, requested that the above order of learned Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal may very graciously please comply in its letter and spirit and applicant may please be reinstated on the post of driver with all back benefits.

Applicant

KHAN BAHADAR S/o Wazir Khan R/o Village Chilgul Khan, P.O. Shodag, Tehsil Tangi, District Charsadda. Cell:0346-5348206

Dated:04.08.2022

----<u>-</u>]-;. ~~/8/5 (m) 05 ~~/80/40 2101 01.9 has (0)54 611

Eminent, Secretary Administration, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

То

1

## APPLICATION FOR COMPLIANCE OF THE ORDER/ JUDGMENT OF LEARNED KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR DATED:31.05.2022, IN ITS TRUE LETTER AND SPIRIT.

Respected Sir,

Concisely to the facts that applicant being Ex-Driver of your esteemed department terminated vide impugned order dated:16.08.2012 as well as 10.09.2012, which assailed by the applicant before the learned Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar in Service Appeal No. 1011/2012, which ended up on 31.05.2022, in favor of the applicant and the relevant last portion is of the judgment is reproduce herein for your kind attraction;

"the appeal in hand is therefore allowed and the impugned orders dated:16.08.2012 and 10.09.2012 are set aside. Respondents are directed to reinstate the appellant in service with effect from 16.08.2012 with all back benefits including his service, salary and other allied benefits"

(COPY OF ORDER/JUDGMENT IS ANNEXED HEREWITH)

It is therefore, requested that the above order of learned Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal may very graciously please comply in its letter and spirit and applicant may please be reinstated on the post of driver with all back benefits.

Applicant

Dated:04.08,2022

**KHAN BAHADAR** S/o Wazir Khan R/o Village Chilgul Khan, P.O. Shodag, Tehsi. Tangi, District Charsadda. Cell:0346-5348206

Pato Addi Secy-I Adinn Depit Diary No1974 Dated 04/08

F's to Secy Admin Dalry No3181 Date: 4-8-22

DSCA) 3243 Sent to SO(A)

Þ. ايف آئي آ ماعث كحررا نكبه کر لژ مقدمه مندرجه بالاعنوان ميں اين طرف سے داسطے بيروي وجوابد ہي بہقا لاجبر خان ميك ايدوكيث مائى كورث وفيدرل شريعت كورث آف ياكستان کوبدین شرط و کمیل مقرر کیاہے کہ میں ہر پیشی پرخود یا بذر بیر مختار خاص رو بر دعدالت حاضر ہوتا رہو نگا۔ادر بوفت بکارے جانے مقد مہ وكيل صاحب موصوف كواطلاع ديكر حاضرعداكت كرونكا أكربيثي يرمن مظهر حاضر نه بهوا اورمقد مه ميري غير حاضري كي دجه سيكي طور میرے برخلاف ہوگیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دارنہ ہول گے - نیز دکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام کچہری کے کس ادرجگہ پا کچہری کے مقررہ ادقات سے پہلے یا پیچیے یابز درتعطیل پیردی کرنے کے ذمہ دارنہ ہوں گے۔اگر مقدمہ علاہ ہ صدر مقام کچہری کے سی اورجگہ ساعت ہونے ماہر وزنعطیل ما کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے بیچھے پیش ہونے پر من مظہر کو کُ نقصان سینچاتو اس کے ذمہ دار یا اس کے واسطے کمی معاوضہ کے ادا کرنے یا مختتار نامہ دالیس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ڈ مہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔ بچھ کوکل ساختہ یرداخته صاحب موصوف مثل کرده ذات خود منظور قبول ہوگا۔اور صاحب موصوف کو عرضی دعوی وجواب دعوی اور درخواست اجرائے ڈ گری دنظر تانی اپل دنگرانی ہوشم کی درخواست پر دستخط دنصدیق کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ادرکسی عکم یا ڈ گری کے اجرا کرانے ادر ہوشم کا رو پیدوسول کرنے اوررسید دینے اور داخل کرنے اور ہوتم کے بیان دینے اور سپر د ثالثی وراضی نا مدکو فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے ، اقبال دعوى د به کابھی اختیار ہوگا۔ ادر بصورت ایپل دیر آ مدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ڈگری یکطرفہ درخواست تھم امتناعی یا قرتی یا گرفتاری قبل از اجراء ذ گرى بھى موصوف كوبشرط ادائيگى علىحد دمخنتار نامه بيروى كااختيار ہوگا۔اوربصورت ضرورت صاحب موصوف كوبھى اختيار ہوگا يامقد سه مذکور ہ پا اس کے کسی جزو کی کاروائی کے واسطے یا بصورت اپیل ،اپیل کے واسطے کسی دوسرے دکیل یا بیرسٹر کو بجائے اپنے یا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں۔ادرا یسے مشیر قانون کو ہرامر میں دہی ادر دیسے ہی اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے۔ جیسے کہ صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں ادر دوران مقدمه مين جو كچم ہرجاندالتواء يرب گا۔ وہ صاحب موصوف كاحق ہوگا۔ اگروكيل صاحب موصوف كو يورى فيس تاريخ بيش -یہلے ادانہ کروں گا تو صاحب موصوف کو بورا اختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی پیروی نہ کریں ادرایسی صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کم نئم کا صاحب اچھى طرح سجھليا ہے ادر منظور ہے۔ ATTESTED ALACCEPTED: Lajbar Khan Khalil Advocate High Court & Federal Shariat Court of Pakistan CNIC No. 17301-1573931-1 BC No. 10-7631

Cell No. 0333-9133651

Email: lajbark758@gmail.com