

16

1

ITN/VED/B

BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR



Writ Petition No. 32/2014

1. Abdus Sattar B. No. 746/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
2. Fazal Hadi No. 747/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
3. Manzoor Khan. 748/ASI Opertaion Room, CPO, Peshawar.
4. Tahir Ali Khan No. 749/P ASI, Charsadda.
5. Bismillah Jan No. 750/P ASI, Charsadda.
6. Imran Ullah No. 751/P ASI, Charsadda.
7. Wisal Khan No. 753/P ASI, Nowshera.
8. Muhammad Arif Khan No. 754/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
9. Muhmmad Umer No. 755/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
10. Masood Khan No. 756/P ASI, INVistigation Wing, Peshawar.
11. Muhammad Tahir5 No. 757/P ASI, AIG Legal, CPO, Peshawar.
12. Aftab Khan NO. 758/P ASI, AIG Legal, Peshawar.
13. Rehmat Ullah No. 759/P ASI, Charsadda.
14. Afzal Gul No. 760/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
15. Riaz Ahmed No. 761/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
16. Afzaal Khan No. 766/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
17. Ihsan Ullah No. 767/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
18. Naveed Gul No. 768/P ASI, Charsadda.
19. Bahar Ali No. 769/P ASI, Charsadda.
20. Muhmmad ARshad No: 770/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
21. Asif Khan No. 771/P ASI, Charsadda.
22. Muhammad Rafiq 772/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
23. Tehsin Ullah No. 773/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
18 MAY 2015

[Signature]
18 MAY 2015

~~ATTESTED~~

17

(17)

24. Laiq Zada 774/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
25. Irfan Ullah No. 776/P ASI, Charsadda.
26. Wajid Khan No. 777/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar..
27. Akhter Hussain No. 778/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
28. Alzaz Alam Khan Khalil No. 783/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
29. Imtiaz Ahmed No. 784/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
30. Asif Ali Khan No. 785/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
31. Saleem Khan No. 786/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
32. Inam Ullah No. 787/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
33. Muhmmad Asif Khan No. 788/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
34. Ayub Khan No. 789/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
35. Qazi Muhmmad Arif No. 790/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
36. Mumtaz Khan No. 791/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
37. Bilal Hussain No. 792/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
38. Abdullah Jalal Khan No. 793/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
39. Muhmmad Mubarak Zaib Gul No. 794/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
40. Saeed Jan No. 795/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
41. Muhammad Ishfaq No. 796/ P ASI, Charsadda.
42. Ahmed Ullah Khan No. 797/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
43. Muhammad Waqas Yousuf No. 798/P ASI, Nowshera.
44. Muhmmad Ayaz No. 799/P ASI, Nowshera.
45. Mamoon Rashid No. 800/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
46. Adil Sayed No. 801/P ASI, Nowshera.
47. Syed Asgher Khan No. 802/P ASI, Nowshera.
48. Noman Knan No. 803/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar. Petitioners

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
18 MAY 2015

FILED TO
Deputy Registrar
03 DEC 2

Versus

1. Chief Capital City Police Officer, KP, Peshawar

17

18

JUDGMENT SHEET
IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

10P No. 3652 of 2014

JUDGMENT

Date of hearing 13 - 5 - 2015

Petitioners Abdul Samad Khan by M/s Saadu Khan & Co. Advocates
vs Shahab Khan Advocate

Respondent C.C. P. No. 102/2014

NISAR HUSSAIN KHAN, J. - Petitioners seek issuance of an appropriate writ directing the authority to finalize seniority list of the direct/promotee Assistant Sub Inspectors and then promotion to the rank of Sub Inspectors be made on the basis of seniority-cum-fitness.

2. In essence grievance of petitioners is that they were directly appointed as Assistant Sub Inspectors through prescribed procedure of Selection on the recommendation of the Public Service Commission in the year 2010 and later on confirmed on 13.8.2014 on completion of probationary period. At the same time, there are promotee Assistant Sub Inspectors. Petitioners are legitimately

ATTESTED

ATTESTED
13 MAY 2015

19

(19)

expecting their promotion according to the seniority list which has not been prepared as yet while meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee is scheduled to be held in near future but in the absence of seniority list, petitioners would be deprived of their consideration.

3. Respondents in their comments have contradicted the stance of petitioners on factual and legal planes. However, on the preceding date, respondents through learned AAG were directed to finalize the seniority list and provide the same before the Court. Today, the seniority list attested by DSP (Legal) CCP, Peshawar, has been furnished, in view of which petitioners' grievance has been redressed. Respondents shall circulate the said seniority list amongst all the ASIs on which they may take legal course, if they have any grievance and thereafter may approach the proper forum against any final order. This petition is disposed of accordingly.

Edy Nisar Hussain Kh
JUDGE

Announced on 13th May, 2015. *Edy Wajid Ahmad Sethi*
JUDGE

22506

Date of Presentation of Application 18/5/15

No of Pages 4

Copying fee 8

Regent Fee 2

Total 10

Date of Preparation of Copy 18/5/15

Date Given For Delivery 18/5/15

Date of Delivery of Copy 18/5/15

Received By [Signature]

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY
Examiners, Peshawar High Court, Peshawar
Under Article 175 of the Constitution of Pakistan
10 MAY 2015

ATTACHED

POLICE DEPTT:

20



ANNEX C

CCP, PESHAWAR.

FOR PUBLICATION IN THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA POLICE GAZETTE PART-II,
ORDERS BY THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

NOTIFICATION.

Dated 24/07/2015.

No. 3685/EC-I, PROMOTION TO THE RANK OF OFFG: SI:- As approved by the Department Promotion Committee meeting held on 07-07-2015 the following Confirmed "E" list ASIs of Capital City Police, Peshawar are hereby promoted to the rank of Offg: Sub-Inspectors with immediate effect.

Their promotion will take effect from the date they actually take over in charge of their higher responsibilities at their new place of posting.

S#	Rank, Name & No.	Place of Posting.
1.	ASI Muhammad Israr No.506/NSR	CTD KPK
2.	ASI Muhammad Sher No.1561	CCP, Peshawar
3.	ASI Bahar Ahmad No. 1129/P	Charsadda/Upper College Course
4.	ASI Jamshid Khan No. 1163/P	Nowshera/Upper College Course
5.	ASI Inayat ur Rehman No. 1168/P	Nowshera
6.	ASI Muhammad Alam No. 1161/P	Nowshera
7.	ASI Masood Jan No. 584/P	Charsadda
8.	ASI Hidayat Ullah No. 1148/P	Charsadda
9.	ASI Murad Ali No. 1329/P	Charsadda
10.	ASI Muhammad Iqbal No.1216/P	CCP, Peshawar
11.	ASI Muhammad Gul No.1219/P	Special Branch
12.	ASI Sartaj No. 12/P	CCP, Peshawar
13.	ASI Ghulam Hussain No.1221/P	Special Branch
14.	ASI Hayat Gul No.1222/P	Special Branch.
15.	ASI Humayun Khan No.1223/P	Traffic, Peshawar
16.	ASI Fida Muhammad No.1224/P	CCP, Peshawar
17.	ASI Subhan Ullah No.1225/P	Traffic, Peshawar
18.	ASI Khalid Khan No. 1227/P	CCP, Peshawar
19.	ASI Hamid Rauf/Khan No.1228/P	Special Branch
20.	ASI Sartaj Khan No.1229/P	CCP, Peshawar
21.	ASI Wasif ur Rehman No.1230/P	Traffic, Peshawar
22.	ASI Sardar Hussain No.1231/P	CTD KPK
23.	ASI Farid Khan No.1232/P	CCP, Peshawar
24.	ASI Tehseen Ullah No.1233/P	CCP, Peshawar
25.	ASI Yasir Gul No.1224/P	Traffic, Peshawar
26.	ASI Atta Ullah No.3/P. SI on ACB.	Reader SSP/Opt:
27.	ASI Sajjad Ahmed No.1236/P	CCP, Peshawar
28.	ASI Wajid Ali No.1237/P	CCP, Peshawar
29.	ASI Khalid Muhammad No.1238/P	Anti-corruption
30.	ASI Jawad Hussain No.1240/P	Islamabad Police
31.	ASI Rikhmeen No.1239/P	CCP, Peshawar
32.	ASI Jehan Zeb No.1241/P	Special Branch
33.	ASI Abdul Wali No.1242/P	Traffic, Peshawar.
34.	ASI Nowsherawan No.1243/P	CCP, Peshawar
35.	ASI Zahir Shah No.1244/P	CCP, Peshawar
36.	ASI Momin Shah No.1245/P	Traffic, Peshawar.
37.	ASI Yahya Jan No.1246/P	Traffic, Peshawar.
38.	ASI Saif Ullah No.1247/P	CCP, Peshawar

39.	ASI Iftikhar Ahmer No. 1248/P	CCP, Peshawar
40.	ASI Ijaz Ullah No.1249/P	CCP, Peshawar
41.	ASI Noor Saeed No. 1251/P	CCP, Peshawar
42.	ASI Muhammad Rizq No.1252/P	CCP, Peshawar
43.	ASI Haji Rehman No.1253/P	CCP, Peshawar
44.	ASI Siraj No.1254/P	Traffic, Peshawar
45.	ASI Nasim Akbar No. 1255/P	CCP/ Traffic, Peshawar
46.	ASI Qaim Khan No.1256/P	CCP, Peshawar
47.	ASI Bakht Munir No.1257/P	CPC, Peshawar
48.	ASI Shamshad Ali No.1258/P	CCP, Peshawar
49.	ASI Gul Muhammad No.1259/P	CCP, Peshawar
50.	ASI Qayyum Dad No.1260/P	CCP, Peshawar
51.	ASI Khalid Khan No.1261/P	CCP, Peshawar
52.	ASI Jehangir Khan No.1262	Special Branch
53.	ASI Sher Alam No.1263/P	CCP/Traffic Peshawar
54.	ASI Ihsan ul Haq No.1264/P	Traffic, Peshawar
55.	ASI Hashmat Khan No.1265/P	CCP, Peshawar
56.	ASI Wajid Ali No. 4:3	Elite Force KPK
57.	ASI Muslim Khan No.1266/P	Traffic, Peshawar
58.	ASI Nasir ur Rehman No.1267/P	CCP, Peshawar
59.	ASI Tariq Niaz No.1268/P	CCP, Peshawar
60.	ASI Aurang Zeb No.1269/P	CCP, Peshawar
61.	ASI Gul Jalal No.1270/P	CCP, Peshawar
62.	ASI Javid Akhtar No 1271/P	Traffic, Peshawar
63.	ASI Tila Muhammad No.1272/P	Special Branch
64.	ASI Falak Taj No.1273/P	CCP, Peshawar
65.	ASI Murad Ali No.1274/P	CCP, Peshawar
66.	ASI Sajjad Ali No.1275/P	CCP, Peshawar
67.	ASI Khan Muhammad No.1276/P	CCP, Peshawar
68.	ASI Bakhtiar Khan No.1278/P	CCP, Peshawar
69.	ASI Shaukat Khan No.1280/P	CCP, Peshawar
70.	ASI Mukhtiar No.1281/P	CCP, Peshawar
71.	ASI Mushtaq No.1282/P	CCP, Peshawar
72.	ASI Mukamil Shah No. 1283/P	CCP, Peshawar
73.	ASI Muhammad Tarik No.1284/P	CCP, Peshawar
74.	ASI Jamshaid No.1285/P	CCP, Peshawar
75.	ASI Shakir Ullah No.1286/P	CCP, Peshawar
76.	ASI Sultan Sher No.1287/P	CCP, Peshawar
77.	ASI Bakht Saeed No. 288/P	CCP, Peshawar
78.	ASI Jan Badshah No.1289/P	Special Branch
79.	ASI Shakar Ghayas No.1290/P	CCP, Peshawar
80.	ASI Shahid Rehman No.1291/P	Motorway Police
81.	ASI Javed Khan No.1292/P	CCP, Peshawar
82.	ASI Lalq Shah No.1293/P	CCP, Peshawar
83.	ASI Zulfiqar No.1294/P	CCP, Peshawar
84.	ASI Hassan Ali No.1295/P	CCP, Peshawar
85.	ASI Fazal Raziq No.1296/P	CCP, Peshawar
86.	ASI Muhammad Saeed No.1297/P	CPO/Operation Room
87.	ASI Wali Khan No.1298/P	CTD KPK
88.	ASI Irfan Ullah No.1299/P	CCP, Peshawar



89.	ASI S. Mir Abu-ul Hassan No.1300/P	
90.	ASI Maaz Ullah No.1301/P.	CCP, Peshawar
91.	ASI Iftikhar Ali No.1302/P	Traffic, Peshawar
92.	ASI Abdullah Jan No. 1303/P	Charsadda
93.	ASI Zulfiqar Ali No.1304/P	PTC Hangu
94.	ASI Alamgir No. 1305/P	Traffic, Peshawar
95.	ASI Muhammad Usman No.956/ 1328/P	Traffic, Peshawar
96.	ASI Shah Jehan No.1307/P	CCP, Peshawar
97.	ASI Iqbal Shah No.1308/P	CCP, Peshawar
98.	ASI Ajmal Khan No. 1309/P	CCP, Peshawar
99.	ASI Malook Jan No.1310/P	CCP, Peshawar
100.	ASI Muhammad Iqbal No.1311/P	CCP, Peshawar
101.	ASI Munawar Khan No. 1313/P (SI on ACI)	Traffic, Peshawar
102.	ASI Farid Gul No. 1318/P	Traffic, Peshawar
103.	ASI Misal Khan No.1319/P	CCP, Peshawar
104.	ASI Muhammad Aftab No. 1320/P	CCP, Peshawar
105.	ASI Ilyas Khan No. 1321/P	CCP, Peshawar
106.	ASI Muhammad Riaz No. 1322/P	CCP, Peshawar
107.	ASI Muhammad Javed No. 1323/P	CCP, Peshawar
108.	ASI Qazi Nisar Ahmad No. 1325/P.	PTC Hangu
109.	ASI Abdus Sattar No. 746/P	CCP, Peshawar
110.	PASI Fazal Hadi No. 747/P	Elite Force KPK
111.	ASI Aftab Khan No. 758/P	Elite Force KPK
112.	ASI Manzoor Khan No. 748/P	AIG Legal Branch CPO
113.	ASI Masood Khan No. 756/P	Operation Room CPO
114.	ASI Muhammad Tahir No. 757/P	CCP, Peshawar
115.	ASI Muhammad Umer No. 755/P	AIG Legal Branch CPO
116.	ASI Tahir Ali No. 749/P	CCP, Peshawar
117.	ASI Wisal Khan No. 753/P	Charsadda
118.	ASI Afzal Gul No. 760/P	Elite Force KPK
119.	ASI Bismillah Jan No. 750/P	CCP, Peshawar
120.	ASI Muhammad Arif No. 754/P	Charsadda
121.	ASI Imran Ullah No.751/P	CCP, Peshawar
122.	ASI Rehmat Ullah Jan No. 759/P	Charsadda
123.	ASI Riaz Ahmad No. 761/P	Charsadda
124.	ASI Afzal Khan No.766/P	CCP, Peshawar
125.	ASI Ihsan Ullah No.767/P	CCP, Peshawar
126.	ASI Bahar Ali No.769/P	CCP, Peshawar
127.	ASI Muhammad Arshid No. 770/P	Charsadda
128.	ASI Asif Khan No.771/P	CCP, Peshawar
129.	ASI Muhammad Rafique No. 772/P	Charsadda
130.	ASI Lalq Zada No.774/P	CCP, Peshawar
131.	ASI Irfan Ullah No.776/P	CCP, Peshawar
132.	ASI Wajid Khan No.777/P	Charsadda
133.	ASI Akhtar Hussain No.778/P	CCP, Peshawar
134.	ASI Ahmad Ullah Khan No. 797/P	CCP, Peshawar
135.	ASI Abdullah Jalal Khan No. 793/P	CCP, Peshawar
136.	ASI Nauman Khan No. 803/P	CCP, Peshawar
137.	ASI M.Mubarak Zeb Gul No.794/P	CCP, Peshawar
138.	ASI Imtiaz Ahmad No. 784/P	CCP, Peshawar
		CCP, Peshawar



139. ASI Saeed Jan No. 795/P

CCP, Peshawar

Their posting will be issued separately.

The following ASIs have been deferred from promotion to the rank of offg: SIs reason mentioned against their names:-

S#	Rank, Name & No	Place of posting	Reason
1.	ASI Dawa Noor No. 1111/P (SI on ACB)	Traffic, Peshawar	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR-2012/4 m.
2.	ASI Khalsta Khan No.1279/P	CCP, Peshawar	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR 2014.
3.	ASI Izzat Khan No.1306/P	Traffic, Peshawar	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR 2014.
4.	ASI Naveed Gul No.768/P	Nowshera	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR 2014 and also ab
5.	ASI Tehseen Ullah No.773/P	CCP, Peshawar	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR 2014.

CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER,
PESHAWAR.

No. 13686-13706/EC-I, dated Peshawar the, 24-7-2015.

the:-

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action

1. Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, With request that the newly promoted Offg: SIs of CCP, Peshawar now deputation to the Districts/units mentioned against each may be repatriated to CCP, Peshawar for further posting at Traffic/Warder system please.
2. Inspector General of Police, NH & Motorway Police, Islamabad.
3. Addl: Inspector General of Police Investigation, KPK, Peshawar.
4. Addl: Inspector General of Police Special Branch, KPK, Peshawar.
5. Addl: Inspector General of Police Elite Force KPK, Peshawar.
6. Deputy Inspector General of Police CTD KPK, Peshawar.
7. Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region.
8. Commandant Police Training College Hangu.
9. Commandant CPC University Campus, Peshawar.
10. Director Anti Corruption Establishment KPK.
11. SSSP/Operations, Investigation & Traffic, Peshawar.
12. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Logistic Headquarter,
13. Sector-H/11, Islamabad.
14. District Police Officers, Nowshera & Charsadda.
15. EC-II, PO & AS.

ATTESTED



24
H/M/Ed. 52
OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE,
PESHAWAR.

No. 2402 - E-III

dt. 31-08-2015

To: The Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar.

Subject:- Minutes of the 18th Police Policy Board meeting held on 13th August 2015.

Please refer to Minutes of PPB-18, vide Endst No. 1598-1650/PA, dated 28.08.2015.

During the PPB meeting, it was unanimously agreed that a separate standing order may be issued for streamlining the affairs of Traffic Wardens that will address issues such as selection, deputation, training and promotion etc. of Traffic Wardens. The Board decided that till permanent recruitment of traffic wardens, seats will be filled temporary deputations. However, they will not get promoted on the seats sanctioned for traffic wardens nor will claim seniority if they are posted on a senior rank in traffic warden. On repatriation to parent district, they will be repatriated in their substantive ranks.

2. The Board took serious note of the recent promotions of SIs by CCPO on the posts of Traffic Wardens. They Board directed that since these seats belong to the traffic wardens, these promotions should be cancelled with immediate effect.

3. It is therefore, requested that action about Para-2 above of PPB may be taken forthwith and report be communicated to this office please.

(ASIF IQBAL MOMAND)
AIG/Establishment,
For Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

No. 2403-4 /E-III
Copy to the:-

- DIG HQrs, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- PSO to IGP, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.



25



ANNEX

OFFICE OF THE
CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER,
PESHAWAR.

No. 16570 /EC-I, dated Peshawar the 04 / 09 /2015.
Phone No.091-9210641 Fax No.0919212597

ORDER.

In pursuance of 18th Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015 duly approved by Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar issued vide DIG/HQrs: Letter Endst: No.1598-1650/PA/DIG/HQrs:, dated 28.08.2015 & AIG Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Letter No.2404/E-II, dated 31.08.2015, the promotion Notification of 139 promoted Offg: Sub-Inspectors issued vide this office Notification No.13685-13706/EC-I, dated 24.07.2015 is hereby cancelled.

(Mubarak Zeb)PSP
Capital city Police Officer,
Peshawar.

No. 16571-96 /EC-I;

to the:-


Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action.

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Inspector General of Police, NH & Motorway Police, Islamabad.
3. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Investigation KPK, Peshawar.
4. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Special Branch KPK, Peshawar.
5. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Elite Force KPK, Peshawar.
6. Deputy Inspector General of Police, CTD KPK, Peshawar.
7. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region.
8. Commandant Police Training College Hangu.
9. Commandant CPC, university Campus, Peshawar.
10. Director Anti-Corruption Establishment KPK.
11. ✓ SSsP/Operation, Investigation & Traffic, Peshawar.
12. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Logistic. Headqaurter, Sector-H/11, Islamabad.
13. District Police Officers Charsadda & Nowshera.
14. EC-II, PO, AS CC & Computer Cell.

27

4-9-15

26 2015 ADM/12/2015
BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR




W.P.No. 3113-P/2015


1. Muhammad Israr, ASI No.506/NSR, CTD KPK.
2. Muhammad Sher, ASI No.1561, CPP, Peshawar.
3. Bahar Ahmad, ASI No.1129/P, Charsadda/ Upper College Course.
4. Jamshid Khan, ASI No.1163/P, Nowshera/ Upper College Course
5. Inayat-ur-Rehman, ASI No.1168/P, Nowshera.
6. Muhammad Alam, ASI No.1181/P, Nowshera.
7. Masood Jan, ASI No.584/P, Charsadda
8. Hidayat Ullah, ASI No.1148/P, Charsadda
9. Murad Ali, ASI No.1329/P, Charsadda
10. Muhammad Iqbal, ASI No.1218/P, CCP, Peshawar
11. Muhammad Gul, ASI No.1219/P, Special Branch
12. Sartaj, ASI No.12/P, CCP, Peshawar
13. Ghulam Hussain, ASI No.1221/P, Special Branch
14. Hayat Gul, ASI No.1222/P, Special Branch
15. Humayun Khan, ASI No.1223/P, Traffic, Peshawar
16. Fida Muhammad, ASI No.1224/P, CCP, Peshawar
17. Subhan Ullah, ASI No.1225/P, Traffic, Peshawar
18. Khalid Khan, ASI No.1227/P, CCP, Peshawar
19. Hamid Rauf Khan, ASI No.1228/P, Special Branch
20. Sartaj Khan, ASI No.1229/P, CCP, Peshawar
21. Wasif-ur-Rehman, ASI No.1230/P, Traffic, Peshawar
22. Sardar Hussain, ASI No.1231/P, CTD KPK
23. Farid Khan, ASI No.1232/P, CCP, Peshawar
24. Tehseen Ullah, ASI No.1233/P, CCP, Peshawar

09 SEP 2015

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
20 DEC 2015

- 27
25. Yasin Gul, ASI No.1224/P, Traffic, Peshawar
 26. Atta Ullah, ASI No.3/P SI on ACB, Reader SSP/ Opt;
 27. Sajjad Ahmed, ASI No.1236/P, CCP, Peshawar
 28. Wajid Ali, ASI No.1237/P, CCP, Peshawar
 29. Khial Muhammad, ASI No.1238/P, Anti-corruption
 30. Jawad Hussain, ASI No.1240/P, Islamabad Police
 31. Rikhmeen, ASI No.1239/P, CCP, Peshawar
 32. Jehan Zeb, ASI No.1241/P, Special Brach
 33. Abdul Wali, ASI No.1242/P, Traffic, Peshawar
 34. Nowsherawan, ASI No.1243/P, CCP, Peshawar
 35. Zahir Shah, ASI No.1244/P, CCP Peshawar
 36. Momin Shah, ASI No.1245/P, Traffic Peshawar
 37. Yahya Jan, ASI No.1246/P, Traffic Peshawar
 38. Saif Ullah, ASI No.1247/P, CCP, Peshawar
 - * 39. Iffikhar Ahmed, ASI No.1248/P, CCP, Peshawar
 40. Ijaz Ullah, ASI No.1249/P, CCP, Peshawar
 41. Noor Saeed, ASI No.1251/P, CCP, Peshawar
 42. Muhammad Riaz, ASI No.1252/P, CCP, Peshawar
 43. Haji Rehman, ASI No.1253/P, CCP, Peshawar
 44. Siraj, ASI No.1254/P, Traffic, Peshawar
 45. Nasim Akbar, ASI No.1255/P, CCP/ Traffic, Peshawar
 46. Qaim Khan, ASI No.1256/P, CCP, Peshawar
 47. Bakht Munir, ASI No.1257/P, CCP, Peshawar
 48. Shamshad Ali, ASI No.1258/P, CCP, Peshawar
 49. Gul Muhammad, ASI No.1259/P, CCP, Peshawar
 50. Qayyum Dad, ASI No.1260/P, CCP, Peshawar
 51. Khalid Khan, ASI No.1261/P, CCP, Peshawar
 52. Jehangir Khan, ASI No.1262, Special Branch
 53. Sher Alam, ASI No.1263/P, CCP/ Traffic, Peshawar
 54. Ihsan-ul-Haq, ASI No.1264/P, Traffic, Peshawar
 55. Hashmat Khan, ASI No.1265/P, CCP, Peshawar
 56. Wajid Ali, ASI No.473, Elite Force KPK





02 SEP 2015

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court

20 DEC 2016


- 28
57. Muslim Khan, ASI No.1266/P, Traffic, Peshawar
 58. Nasif-ur-Rehman, ASI No.1267/P, CCP, Peshawar
 59. Tariq Niaz, ASI No.1268/P, CCP, Peshawar
 60. Aurang Zeb, ASI No.1269/P, CCP, Peshawar
 61. Gul Jalal, ASI No.1270/P, CCP, Peshawar
 62. Javid Akhtar, ASI No.1271/P, Traffic Peshawar
 63. Tila Muhammad, ASI No.1272/P, Special Branch
 64. Falak Taj, ASI No.1273/P, CCP, Peshawar
 65. Murad Ali, ASI No.1274/P, CCP, Peshawar
 66. Sajjad Ali, ASI No.1275/P, CCP, Peshawar
 67. Khan Muhammad, ASI No.1276/P, CCP, Peshawar
 68. Bakhtiar Khan, ASI No.1278/P, CCP, Peshawar
 69. Shaukat Khan, ASI No.1280/P, CCP, Peshawar
 70. Mukhtiar, ASI No.1281/P, CCP, Peshawar
 71. Mushtaq ASI No.1282/P, CCP, Peshawar
 72. Mukamil Shah, ASI No.1283/P, CCP, Peshawar
 73. Muhammad Tariq, ASI No.1284/P, CCP, Peshawar
 74. Jamshaid, ASI No.1285/P, CCP, Peshawar
 75. Shakir Ullah, ASI No.1286/P, CCP, Peshawar
 76. Sultan Sher, ASI No.1287/P, CCP, Peshawar
 77. Bakht Saeed, ASI No.1288/P, CCP, Peshawar
 78. Jan Badshah, ASI No.1289/P, Special Branch
 79. Shakar Ghayas, ASI No.1290/P, CCP, Peshawar
 80. Shahid Rehman, ASI No.1291/P, Motorway Police
 81. Javed Khan, ASI No.1292/P, CCP, Peshawar
 82. Laiq Shah, ASI No.1293/P, CCP, Peshawar
 83. Zulfiqar, ASI No.1294/P, CCP, Peshawar
 84. Hassan Ali, ASI No.1295/, CCP, Peshawar P
 85. Fazal Raziq, ASI No.1296/P, CPO/ Operation Room
 86. Muhammad Saeed, ASI No.1297/P, CTD KPK
 87. Wali Khan, ASI No.1298/P, CCP, Peshawar
 88. Irfan Ullah, ASI No.1299/P, CCP, Peshawar

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
20 DEC 2016

- 89. S.Mir Abu-ul-Hassan, ASI No.1300/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 90. Maaz Ullah, ASI No.1301/P, Traffic, Peshawar
- 91. Iftikhar Ali, ASI No.1302/P, Charsadda
- 92. Abdullah Jan, ASI No.1303/P, PTC Hangu
- 93. Zulftiqar Ali, ASI No.1304/P, Traffic, Peshawar
- 94. Alamgir, ASI No.1305/P, Traffic, Peshawar
- 95. Muhammad Usman, ASI NO.956/1328/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 96. Shah Jehan, ASI No.1307/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 97. Iqbal Shah, ASI No.1309/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 98. Ajmal Khan, ASI No.1309/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 99. Malook Jan, ASI No.1310/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 100. Muhammad Iqbal, ASI No.1311/P, Traffic, Peshawar
- 101. Munawar Khan, ASI No.1313/P (SI on ACB), Traffic, Peshawar
- 102. Farid Gul, ASI No.1318/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 103. Misal Khan, ASI No.1319/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 104. Muhammad Aftab, ASI No.1320/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 105. Ilyas Khan, ASI No.1321/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 106. Muhammad Riaz, ASI No.1322/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 107. Muhammad Javed, ASI No.1323/P, PTC Hangu
- 108. Qazi Nisar Ahmad, ASI No.1325/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 109. Abdus Sattar, ASI No.746/P, Elite Force KPK
- 110. Fazal Hadi, PASI No.747/P, Elite Force, KPK
- 111. Aftab Khan, ASI No.758/P, AIG Legal Branch CPO
- 112. Manzoor Khan, ASI No.748/P, Operation Room CPO
- 113. Masood Khan, ASI No.756/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 114. Muhammad Tahir, ASI No.757/P, AIG Legal Branch CPO
- 115. Muhammad Umer, ASI No.755/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 116. Tahir Ali, ASI No.749/P, Charsadda
- 117. Wisal Khan, ASI No.753/P, Elite Force KPK
- 118. Afzal Gul, ASI No.760/P, CCP, Peshawar



ATTESTED
 EXAMINER
 Peshawar High Court
 20 DEC 2015


 20 SEP 2015

- 119. Bismillah Jan, ASI No.750/P, Charsadda
- 120. Muhammad Arif, ASI No.754/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 121. Imran Ullah, ASI No.751/P, Charsadda
- 122. Rehmat Ullah Jan, ASI No.759/P, Charsadda
- 123. Riaz Ahmad, ASI No.761/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 124. Afzal Khan, ASI No.766/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 125. Ihsan Ullah, ASI No.767/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 126. Bahar Ali, ASI No.769/P, Charsadda
- 127. Muhammad Arshid, ASI No.770/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 128. Asif Khan, ASI No.771/P, Charsadda
- 129. Muhammad Rafqiue, ASI No.772/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 130. Laiq Zada, ASI No.774/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 131. Irfan Ullah, ASI No.776/P, Charsadda
- 132. Wajid Khan, ASI No.777/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 133. Akhtar Hussain, ASI No.778/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 134. Ahmad Ullah Khan, ASI No.797/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 135. Abdullah Jalal Khan, ASI No.793/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 136. Nauman Khan, ASI No.803/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 137. M. Mubarak Zeb Gul, ASI No.794/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 138. Imtiaz Ahmad, ASI No.784/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 139. Saeed Jan, ASI No.795/P, CCP, Peshawar

.....Petitioners

Versus

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar
- 2. Inspector General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 3. A.I.G/ Establishment Central Police Office, Peshawar.
- 4. D.I.G Headquarters, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar

.....Respondents

ATTESTED
 EXAMINER
 Peshawar High Court
 20 DEC 2015

[Handwritten Signature]
 20 DEC 2015

(12)

31

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF
PAKISTAN, 1973

Prayer in Writ Petition:-

On acceptance of this writ petition an appropriate writ petition may please be issued declaring the petitioners as fit to hold the rank of Sub-Inspector, was duly considered by the Departmental Promotion Committee and validly promoted vide Notification dated 24.07.2015. The letter dated 31.08.2015, whereby direction were issued for the cancellation of the promotion of the petitioners and order dated 04.09.2015, whereby the promotion Notification dated 24.07.2015 is cancelled, is illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority and of no legal effect. The petitioners have even right to continue in the rank of Sub-Inspector, OR

Any other remedy deemed proper in the circumstances of the case and not specifically asked for may also be granted.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the petitioners are the Regular Employees of the K.P Police serving under the administration control of the Capital City Police, Peshawar.
2. That there are two categories of the petitioners for the Police Officers performing duties, the first

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
20 DEC 2016

20 SEP 2015

category are consists of the police officers promoted from the Rank of Constable and reached to the status of confirmed ASI of Police, while the second category are the police officers who were directly appointed as probationer ASI through Public Service Commission.

- 3. That Chapter-XIII of the Police Rules, 1934 deals with the promotion of the Police Officer from one rank to the other. Rule-XIII (10) deal with the promotion to the post of Sub-Inspector. Similarly under Rule-XIII (10) list (E) is prepared consisting of confirmed ASI, who were consider eligible for promotion to the posts of Sub-Inspectors. (Copy of the Rules/ Chapter-XIII is attached as Annexure "A")
- 4. That recently some of the employees' of the Police Department have filed W.P.No.3652-P/2014, wherein they have sought the direction to the respondents to finalize the seniority list of direct/ promotee/ Assistant Sub Inspector. The writ petition was disposed-off vide judgment and order dated 13.05.2015, when the respondents produced seniority list-(E) List ASI's of Capital City Police, Peshawar as it stood on 31.03.2015. (Copies of the judgment and order dated 13.05.2015 and seniority list dated 31.03.2015 are attached as Annexure "B" & "C")
- 5. That the petitioners were placed at the top of the seniority list and thus were considered eligible to be promoted to the post of Sub-Inspector.


ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
20 DEC 2016

[Handwritten Signature]
20 SEP 2015


(11)

- 6. That the names of the petitioners were placed before the Departmental Promotion Committee for promotion to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector, the Departmental Promotion Committee in its meeting held on 17.07.2015 considered them and when found fit were recommended for promotion. Accordingly vide Notification dated 24.07.2015 the petitioners were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector with immediate effect. (Copy of Notification dated 24.07.2015 is attached as Annexure "D")
- 7. That the Notification of promotion of the petitioners was implemented and has taken its effect.
- 8. That it is pertinent to point out here that presently there are no separate promotion rules in the different branches of the Police Department, throughout the officers from Regular Police are transferred to Traffic Branch etc. However, vide letter dated 31.08.2015 referring to minutes of the 18th Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015, it as directed that the promotions of SI's should be immediately cancelled allegedly that these seat belong to traffic worden's. Accordingly vide Notification dated 04.09.2015 the promotion Notification dated 24.07.2015 of the petitioners as officiating Sub-Inspector is cancelled. (Copies of letters dated 31.08.2015 and 04.09.2015 are attached as Annexure "E" & "F")
- 9. That since the respondents have questioned their promotion primarily regarding their fitness for

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
 Rajasthan High Court
 20 DEC 2016



09 SEP 2015



34

promoting, as such having adequate remedy available in law is constrain to invoke the Constitutional jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court, inter alia, on the following grounds:-

GROUNDS

- A. That the petitioners have not been treated in accordance with law, hence their rights secured and guaranteed under the law and Constitution have been recklessly violated.
- B. That the petitioners were fit and eligible, were rightly promoted as Sub-Inspectors, the order whereby the promotion of the petitioners has been cancelled, is illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority and of no legal effect.
- C. That the letter dated 31.08.2015 is violative of the Police Rules 1934, therefore, no separate promotion rules in the Traffic Branch of the Police Department, therefore, cancelling the promotion of the petitioners allegedly on the ground that these post belongs to the Traffic Warden, is illegal and against the record.
- D. That the letter dated 31.08.2015 is self contradictory, that at present traffic branch has not been declare as separate cadre in the Police Department. Similarly the respondents have yet to frame Rules for forming separate rules for the Traffic Warden's.
- E. That the petitioners are not provided with right of hearing before cancelling the promotion order.
- F. That the law provide a separate mode for withdrawing the promotion of the Regular

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
20 DEC 2016

STAMPED

(12) 35

Employees as instant case no such mode has been adopted, therefore, order cancelling the promotion of the petitioners is legally not sustainable.


G. That the petitioners seek permission of this Hon'ble Court to relay on additional grounds at the time of hearing of this petition.

It is, therefore, requested that on acceptance of this writ petition an appropriate writ petition may please be issued as prayed for. OR


Any other remedy deemed proper in the circumstances of the case and not specifically asked fro may also be granted.

INTERIM RELIEF

That the respondents may please be restrained from giving effect to the order dated 04.09.2015 and filling the post of Sub-Inspectors till the decision of titled writ petition


Petitioners

Through


IJAZ ANWAR
Advocate, Peshawar

CERTIFICATE:

Certified as per information furnished by petitioner that no such like writ petition has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Court.


Advocate



LIST OF BOOKS:

- 1) Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- 2) Law books as per need.

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court

20 DEC 2016



19 SEP 2015

Judgment.

**BEFORE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT
PESHAWAR.**



Judicial Department.

Writ Petition No. 3113-P of 2015.

Muhammad Israr, ASI & others.....petitioners.

Vs

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others.....Respondents.

Date of hearing.....24th November, 2015.....

Petitioner(s) by *MR. Ijaz Anwar Advocate*.....

Respondent(s) *Govt. by MR. Farooq Adam AAG*.....


WAQAR AHMAD SETH, J:- Muhammad Israr, ASI &

138 others have invoked the writ jurisdiction of this Court, under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, with the following prayers:-

“That on acceptance of this writ petition an appropriate writ may please be issued declaring the petitioners as fit to hold the rank of Sub-Inspector, was duly considered by the Departmental Selection Committee and validly promoted vide notification dated 24.07.2015. The letter dated 31.08.2015, whereby directions were issued for the cancellation of the promotion of the petitioners and order dated

TESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
20 DEC 2016

TESTED

37 

04.09.2015, whereby the promotion notification dated 24.07.2015 is cancelled, is illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority and of no legal effect. The petitioners have even right to continue in the rank of sub-Inspector".

71

2. The averments made by the petitioners in the petition are that, the petitioners herein are of two categories, first category are consisting of those police officers who are promoted from the rank of Constables and reached to the status of confirmed ASI's, while the second category are the police officers, who were directly appointed as ASI's by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Public Service Commission. It is averred in the petition that prior to the instant writ petition a writ petition bearing No. 3652-P of 2014 was filed, wherein direction was sought to finalize the seniority list of the direct / promotee Assistant Sub Inspector and then promotion to the rank of Sub-Inspector on the basis of seniority cum-fitness was asked, which was disposed of on furnishing the said seniority list prepared under Rule-XIII (10) list (E) and according to the said list, petitioners were placed at the top of the seniority list, and thus were considered eligible for promotion to the post of Sub-Inspector; that on 17.07.2015, the meeting of Departmental

STED
IVER
High Court
EC 2016

~~ATTORNEY GENERAL~~



Promotion Committee was held wherein petitioners were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector and accordingly notification dated 24.07.2015 was issued. It is further averred that at present there are no separate promotion rules in the different branches of police department, the officer from regular police are transferred to traffic police etc, but vide impugned letter dated 31.08.2015, referring to minutes of the 18th Police Policy Board Meeting dated 13.08.2015, it was directed that the promotion of SI's should be cancelled immediately as, these posts belongs to traffic wardens, so vide impugned notification dated 04.09.2015, the promotion notification dated 24.07.2015 was cancelled.

3. Petitioners feeling aggrieved from the actions of respondents and having no other adequate and efficacious remedy have knocked the door of this Court through the petition in hand.

4. Comments were called from respondents, which they furnished, wherein they stated that the Departmental Promotion Committee had wrongly made recommendations for promotion of petitioners to the rank of SI, against the vacancies exclusively sanctioned and reserved for newly created Traffic

TESTED
 EXAMINER
 JAWAR High Court
 20 DEC 2016

h

Wardens Service unit of Police. Police Policy Board took notice of promotion of petitioners made against wrong post and decided that the promotion order shall be rescinded; that promotion against vacancies sanctioned and allotted to Traffic Warden Service confer no right on petitioners. It is well settled principle of law that wrong and void orders do not create any right. Further stated that Traffic Wardens Service was recently introduced, and Provincial Government accorded sanction of creation of separate strength for the said unit of Police. Respondent No.2 has promulgated standing order No. 5/2015 for regulating the recruitment and promotion of Traffic Warden Services Officer, therefore, the competent authorities correctly made decision of cancellation of the promotion of petitioners made against the vacancies of Traffic Warden Service.

5. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and available record perused with their valuable assistance.

6. Record is suggestive that being fit, competent and eligible for promotion, petitioners were duly considered and promoted vide promotion order dated 24.07.2015, as officiating Sub-Inspectors by the Departmental Promotion Committee.

Respondents have not grudged their promotion on their

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Hawar High Court
20 DEC 2016

ATTESTED

40



eligibility, competency, fitness or otherwise. The notification dated 24.07.2015, whereby petitioners were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector, with immediate effect was also published in the police gazette part-II. The grievance started with a letter No. 2402-E III dated 31.08.2015, which in fact are the minutes of the 18th Police Policy Board meeting held on 13.08.2015 and for the purposes of petitioner's case, the relevant portion i.e paragraph 2 & 3 reads as under:-

2. "The Board took serious note of the recent promotions of SIs by CCPO on the posts of Traffic Wardens. They Board directed that since these seats belong to the traffic warden, these promotions should be cancelled with immediate effect.

3. It is therefore, requested that action about Para-2 of PPB may be taken forthwith and report be communicated to this office please.

7. The above referred orders / directions of the alleged Police Policy Board was implemented vide order No. 16570 / EC-I dated, Peshawar 04.09.2015, issued by CCPO, Peshawar, which reads as under:-

TESTED
AMINER
for High Court
0 DEC 2016

41

(19)

"In pursuance of 18th Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015 duly approved by Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar issued vide DIG / HQrs; Letter Endst: No. 1598-1650 / PA DIG / HQrs; dated 28.08.2015 & AIG Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Letter No. 2404/E-II, dated 31.08.2015, the promotion Notification of 139 promoted Offg: Sub-Inspectors issued vide this office Notification No. 13706/EC-I, dated 24.07.2015 is hereby cancelled".

8. The Police Policy Board, who issued the directions and in reference to those directions the subsequent order dated 4.9.2015, was issued, figures nowhere in the law. Learned counsel for the respondents / AAG alongwith departmental representative present in the court, was time and again directed to show that under what authority of law the Police Policy Board has been constituted and what are their functions, especially in reference to the Departmental Promotions Orders issued by the duly constituted Promotion Committee, but failed to produce so. We have gone through the Police Laws of Pakistan, but nowhere could locate the said Police Policy Board

ATTESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
20 DEC 2016

ATTESTED

42

(11)

and its functions or powers. On the face of it the impugned order / directions dated 31.8.2015 of Police Policy Board & subsequent order of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar dated 04.09.2015 are void ab initio as the same are not issued on the directions and on behalf of competent authority or lawful authority.

9. The void order as defined by Corpus Juris Secundum, in (Vol. 92 PP-1021-1024) is "Expression void in the strict or accurate sense means "absolute null" that is to say incapable of rectification or confirmation and of no effect whatever". The Supreme Court of Pakistan in the case of Chief Settlement Commissioner v Raja Muhammad Fazil Khan (PLJ 1975 SC 15) defined a void order as follows:-

As order is to be treated as void only when it is made by a court, tribunal or other authority which has no jurisdiction either as regards the subject matter, the pecuniary value or the territorial limits when the dispute arise. Such an order would amount to usurpation of power unwarranted by law and accordingly it would be nullity".

TESTED
EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court
20 DEC 2016

TESTED

10. A void order was that order, which was passed by an authority not competent to pass it and in the instant case, when the Police Policy Board nowhere figures in the Administration Authority of the police department then its directions are prima facie void ab initio and are of no lawful authority, thus, the subsequent order dated 4.9.2015 of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar, regarding cancellation of earlier promotion order are also of no effect. In the case of Moulana Atta ur Rehman Vs Al-Hajj Sardar Umer Farooq and other reported in PLD 2008 SC 663 (b) it has been held as under:-

“---When the basic order is without lawful authority and void ab initio, then the entire superstructure raised thereon falls on the ground automatically”.

Again in the case of Mustafa Lakhani Vs Pakistan Defence Officer Housing Authority, Karachi, reported in 2008 SCMR, 661 (b) it has been held:-

“---Subsequent orders passed on basis of void order---Legal effect stated. If on the basis of a void order subsequent orders have been passed either by the same authority or by other authorities, the whole series of such orders together with

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Peshawar High Court

2.0 DEC 2016

the posts of Traffic Wardens, whereas, a glance over the promotion order would show that in all 139 ASI's were promoted as officiating Sub-Inspectors out of which only 17 have been posted against the traffic post, which even otherwise are inter-transferable, hence the reasons given for withdrawal / cancellation is not legal nor lawful.

13. Learned counsel for the respondents strongly objected to the maintainability of writ petition in view of Article 212 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973; the non availing of departmental remedy / alternate remedy by way of departmental appeal and that officiating Sub Inspectors promotion do not confer a right as the same promotion was officiating only.

14. The plea of officiating promotion is not correct because in the police hierarchy there is a cadre of officiating Sub Inspectors from the "E" list of ASI's and the officiating is not in the sense as is otherwise used in the civil servant promotions. As regarding the objections of maintainability of writ petition and the cancellation of promotion, as per learned AAG, pertains to terms and conditions of service, therefore, the petitioners being civil servants were supposed to have

TESTED
XAMINER
awar High Court
20 DEC 2016

TESTED

45

the superstructure of rights and obligations built upon them, must unless some statute or principle or law recognizing as legal the change position of the parties is in operation, would fall to the ground, because such orders have as little legal foundation as the void order".

11. In the Police Department, right from Police Rules, 1934, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Police Rules, 1975, Police Order, 2002 and all the relevant provision of departmental law / rules / regulations for promotion purposes, the Departmental Promotion Committee is the relevant and competent for the purpose of promotions and as such the same authority has the powers to rescind / withdraw or cancel the same, therefore, where under the rules, regulations and policy have been framed for regulating promotions, any breach or deviation from them for malafide reasons or due to arbitrary act of the competent authority would entitled an aggrieved employee to challenge the same in the Court of law. Reliance in this respect is place on

PLD 2003 SC 175 (d).

12. The perusal of impugned directions dated 31.8.2015 would reveal that the reasons for cancellation of promotions of the petitioners was that, they were promoted on

TESTED
 CAMINER
 war High Court
 10 DEC 2016

TESTED

challenged the impugned orders before Service Tribunal under Section, 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Service Tribunal Act, 1974, by availing first the remedy of departmental appeal, which even otherwise, was mandatory before filing the writ petition by way of alternate remedy by relying on PLD 1997 SC 351, 1990 SCMR, 1238, 2015 SCMR, 253, 2002 SCMR 549 and 2002 PLC (CS) 244.

15. We have given our anxious consideration to the proposition and are of the view that an appeal under section 4 of the Service Tribunal Act, 1974, lies against an order passed by a "Departmental Authority" in respect of any of the terms and conditions of service and in the explanation given to the said section 4 the word "Departmental Authority" means an authority other than a tribunal, which is "competent" to make an order in respect of any of the terms and conditions of civil servant. In the instant case as pointed out earlier, that the impugned orders / directions issued by Police Policy Board are void, of no lawful authority as the Police Policy Board is not a Departmental Authority as defined in the section *ibid*. Same is the condition for departmental representation. Since the impugned orders are of no lawful authority or even no authority

TESTED
XAMINER
awar High Court
20 DEC 2016




of the department for the purpose of promotions, service discipline etc hence without availing the departmental remedy writ is competent and maintainable. In the case of Collector of Custom Valuation and another Vs Karachi Bulk storage and terminals Ltd, reported in 2007 SCMR 1357, (a) it has been held that:-

“---Art. 199---Constitutional petition before High Court---Maintainability ---Impugned order found to be illegal, contrary to law or void ab initio---Remedies under departmental hierarchy, non-availing of---Aggrieved party in such case would be entitled to invoke constitutional jurisdiction of High Court”.

16. In such like cases, as the present one where an order has been passed by an authority whose existence is doubtful alongwith powers and functions, not explained anywhere, then the availability of adequate remedy would not be an absolute bar against the exercise of constitutional jurisdiction of this Court. In exceptional cases, like the present one, this Court can entertain the constitutional petition directly, therefore, the objection in this regard is spurned. Even

STED
INNER
High Court
DEC 2016



48

C-31

otherwise, the High Court was competent to interfere such provision of law in exercise of constitutional jurisdiction where the competency of the authority is in question. In the case of Farzand Raza Naqvi and 5 others Vs Muhammad Din and others, reported in 2004 SCMR, 400, it has been held that "if an order impugned is a void order or it has been passed without lawful jurisdiction, the non availing of alternate remedy of appeal against such order would not bar High Court to proceed in constitutional jurisdiction and declare such orders as without lawful authority, rule that High Court should not entertain constitutional petition and adjudicate the matter in a constitutional jurisdiction in which remedy of appeal, review or revision is applicable, under the statute, is not an absolute rule and in exceptional cases, the strict observations of the rule that extra ordinary remedy of constitutional petition cannot be availed in a matter in which relief being sought under Article 199 of the Constitution could be granted by way of appeal, review or revision may cause in justice in substance, therefore, application of such rule would depend on facts and circumstances of each case".

ESTED
 MINER
 High Court
 DEC 2016

h

ESTED
 MINER
 High Court
 DEC 2016

Likewise, in similar situation the Apex Court in the case of Brig Muhammad Bashir Vs Abdul Karim and others reported in PLD 2004 SC 271, (d) has held that:-

“--Art. 199--Constitutional jurisdiction of High Court, exercise o--Principles object and scope-- Articles 199 of the Constitution casts an obligations on High Court to act in aid of law, protect the rights of citizens within the framework of the Constitution against infringement or law and constitution by the Executive Authorities, strike a rational compromise and a fair balance between the rights of citizens and auctions of State functionaries, claimed to be in the larger interest of Society--Such power is conferred on High Court, under the Constitution and is to be exercised subject to Constitutional limitations--Provision of Art. 199 of the Constitution is intended to enable High Court to control executive so as to bring it in conformity with the law-- Whenever the executive acts in violation of law, an appropriate order can be granted which can relieve the citizens of the effects of illegal action--Relief can be granted to the citizens of the country under Art. 199 of the Constitutions, against infringement of any provisions of law or of the Constitutions as it is an omnibus Article--If the citizens of the country are deprived of the guarantee given to them under the constitution, illegally or not in accordance with law, then provision

TESTED
AMINER
war High Court
10 DEC 2016

[Handwritten signature]

50 (31)

of Art. 199 of the constitution can always be invoked for redress--- Paramount consideration in exercise of constitutional jurisdiction is to foster justice and right a wrong---So long as statutory bodies and Executive Authorities act without fraud and bona fides within the powers conferred on them by the statute, the judiciary cannot interfere with them---Ample power is vested in High Court to issue directions to an Executive Authority when such an Authority is not exercising its power bona fide for the purpose contemplated by the law or is influenced by extraneous and irrelevant considerations---Where a statutory functionary acts mala fide or in a partial, unjust and oppressive manner, High Court in exercise of its constitutional jurisdiction has ample power to grant relief to the aggrieved party".

17. Lastly learned AAG while relying on 2013 SCMR, 1752, contended that perpetual rights cannot be claimed on an illegal order, therefore, the authority cancelled the promotion orders of the petitioners and the same cannot be granted as of right. Indeed, perpetual rights cannot be claimed on an illegal order, but in the instant case, the order of promotion dated 24.07.2015 is quite legal and lawful, because the same has been issued with the approval by of Departmental Promotion Committee, by the Competent Authority and

[Handwritten signature and stamp]

APPELLATE COURT OF PAKISTAN
(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

PRESENT: MR. JUSTICE MIAN SAQIB NISAR, CJ
MR. JUSTICE UMAR ATA BANDAAL
MR. JUSTICE IMAZ UL AHSAN

CIVIL PETITION NO. 34-P OF 2015

For appeal against the judgment dated 24.11.2015 of the Federal Tax Court, Peshawar passed in W.P. No. 31134/2015

Govt. of K.P. through Chief Secretary, Peshawar & others

Petitioner(s)

Muhammad Israr & others

VERSUS

Respondent(s)

For the Petitioner(s):

Mr. Zahid Yousof Qureshi, Addl. AG
Jhangir Khan, SI, PTC Hanga

For Respondents 1,2,7:

Mr. Waheed Akhtar, AGC

Other Respondents

N.R.

Date of Hearing:

26.01.2018

ORDER

MIAN SAQIB NISAR, CJ — Learned counsel for Respondents No. 1, 2 and 7 states that he has no objection if the impugned judgment is set aside. However, his writ petition be sent to the department as an appeal or representation under the law. Learned Additional Advocate General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa also states that he has no objection to that effect. In the light of the above, this petition is converted into appeal and allowed and the impugned judgment is set aside in the terms noted above. The department is directed to decide the appeal/representation of the respondents within a period of one month.



Sd/- Mian Saqib Nisar
Sd/- Umar Ata Bandaal
Sd/- Imaz ul Ahsan, J

Certified to be true

ATTY STAMP

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN
(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

Present: MR. JUSTICE MIAN SAQIB NISAR
MR. JUSTICE UMAR ATA BANDIAL
MR. JUSTICE IJAZ UL AHSAN

CIVIL PETITION NO.34-P OF 2016

(On appeal against the judgment dated
24.11.2015 of the Peshawar High Court
Peshawar, passed in W.P. No.3113-P/2015)

Govt. of K.P through Chief Secretary, Peshawar.....Petitioners

V E R S U S

Muhammad Israr & others.....Respondents

For Petitioner (s): Mr. Zahid Yousaf Qureshi, Addl. AG
Jahangir Khan, SI, PTC Hangu,

For Respondents 1,2,7: Mr. Naveed Akhtar, ASC

Other Respondents: N.R.

Date of hearing: 26.01.2018

MIAN SAIQB NISAR, CJ.—Learned counsel for Respondents No.1, 2 and 7 states that he has no objection if the impugned judgment is set aside. However, his Writ Petition be sent to the department as an appeal or representation under the law, Learned Additional Advocate General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, also states that the has no objection to that effect, in the light of the above, this petition is converted into appeal and allowed and the impugned judgment is set aside in the terms noted above. The department is directed to decide the appeal/representation of the respondents within a period of one month.

Sd/- Mian Saqib Nisar J

Sd/- Umar Ata Bandial, J

Sd/- Ijaz ul Ahsan J

ATTESTED

POWER OF ATTORNEY

In the Court of Service Tribunal KPR Peshawar

Manzoor Khan } For
} Plaintiff
} Appellant
} Petitioner
} Complainant

VERSUS

Govt of KPR and others } Defendant
} Respondent
} Accused
}

Appeal/Revision/Suit/Application/Petition/Case No. _____ of _____
Fixed for _____

I/W, the undersigned, do hereby nominate and appoint

ZARTAJ ANWAR ADVOCATE, my true and lawful attorney, for me in my same and on my behalf to appear at _____ to appear, plead, act and answer in the above Court or any Court to which the business is transferred in the above matter and is agreed to sign and file petitions. An appeal, statements, accounts, exhibits. Compromise or other documents whatsoever, in connection with the said matter or any matter arising there from and also to apply for and receive all documents or copies of documents, depositions etc, and to apply for and issue summons and other writs or sub-poena and to apply for and get issued and arrest, attachment or other executions, warrants or order and to conduct any proceeding that may arise there out; and to apply for and receive payment of any or all sums or submit for the above matter to arbitration, and to employ any other Legal Practitioner authorizing him to exercise the power and authorizes hereby conferred on the Advocate wherever he may think fit to do so, any other lawyer may be appointed by my said counsel to conduct the case who shall have the same powers.

AND to all acts legally necessary to manage and conduct the said case in all respects, whether herein specified or not, as may be proper and expedient.

AND I/we hereby agree to ratify and confirm all lawful acts done on my/our behalf under or by virtue of this power or of the usual practice in such matter.

PROVIDED always, that I/we undertake at time of calling of the case by the Court/my authorized agent shall inform the Advocate and make him appear in Court, if the case may be dismissed in default, if it be proceeded ex-parte the said counsel shall not be held responsible for the same. All costs awarded in favour shall be the right of the counsel or his nominee, and if awarded against shall be payable by me/us

IN WITNESS whereof I/we have hereto signed at _____
the _____ day to _____ the year _____

Executant/Executants _____

Accepted subject to the terms regarding fee _____

Zartaj Anwar

Advocate High Courts

ADVOCATES, LEGAL ADVISORS, SERVICE & LABOUR LAW CONSULTANT
FR-3-4, Fourth Floor, Bitour Plaza, Saddar Road, Peshawar Cantt
Ph.091-5272154 Mobile-0331-9399185

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.**

Service Appeal No. 718/2018.

Manzoor Khan ASI No.748/P CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

VERSUS.

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

Reply on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 2, 3&4.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.

1. That the appeal is badly time barred.
2. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
3. That the appellant has not come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant has no cause of action.
5. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
6. That the appellant concealed the material facts from Honorable Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has got no locus standi and cause of action to file the instant appeal.

FACTS:-

- 1- Para relates to record hence needs no comments.
- 2- Para No. 2 is for the petitioner to prove.
- 3- Para No. 3 is admitted correct.
- 4- Para No. 4 is legal, hence no comments.
- 5- Para No. 5 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 7-Para No. 7 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 8-Para No. 8 pertains to record, hence needs no comments.
- 9-Para No.9 is incorrect. Actually Traffic Warden is a separate Establishment, and appellant alongwith his other colleagues were not entitled for promotion over the sanctioned seats of other establishment i.e. Traffic Warden therefore their promotion order being unlawfully passed on the vacant seats of other unit was cancelled vide order Endst: No. 16570/EC-I dated 04.09.2015 in pursuance of 18th

PRAYERS:-

It is therefore most humbly prayed that in light of above facts, submissions the appeal of the appellant devoid of merits, legal footing may be set aside/ dismissed.




**Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.**



**Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**



**Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar.**



**AIG Establishment,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 718/2018.

Manzoor Khan ASI No.748/P CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

VERSUS.


1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT

We respondents No. 1 ,2,3 & 4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the written reply are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has concealed/kept secret from this Honorable Tribunal.



**Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.**



**Deputy Inspector General of Police,
HQrs:KhyberPakhtunkhwa,Peshawar.**



**Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar.**

A - 03/09/18.
**AIG Establishment,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,Peshawar.**

Police Policy Board (PPB) meeting held on 13.08.2015 in the best interest of the department.

10-Para No. 10 is incorrect. The order dated 24.11.2015 passed by the Hon'able Court was without Jurisdiction which was challenged in the Apex Court, by filing CPLA through Law Department. The Supreme Court of Pakistan subsequently vide judgment dated 26.01.2018 set aside order of the Hon'ble Court , converted the petition into department appeal and remanded back to respondent department with the direction to decide the same in one month.

11-Para No. 11 is correct as per record.

12-Para is incorrect. The appeal was decided with in stipulated period of one month and their appeal was rejected vide order dated 21.02.2018 by respondent department. (Copy annexed)

13-Para No. 13 is incorrect. Order issued on 04.09.2015 was lawful and based on genuine grounds.

GROUND:-

A- Incorrect. The appellant was treated as per law/rules, no provision of law has been violated.

B- Incorrect. Para already explained in above para's, and no right of appellant has been violated.

C- Incorrect. As already explained in the above para that traffic warden is a separate establishment functioning under the command of DIG/Traffic and promotion over the vacant posts of warden was inadvertently made which after due deliberation was later on cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015 by the respondent department.

D- Para is repetition of Para C.

E- Incorrect. The appellant was provided full opportunity of personal hearing.

F- Incorrect. The appellant was legally treated as per law and rules.

G- That respondent may also be allowed to advance any additional ground at the time of hearing of the appeal.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In the matter of
Appeal No. 718/2018

Manzoor Khan ASI No. 748/P CCP, Peshawar.....
(Appellant)

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others.
(Respondents)

REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT

Respectfully submitted:

The appellant submit his rejoinder as under:

Preliminary Objections:

1. That the present appeal is well within time.
2. That all the necessary parties are included in the appeal.
3. That the appellant has come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant got cause of action against the respondents.
5. That no rule of estoppel applies to present appeal.
6. That the appellant has not concealed any thing from this Hon;able Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has locus standi and got cause of action to file the instant appeal and is maintainable in its present form.

Facts of the Case:

1. Contents of para 1 of the appeal are correct hence no comments.

2. Contents of para 2 needs no comments. More over the service record shows that the appellatant has performed his duty honestly and without any complaint till date.
3. Contents of para 3 needs no comments.
4. Contents of para 4 needs no comments.
5. Contents of para 5 needs no comments. Furthermore the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
7. Contents of para 7 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
8. Contents of para 8 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
9. Contents of para 9 is incorrect hence denied. It is pertinent to point out that presently there is no separate promotion rules in the different branches of the Police Department, nor the respondents have created different cadre for appellatant or framed separate rules for the appellatant.
10. Contents of para 10 needs no comments.
11. Contents of para 11 needs no comments.
12. Contents of para 12 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.
13. Contents of para 13 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.

Grounds of Appeal:


- i. Para A of the appeal is correct, the appellatant have not been treated in accordance with law, his rights secured and guaranteed under the law are badly violated.
- ii. Para B of the appeal is correct.
- iii. Para C of the appeal is correct.

- iv. Para D of the appeal is correct.
- v. Para E of the appeal is correct.
- vi. Para F of the appeal is correct.
- vii. That the appellants seeks the permission of this honorable tribunal to rely on additional grounds at the hearing of this appeal.

It is, therefore, prayed that the appeal of the appellants may be accepted as prayed for.



Appellant

Through


ZARTAJ ANWAR
Advocate Peshawar

AFFIDAVIT

I do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above rejoinder as well as appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.


Deponent

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In the matter of
Appeal No. 718/2018

Manzoor Khan ASI No. 748/P CCP, Peshawar.....
(Appellant)

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others.
(Respondents)

REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT

Respectfully submitted:

The appellant submit his rejoinder as under:

Preliminary Objections:

1. That the present appeal is well within time.
2. That all the necessary parties are included in the appeal.
3. That the appellant has come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant got cause of action against the respondents.
5. That no rule of estoppel applies to present appeal.
6. That the appellant has not concealed any thing from this Hon;able Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has locus standi and got cause of action to file the instant appeal and is maintainable in its present form.

Facts of the Case:

1. Contents of para 1 of the appeal are correct hence no comments.

2. Contents of para 2 needs no comments. More over the service record shows that the appellant has performed his duty honestly and without any complaint till date.
3. Contents of para 3 needs no comments.
4. Contents of para 4 needs no comments.
5. Contents of para 5 needs no comments. Furthermore the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
7. Contents of para 7 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
8. Contents of para 8 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
9. Contents of para 9 is incorrect hence denied. It is pertinent to point out that presently there is no separate promotion rules in the different branches of the Police Department, nor the respondents have created different cadre for appellant or framed separate rules for the appellant.
10. Contents of para 10 needs no comments.
11. Contents of para 11 needs no comments.
12. Contents of para 12 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.
13. Contents of para 13 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.

Grounds of Appeal:


- i. Para A of the appeal is correct, the appellant have not been treated in accordance with law, his rights secured and guaranteed under the law are badly violated.
- ii. Para B of the appeal is correct.
- iii. Para C of the appeal is correct.

- iv. Para D of the appeal is correct.
- v. Para E of the appeal is correct.
- vi. Para F of the appeal is correct.
- vii. That the appellant seeks the permission of this honorable tribunal to rely on additional grounds at the hearing of this appeal.

It is, therefore, prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

Appellant

Through



ZARTAJ ANWAR

Advocate Peshawar

AFFIDAVIT

I do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above rejoinder as well as appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Deponent

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 718/2018.

Manzoor Khan ASI No. 748/P CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

VERSUS.

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

Reply on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 2, 3 & 4.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.

1. That the appeal is badly time barred.
2. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
3. That the appellant has not come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant has no cause of action.
5. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
6. That the appellant concealed the material facts from Honorable Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has got no locus standi and cause of action to file the instant appeal.

FACTS:-

- 1- Para relates to record hence needs no comments.
- 2- Para No. 2 is for the appellant to prove.
- 3- Para No. 3 is admitted correct.
- 4- Para No. 4 is legal, hence no comments.
- 5- Para No. 5 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 6- Para No. 6 is incorrect. In fact the appellant along with others were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub Inspector vide Notification No.3682/EC dated 24.07.2015. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of the decision made in 18th police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015.(copy of 18th Policy board decision annexed as "A")
- 7- Para No. 7 is incorrect. In fact the appellant along with others were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub Inspector vide Notification No.3682/EC dated 24.07.2015. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of

the decision made in 18th police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015.

8- Para No. 8 pertains to record.

9- Para No.9 is incorrect. In fact Traffic Warden is a separate Establishment, and appellant alongwith his other colleagues were not entitled for promotion against the sanctioned seats of other establishment i.e. Traffic Warden, therefore their promotion order being unlawfully passed on the vacant seats of other unit was cancelled vide order Endst: No. 16570/EC-I dated 04.09.2015 in pursuance of 18th Police Policy Board (PPB) meeting held on 13.08.2015 in the best interest of the department.(cancellation order as annexed "B")

10-Para No. 10 is incorrect. The order dated 24.11.2015 passed by the Hon'able High Court does not fall within jurisdiction of High Court therefore it was challenged before the Apex Court, by filing CPLA through Law Department. The Supreme Court of Pakistan subsequently vide judgment dated 26.01.2018 set aside order of the Hon'ble High Court , converted the petition into departmental appeal and remanded back to respondent department with the direction to decide the same in one month.

11- Para No. 11 is correct as per record.

12- Para is incorrect. The appeal was decided within stipulated period of one month and their appeal was rejected vide order dated 21.02.2018 by respondent department.
(Copy annexed)


13- Para No. 13 is incorrect. Order issued on 04.09.2015 was lawful and based on genuine grounds.

GROUND:-

- A- Incorrect. The appellant was treated as per law/rules, no provision of law has been violated.
- B- Incorrect. Para already explained in above paras, and no right of appellant has been violated.
- C- Incorrect. As already explained in the above para that traffic warden is a separate establishment functioning under the command of DIG/Traffic and promotion over the vacant posts of warden was inadvertently made which after due deliberation was later on cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015 by the respondent department.
- D- Para is repetition of Para C.
- E- Incorrect. The appellant was provided full opportunity of personal hearing.
- F- Incorrect. The appellant was legally treated as per law and rules.
- G- That respondent may also be allowed to advance any additional ground at the time of hearing of the appeal.

PRAYERS:-

It is therefore most humbly prayed that in light of above facts, submissions the appeal of the appellant devoid of merits, legal footing may be set aside/ dismissed.




**Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.**



**Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**



**Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar.**



**AIG Establishment,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 718/2018.


Manzoor Khan ASI No. 748/P CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

VERSUS.

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.


AFFIDAVIT.

We respondents 1, 2, 3 and 4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the written reply are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has concealed/kept secret from this Honorable Tribunal.


**Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.**


**Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**


**Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar**


**AIG Establishment,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**



OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
Central Police Office, Peshawar

No. 613 /Legal dated Peshawar, the 21/2 /2018.

ORDER


NO 311 - LB
21 - 02 - 018 ①

This order is passed in compliance with judgment of the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan dated 26.01.2018, passed in Civil Petition No. 34-P/2016, titled Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Peshawar and others Versus Muhammad Israr and others. The brief, yet relevant, facts of the case are that Muhammad Israr and 138 others Assistant Sub-Inspectors (names mentioned in the memo of Writ Petition / representation) of Police of District Peshawar (hereinafter referred to as appellants) were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector vide Notification No. 3685/EC dated 24.07.2015 of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service of alien cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of the decision made in 18th Police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar dated 04.09.2015.

The appellants filed Writ Petition No. 3113-P/2015 before the Honorable Peshawar High Court Peshawar against the cancellation of their promotion orders. The Writ Petition was contested on the ground that the appellant were promoted against the vacancies exclusively created for Traffic Warden Service and the matter relates to terms and conditions of service and the appellants have approached wrong forum. However, the Honorable High Court accepted the petition vide order dated 24.11.2015.

Civil Petition was lodged against the judgment of the Peshawar High Court Peshawar before the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan and the Honorable Court allowed the petition and the impugned judgment of Honorable High Court Peshawar was set aside. However, on the request of respondents / appellants the Honorable Courts directed that the Writ Petition filed by the appellants may be treated as departmental appeal and the department may decide the representation of the appellants within a period of 01 month.

	<p>courses in specialized training schools</p> <p>KP Police have established a number of specialized schools that are imparting valuable training/ courses to lower and upper subordinates. In order to get benefits from these training facilities, it is essential to ensure that upper subordinates undergo and qualifies mandatory training courses in these police training schools.</p>	<p>that a Standing Order may be issued that would link promotions with mandatory training courses for upper subordinates in the various Police Training Schools. An upper subordinate will have to obtain a total of nine (09) marks prior to promotion. A training course of one week duration at any of the above schools will carry one (1) mark while a two week course will carry two (2) marks.</p>	<p>AIG/ Establishment</p>
3	<p>Traffic Wardens Police.</p> <p>The KP police have recently introduced Traffic Wardens system in the Province. Presently, it is operational in the Provincial Capital and would be gradually introduced in other parts of the Province. Since it is a separate cadre for which separate rules will be formulated in due course. However, there is no internal mechanism that would streamline the working of Traffic wardens.</p>	<p>During the PTR meeting, it was unanimously agreed that a separate standing order may be issued for streamlining the affairs of Traffic Wardens that will address issues such as selection, deputation, training and promotions etc. of Traffic Wardens. The Board decided that till permanent recruitment of traffic wardens, seats will be filled by temporary deputations. However, they will not get promoted on the seats sanctioned for traffic wardens nor will claim seniority if they are posted on a senior rank in traffic warden. On repatriation to parent district, they will be repatriated in their substantive ranks.</p> <p>The Board took serious note of the recent promotions of SIs by ECBO on the posts of Traffic Wardens. The Board directed that since these seats belong to the Traffic Wardens, these promotions should be cancelled with immediate effect.</p>	<p>CCPO; DIG/HQ; AIG/ Establishment</p>
4	<p>NTS qualified Constables</p> <p>Modern Policing requires the application of IT skills and tools. It is therefore essential that lower subordinates possess those skills.</p>	<p>All the members of the PPB agreed that all constables who have been recruited through NTS will undergo a mandatory training course of 02 week in the newly established I.T School at Peshawar.</p>	<p>AIG Establishment</p>
5	<p>Incentive for Posting in Legal Branch</p>	<p>It was unanimously decided that a committee consisting of the following will</p>	<p>DIG/HQ AIG/</p>





OFFICE OF THE
CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER,
PESHAWAR.

No. 16570 /EC-I, dated Peshawar the 4/8 /2015.
Phone No.091-9210641 Fax No.0919212597

ORDER.

In pursuance of 18th Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015 duly approved by Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar issued vide DIG/HQrs: Letter Endst: No.1598-1650/PA/DIG/HQrs:, dated 28.08.2015 & AIG Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Letter No.2404/E-II, dated 31.08.2015, the promotion Notification of 139 promoted Offg: Sub-Inspectors Issued vide this office Notification No.13685-13706/EC-I, dated 24.07.2015 is hereby cancelled.

f
(Mubarak Zeb)PSP
Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar.
ay *ay*

No. 16571-94 /EC-I.

to the:-

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Inspector General of Police, NH & Motorway Police, Islamabad.
3. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Investigation KPK, Peshawar.
4. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Special Branch KPK, Peshawar.
5. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Elite Force-KPK, Peshawar.
6. Deputy Inspector General of Police, CTD KPK, Peshawar.
7. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region.
8. Commandant Police Training College Hangu.
9. Commandant CPC, university Campus, Peshawar.
10. Director Anti-Corruption Establishment-KPK.
11. SSSP/Operation, Investigation & Traffic, Peshawar.
12. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Logistic Headquarter, Sector-H/11, Islamabad.
13. District Police Officers Charsadda & Nowshera.
14. EC-II, PO, AS:CC & Computer Cell.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 718/2018.

Manzoor Khan ASI No. 748/P CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

VERSUS.

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

Reply on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 2, 3 & 4.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.

1. That the appeal is badly time barred.
2. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
3. That the appellant has not come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant has no cause of action.
5. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
6. That the appellant concealed the material facts from Honorable Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has got no locus standi and cause of action to file the instant appeal.

FACTS:-

- 1- Para relates to record hence needs no comments.
- 2- Para No. 2 is for the appellant to prove.
- 3- Para No. 3 is admitted correct.
- 4- Para No. 4 is legal, hence no comments.
- 5- Para No. 5 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 6- Para No. 6 is incorrect. In fact the appellant along with others were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub Inspector vide Notification No.3682/EC dated 24.07.2015. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service cadre. Therefore, In pursuance of the decision made in 18th police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015.(copy of 18th Policy board decision annexed as "A")
- 7- Para No. 7 is incorrect. In fact the appellant along with others were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub Inspector vide Notification No.3682/EC dated 24.07.2015. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of

the decision made in 18th police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015.

8- Para No. 8 pertains to record.

9- Para No.9 is incorrect. In fact Traffic Warden is a separate Establishment, and appellant alongwith his other colleagues were not entitled for promotion against the sanctioned seats of other establishment i.e. Traffic Warden, therefore their promotion order being unlawfully passed on the vacant seats of other unit was cancelled vide order Endst: No. 16570/EC-I dated 04.09.2015 in pursuance of 18th Police Policy Board (PPB) meeting held on 13.08.2015 in the best interest of the department.(cancellation order as annexed "B")

10-Para No. 10 is incorrect. The order dated 24.11.2015 passed by the Hon'able High Court does not fall within jurisdiction of High Court therefore it was challenged before the Apex Court, by filing CPLA through Law Department. The Supreme Court of Pakistan subsequently vide judgment dated 26.01.2018 set aside order of the Hon'ble High Court, converted the petition into departmental appeal and remanded back to respondent department with the direction to decide the same in one month.

11- Para No. 11 is correct as per record.

12- Para is incorrect. The appeal was decided within stipulated period of one month and their appeal was rejected vide order dated 21.02.2018 by respondent department.
(Copy annexed)


13- Para No. 13 is incorrect. Order issued on 04.09.2015 was lawful and based on genuine grounds.

GROUND:-


- A- Incorrect. The appellant was treated as per law/rules, no provision of law has been violated.
- B- Incorrect. Para already explained in above paras, and no right of appellant has been violated.
- C- Incorrect. As already explained in the above para that traffic warden is a separate establishment functioning under the command of DIG/Traffic and promotion over the vacant posts of warden was inadvertently made which after due deliberation was later on cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015 by the respondent department.
- D- Para is repetition of Para C.
- E- Incorrect. The appellant was provided full opportunity of personal hearing.
- F- Incorrect. The appellant was legally treated as per law and rules.
- G- That respondent may also be allowed to advance any additional ground at the time of hearing of the appeal.


PRAYERS:-

It is therefore most humbly prayed that in light of above facts, submissions the appeal of the appellant devoid of merits, legal footing may be set aside/ dismissed.


**Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.**


**Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**


**Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar.**


**AIG Establishment,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 718/2018.


Manzoor Khan ASI No. 748/P CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.


VERSUS.


1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT.

We respondents 1, 2, 3 and 4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the written reply are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has concealed/kept secret from this Honorable Tribunal.


**Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.**


**Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Hqrs:KhyberPakhtunkhwa,Peshawar.**


**Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar**


**AIG Establishment,
KhyberPakhtunkhwa,Peshawar.**



OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
Central Police Office, Peshawar

No. 613 /Legal dated Peshawar, the 21/2 /2018.

ORDER

NO 311 - LB
21 - 02 - 018 ①

This order is passed in compliance with judgment of the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan dated 26.01.2018, passed in Civil Petition No. 34-P/2016, titled Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Peshawar and others Versus Muhammad Israr and others. The brief, yet relevant, facts of the case are that Muhammad Israr and 138 others Assistant Sub-Inspectors (names mentioned in the memo of Writ Petition / representation) of Police of District Peshawar (hereinafter referred to as appellants) were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector vide Notification No. 3685/EC dated 24.07.2015 of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service of alien cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of the decision made in 18th Police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar dated 04.09.2015.

The appellants filed Writ Petition No. 3113-P/2015 before the Honorable Peshawar High Court Peshawar against the cancellation of their promotion orders. The Writ Petition was contested on the ground that the appellant were promoted against the vacancies exclusively created for Traffic Warden Service and the matter relates to terms and conditions of service and the appellants have approached wrong forum. However, the Honorable High Court accepted the petition vide order dated 24.11.2015.

Civil Petition was lodged against the judgment of the Peshawar High Court Peshawar before the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan and the Honorable Court allowed the petition and the impugned judgment of Honorable High Court Peshawar was set aside. However, on the request of respondents / appellants the Honorable Courts directed that the Writ Petition filed by the appellants may be treated as departmental appeal and the department may decide the representation of the appellants within a period of 01 month.


On receipt of the order of the Honorable Court, the relevant record was thoroughly examined which revealed that the impugned promotions of appellants were made against the vacancies of the Traffic Warden Service which was amounting to out of turn promotion. The Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan has issued clear directions with regard to stoppage of out of turn promotion. The promotion of appellants against the vacancies of alien cadre were correctly cancelled by the Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar. There is no force and merit in the representations of appellants, and their prayer is not tenable, hence the representation is rejected.



Addl: Inspector General of Police HQrs:
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.

No. S/ 613 /18

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar with reference to his office memo No. 216/LB dated 06.02.2018, for service of the copy of the order on the appellants.



Addl: Inspector General of Police HQrs:
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.

	<p>courses in specialized training schools</p> <p>KP Police have established a number of specialized schools that are imparting valuable training/ courses to lower and upper subordinates. In order to get benefits from these training facilities, it is essential to ensure that upper subordinates undergo and qualifies mandatory training courses in these police training schools.</p>	<p>that a Standing Order may be issued that would link promotions with mandatory training courses for upper subordinates in the various Police Training Schools. An upper subordinate will have to obtain a total of nine (09) marks prior to promotion. A training course of one week duration at any of the above schools will carry one (1) mark while a two week course will carry two (2) marks.</p>	<p>AIG/ Establishment</p>
3	<p>Traffic Wardens Police.</p> <p>The KP police have recently introduced Traffic Wardens system in the Province. Presently, it is operational in the Provincial Capital and would be gradually introduced in other parts of the Province. Since it is a separate cadre for which separate rules will be formulated in due course. However, there is no internal mechanism that would streamline the working of Traffic Wardens.</p>	<p>During the PPR meeting, it was unanimously agreed that a separate standing order may be issued for streamlining the affairs of Traffic Wardens that will address issues such as selection, deputation, training and promotions etc. of Traffic Wardens. The Board decided that till permanent recruitment of traffic wardens, seats will be filled by temporary deputations. However, they will not get promoted on the seats sanctioned for traffic wardens nor will claim seniority if they are posted on a senior rank in traffic warden. On repatriation to parent district, they will be reclassified in their substantive ranks.</p> <p>The Board took serious note of the recent promotions of SIs by CCPO on the posts of Traffic Wardens. The Board directed that since these seats belong to the Traffic Wardens, these promotions should be cancelled with immediate effect.</p>	<p>CCPO; DIG/HQ; AIG/ Establishment</p>
4	<p>NTS qualified Constables</p> <p>Modern Policing requires the application of IT skills and tools. It is therefore essential that lower subordinates possess those skills.</p>	<p>All the members of the PPB agreed that all constables who have been recruited through NTS will undergo a mandatory training course of 02 week in the newly established I.T School at Peshawar.</p>	<p>AIG Establishment</p>
5	<p>Incentive for Posting in Legal Branch</p>	<p>It was unanimously decided that a committee consisting of the following will</p>	<p>DIG/HQ AIG/</p>

93
Police




OFFICE OF THE
CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER,
PESHAWAR.

No. 16570 /EC-I, dated Peshawar the 4/9 /2015.
Phone No.091-9210641 Fax No.0919212597

ORDER.

In pursuance of 18th Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015 duly approved by Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar issued vide DIG/HQrs: Letter Endst: No.1598-1650/PA/DIG/HQrs:, dated 28.08.2015 & AIG Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Letter No.2404/E-II, dated 31.08.2015, the promotion Notification of 139 promoted Offg: Sub-Inspectors Issued vide this office Notification No.13685-13706/EC-I, dated 24.07.2015 is hereby cancelled.

No. 16571-94 /EC-I,


(Mubarak Zeb) PSP
Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar.

to the:-

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Inspector General of Police, NH & Motorway Police, Islamabad.
3. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Investigation KPK, Peshawar.
4. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Special Branch KPK, Peshawar.
5. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Elite Force KPK, Peshawar.
6. Deputy Inspector General of Police, CTD KPK, Peshawar.
7. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region.
8. Commandant Police Training College Hangu.
9. Commandant CPC, university Campus, Peshawar.
10. Director Anti-Corruption Establishment KPK.
11. SSp/Operation, Investigation & Traffic, Peshawar.
12. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Logistic Headquarter, Sector-H/11, Islamabad.
13. District Police Officers Charsadda & Nowshera.
14. EC-II, PO, AS/CC & Computer Coll.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In the matter of
Appeal No. 718/2018

Manzoor Khan ASI No. 748/P CCP, Peshawar.....
(Appellant)

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others.
(Respondents)

REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT

Respectfully submitted:

The appellant submit his rejoinder as under:

Preliminary Objections:

1. That the present appeal is well within time.
2. That all the necessary parties are included in the appeal.
3. That the appellant has come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant got cause of action against the respondents.
5. That no rule of estoppel applies to present appeal.
6. That the appellant has not concealed any thing from this Hon;able Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has locus standi and got cause of action to file the instant appeal and is maintainable in its present form.

Facts of the Case:

1. Contents of para 1 of the appeal are correct hence no comments.

2. Contents of para 2 needs no comments. More over the service record shows that the appellant has performed his duty honestly and without any complaint tili date.
3. Contents of para 3 needs no comments.
4. Contents of para 4 needs no comments.
5. Contents of para 5 needs no comments. Furthermore the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
7. Contents of para 7 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
8. Contents of para 8 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
9. Contents of para 9 is incorrect hence denied. It is pertinent to point out that presently there is no separate promotion rules in the different branches of the Police Department, nor the respondents have created different cadre for appellant or framed separate rules for the appellant.
10. Contents of para 10 needs no comments.
11. Contents of para 11 needs no comments.
12. Contents of para 12 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.
13. Contents of para 13 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.

Grounds of Appeal:

- i. Para A of the appeal is correct, the appellant have not been treated in accordance with law, his rights secured and guaranteed under the law are badly violated.
- ii. Para B of the appeal is correct.
- iii. Para C of the appeal is correct.

- iv. Para D of the appeal is correct.
- v. Para E of the appeal is correct.
- vi. Para F of the appeal is correct.
- vii. That the appellant seeks the permission of this honorable tribunal to rely on additional grounds at the hearing of this appeal.

It is, therefore, prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

Appellant

Through



ZARTAJ ANWAR

Advocate Peshawar

AFFIDAVIT

I do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above rejoinder as well as appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Deponent

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.**

Service Appeal No. 718/2018.

Manzoor Khan ASI No.748/P CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

VERSUS.

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

Reply on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 2, 3&4.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.

1. That the appeal is badly time barred.
2. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
3. That the appellant has not come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant has no cause of action.
5. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
6. That the appellant concealed the material facts from Honorable Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has got no locus standi and cause of action to file the instant appeal.

FACTS:-

- 1- Para relates to record hence needs no comments.
- 2- Para No. 2 is for the petitioner to prove.
- 3- Para No. 3 is admitted correct.
- 4- Para No. 4 is legal, hence no comments.
- 5- Para No. 5 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 7-Para No. 7 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 8-Para No. 8 pertains to record, hence needs no comments.
- 9-Para No.9 is incorrect. Actually Traffic Warden is a separate Establishment, and appellant alongwith his other colleagues were not entitled for promotion over the sanctioned seats of other establishment i.e. Traffic Warden therefore their promotion order being unlawfully passed on the vacant seats of other unit was cancelled vide order Endst: No. 16570/EC-I dated 04.09.2015 in pursuance of 18th

Police Policy Board (PPB) meeting held on 13.08.2015 in the best interest of the department.

10-Para No. 10 is incorrect. The order dated 24.11.2015 passed by the Hon'able Court was without Jurisdiction which was challenged in the Apex Court, by filing CPLA through Law Department. The Supreme Court of Pakistan subsequently vide judgment dated 26.01.2018 set aside order of the Hon'ble Court , converted the petition into department appeal and remanded back to respondent department with the direction to decide the same in one month.

11-Para No. 11 is correct as per record.

12-Para is incorrect. The appeal was decided within stipulated period of one month and their appeal was rejected vide order dated 21.02.2018 by respondent department. (Copy annexed)

13-Para No. 13 is incorrect. Order issued on 04.09.2015 was lawful and based on genuine grounds.

GROUND:-


- A- Incorrect. The appellant was treated as per law/rules, no provision of law has been violated.
- B- Incorrect. Para already explained in above para's, and no right of appellant has been violated.
- C- Incorrect. As already explained in the above para that traffic warden is a separate establishment functioning under the command of DIG/Traffic and promotion over the vacant posts of warden was inadvertently made which after due deliberation was later on cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015 by the respondent department.
- D- Para is repetition of Para C.
- E- Incorrect. The appellant was provided full opportunity of personal hearing.
- F- Incorrect. The appellant was legally treated as per law and rules.
- G- That respondent may also be allowed to advance any additional ground at the time of hearing of the appeal.

PRAYERS:-

It is therefore most humbly prayed that in light of above facts, submissions the appeal of the appellant devoid of merits, legal footing may be set aside/ dismissed.




**Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.**



**Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**



**Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar.**



**AIG Establishment,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.**

Service Appeal No. 718/2018.

Manzoor Khan ASI No.748/P CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

VERSUS.

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT

We respondents No. 1 ,2,3 & 4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the written reply are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has concealed/kept secret from this Honorable Tribunal.



**Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.**



**Deputy Inspector General of Police,
HQrs:KhyberPakhtunkhwa,Peshawar.**



**Capital City Police Officer,
Peshawar.**

A - 03/09/18.

**AIG Establishment,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,Peshawar.**