

5

**BEFORE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR CAMP**  
**OFFICE D. I. KHAN**

Service Appeal No. 13902/2022

Shahid Iqbal Khattak

V/S

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief secretary etc.

**INDEX**

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Annexure</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	Contents of Rejoinder		1-4
2.	Copy of <del>letter</del> of Appreciation letter	I	5-18
3.	Copy of letter dt 21-11-2015	II	19
4.	Copy of letter dt 15/2/2016	III	20
5.	Copy of letter dt 1/2/2016	IV	21
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			

  
Petitioner

Through Counsel

  
Muhammad Iqbal Kundi  
Advocate High Court  
D.I.khan

(1)

**BEFORE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR CAMP OFFICE  
LKHAN**

Service Appeal No. 13902/2020

Shahid Iqbal Khattak

V/S

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief secretary etc.

**Rejoinder to the Reply of Respondents**

**Reply to the Preliminary Objections**

That the Preliminary Objection raised by Respondent are wrong, void and incorrect hence not admitted. However reply of each objection is being furnished as under.

1. That objection No. 1 is incorrect and denied. Cause of action was initially accrued to the appellant when alleged show cause notice and statement of allegations were served upon him and then accrued on 12/06/2020, when minor punishment to the extent of stoppage of 1 annual increment and fine of Rs. 220069.35/- was imposed upon him by respondents vide order No. SOE(AD)6.52/2016 dated 12/06/2020 which was acknowledged by him on 01/07/2020.
2. That Objection No. 2 is incorrect and hence not admitted. Appellant has a good prima facie case as the plenty imposed upon him is illegal, unlawful and without lawful authority.
3. That Objection No. 3 is incorrect, hence not admitted. As, review petition was filed on 20/07/2020 against order dated 12/06/2020, acknowledged on 01/07/2020), Within stipulated and there after instant appeal was filed on 28/10/2020. Thus neither review petition /departmental appeal nor instant service appeal filed by applicant are time barred.
4. That Objection No. 4 is incorrect, hence not admitted.
5. That Objection No. 5 is incorrect, hence not admitted. The appellant has come to this tribunal with clean hands, while filing instant service appeal.
6. That Objection No. 6 is incorrect, hence not admitted. Instant appeal is maintainable as the matter pertains to the services of the appellant.
7. That Objection No. 7 is incorrect, hence not admitted. Appellant has locus standi to file instant appeal.
8. That Objection No. 8 is incorrect, hence not admitted. Appellant has brought all the facts before the Honorable Tribunal, while filing instant appeal and has not concealed any matter from this tribunal.

**Para wise reply on Comments.**

Respectfully sir,

1. That version of para No. 1 of the comments is incorrect, hence denied. It is submitted that by keeping in view his hard work, honesty and dedication to his duty an appreciation letter (Annexure A in the appeal) was awarded to him on his hectic efforts while searching two wheat varieties during his research duly approved by competent authority in the meeting of Provincial Seed Council which was held on 19/09/2017, it is a great Honor and prestigious for the appellant as well as ARI, D.I.Khan. Copy of the Notification and minutes of the meeting are enclosed as Annexure 1).
2. The contents of the para, No. 2 of the comments are incorrect. It is submitted that the Director ARI, D. I. Khan being head of the institution is the custodian of the land of the said institute. The appellant was just liable to handle such land which was cultivable. He was having no concern

with uncultivable land the same is evident from the crop register dully countersigned by the Director ARI, D.I.Khan. The land under dispute was barren one and was not under his control. The director ARI, D.I.Khan submitted proposal to the Director General Agriculture Research Khyber Pakhtunkhwa at his own for removal of upper soil layer of the disputed land comprising on two blocks. It is pertinent to mention that appellant neither proposed the ibid land nor appellant was consulted in this regard even he was not intimated in this regard from start to end. Appellant was just a member of the auction committee, which was properly handled in a proper manner with the help of his colleagues after completion of all codal formalities. All the proceedings were made in a smooth and proper way. It is necessary to mention that auction committee constituted for auctioning of removing of upper layer of soil of ibid two blocks of land was comprised on five officials, duly headed by the Mr. Kazim Shah Director, Inayat Hussain Shah Chairman and other three members including appellant. The said auction was approved in favour of Mr. Mohammad Muhsin Shuja being the highest bidder, but it is astonishing that how Mr. Salim Khan was authorized by the Director at his own to remove the soil from the ibid blocks of land on the basis of authority letter dated 24/04/2015 given by Mr. Mohammad Muhsin Shujah (highest bidder) to Mr. Salim Khan.

It is further submitted that all the changes in the contract was made by Director ARI, D.I.Khan himself after 24/02/2015 which consulting with appellant was not aware in respect of those changes nor he was consulted in this regard. Which clearly shows that the all the alterations were made by the Director himself, meaning there by that appellant has not concealed any facts from this tribunal. It is further submitted that auction committee had completed his duty/job efficiently while was ended on 24/02/2015. Appellant is not responsible for all the alterations made in the said contract by Director ARI, D.I.Khan, hence para No.2 of the comments is vehemently denied.

3. The contents of the para No. 3 of the comments are absolutely incorrect and wrong. In this regard it is submitted that by mandate the Director ARI, D.I.Khan was responsible for all the affairs as well as land of ARI D.I.Khan. It is also astonishing that Auction Committee constituted by respondents was comprising on five members and how the so-called supervisory committee was reduced to three members. It is pertinent to mention that neither any action was taken against other two members of auction committee i.e Farkhanda Khan and S. Azmat Ali Shah meaning thereby that so-called supervisory committee was not in existence and was not constituted as mentioned in the alleged and so called letter No. 1546-47, dated 19/05/2015. it is evident from the record that the said letter was not acknowledged from appellant, even no any report or progress was obtained from the so-called supervisory committee as well as appellant in respect of the ibid excavation contract. The whole file the said contract is silent in this regard. Apart from that letter No. 752/DAR (DK) dated 24/11/2015 was addressed to Mr. Salim Khan being authority of Muhammad Mohsin Shuja i.e main contractor by acting Director Mr. Abdul Maieed for stoppage of contract in progress. Similarly a letter No. 354/DAR(DK) ARI, D.I.Khan dated 15/02/2016 was sent to Mr. Kazim Shah PRO Cereal (Ex-Director) by Mr. Abdul Majeed, Director ARI, D.I.Khan in which he was directed to file suit against the contractor in the competent court of law. There after comments were obtained from Mr. Kazim Shah about the complaint regarding illegal excavation of the soil from ibid blocks of land by Mr. Abdul Majeed, Director ARI, D.I.Khan. vide letter No. 386//DAR(DK) dated 19/02/2016 Copies of above said letters were sent to the Director General Agriculture Peshawar, but copies of ibid letters were not was sent to the so-called supervisory committee, meaning there by that no any supervisory committee was in existence. Respondent No.4 has fabricated a concocted false and frivolous story to save his own skin. In view of the above explanation appellant vehemently denies the version of para No. 3 of the comment. Copies of letters dated 24/11/2015, 15/02/2016 and 19-02-2016 are enclosed as Annexure II, III & IV.

4. The contents of the para No. 4 of the comments are not admitted hence denied. It was stated in supra paras NO.2 & 3 that appellant was neither assigned a job to supervise the work of the contractor nor, he was officially declared to be member of the so-called supervisory committee. The so-called supervisory committee was not in existence, even no any comments were obtained

from the appellant being a farm manager in respect of the illegal excavation made by the contractor. Appellant has already denied the foresaid allegations during the course of enquiry conducted in the ibid case and the competent authority badly failed to prove the alleged allegations constituted against appellant, hence para No. 4 is vehemently denied.

5. The contents of the para No. 5 of the comments are wrong, void and incorrect. The impugned letter No.1546-47/DAR (DK) dated 19/05/2015 apparently seems to be fake and fictitious as to the neither copy of the same was sent to Director General Agriculture Peshawar as well as contractor about constitution of the so-called supervisory committee nor copy of the ibid letter dated 19/05/2015 was properly acknowledged from the any member of the so called so-called committee. It is further submitted that neither any meeting was conducted in this regard nor any progress was called from the appellant as well as other member of the so called committee. It thus reveals that malafide is smelt from the actions of the Director, ARI, D.I.Khan, and fix match seems to be played between the Director General Agriculture research, Director ARI, D.I. Khan and the contractor. It is further submitted that respondents have admitted that yield of 29.11 mnds of wheat crop was obtained from the block A of the ibid land during 2015-16 However as long excavation of block B of the ibid land is concerned it has become disputed due to civil suit filed by the contractor in the court of law which is yet to be decided. So at this stage extra excavation of the soil of the block B cannot be determined or assessed. However apparently block B of the land is cultivable and can be cultivated as soon as the suit is decided. Thus para 5 of the comments is vehemently denied.
6. The contents of the para No. 6 of the comments are wrong, incorrect, flimsy, frivolous and fabricated. It is submitted that Director ARI D.I.Khan was wholly soly incharge of Agriculture Institution D.I.Khan as well as custodian of all the assets of the ARI. D.I.Khan including Agriculture land and the institute. The matter in question was handled by him at his own with the contractor as well as with the high ups and approval of subletting was accorded by him vide letter No.1503/DAR(DK) dated 15/05/2015. Appellant is just being made escape goat. Hence para No.6 of the comments is vehemently denied.
7. The para No.7 of the comments is incorrect and without lawful authority. It is submitted that enquiry report has never been supplied to appellant nor it was enclosed with the comments submitted by respondents. Even appellant was not allowed to cross examine the prosecution/complainant witness. Appellant reserves his right to explain his position as soon as the findings of enquiry report is supplied to him.
8. The contents of para No.8 of the comments are incorrect, hence denied. Appellant reiterates on the version of para No. 8 of appeal.
9. Needs no comments.
10. Para No.10 of comments is incorrect hence denied. Appellant reiterates on the version of para No. 10 of appeal.
11. Needs no comments.
12. Para No. 12 of the comments is formal hence needs no comments.

*Signature*

**Reply to comments on grounds of the appeal**

1. That comments on the grounds I of the appeal are incorrect hence denied. Appellant reiterates on the version of grounds I of the appeal.
2. That comments on the grounds 2 of appeal are incorrect hence denied. It is submitted that name of departmental representative was not been disclosed in the comments. So it cannot be assumed that who was deputed to attend the alleged inquiry and assist the alleged inquiry officer. Apart from that version of comments on ground 2 of the appeal reveals that no any opportunity of cross

examination upon prosecution was given to the appellant by the so called alleged enquiry committee. Hence comments given by respondents are worth less therefore not admitted. Appellant reiterates on the ground 2 of the appeal.

3. That comments on ground 3 of the appeal are incorrect. It is submitted that neither copy of so-called inquiry report was provided to appellant nor the same was annexed with the comments submitted by respondents. Meaning thereby that respondents have concealed the actual facts from this honorable Tribunal. Thus as such they have not come to this court with clean hands to defend the service appeal of appellant. Hence comments on ground 3 of appeal are vehemently denied.
4. That comments on Para 4 of appeal are incorrect hence denied. Appellant reiterates on the ground 4 of the appeal.
5. That comments on para 5 of the appeal are incorrect. Hence denied. Appellant reiterates on the ground 5 of appeal.
6. That in respect of comments on ground 6 of appeal, It is submitted that no any plausible reason was given in regard to keep the alleged complaint and alleged inquiry report for a long period of more than three years and three months meaning thereby that alleged complaint was baseless and appellant has been entraped in wrong and false allegation. Hence comments on the ground 6 of appeal are vehemently denied. Therefore not admitted.
7. The comments on grounds 7 of appeal are incorrect. No plausible explanation has been furnished by respondents in respect of alleged letter No. 1546-47 dated 19-05-2015 and concealed the actual facts from this tribunal and just wrote "INCORRECT AND DENIED". Incorrectness is not sufficient to absolve them-selves from their misdeeds thus as such appellant has been involved in false and fabricated case. Hence comments on ground 7 of appeal are vehemently denied and not admitted. Appellant reiterates on the ground 7 of the appeal.
8. In regard to comments on Para 8 of the appeal it is submitted that no any comments in regard to the punishment of Nazeer Hussain Shah the then DGAR has been given by respondents whereas minor punishment in the shape of CANSURE was awarded to him. Thus in such a situation appellant was not to be treated differently. Hence comments on Para 8 of appeal are not admitted. Appellant reiterates on the ground 8 of the appeal.
9. It is submitted that matter is dispute was subjudiced by the contractor in the competent court of law. It has direct nexus with the matter in question. Hence comments on ground 9 of appeal are vehemently denied.
10. That comments on ground 10 of appeal are incorrect hence not admitted.
11. Comments on ground 11 of appeal being formal. Hence needs no comments.

In view of the submissions made above it is benignly prayed that service appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for in the heading of appeal in the best interest of justice.

*S. Iqbal*  
 Appellant  
 Through Counsel  
*M. Iqbal Kundi*  
 Muhammad Iqbal Kundi  
 Advocate High Court  
 D.I.Khan

Verification  
 Verified that contents of rejoinder are correct  
 to the best of my knowledge and belief.  
*S. Iqbal*  
 Appellant

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
DERA ISMAIL KHAN - KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Landline: (0966) 740 090

Fax (0966) 740 415

Email: aridikh@yaho.com



ANN. II

19


98

752 /DAR(DK), Dated ARI DI Khan the 24. 11. /2015

Mr. Sallm Contractor  
S/O Paind Khan  
R/O Wanda Balochan  
DI Khan


Subject: Lifting of Soil Surface  
m;

Refer to this office work order No. 1503/DAR(DK) dated 15.05.2015.  
As per conditions of the said contract you were allowed to lift the soil up to 3 feet in block A and up to 4 feet in block B while the period of the lifting of this soil was only six months but it is regret-full to say that you have not followed the proper conditions of the said contract and lifted more than the specified range more over although the period of lifting the soil surface has been completed but you are still continue to lift the said soil for more than two months after the laps of specified period. (Two months extra)  
At this stage you are directed to immediately stop the lifting of the soil from the area. Moreover, plane the said soil and prepare the banas of the land as per conditions of the contract.

  
Director

C/C to NO: 2753-54

1. The Director General Agril; Research System, Peshawar for information
2. Mr. Kazim Shah Oil Seed Botanist to pursue the case accordingly as per directive of the worthy DG Agril; Research System, KPK Peshawar.

  
Director

Third in command

ANN III  
AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
DERA ISMAIL KHAN - KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA



Landline (0966) 740 090  
Fax (0966) 740 415  
Email: aridikhan@yahoo.com



No. 354 /DAR[DK], Dated ARI, DIKhan the 15/02/2016  
To

Mr. Kazim Shah  
PRO Cereal  
ARI, D.I.Khan


Subject: LAND EXCAVATION AT ARI, DIKHAN

Memo:

I have been directed to inform you to initiate a case against the party/contractor, who has lifted the soil surface at the institute on the bases of the following items:

1. They have lifted more soil than actually auctioned to them.
2. They have not leveled the land properly as per conditions.
3. This Deptt. Could not utilize the land for sowing purpose which resulted a huge loss to Govt.


You are therefore, directed to write a letter to the said contractor as well as file a suit against him on the basis of the above mentioned facts on behalf of the Govt.

  
Director

No. 355 /DAR[DK],

Copy to

The Director General Agril; Research System KPK, Peshawar, for his kind information.

o/c  
  
Director



**Agricultural Research Institute, D.I.Khan**

Phone: (0966) 740 046, 740 090 Fax: (0966) 740 415

ANN IV

1050

24

No. 386 / DAR (DK) dated ARI, D.I.Khan the 19/02/2016

To

The Mr. Kazim Shah,  
SRO Cereal  
Agriculture Research Institute  
D.I.Khan.

Subject: **COMPLAINT REGARDING ILLEGAL EXCAVATION OF SOIL IN AGRIC. LAND AT DISTRICT D.I.KHAN.**

Memo:

Enclosed please find herewith a letter No. PS/secret/Agric/Exe/D.I.Khan/2015 dated 02-02-2016, on the subjected noted above which is self explanatory in this connection you are advised to produce your comments, so that the same may be communicated to the competent authorities for further necessary action as desired by the higher ups.

*no 387 / DAR(DK) ARI. date 19-2-2016.*

c.c.to:-

- Director General, Agric. Res. For information please.

*[Signature]*  
Director

*[Signature]*  
Director



AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE

DERA ISMAIL KHAN - KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

0966-740090

0966-740115



Web: [www.agricres.kp.gov.pk](http://www.agricres.kp.gov.pk)  
Email: [aridkhan@yahoo.com](mailto:aridkhan@yahoo.com)  
Email: [aridk1986@gmail.com](mailto:aridk1986@gmail.com)



ANN I  
5

No. 1676 /DAR[DK] Dated ARI, DIKhan the 28-09 /2017  
To

Mr. Shahid Khattak  
Research Officer (Wheat)  
ARI, DIKhan


Subject: APPRECIATION

Memo:

Consequent upon approval of two Wheat varieties (Israr Shaheed & Shahid-17) in Provincial Seed Council, this institute feels honour & privilege to award appreciation letter to you.

On your performance & continuous hard work, release of these two varieties is definitely a pride and honour for this institute, research system and the whole province as well. Hope these varieties will contribute worth to the national economy as well as wheat-growers of D.I.Khan.

This institute is thankful to you and expects continuous hard work in future too.

  
Director

**MOST IMMEDIATE**

6



**GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK AND  
COOPERATIVES DEPARTMENT, PESHAWAR.**

No. SOAH(AD)7(8)/KC/2017/Vol-X  
Dated Peshawar the 20<sup>th</sup> October, 2017

4362  
31/10/17

To

The Director General,  
Agricultural Research System,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

**SUBJECT: MINUTES OF THE 37<sup>TH</sup> MEETING PROVINCIAL SEED COUNCIL HELD ON 19<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2017**

I am directed to refer to the subject noted above and to convey the approval of the competent authority i.e. Minister for Agriculture Khyber Pakhtunkhwa / Chairman Provincial Seed Council regarding minutes of the 37<sup>th</sup> Provincial Seed Council meeting (copy attached) for favor of further necessary action and circulation to all concerned. It is further requested that draft notification may be submitted to this department for approval and issuance at an early date please.

Encl: As Above.

*M. T. C.*  
SECTION OFFICER (TAGR.)  
Phone # 091-9211069

Encl.No. & date even:

Copy forwarded to:

- 1. D.S to Minister for Agriculture Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

Encl. No. 11952-69 /A-40/1/Tech/DGAR; Dated: Pesh: the 01/11 /2017

Copy of the above alongwith II enclosure is forwarded to:-

- 1. Senior Director Outreach, KPK, Peshawar.
- 2. Senior Director, Agril. Research Institute, Tamab, Peshawar.
- 3. All Stations Directors/Organization of Agric. Research in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for information & n/action.

*M. J. Khan*

*F.M.*  
*18.11.17*

*[Signature]*  
Director Research Planning,  
Agril. Research  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Peshawar





NOTE FOR MINISTER AGRICULTURE

SUBJECT: MINUTES OF THE 37<sup>TH</sup> MEETING OF THE PROVINCIAL SEED COUNCIL, HELD ON 19<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2017.

1. The 37<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Provincial Seed Council was held on 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2017 at 11:00 AM under the Chairmanship of Minister for Agriculture, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in the Committee Room of Agriculture Department (Flag-A).

02. The Director General Agriculture Research, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa submitted drafts Minutes of the subject meeting for approval of the Chairman of the Provincial Seed Council (Flag-B), wherein following decisions were made:-

- a) Approved the variety with the proposed name Kohat- 2017 for cultivation in rain fed areas of the province.
- b) Approved the variety with the same name i.e Khaista -2017 for general cultivation in the irrigated areas of the province.
- c) Approved the variety with the proposed name Wadan-2017 for cultivation in Rain fed areas of the province.
- d) Approved the variety with the proposed name paseena -2017 for general cultivation under the late planting condition of the province.
- ✓ c) Approved the variety with the changed name Israr Shaheed for cultivation in the irrigated areas of the province.
- ✓ f) Approved the variety with the proposed name Shahid -17 for planting in Rain fed areas of the province.
- g) Approved the variety with the name NIFA AMAN- 2017 for general cultivation in Irrigated areas of the Province.
- h) Approved the sugar cane variety with the changed name Israr Shaheed - SC for cultivation in sugarcane growing areas of KP.
- i) Approved with the changed name as Qayum -2017 for sugarcane growing belt of the province.
- j) Approved with the name NIFA Mung -2017 for general cultivation in the province.

2. The Hon'able Minister for Agriculture Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is being Chairman of the Provincial Seed Council, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is requested to please approve the draft minutes of the 37<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Provincial Seed Council.

*S. A. 2017*  
SECRETARY AGRICULTURE

MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE  
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

*Handwritten signature*  
17/10/2017

AGRICULTURE  
LIVESTOCK & COOPERATION  
DEPARTMENT



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

# Directorate General

Agricultural Research at Agricultural University, Peshawar  
Phone #: 091-9216530 Fax #: 091-9216529

8

No. 5394-402 /A-40/1/Tech/DGR

Dated Peshawar, the 24/5 12/11/17

To

1.	Director, CCRI Pirsabak Nowshera.	2.	Director, Agricultural Research Institute, D.I.Khan.
3.	Director NIFA Peshawar.	4.	The Director, SCRI Mardan
5.	Director General Agri. Extension Peshawar	6.	Mohammad Younas PRO (Entomology) /member of Technical committee ARI Tarnab
7.	Dr. Ghufraan Pathologist/member Technical committee ARI Tarnab	8.	Dr. Gulzar Ahmad PRO (wheat)/ member Technical committee CCRI Pirsabak
9.	Regional Director FSC&RD 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Benevolent fund Building Peshawar Cantt.		


Subject :: MINUTES OF THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 23-08-2016 IN THE OFFICE OF DG AGRI. RESEARCH PESHAWAR.

Memo :-

I am directed to send you a copy of the Minutes of the subject meeting held on 23-08-2016 for your information.


You all are requested to please ask the concerned Breeders to correct proposals in the light of Technical Committee observation made during the meeting and send 18 corrected copies to this office so that Provincial Seed Council Meeting will be arranged shortly. (Copy of the minutes is attached).

Encl: As above

  
Director Research Planning,  
Agricultural Research  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.

c.c. The Director General, Agricultural Research, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar for information.

*2.0 wheat  
do the need  
Ad  
22-6-17*



Minutes of the Technical Committee for the 37<sup>th</sup> meeting of Provincial Seed Council held on 23-08-2016 in the office of Director General Agriculture Research, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

A meeting of Technical Committee for the 37<sup>th</sup> meeting of Provincial Seed Council was held on 23-08-2016 at 02:00 pm in the office of Director General Agriculture Research, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar at the University of Agricultural Peshawar. The meeting was chaired by Director Research Planning Agriculture Research, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. List of participants and working paper for the meeting are attached. The meeting started with recitation from the Holy Qur'an as per scheduled and venue.

Dr. Bashir Ahmad, Director Research Planning Agricultural Research KPK, who chaired the meeting, welcomed the participants and briefed the forum regarding development & releases of improved varieties of crops fruits and vegetables in the Province. He stressed that the committee should recommend the potential varieties to the council. He also suggested carrying out spot examination of the candidate varieties through expert team related to each crop.

Mr. Ilhamuddin Khan, Regional Director FSC & RD Peshawar highlighted the role of varieties in crop husbandry. He said that the Technical committee is a forum who recommended the potential varieties of crops, fruits and vegetables after scrutinizing the various features and other relevant data like DUS and VCU before presenting the case/ proposals in the Provincial Seed Council, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. He explained that the two criteria may be kept in mind before recommending a variety for approval from the Provincial Seed Council (PSC).

1. It should be high yielding than the existing varieties or showing more tolerance to prevailing diseases and viruses if they are at par with the existing varieties.
2. DUS data be completed for two years and at least one distinguishing character may describe the uniqueness of a variety, from the existing one

Dr. Bashir Ahmad, Director Research Planning briefly discussed the working paper of the meeting. Overall 08 varieties proposals for crops were presented before the technical committee. The following decisions were taken by the participants of the meeting after the presentation of the concerned breeders/ Agronomists and thoroughly discussed by the forum. The technical Committee requested to finalize the spot examination of the candidate variety if not examine yet due to season bond activities

The following committee will visit the Mungbean and sugarcane varieties for spot examination in the month of September.

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| 1. Dr. Gulzar Ahmad PRO Wheat CCRI Pirsabak             | Chairman |
| 2. Mr. Ilhamuddin , Regional Director FSC & RD Peshawar | Member   |
| 3. Dr. Fazle Subhan PSO NIFA Peshawar                   | Member   |
| 4. Mr. Younas Khan Entomologist                         | Member   |
| 5. Dr. Ghufuran Khan , Pathologist                      | Member   |
| 6. Mr. Muhammad Khan Director Agri. Extension           | Member   |

The following varieties were presented for discussion and recommendation according to the working paper of the meeting for further discussion and approval in the 37<sup>th</sup> PSC meeting to be held after fulfilling the requirements.

1. Name of Wheat Varieties: DN-84 (Rainfed)

Presented by: Mr. Shahid Iqbal Khattak RO Wheat D.I.Khan

Mr. Shahid Iqbal Khattak RO Wheat Section ARI Rattakulachi D.I.Khan presented his wheat variety DN-84. The concerned breeder explained breeding history, morphological characteristics, Yield and associated traits, insect and disease prevalence associated to his variety along with the performance in various preliminary and advance yield trials. The variety distinctive character includes medium size to avoid lodging/procting with adverse environment. The breeder claims that it is resistant to yellow, leaf and stem rust diseases. The RRI for all the three rust diseases was above the acceptable range i.e. more than 0.0. The members were of the opinion that various characters and yield level is up to the standard and the DRI and VCU studies were completed according to the FSC&RD standards therefore, the variety will fully perform for yield and yield associated traits in dry related areas of the province and will further added to varietal complex for rainfed areas of the province.

**Decision:** After thoroughly discussing the performance in various experimental trials and good results on national level the forum agreed with the efforts of the presenter/ Breeder and the variety was recommended with minor changes in the proposals for approval from the provincial seed council.

2. Name of wheat variety: DN-93 (Irrigated)

Mr. Shahid Iqbal Khattak RO Wheat Section ARI Rattakulachi D.I.Khan presented his 2<sup>nd</sup> wheat variety DN-93 for planting in irrigated areas. He explained that this variety is resistant to the prevailing wheat diseases and also superior to the existing wheat varieties of D.I.Khan in term of yield. The RRI for both the two rust diseases was above the acceptable range i.e. more than 0.0. However for leaf rust it is less than 5.00. The Breeding histories is clearly mentioned and this variety has a different genetic background than the existing wheat varieties which is a plus point in terms of disease epidemics. The Deputy Director FSC & RD commented that DUS (Distinguishing, uniformity and stability) data is completed for two years. He suggested consulting the Economic survey of Pakistan regarding statistical data. The overall ranking of this variety is good with the range of 3.5 to 4.00 tons per hectare. The members were of the opinion that other characters and yield level is up to the standard and leaf rust is not the problem of our province therefore, the variety may be considered for recommendation to Provincial Seed Council. The spot examination was done by the 5 member committee. But report is awaited.

**Decision:** The variety was recommended for approval from the provincial seed council for the irrigated areas of the province. However it was suggested to use the most recent varieties as checks in the advanced yield trials to have clear picture regarding yield and yield associated traits.

3. Name of Wheat Variety: NIFA AMAN-2016 (Irrigated)

Presented by: Dr. Fazle Subhan PSO NIFA

Dr. Fazle Subhan PSO NIFA and one of the breeders of the variety presented his variety i.e. NIFA Aman 2016 to the technical committee. He explained that the line was selected from CIMMYT material. The Deputy Director FSC&RD pointed to acknowledge the support of the

66



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries & Cooperatives  
Department, Peshawar

Dated: Peshawar the 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2022

NOTIFICATION No. SOAH(AD)7(8)2022/Vol-16: The Provincial Seed Council in its 41<sup>st</sup> meeting held at the Agricultural Research Institute, Tamab Peshawar on 20.01.2022 chaired by Mr. Mohibullah Khan, Minister for Agriculture, has approved the following crops varieties/hybrids for cultivation in the respective Agro-ecological zones of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa mentioned against each;

S#	Name of Crop Species	Variety/Hybrid	Breeders	Institute/Station	Area of Adaptability
<b>Fruit Species:</b>					
1.	Peach ( <i>Prunus persica</i> L.)	Maria Bianca	1. Mr. Mubashar Ahmad Khan 2. Mr. Nuyyar Iqbal Khan 3. Mr. Asif-ur-Rehman Khan 4. Mr. Anayat-ur-Rehman 5. Mr. Khalid Khan 6. Mr. Mazhar Iqbal 7. Miss. Rabia Riaz 8. Miss. Sidra Zeb	HARS, Abbottabad	Temperate zone of the Province
2.	Peach ( <i>Prunus persica</i> L.)	Golden	1. Mr. Javed Rahman 2. Muhammad Ayaz Khan 3. Dr. Muhammad Abdul Rauf 4. Dr. Khalil ur Rehman 5. Muhammad Ibrahim 6. Dr. Roshan Ali 7. Dr. Ibrar Hussain 8. Dr. Farooq 9. Mr. Wasim Bilal 10. Dr. Nadia Bostan 11. Mr. Amin Khan 12. Dr. Muhammad Ilyas 13. Dr. Fazal Maula 14. Dr. Muhammad Shahid	ARI Mingota, Swat	--do--
3.	Peach ( <i>Prunus persica</i> L.)	8-A (Indian Blood)	1. Mr. Syed Fazal Ahd 2. Muhammad Ayaz Khan 3. Muhammad Ibrahim 4. Dr. Khalil ur Rehman 5. Mr. Jamsheed Khan 6. Dr. Muhammad Abdul Rauf 7. Dr. Roshan Ali 8. Mr. Javed Rahman	--do--	--do--
4.	Peach ( <i>Prunus persica</i> L.)	Spring Belle	1. Dr. Nadia Bostan 2. Mr. Mubashar Ahmad Khan 3. Mr. Wasim Bilal 4. Mr. Anayat ur Rehman 5. Dr. Ibrar Hussain 6. Dr. Muhammad Ilyas 7. Miss Sidra Zeb 8. Miss Rabia Riaz	HARS, Abbottabad	--do--

S#	Name of Crop Species	Variety/Hybrid	Breeders	Institut Station	Area of Adaptability
5.	Pear ( <i>Pyrus communis</i> L.)	William Rosso	1. Mr. Wasim Bilal 2. Dr. Muhammad Abdul Rauf 3. Muhammad Ayaz Khan 4. Dr. Khalil-ur-Rahman 5. Muhammad Ibrahim 6. Dr. Roshan Ali 7. Mr. Javed Rehman 8. Dr. Ibrar Hussain 9. Dr. Farooq 10. Dr. Nadia Bostan 11. Dr. Muhammad Ilyas 12. Mr. Mazhar Ali 13. Dr. Attaullah	ARI Mingora, Swat	Mild temperate to temperate areas of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
6.	Mungo ( <i>Mangifera indica</i> )	Panyala Langra	1. Mr. Imran Ullah 2. Mr. Abdul Qayum Khan 3. Ms. Farhana Khan 4. Mr. Shitab Khan 5. Mr. Sheryar Hafeez 6. Mr. Rashid Khan 7. Mr. Mehboub Ahmad	ARI, D.I Khan	Subtropical & Tropical climatic zones
7.	Pomegranate ( <i>Punica granatum</i> )	Chitral Sulaid Anar	1. Muhammad Ibrahim 2. Dr. Muhammad Abdul Rauf 3. Dr. Muhammad Naseer 4. Muhammad Shahid 5. Mr. Khalid Khan 6. Dr. Nadia Bostan	ARS Chitral	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
8.	Sweet Orange ( <i>Citrus sinensis</i> )	Arnold Blood	1. Mr. Nisar Naeem 2. Mr. Kamran Shah 3. Mr. Shah Zeb 4. Dr. Ghulam Nabi 5. Miss. Hajra Shah	ARI, Tarnab, Peshawar	Subtropical regions of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
9.	Litchi ( <i>Litchi chinensis</i> )	Gola	1. Mr. Kamran Shah 2. Mr. Nisar Naeem 3. Mr. Shah Zeb 4. Mr. Hafeez-ur-Rahman 5. Miss. Hajra Shah	ARI, Tarnab, Peshawar	Subtropical regions of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa with frost free climate
10.	Litchi ( <i>Litchi chinensis</i> )	Surahi	1. Mr. Nisar Naeem 2. Mr. Kamran Shah 3. Mr. Zia Ullah 4. Dr. Ibrar Hussain 5. Dr. Bibi Haleema	ARI, Tarnab, Peshawar	Subtropical regions of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa with frost free climate.
11.	Loquat ( <i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> )	Sher Khan Selection	1. Mr. Nisar Naeem 2. Mr. Kamran Shah 3. Mr. Shah Zeb 4. Miss. Hajra Shah 5. Mr. Ayub Jan	--do--	Subtropical regions of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
12.	Guava ( <i>Psidium guajava</i> L.)	Riafi	1. Mr. Kamran Shah 2. Mr. Nisar Naeem 3. Dr. Ijaz Akhtiar 4. Miss. Nugina Zeb 5. Mr. Hazrat Bilal	--do--	Tropical and Subtropical regions (with frost free climate) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa



13

S#	Name of Crop Species	Variety/Hybrid	Breeders	Institute/Station	Area of Adaptability
13.	Guava ( <i>Psidium guajava</i> L.)	Sufaida	1. Mr. Nisar Naeem 2. Mr. Kamran Shah 3. Mr. Zia Ullah 4. Mr. Shah Zeb 5. Miss. Nadia Samad	--do--	--do--
14.	Apricot ( <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> )	Trevat	1. Mr. Hafeez-ur-Rehman 2. Dr. Muhammad Abdul Rauf 3. Mr. Ayub Jan 4. Mr. Nisar Naeem 5. Mr. Shah Zeb 6. Miss. Nadia Samad	--do--	Central Agro-Ecological Zone of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Vegetables Crops/Species:					
15.	Broccoli ( <i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>italica</i> )	Paradise	1. Dr. Rahmani Gul 2. Dr. Noor Habil 3. Mr. Ahmad Zada 4. Mr. Zakria Pachha 5. Muhammad Ayaz Khan 6. Mr. Amin Khan 7. Mr. Shafiullah 8. Mr. Mazullah Khan Akhonzada 9. Dr. Roshan Ali 10. Dr. Fazli Maula 11. Dr. Muhammad Shahid	ARI Mingora, Swat	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
16.	Okra ( <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench)	Green Finger	1. Muhammad Ismaeel 2. Mr. Adnan Ghani 3. Dr. Gulzar Ahmad 4. Muhammad Azam 5. Dr. Amjad Ali 6. Dr. Qamar Zeb 7. H. M. Faisal Ayub 8. Dr. Saima Hashim	ARS, Swabi	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
17.	Pea ( <i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)	Bashir 2021	1. Muhammad Ali 2. Muhammad Shah Satwar Khan 3. Mr. Tahir Sajjad 4. Mr. Latif Khan 5. Dr. Abdur Rehman 6. Mr. Rafiullah Khan 7. Prof. Dr. Itikhar Hussain Khail 8. Mr. Mehdi Raza	ARI, Jarnab Peshawar	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
18.	Pea ( <i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)	Tarnab Pea	--do--	--do--	--do--
19.	Onion ( <i>Allium cepa</i> L.)	Wafa-2022	1. Dr. Syed Asghar 2. Dr. Ahmad Abbas Malik 3. Muhammad Shahid 4. Mr. Zakaria 5. Dr. Sabir Gul Khattak 6. Mr. Shireen Khan 7. Mr. Adil Rehman 8. Dr. Ifat Nawaz	ARS Baffa Mareshra	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Legume/Pulses Crops/Species:					

14

S#	Name of Crop Species	Variety/ Hybrid	Breeders	Institute/ Station	Area of Adaptability
27	Brassica/ Mustard ( <i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)	Mingora-1	1. Dr. Fayaz Ahmad 2. Dr. Abdul Bari 3. Muhammad Ayaz Khan 4. Mr. Ayaz Khan 5. Dr. Amjad Khan 6. Dr. Ehsanullah 7. Dr. Fazli Maula 8. Dr. Altaullah 9. Dr. Roshan Ali 10. Ms. Alia	ARI-Mingora, Swat	Malakand Division
28	Brassica/ Rapeseed ( <i>Brassica napus</i> x <i>Brassica campestris</i> L.)	IBGE Sarson	1. Prof. Dr. Iqbal Munir 2. Dr. Aqib Iqbal 3. Prof. Dr. Muhammad Arif 4. Dr. M. Sayyar Khan Kazi 5. Dr. Shahen Shah 6. Dr. Mian Afaq Ahmad 7. Dr. Sarraj Alam 8. Dr. Bashir Ahmad 9. Dr. Ishaq Ahmad Mian 10. Mr. Amir Muhammad Khan 11. Ms. Fatima Syed	IBGE, UAP Peshawar	Rainfed and Irrigated areas of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Cereal Crops Species:					
29	Wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)	Taskcen-2022	1. Dr. Syed Asghar 2. Dr. Abdul Bari 3. Dr. Khilwat Afridi 4. Dr. Muhammad Khalid 5. Dr. Muhammad Asim 6. Dr. Muhammad Saeed 7. Mr. Murad Ali 8. Muhammad Adeel Qureshi 9. Dr. Riaz Muhammad Khan 10. Mr. Bilal Ahmad 11. Mr. Rifat Ali 12. Mr. Ahmad Zuhair 13. Mr. Irtan Ullah Khan	CCRI, Pirsabak Nowshera	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
30	Wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)	Bhalkar Star	1. Dr. Muhammad Irshad 2. Miss Zubeda Parveen 3. Dr. Khalid Hussain	AZRI, Bhalkar	Initially approved in 52 <sup>nd</sup> Meeting of Punjab Seed Council for cultivation in arid conditions with limited irrigation. Approved by KP-PSC for cultivation in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
31	Maize Hybrid ( <i>Zea mays</i> L.)	SB-9674	Mr. Shafiq-Ur-Rehman	Kissan Seed Corporation Sahiwal	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
32	Maize Hybrid ( <i>Zea mays</i> L.)	SB-92K97	--do--	--do--	--do--
33	Maize Hybrid	CM-203	Muhammad Iqbal	Carpel Seed Company, Mardan	--do--
Condiment Species:					

15

S#	Name of Crop Species	Variety/Hybrid	Breeders	Institute/Station	Area of Adaptability
31.	Turneric ( <i>Curcuma longa</i> L.)	Bader Halki	1. Dr. Amanullah Khan 2. Dr. Muhammad Yaqoob	--do--	--do--

-SD-  
SECRETARY  
GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK AND COOPERATIVES  
DEPARTMENT, PESHAWAR

No. SOAH(AD)7(8)2022/Vol-16

Dated Peshawar the 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2022

Copy of the above for information forwarded to:

1. PS to the Minister for Agriculture, Livestock & Cooperatives Department Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. PS to the Secretary to the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Agriculture, Livestock & Cooperatives Department, Peshawar.
3. The Vice Chancellor, University of Agriculture, Peshawar.
4. The Vice Chancellor, University of Agriculture, D. I. Khan.
5. The Vice Chancellor, University of Agriculture, Swat.
6. The Dean, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Haripur.
7. The Dean, Faculty of Agriculture, Central University, D. I. Khan.
8. The Dean, Faculty of Agriculture, Bacha Khan University, Charsadda.
9. The Dean, Faculty of Agriculture, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan.
10. The Dean, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Swabi.
11. The Dean, Faculty of Plant Sciences, University of Hazara, Manshra.
12. The Director, AMK Campus, University Agriculture, Peshawar at Mardan.
13. The Chairman, Pakistan Agricultural Research Council, Islamabad.
14. The Director General, Agriculture Research, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
15. The Director General, Agriculture Extension Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
16. The Director General, National Agricultural Research Center, Islamabad.
17. The Director General, Federal Seed Certification & Registration Department, Islamabad.
18. The Director General, Arid Zone Research Center, D. I. Khan.
19. The Director General, Agriculture Extension (Merged Areas), Hayatabad, Peshawar.
20. The Director, Arid Zone Research Institute, Bhakkar, Punjab.
21. All members of the Provincial Seed Council.
22. The Senior Director, Agricultural Research Institute, Tarnab Peshawar.
23. The Senior Director, Outreach Agriculture Research, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
24. The Chief Planning Officer, Agriculture, Livestock & Cooperatives Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
25. All Director of the Agricultural Research Institutes / Stations in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
26. All District Directors of Agriculture Extension Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
27. The Chief Executive, Carpet Seed Company, Mahsana Road Takht Bha, Mardan.
28. The Executive Director, Kisan Seed Corporation, Abulqan Road, Near Jamia Anwar e Rehmat Pul, 13479-I, Sahiwal.
29. The Director IBCG, The University of Agriculture Peshawar.
30. The Director Nuclear Institute for Food & Agriculture (NIFA), Peshawar.
31. The Concerned breeders.
32. The Director, Agriculture Research (Merged Areas), ARI, Tarnab Peshawar.
33. The Director Seed, Agriculture Extension Department, Peshawar.
34. The Regional Director, FSC&RD, Peshawar.
35. The Deputy Director, Agriculture Information, Peshawar.
36. The Manager Government Printing Press, Peshawar for printing in the Gazette of the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. (Please provide 100 copies)

Section Officer (Agri.)

No. 11C, ARI, D.I.Khan

Dated: 10/3/2017

16

To

The Director  
Agricultural Research Institute  
D.I.Khan

Subject:  
Memo:

Wheat Harvesting Report 2016-17.

Harvesting committee was constituted by your good self. Committee held meeting after that. In the meeting sub-committees were constituted for two shifts. Harvesting and threshing was done and completed. Category wise report is attached herewith. This is before processing report submitted for information and further necessary action please.


*sd-*

Chairman Harvesting Committee  
ARI, D.I.Khan

*NO 137 / HC, ARI, Dikhan Dated: 03/03/17*

Cc:

- ✓ 1. Farm Manager, ARI, D.I.Khan for information. please.
2. Store keeper, ARI, D.I.Khan for information.

  
Chairman Harvesting Committee  
ARI, D.I.Khan

17


TOTAL PRODUCED OF WHEAT (RABI 2016-17) AT AGRICULTURE RESEARCH INSTITUTE, D.I.KHAN.

S. No.	Variety	Category	50 kg bags	Mix bags	Produced (Kgs)	Mix (Kgs)	Produced (Tons)	Mix (Tons)	Area Sown	Yield/acre
1	Gomal-8	P. Basic (BNS)	272	07	13600	350	13.6	0.35	12.22	28.53
2	Zam-04	P. Basic (BNS)	440	15	22000	750	22.0	0.75	14.375	39.6
3	Hashim-8	P. Basic (BNS)	973	20	48650	1000	48.65	1.0	31.875	38.94
4	Hashim-8	Certified (Basic)	1219	-	60950	-	60.95	-	44.337	34.37
		Total	2904	42	145200	2100	145.2	2.1	102.807	35.82

Note: Gomal -08 is now susceptible to Yellow rust due to which per acre yield obtained is very low in comparison to Zam-04 and Hashim-08.


Wheat Harvesting Committee:

1. Mr. Abdul Qayum, Chairman



---

2. Mr. Inayat Hussain Shah, member



---

3. Mr. Shahid Iqbal Khattak, Member



---

18

Per acre yield trend achieved during 10 years from 2007-08 to 2016-17

2007-08

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
77.0	89561	29.08

2008-09

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
94.23	111858	29.67

2009-10

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
110.9	110701.7	24.95

2010-11

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
78.25	91150	29.12

2011-12

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
90.5	95600	26.40

2012-13

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
74.5	71950	24.14

2013-14

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
81.5	73650	22.59

2014-15

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
80.87	101000	31.22

2015-16

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
88.39	109150	30.87

2016-17

Area Sown (acre)	Total Produce (kgs)	Yield/acre (mnds)
102.807	145200	35.82

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
DERA ISMAIL KHAN - KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Landline: (0966) 740 090  
Fax: (0966) 740 415  
Email: aridikhan@yahoo.com



ANN. II

19


98

752 /DAR(DK), Dated ARIDIKhan the 24. 11. 2015

Mr. Salim Contractor  
S/O Paid Khan  
R/O Wanda Balochan  
DI Khan


Subject: Lifting of Soil Surface

Refer to this office work order No. 1503/DAR(DK) dated 15.05.2015.  
As per conditions of the said contract you were allowed to lift the soil up to 3 feet in block A and up to 4 feet in block B while the period of the lifting of this soil was only six months but it is regret-full to say that you have not followed the proper conditions of the said contract and lifted more than the specified range more over although the period of lifting the soil surface has been completed but you are still continue to lift the said soil for more than two months after the laps of specified period. (Total months - 14th).  
At this stage you are directed to immediately stop the lifting of the soil from the area. Moreover, plane the said soil and prepare the banas of the land as per conditions of the contract.

  
Director

C/C to NO: 2753-54

1. The Director General Agril; Research System, Peshawar for information
2. Mr. Kazim Shah Oil Seed Botanist to pursue the case accordingly as per directive of the worthy DG Agril; Research System, KPK Peshawar.

  
Director  
Third in command

ANN III  
AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
DERA ISMAIL KHAN - KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA



Landline (0966) 740 090  
Fax (0966) 740 415  
Email: aridikhan@yahoo.com



No. 354 /DAR[DK], Dated ARI, DIKhan the 15 / 02 / 2016

To

Mr. Kazim Shah  
PRO Cereal  
ARI, D.I.Khan


Subject: LAND EXCAVATION AT ARI, DIKHAN

Memo:

I have been directed to inform you to initiate a case against the party/co-contractor, who has lifted the soil surface at the institute on the bases of the following items:

1. They have lifted more soil than actually auctioned to them.
2. They have not leveled the land properly as per conditions.
3. This Deptt. Could not utilize the land for sowing purpose which resulted a huge loss to Govt.


You are therefore, directed to write a letter to the said contractor as well as file a suit against him on the basis of the above mentioned facts on behalf of the Govt.

  
Director

No. 355 /DAR[DK],

Copy to

The Director General Agril; Research System KPK, Peshawa; for his kind information.

  
Director





**Agricultural Research Institute, D.I. Khan**

Phone: (0966) 740 046, 740 090 Fax: (0966) 740 415

ANN IV

100

21

No. 386 / DAR (DK) dated ARI, D.I. Khan the 19 / 02 / 2016

To

The Mr. Kazim Shah,  
SRO Cereal  
Agriculture Research Institute  
D.I. Khan.

Subject: COMPLAINT REGARDING ILLEGAL EXCAVATION OF SOIL IN AGRIC. LAND  
AT DISTRICT D.I. KHAN.

Memo:

Enclosed please find herewith a letter No. PS/secre/Agric. Exe/D.I. Khan/2015 dated 02-02-2016, on the subjected noted above which is self explanatory in this connection you are advised to produce your comments, so that the same may be communicated to the competent authorities for further necessary action as desired by the higher ups.

*no 387 / DAR (DK) ARI. date 19-2-2016.*

c.c.to:-

- Director General, Agric. Res. For information please.

*[Signature]*  
Director

*[Signature]*  
Director

**BEFORE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA**  
**PESHAWAR CAMP OFFICE D. I.KHAN**

Service Appeal No. 13902/2022

Shahid Iqbal Khattak

V/S

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 1020

Dated 13/8/2022

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief secretary etc.

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED OF STATUS QUO ALREADY ALLOWED BY**  
**THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL**


Respected Sir,

1. That title service appeal is pending before this court for adjudication.
2. That this Honorable Court has already granted status quo and impugned order dated 12/06/2020 was suspended by this Tribunal.
3. That more than Six (6) months have been passed and title appeal is yet to be decided.
4. That applicant has good Prima facie case and balance of convenience also tilts in his favour.
5. That if status quo is not extended to the applicant then applicant will suffer irreparable loss.

It is therefore prayed that status quo granted by this tribunal may be extended for further six months or till disposal of title appeal.

Applicant

Dated: 13-08-2022

  
Through Counsel  
Muhammad Iqbal Kundi  
Advocate High Court  
D.I.Khan

**AFFIDAVIT**

Certified that contents of instant application are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this tribunal.

  
Deponent

**BEFORE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA**  
**PESHAWAR CAMP OFFICE D. I.KHAN**

Service Appeal No. 13902/2022

Shahid Iqbal Khattak

V/S

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief secretary etc.

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED OF STATUS QUO ALREADY ALLOWED BY**  
**THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL**


Respected Sir,

1. That title service appeal is pending before this court for adjudication.
2. That this Honorable Court has already granted status quo and impugned order dated 12/06/2020 was suspended by this Tribunal.
3. That more than Six (6) months have been passed and title appeal is yet to be decided.
4. That applicant has good Prima facie case and balance of convenience also tilts in his favour.
5. That if status quo is not extended to the applicant then applicant will suffer irreparable loss.

It is therefore prayed that status quo granted by this tribunal may be extended for further six months or till disposal of title appeal.

Applicant

Dated: 13-08-2022

  
Through Counsel  
Muhammad Iqbal Kundi  
Advocate High Court  
D.I.Khan

**AFFIDAVIT**

Certified that contents of instant application are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this tribunal.

  
Deponent