

(2) Such of the officers recommended as the Inspector-General may consider suitable shall be admitted to promotion list 'F' [Form 13-15 (2)] which will, however, not be published. Deputy Inspector-General shall be informed, and shall in turn inform the Superintendents concerned, of the names of those who have been admitted to the List; similar information will be sent to the Assistant Inspector-General, Government Railway Police.

The original personal files of Sub-Inspectors admitted to the list shall be transferred to the Inspector-General after duplicates have been prepared for retention in the office of the Deputy Inspector-General by the Assistant Inspector-General, Government Railway Police, as required by rule 12-38 (1). Copies of all subsequent annual confidential reports prepared in form 13-17 in respect both of Sergeants and Sub-Inspectors admitted to the list will, on return by the Inspector-General in accordance with rule 13-17 (1), be recorded by Deputy Inspector-General or the Assistant Inspector-General, Government Railway Police, with the duplicate personal files of the officers concerned. Copies of all entries ordered to be made in personal files others than annual confidential reports will be forwarded to the Inspector-General as soon as made for record with the original personal files; all such copies shall be attested by the Deputy Inspector-General or the Assistant Inspector-General, Government Railway Police, personally.

(3) When submitting recommendations for the entry of fresh names in List F, Deputy Inspectors-General and the Assistant Inspector-General, Government Railway Police, will at the same time submit specific recommendations (which need not be accompanied by detailed confidential reports) as to the retention or removal of officers already admitted to the list. On receipt of these recommendations, the Inspector-General will review the Provincial List, and pass orders regarding the retention or exclusion of names, at the same time communicating his decision to the Deputy Inspectors-General and the Assistant Inspector-General, Government Railway Police.

(4) Seniority in list 'F' will be in accordance with the date of entry in that list. Sub-Inspectors admitted to list 'F' on the same date will be placed in that list in order according to their date of permanent promotion to selection grade, and if the date of permanent promotion to selection grade is the case of two or more Sub-Inspectors admitted to list 'F' on one and the same date then according to date of permanent promotion to time-scale. Sergeants will be shown in list 'F' according to the date of entry in the list. When, however, two or more Sergeants are admitted to list 'F' on the same date, their names will be shown in order of seniority among themselves.

13-16. Promotion to the rank of Inspector.—(1) Substantive vacancies in the rank of Inspector, same those which are specially designated for the appointment of probationers, shall be filled by promotion of officers from list 'F' selected according to the principles laid down in rule 13-1. Sergeants are eligible for promotion in the appointments reserved for European Inspectors.

(2) Temporary vacancies in the rank of inspector shall be filled by the officiating promotion of officers on 'F' list by the authorities empowered by rule 13-4 to make the appointment. Such officiating promotions shall be made in accordance with the principles laid down in sub-rule 13-12 (1) in the case of E list, and the second part of that rule shall, *mutatis mutandis*, govern the scrutiny of the work of F list officers and the removal from that list of the names of those whose are found unfit for the rank of inspector.

(3) No officer whose name is not on F list shall be appointed to officiate as inspector without the special sanction of the Inspector General. When no officer on F list available in the range for a vacancy which the Deputy Inspector-General is required to fill, application shall be made to the Inspector-General to appoint a man from another range.

13-17. Annual Confidential Reports.—(1) Superintendents shall prepare and submit annually to the Deputy Inspector-General, after obtaining the District Magistrate's remarks thereon, reports in form 13-17 on the working of all Upper Subordinates serving under them. These reports shall be submitted to reach the Deputy Inspector-General on or before 15th January.

Deputy Inspector-General and the Assistant Inspector-General, Government Railway Police, will add their own remarks and retain reports on Assistant Sub-Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors who are not on List 'F' in their own offices. Reports on all Inspectors, Sub-Inspectors on List 'F' and Sergeants will be forwarded by Deputy Inspectors-General and Assistant Inspector-General, Government Railway Police, so as to reach the Inspector-General on or before the 15th February. In the cases of Indian Inspectors of the General Line, Sub-Inspectors on List 'F' and all Sergeants, Deputy Inspector-General and Assistant Inspector-General, Government Railway Police, will attach with each report so submitted a duplicate copy thereof. Any remarks recorded by the Inspector-General on the original report will be copied in his office on to the duplicate prior to the latter for record with the duplicate personal file maintained in accordance with rule 12-38 (1).

(2) Reports shall be of three kinds, A, B and C, and shall be marked as such:—

A reports:—Reports in which for special reasons it is recommended that promotion be given irrespective of seniority.

B reports:—Reports in which it is recommended that promotion be given in the ordinary course of seniority.

C reports:—Reports in which it is recommended that the officer be passed over for promotion or that the taking of departmental action on general grounds of inefficiency or unsatisfactory conduct be considered.

In 'A' and 'C' reports detailed reasons must be given for the recommendations made.

The purport of All 'C' reports shall be communicated to the officers concerned at a personal interview or, if this is not possible, in writing. Written acknowledgments shall be taken and attached to their personal files. In communicating such reports, the instructions contained in paragraph 7 of Punjab Government Consolidated Circular No. 1 shall be followed. Ordinarily, the submission of two successive 'C' reports regarding an officer will result automatically in the institution of departmental proceedings against him on such charge as the contents of the reports may justify.

(3) Superintendents shall submit annually to the Deputy Inspector-General by the 15th January confidential reports in form 13-17-A on the working of all gazetted officers serving under them. Deputy Inspectors General will add their own remarks and forward the reports to reach the Inspector-General on or before the 15th February.

The gist of adverse reports shall be communicated in writing to the officers concerned subject to the conditions specified in paragraph 7 of Punjab Government Consolidated Circular No. 1 and their acknowledgment shall be taken and attached to their personal files.

(4) The names and designation of the officers writing reports shall invariably be typed or written in block letters below their signatures.

(5) Reporting Officers shall comment generally on the way in which the officer has carried out his various duties during the year and shall give an estimate of his personality, character and abilities, including detective powers and ability to conduct prosecutions. The report shall contain an opinion on any point specially required at any particular time, e.g., fitness to pass an efficiency bar. Particular mention shall be made of the officer's relations with his fellow-officers and the general public and of his honesty.

13-18. Probationary period of promotion.—All Police Officers promoted in rank shall be on probation for two years, provided that the appointing authority may, by a special order in each case, permit periods of officiating service to count towards the period of probation. On the conclusion of the probationary period a report shall be rendered to the authority empowered to confirm the promotion who shall either confirm the officer or revert him. In no case shall the period of probation be extended beyond two years and the confirming authority must arrive at a definite decision within that period whether the officer should be confirmed or reverted. While on probation officers may be reverted without departmental proceedings. Such reversion shall not be considered reduction for the purpose of rule 16-4.

This rule shall not apply to constables and Sub-Inspectors promoted to the selection grade, whose case is governed by rules 13-14.

13-19. Special promotion to recipients of the King's Police and Fire Service Medal and the Indian Police Medal.—(1) A constable receiving the award of the King's Police and Fire Service Medal shall be promoted in the first substantive vacancy of head constable within occurs in the district in which he is serving subsequent to the award of the medal being gazetted.

(2) A constable awarded the Indian Police Medal shall, if not already in the selection grade, be promoted to that grade on probation as prescribed in rule 14-5 (7).

18

Sheet for maintenance of marking system.

\_\_\_\_\_DISTRICT.

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Constable No. .... Name .....

Date of enrolment .....

A. EDUCATION:—

B. COURSES PASSED:—

- (1) .....
- (2) .....
- (3) .....
- (4) .....
- (5) .....
- (6) .....

C. PROFESSIONAL ABILITY:—

- (1) .....
- (2) .....
- (3) .....
- (4) .....

D. CHARACTER:—

Total.....

Initials of gazetted officer and date.....

Onerous and responsible duties to which posted (vide sub-rule 13-5 (4). }

- (1) .....
- (2) .....
- (3) .....
- (4) .....
- (5) .....
- (6) .....

NOTE.—Marks allotted under heads C and D according to the principles described in sub-rule 13-5 (3) shall be added to or reduced as occasion arises, each change being initialled and dated by a gazetted officer.



BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR



Writ Petition No. 3652/2014

1. Abdus Sattar B. No. 746/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
2. Fazal Hadi No. 747/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
3. Manzoor Khan. 748/ASI Opertaion Room, CPO, Peshawar.
4. Tahir Ali Khan No. 749/P ASI, Charsadda.
5. Bismillah Jan No. 750/P ASI, Charsadda.
6. Imran Ullah No. 751/P ASI, Charsadda.
7. Wisal Khan No. 753/P ASI, Nowshera.
8. Muhammad Arif Khan No. 754/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
9. Muhmmad Umer No. 755/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
10. Masood Khan No. 756/P ASI, INVistigation Wing, Peshawar.
11. Muhammad Tahir5 No. 757/P ASI, AIG Legal, CPO, Peshawar.
12. Aftab Khan NO. 758/P ASI, AIG Legal, Peshawar.
13. Rehmat Ullah No. 759/P ASI, Charsadda.
14. Afzal Gul No. 760/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
15. Riaz Ahmed No. 761/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
16. Afzaal Khan No. 766/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
17. Ihsan Ullah No. 767/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
18. Naveed Gul No. 768/P ASI, Charsadda.
19. Bahar Ali No. 769/P ASI, Charsadda.
20. Muhmmad ARshad No. 770/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
21. Asif Khan No. 771/P ASI, Charsadda.
22. Muhammad Rafiq 772/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
23. Tehsin Ullah No. 773/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.

ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court.  
18 MAY 2015

18 MAY 2015

03 DEC 2014

ATTESTED

17



- 24. Laiq Zada 774/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 25. Irfan Ullah No. 776/P ASI, Charsadda.
- 26. Wajid Khan No. 777/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 27. Akhter Hussain No. 778/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 28. Alzaz Alam Khan Khalil No. 783/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 29. Imtiāz Ahmed No. 784/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 30. Asif Ali Khan No. 785/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 31. Saleem Khan No. 786/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 32. Inam Ullah No. 787/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 33. Muhmmad Asif Khan No. 788/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 34. Ayub Khan No. 789/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 35. Qazi Muhmmad Arif No. 790/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 36. Mumtaz Khan No. 791/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 37. Bilal Hussain No. 792/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 38. Abdullah Jalal Khan No. 793/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 39. Muhmmad Mubarak Zaib Gul No. 794/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 40. Saeed Jan No. 795/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 41. Muhammad Ishfaq No. 796/P ASI, Charsadda.
- 42. Ahmed Ullah Khan No. 797/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 43. Muhammad Waqas Yousuf No. 798/P ASI, Nowshera.
- 44. Muhmmad Ayaz No. 799/P ASI, Nowshera.
- 45. Mamoon Rashid No. 800/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar.
- 46. Adil Sayed No. 801/P ASI, Nowshera.
- 47. Syed Asgher Khan No. 802/P ASI, Nowshera.
- 48. Noman Knan No. 803/P ASI, CCP, Peshawar. . . . . Petitioners

**ATTESTED**  
 EXAMINER  
 Peshawar High Cour  
 18 MAY 2015

FILED TO  
 Deputy Regi  
 03 DEC 2

Versus

- 1. Chief Capital City Police Officer, KP, Peshawar

18

JUDGMENT SHEET  
IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR  
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

10P No. 3652 of ..... 2014

JUDGMENT

Date of hearing 13-5-2015

Petitioner Abdul S. Khan by M/s Saadullah Khan & Shaukat Khattak Advocates

Respondent C.C.P. by M/s Raza Khan & Co

\*\*\*\*\*

NISAR HUSSAIN KHAN, J.- Petitioners seek issuance of an

appropriate writ directing the authority to finalize seniority list of the direct/promotee Assistant Sub Inspectors and then promotion to the rank of Sub Inspectors be made on the basis of seniority-cum-fitness.

2: In essence grievance of petitioners is that they were directly appointed as Assistant Sub Inspectors through prescribed procedure of Selection on the recommendation of the Public Service Commission in the year 2010 and later on confirmed on 13.8.2014 on completion of probationary period. At the same time, there are promotee Assistant Sub Inspectors. Petitioners are legitimately

APPROVED  
13 MAY 2015

18

(Signature)

expecting their promotion according to the seniority list which has not been prepared as yet while meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee is scheduled to be held in near future but in the absence of seniority list, petitioners would be deprived of their consideration.

3. Respondents in their comments have contradicted the stance of petitioners on factual and legal plans. However, on the preceding date, respondents through learned AAG were directed to finalize the seniority list and provide the same before the Court. Today, the seniority list attested by DSP (Legal) CCP, Peshawar, has been furnished, in view of which petitioners' grievance has been redressed. Respondents shall circulate the said seniority list amongst all the ASIs on which they may take legal course, if they have any grievance and thereafter may approach the proper forum against any final order. This petition is disposed of accordingly.

*Ady Nisar Hussain Khan*  
JUDGE

Announced on  
13th May, 2015.

*Ady Wajid Ahmad Sethi*  
JUDGE

22506

Date of Presentation of Application *12/5/15*

No of Pages *4*

Copying Fee *8/-*

Regent Fee *2/-*

Date of Preparation of Copy *12/5/15*

Date Given For Delivery *12/5/15*

Date of Delivery of Copy *12/5/15*

Received By *[Signature]*

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

Examiners  
Peshawar High Court  
10 MAY 2015

**ATTESTED**

POLICE DEPTT:

20

(25)

ANNEXURE C

CCP, PESHAWAR.

FOR PUBLICATION IN THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA POLICE GAZETTE PART-II,  
ORDERS BY THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

NOTIFICATION.

No. 3685/EC-1, PROMOTION TO THE RANK OF OFFG: SI:- As approved by the Department Promotion Committee meeting held on 07-07-2015 the following Confirmed "E" list ASIs of Capital City Police, Peshawar are hereby promoted to the rank of Offg: Sub-Inspectors with immediate effect.

Dated 24 / 07 / 2015.

Their promotion will take effect from the date they actually take over in charge of their higher responsibilities at their new place of posting.

S#	Rank, Name & No.	Place of Posting.
1.	ASI Muhamamd Israr No.506/NSR	CTD KPK
2.	ASI Muhammad Sher No.1561	CCP, Peshawar
3.	ASI Bahar Ahmad No. 1129/P	Charsadda/Upper College Course
4.	ASI Jamshid Khan No. 1163/P	Nowshera/Upper College Course
5.	ASI Inayat ur Rehman No. 1168/P	Nowshera
6.	ASI Muhammad Alam No. 1161/P	Nowshera
7.	ASI Masood Jan No. 584/P	Charsadda
8.	ASI Hidayat Ullah No. 1148/P	Charsadda
9.	ASI Murad Ali No. 1329/P	Charsadda
10.	ASI Muhammad Iqbal No.1216/P	Charsadda
11.	ASI Muhammad Gul No.1219/P	CCP, Peshawar
12.	ASI Sartaj No. 12/P	Special Branch
13.	ASI Ghulam Hussain No.1221/P	CCP, Peshawar
14.	ASI Hayat Gul No.1222/P	Special Branch
15.	ASI Humayun Khan No.1223/P	Special Branch.
16.	ASI Fida Muhammad No.1224/P	Traffic, Peshawar
17.	ASI Subhan Ullah No.1225/P	CCP, Peshawar
18.	ASI Khalid Khan No. 1227/P	Traffic, Peshawar
19.	ASI Hamid Rauf/Khan No.1228/P	CCP, Peshawar
20.	ASI Sartaj Khan No.1229/P	Special Branch
21.	ASI Wasif ur Rehman No.1230/P	CCP, Peshawar
22.	ASI Sardar Hussain No.1231/P	Traffic, Peshawar
23.	ASI Farid Khan No.1232/P	CTD KPK
24.	ASI Tehseen Ullah No.1233/P	CCP, Peshawar
25.	ASI Yasin Gul No.1224/P	CCP, Peshawar
26.	ASI Atta Ullah No.3/P. SI on ACB.	Traffic, Peshawar
27.	ASI Sajjad Ahmed No.1236/P	Reader SSP/Opt.
28.	ASI Wajid Ali No.1237/P	CCP, Peshawar
29.	ASI Khalid Muhammad No.1238/P	CCP, Peshawar
30.	ASI Jawad Hussain No.1240/P	Anti-corruption
31.	ASI Rikhmeen No.1239/P	Islamabad Police
32.	ASI Jehan Zeb No.1241/P	CCP, Peshawar
33.	ASI Abdul Wali No.1242/P	Special Branch
34.	ASI Nowsherawan No.1243/P	Traffic, Peshawar.
35.	ASI Zahir Shah No.1244/P	CCP, Peshawar
36.	ASI Momin Shah No.1245/P	CCP, Peshawar
37.	ASI Yahya Jan No.1246/P	Traffic, Peshawar.
38.	ASI Saif Ullah No.1247/P	Traffic, Peshawar CCP, Peshawar

Notification

39.	ASI Iftikhar Ahmmed No. 1248/P	CCP, Peshawar
40.	ASI Ijaz Ullah No.1249/P	CCP, Peshawar
41.	ASI Noor Saeed No.1251/P	CCP, Peshawar
42.	ASI Muhammad Rizq No.1252/P	CCP, Peshawar
43.	ASI Haji Rehman No.1253/P	CCP, Peshawar
44.	ASI Siraj No.1254/P	CCP, Peshawar
45.	ASI Nasim Akbar No. 1255/P	Traffic, Peshawar
46.	ASI Qalm Khan No.1256/P	CCP/ Traffic, Peshawar
47.	ASI Bakht Munir No.1257/P	CCP, Peshawar
48.	ASI Shamsbad Ali No.1258/P	CPC, Peshawar
49.	ASI Gul Muhammad No.1259/P	CCP, Peshawar
50.	ASI Qayyum Dad No.1260/P	CCP, Peshawar
51.	ASI Khalid Khan No.1261/P	CCP, Peshawar
52.	ASI Jehangir Khan No.1262	CCP, Peshawar
53.	ASI Sher Alam No.1263/P	Special Branch
54.	ASI Ihsan ul Haq No.1264/P	CCP/Traffic Peshawar
55.	ASI Hashmat Khan No.1265/P	Traffic, Peshawar
56.	ASI Wajid Ali No. 4:3	CCP, Peshawar
57.	ASI Muslim Khan No.1266/P	Elite Force KPK
58.	ASI Nasir ur Rehman No.1267/P	Traffic, Peshawar
59.	ASI Tariq Niaz No.1:68/P	CCP, Peshawar
60.	ASI Aurang Zeb No. 269/P	CCP, Peshawar
61.	ASI Gul Jalal No.1270/P	CCP, Peshawar
62.	ASI Javid Akhtar No 1271/P	CCP, Peshawar
63.	ASI Tila Muhammad No.1272/P	Traffic, Peshawar
64.	ASI Falak Taj No.1273/P	Special Branch
65.	ASI Murad Ali No.1274/P	CCP, Peshawar
66.	ASI Sajjad Ali No.1275/P	CCP, Peshawar
67.	ASI Khan Muhammad No.1276/P	CCP, Peshawar
68.	ASI Bakhtiar Khan No.1278/P	CCP, Peshawar
69.	ASI Shaukat Khan No.1280/P	CCP, Peshawar
70.	ASI Mukhtiar No.1281/P	CCP, Peshawar
71.	ASI Mushtaq No.1282/P	CCP, Peshawar
72.	ASI Mukamil Shah No. 1283/P	CCP, Peshawar
73.	ASI Muhammad Taric No.1284/P	CCP, Peshawar
74.	ASI Jamshaid No.1285/P	CCP, Peshawar
75.	ASI Shakir Ullah No.1286/P	CCP, Peshawar
76.	ASI Sultan Sher No.1287/P	CCP, Peshawar
77.	ASI Bakht Saeed No. 288/P	CCP, Peshawar
78.	ASI Jan Badshah No.1289/P	CCP, Peshawar
79.	ASI Shakar Ghayas No.1290/P	Special Branch
80.	ASI Shahid Rehman No.1291/P	CCP, Peshawar
81.	ASI Javed Khan No.1292/P	Motorway Police
82.	ASI Lalq Shah No.1293/P	CCP, Peshawar
83.	ASI Zulfiqar No.1294/P	CCP, Peshawar
84.	ASI Hassan Ali No.1295/P	CCP, Peshawar
85.	ASI Fazal Raziq No.1296/P	CCP, Peshawar
86.	ASI Muhammad Saeed No.1297/P	CPO/Operation Room
87.	ASI Wali Khan No.1298/P	CTD KPK
88.	ASI Irfan Ullah No.1299/P	CCP, Peshawar
		CCP, Peshawar



72



89.	ASI S. Mir Abu-ul Hassan No.1300/P	CCP, Peshawar
90.	ASI Maaz Ullah No.1301/P	Traffic, Peshawar
91.	ASI Iftikhar Ali No.1302/P	Charsadda
92.	ASI Abdullah Jan No. 1303/P	PTC Hangu
93.	ASI Zulfiqar Ali No.1304/P	Traffic, Peshawar
94.	ASI Alamgir No. 1305/P	Traffic, Peshawar
95.	ASI Muhammad Usman No.956/ 1328/P	CCP, Peshawar
96.	ASI Shah Jehan No.1307/P	CCP, Peshawar
97.	ASI Iqbal Shah No.1308/P	CCP, Peshawar
98.	ASI Ajmal Khan No. 1309/P	CCP, Peshawar
99.	ASI Malook Jan No.1310/P	CCP, Peshawar
100.	ASI Muhammad Iqbal No.1311/P	Traffic, Peshawar
101.	ASI Munawar Khan No. 1313/P (SI on ACB)	Traffic, Peshawar
102.	ASI Farid Gul No. 1318/P	CCP, Peshawar
103.	ASI Misal Khan No.1319/P	CCP, Peshawar
104.	ASI Muhammad Aftab No. 1320/P	CCP, Peshawar
105.	ASI Ilyas Khan No. 1321/P	CCP, Peshawar
106.	ASI Muhammad Rizaz No. 1322/P	CCP, Peshawar
107.	ASI Muhammad Javed No. 1323/P	PTC Hangu
108.	ASI Qazi Nisar Ahmad No. 1325/P	CCP, Peshawar
109.	ASI Abdus Sattar No. 746/P	Elite Force KPK
110.	PASI Fazal Hadi No. 747/P	Elite Force KPK
111.	ASI Aftab Khan No. 758/P	AIG Legal Branch CPO
112.	ASI Manzoor Khan No. 748/P	Operation Room CPO
113.	ASI Masood Khan No. 756/P	CCP, Peshawar
114.	ASI Muhammad Tahir No. 757/P	AIG Legal Branch CPO
115.	ASI Muhammad Umer No. 755/P	CCP, Peshawar
116.	ASI Tahir Ali No. 749/P	Charsadda
117.	ASI Wisal Khan No. 753/P	Elite Force KPK
118.	ASI Afzal Gul No. 760/P	CCP, Peshawar
119.	ASI Bismillah Jan No. 750/P	Charsadda
120.	ASI Muhammad Arif No. 754/P	CCP, Peshawar
121.	ASI Imran Ullah No.751/P	Charsadda
122.	ASI Rehmat Ullah Jan No. 759/P	CCP, Peshawar
123.	ASI Rizaz Ahmad No. 761/P	CCP, Peshawar
124.	ASI Afzal Khan No.766/P	CCP, Peshawar
125.	ASI Ihsan Ullah No.767/P	CCP, Peshawar
126.	ASI Bahar Ali No.769/P	Charsadda
127.	ASI Muhammad Arshid No. 770/P	CCP, Peshawar
128.	ASI Asif Khan No.771/P	Charsadda
129.	ASI Muhammad Rafique No. 772/P	CCP, Peshawar
130.	ASI Lalq Zada No.774/P	CCP, Peshawar
131.	ASI Irfan Ullah No.776/P	Charsadda
132.	ASI Wajid Khan No.777/P	CCP, Peshawar
133.	ASI Akhtar Hussain No.778/P	CCP, Peshawar
134.	ASI Ahmad Ullah Khan No. 797/P	CCP, Peshawar
135.	ASI Abdullah Jalal Khan No. 793/P	CCP, Peshawar
136.	ASI Nauman Khan No. 803/P	CCP, Peshawar
137.	ASI M.Mubarak Zeb Gul No.794/P	CCP, Peshawar
138.	ASI Imtiaz Ahmad No. 784/P	CCP, Peshawar


139. ASI Saeed Jan No. 795/P

CCP, Peshawar

Their posting will be issued separately.

The following ASIs have been deferred from promotion to the rank of offg: SIs reason mentioned against their names:-

S#	Rank, Name, & No	Place of posting	Reason
1.	ASI Dawa Noor No. 1111/P (SI on ACB)	Traffic, Peshawar	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR-2012/4 m.
2.	ASI Khalsta Khan No.1279/P	CCP, Peshawar	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR 2014.
3.	ASI Izzat Khan No.1306/P	Traffic, Peshawar	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR 2014.
4.	ASI Naveed Gul No.768/P	Nowshera	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR 2014 and also ab
5.	ASI Tehseen Ullah No.773/P	CCP, Peshawar	Deferred from promotion to the rank of offg to non availability of ACR 2014.

  
CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER,  
PESHAWAR.

No. 13686-13706/EC-I, dated Peshawar the, 24-7 /2015.

the:-

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action

1. Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, With request that the newly promoted Offg: SIs of CCP, Peshawar now deputation to the District/units mentioned against each may be repatriated to CCP, Peshawar for further posting at Traffic, Warder system please.
2. Inspector General of Police, NH & Motorway Police Islamabad.
3. Addl: Inspector General of Police Investigation, KPK, Peshawar.
4. Addl: Inspector General of Police Special Branch, KPK, Peshawar.
5. Addl: Inspector General of Police Elite Force KPK, Peshawar.
6. Deputy Inspector General of Police CTD KPK, Peshawar.
7. Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region.
8. Commandant Police Training College Hangu.
9. Commandant CPC University Campus, Peshawar.
10. Director Anti Corruption Establishment KPK.
11. SSSP/Operations, Investigation & Traffic, Peshawar.
12. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Logistic Headquarter, Sector-H/11, Islamabad.
13. District Police Officers, Nowshera & Charsadda.
14. District Police Officers, Nowshera & Charsadda.
15. EC-II, PO & AS.





24  
ANNEX  
OFFICE OF THE  
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,  
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE,  
PESHAWAR.

No. 2402 - E-III

dt. 31-08-2015

To The Capital City Police Officer,  
Peshawar.

Subject:- Minutes of the 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> August 2015.

Please refer to Minutes of PPB-18, vide Endst No. 1598-1650/PA, dated 28.08.2015.

During the PPB meeting, it was unanimously agreed that a separate standing order may be issued for streamlining the affairs of Traffic Wardens that will address issues such as selection, deputation, training and promotion etc. of Traffic Wardens. The Board decided that till permanent recruitment of traffic wardens, seats will be filled temporary deputations. However, they will not get promoted on the seats sanctioned for traffic wardens nor will claim seniority if they are posted on a senior rank in traffic warden. On repatriation to parent district, they will be repatriated in their substantive ranks.

2. The Board took serious note of the recent promotions of SIs by CCPO on the posts of Traffic Wardens. They Board directed that since these seats belong to the traffic wardens, these promotions should be cancelled with immediate effect.

3. It is therefore, requested that action about Para-2 above of PPB may be taken forthwith and report be communicated to this office please.

(ASIF IQBAL MOMAND)  
AIG/Establishment,  
For Provincial Police Officer,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

No. 2403-4 /E-III

Copy to the:-

- DIG HQrs, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
  - PSO to IGP, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
-



25



ANNEX

OFFICE OF THE  
CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER,  
PESHAWAR.

Phone No.091-9210641 Fax No.0919212597

No. 16570

/EC-I, dated Peshawar the 06/1/2015

ORDER,

In pursuance of 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015 duly approved by Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar issued vide DIG/HQrs: Letter Endst: No.1598-1650/PA/DIG/HQrs:, dated 28.08.2015 & AIG Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Letter No.2404/E-II, dated 31.08.2015, the promotion Notification of 139 promoted Offg: Sub-Inspectors issued vide this office Notification No.13685-13706/EC-I, dated 24.07.2015 is hereby cancelled.

(Mubarak Zeb)PSP  
Capital city Police Officer,  
Peshawar.

No. 16571-94 /EC-I,


to the:-

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Inspector General of Police, NH & Motorway Police, Islamabad.
3. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Investigation KPK, Peshawar.
4. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Special Branch KPK, Peshawar.
5. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Elite Force KPK, Peshawar.
6. Deputy Inspector General of Police, CTD KPK, Peshawar.
7. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region.
8. Commandant Police Training College Hangu.
9. Commandant CPC, university Campus, Peshawar.
10. Director Anti-Corruption Establishment KPK.
11. SSSP/Operation, Investigation & Traffic, Peshawar.
12. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Logistic Headqaurter, Sector-H/11, Islamabad.
13. District Police Officers Charsadda & Nowshera.
14. EC-II, PO, AS CC & Computer Cell.

27

4-9-15



W.P.No. 3113-P/2015

1. Muhammad Israr, ASI No.506/NSR, CTD KPK.
2. Muhammad Sher, ASI No.1561, CPP, Peshawar.
3. Bahar Ahmad, ASI No.1129/P, Charsadda/ Upper College Course.
4. Jamshid Khan, ASI No.1163/P, Nowshera/ Upper College Course
5. Inayat-ur-Rehman, ASI No.1168/P, Nowshera.
6. Muhammad Alam, ASI No.1181/P, Nowshera.
7. Masood Jan, ASI No.584/P, Charsadda
8. Hidayat Ullah, ASI No.1148/P, Charsadda
9. Murad Ali, ASI No.1329/P, Charsadda
10. Muhammad Iqbal, ASI No.1218/P, CCP, Peshawar
11. Muhammad Gul, ASI No.1219/P, Special Branch
12. Sartaj, ASI No.12/P, CCP, Peshawar
13. Ghulam Hussain, ASI No.1221/P, Special Branch
14. Hayat Gul, ASI No.1222/P, Special Branch
15. Humayun Khan, ASI No.1223/P, Traffic, Peshawar
16. Fida Muhammad, ASI No.1224/P, CCP, Peshawar
17. Subhan Ullah, ASI No.1225/P, Traffic, Peshawar
18. Khalid Khan, ASI No.1227/P, CCP, Peshawar
19. Hamid Rauf Khan, ASI No.1228/P, Special Branch
20. Sartaj Khan, ASI No.1229/P, CCP, Peshawar
21. Wasif-ur-Rehman, ASI No.1230/P, Traffic, Peshawar
22. Sardar Hussain, ASI No.1231/P, CTD KPK
23. Farid Khan, ASI No.1232/P, CCP, Peshawar
24. Tehseen Ullah, ASI No.1233/P, CCP, Peshawar


ATTESTED

09 SEP 2015

ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court  
20 DEC 2018

- 27
25. Yasin Gul, ASI No.1224/P, Traffic, Peshawar
  26. Atta Ullah, ASI No.3/P SI on ACB, Reader SSP/ Opt;
  27. Sajjad Ahmed, ASI No.1236/P, CCP, Peshawar
  28. Wajid Ali, ASI No.1237/P, CCP, Peshawar
  29. Khial Muhammad, ASI No.1238/P, Anti-corruption
  30. Jawad Hussain, ASI No.1240/P, Islamabad Police
  31. Rikhmeen, ASI No.1239/P, CCP, Peshawar
  32. Jehan Zeb, ASI No.1241/P, Special Brach
  33. Abdul Wali, ASI No.1242/P, Traffic, Peshawar
  34. Nowsherawan, ASI No.1243/P, CCP, Peshawar
  35. Zahir Shah, ASI No.1244/P, CCP Peshawar
  36. Momin Shah, ASI No.1245/P, Traffic Peshawar
  37. Yahya Jan, ASI No.1246/P, Traffic Peshawar
  38. Saif Ullah, ASI No.1247/P, CCP, Peshawar
  39. Iftikhar Ahmed, ASI No.1248/P, CCP, Peshawar
  40. Ijaz Ullah, ASI No.1249/P, CCP, Peshawar
  41. Noor Saeed, ASI No.1251/P, CCP, Peshawar
  42. Muhammad Riaz, ASI No.1252/P, CCP, Peshawar
  43. Haji Rehman, ASI No.1253/P, CCP, Peshawar
  44. Siraj, ASI No.1254/P, Traffic, Peshawar
  45. Nasim Akbar, ASI No.1255/P, CCP/ Traffic, Peshawar
  46. Qaim Khan, ASI No.1256/P, CCP, Peshawar
  47. Bakht Munir, ASI No.1257/P, CCP, Peshawar
  48. Shamshad Ali, ASI No.1258/P, CCP, Peshawar
  49. Gul Muhammad, ASI No.1259/P, CCP, Peshawar
  50. Qayyum Dad, ASI No.1260/P, CCP, Peshawar
  51. Khalid Khan, ASI No.1261/P, CCP, Peshawar
  52. Jehangir Khan, ASI No.1262, Special Branch
  53. Sher Alam, ASI No.1263/P, CCP/ Traffic, Peshawar
  54. Ihsan-ul-Haq, ASI No.1264/P, Traffic, Peshawar
  55. Hashmat Khan, ASI No.1265/P, CCP, Peshawar
  56. Wajid Ali, ASI No.473, Elite Force KPK



  
ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court  
09 SEP 2015  
20 DEC 2016

- 28
57. Muslim Khan, ASI No.1266/P, Traffic, Peshawar
  58. Nasif-ur-Rehman, ASI No.1267/P, CCP, Peshawar
  59. Tariq Niaz, ASI No.1268/P, CCP, Peshawar
  60. Aurang Zeb, ASI No.1269/P, CCP, Peshawar
  61. Gul Jalal, ASI No.1270/P, CCP, Peshawar
  62. Javid Akhtar, ASI No.1271/P, Traffic Peshawar
  63. Tila Muhammad, ASI No.1272/P, Special Branch
  64. Falak Taj, ASI No.1273/P, CCP, Peshawar
  65. Murad Ali, ASI No.1274/P, CCP, Peshawar
  66. Sajjad Ali, ASI No.1275/P, CCP, Peshawar
  67. Khan Muhammad, ASI No.1276/P, CCP, Peshawar
  68. Bakhtiar Khan, ASI No.1278/P, CCP, Peshawar
  69. Shaukat Khan, ASI No.1280/P, CCP, Peshawar
  70. Mukhtiar, ASI No.1281/P, CCP, Peshawar
  71. Mushtaq ASI No.1282/P, CCP, Peshawar
  72. Mukamil Shah, ASI No.1283/P, CCP, Peshawar
  73. Muhammad Tariq, ASI No.1284/P, CCP, Peshawar
  74. Jamshaid, ASI No.1285/P, CCP, Peshawar
  75. Shakir Ullah, ASI No.1286/P, CCP, Peshawar
  76. Sultan Sher, ASI No.1287/P, CCP, Peshawar
  77. Bakht Saeed, ASI No.1288/P, CCP, Peshawar
  78. Jan Badshah, ASI No.1289/P, Special Branch
  79. Shakar Ghayas, ASI No.1290/P, CCP, Peshawar
  80. Shahid Rehman, ASI No.1291/P, Motorway Police
  81. Javed Khan, ASI No.1292/P, CCP, Peshawar
  82. Laiq Shah, ASI No.1293/P, CCP, Peshawar
  83. Zulfiqar, ASI No.1294/P, CCP, Peshawar
  84. Hassan Ali, ASI No.1295/, CCP, Peshawar P
  85. Fazal Raziq, ASI No.1296/P, CPO/ Operation Room
  86. Muhammad Saeed, ASI No.1297/P, CTD KPK
  87. Wali Khan, ASI No.1298/P, CCP, Peshawar
  88. Irfan Ullah, ASI No.1299/P, CCP, Peshawar

~~ATTESTED~~

ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court  
20/DEC/2016

- 89. S.Mir Abu-ul-Hassan, ASI No.1300/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 90. Maaz Ullah, ASI No.1301/P, Traffic, Peshawar
- 91. Iftikhar Ali, ASI No.1302/P, Charsadda
- 92. Abdullah Jan, ASI No.1303/P, PTC Hangu
- 93. Zulftiqar Ali, ASI No.1304/P, Traffic, Peshawar
- 94. Alamgir, ASI No.1305/P, Traffic, Peshawar
- 95. Muhammad Usman, ASI NO.956/1328/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 96. Shah Jehan, ASI No.1307/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 97. Iqbal Shah, ASI No.1309/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 98. Ajmal Khan, ASI No.1309/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 99. Malook Jan, ASI No.1310/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 100. Muhammad Iqbal, ASI No.1311/P, Traffic, Peshawar
- 101. Munawar Khan, ASI No.1313/P (SI on ACB), Traffic, Peshawar
- 102. Farid Gul, ASI No.1318/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 103. Misal Khan, ASI No.1319/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 104. Muhammad Aftab, ASI No.1320/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 105. Ilyas Khan, ASI No.1321/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 106. Muhammad Riaz, ASI No.1322/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 107. Muhammad Javed, ASI No.1323/P, PTC Hangu
- 108. Qazi Nisar Ahmad, ASI No.1325/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 109. Abdus Sattar, ASI No.746/P, Elite Force KPK
- 110. Fazal Hadi, PASI No.747/P, Elite Force, KPK
- 111. Aftab Khan, ASI No.758/P, AIG Legal Branch CPO
- 112. Manzoor Khan, ASI No.748/P, Operation Room CPO
- 113. Masood Khan, ASI No.756/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 114. Muhammad Tahir, ASI No.757/P, AIG Legal Branch CPO
- 115. Muhammad Umer, ASI No.755/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 116. Tahir Ali, ASI No.749/P, Charsadda
- 117. Wisal Khan, ASI No.753/P, Elite Force KPK
- 118. Afzal Gul, ASI No.760/P, CCP, Peshawar

ATTESTED  
 EXAMINER  
 Peshawar High Court  
 20 DEC 2016

29 JAN 2016



- 119. Bismillah Jan, ASI No.750/P, Charsadda
- 120. Muhammad Arif, ASI No.754/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 121. Imran Ullah, ASI No.751/P, Charsadda
- 122. Rehmat Ullah Jan, ASI No.759/P, Charsadda
- 123. Riaz Ahmad, ASI No.761/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 124. Afzal Khan, ASI No.766/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 125. Ihsan Ullah, ASI No.767/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 126. Bahar Ali, ASI No.769/P, Charsadda
- 127. Muhammad Arshid, ASI No.770/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 128. Asif Khan, ASI No.771/P, Charsadda
- 129. Muhammad Rafqiue, ASI No.772/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 130. Laiq Zada, ASI No.774/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 131. Irfan Ullah, ASI No.776/P, Charsadda
- 132. Wajid Khan, ASI No.777/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 133. Akhtar Hussain, ASI No.778/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 134. Ahmad Ullah Khan, ASI No.797/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 135. Abdullah Jalal Khan, ASI No.793/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 136. Nauman Khan, ASI No.803/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 137. M. Mubarak Zeb Gul, ASI No.794/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 138. Imtiaz Ahmad, ASI No.784/P, CCP, Peshawar
- 139. Saeed Jan, ASI No.795/P, CCP, Peshawar

.....Petitioners

Versus

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar
- 2. Inspector General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 3. A.I.G/ Establishment Central Police Office, Peshawar.
- 4. D.I.G Headquarters, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar

.....Respondents

ATTESTED  
 EXAMINER  
 Peshawar High Court  
 20 DEC 2016

ATTESTED

*[Signature]*

(12) 31

---

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF  
PAKISTAN, 1973

---

Prayer in Writ Petition:-

On acceptance of this writ petition an appropriate writ petition may please be issued declaring the petitioners as fit to hold the rank of Sub-Inspector, was duly considered by the Departmental Promotion Committee and validly promoted vide Notification dated 24.07.2015. The letter dated 31.08.2015, whereby direction were issued for the cancellation of the promotion of the petitioners and order dated 04.09.2015, whereby the promotion Notification dated 24.07.2015 is cancelled, is illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority and of no legal effect. The petitioners have even right to continue in the rank of Sub-Inspector, OR

Any other remedy deemed proper in the circumstances of the case and not specifically asked for may also be granted.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the petitioners are the Regular Employees of the K.P Police serving under the administration control of the Capital City Police, Peshawar.
2. That there are two categories of the petitioners for the Police Officers performing duties, the first


ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court  
20 DEC 2016


~~STAMP~~


20 SEP 2015

category are consists of the police officers promoted from the Rank of Constable and reached to the status of confirmed ASI of Police, while the second category are the police officers who were directly appointed as probationer ASI through Public Service Commission.

3. That Chapter-XIII of the Police Rules, 1934 deals with the promotion of the Police Officer from one rank to the other. Rule-XIII (10) deal with the promotion to the post of Sub-Inspector. Similarly under Rule-XIII (10) list (E) is prepared consisting of confirmed ASI, who were consider eligible for promotion to the posts of Sub-Inspectors. (Copy of the Rules/ Chapter-XIII is attached as Annexure "A")
4. That recently some of the employees' of the Police Department have filed W.P.No.3652-P/2014, wherein they have sought the direction to the respondents to finalize the seniority list of direct/ promotee/ Assistant Sub Inspector. The writ petition was disposed-off vide judgment and order dated 13.05.2015, when the respondents produced seniority list-(E) List ASI's of Capital City Police, Peshawar as it stood on 31.03.2015. (Copies of the judgment and order dated 13.05.2015 and seniority list dated 31.03.2015 are attached as Annexure "B" & "C")
5. That the petitioners were placed at the top of the seniority list and thus were considered eligible to be promoted to the post of Sub-Inspector.

  
 EXAMINER  
 Peshawar High Court  
 20 DEC 2016

  
 20 SEP 2015



(11)


6. That the names of the petitioners were placed before the Departmental Promotion Committee for promotion to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector, the Departmental Promotion Committee in its meeting held on 17.07.2015 considered them and when found fit were recommended for promotion. Accordingly vide Notification dated 24.07.2015 the petitioners were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector with immediate effect. (Copy of Notification dated 24.07.2015 is attached as Annexure "D")

7. That the Notification of promotion of the petitioners was implemented and has taken its effect.

8. That it is pertinent to point out here that presently there are no separate promotion rules in the different branches of the Police Department, throughout the officers from Regular Police are transferred to Traffic Branch etc. However, vide letter dated 31.08.2015 referring to minutes of the 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015, it as directed that the promotions of SI's should be immediately cancelled allegedly that these seat belong to traffic worden's. Accordingly vide Notification dated 04.09.2015 the promotion Notification dated 24.07.2015 of the petitioners as officiating Sub-Inspector is cancelled. (Copies of letters dated 31.08.2015 and 04.09.2015 are attached as Annexure "E" & "F")

9. That since the respondents have questioned their promotion primarily regarding their fitness for

**ATTESTED**  
**EXAMINER**  
 Rajasthan High Court  
 20 DEC 2015



07 SEP 2015

~~ATTESTED~~


(5) 34

promoting, as such having adequate remedy available in law is constrain to invoke the Constitutional jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court, inter alia, on the following grounds:-

GROUNDS

- A. That the petitioners have not been treated in accordance with law, hence their rights secured and guaranteed under the law and Constitution have been recklessly violated.
- B. That the petitioners were fit and eligible, were rightly promoted as Sub-Inspectors, the order whereby the promotion of the petitioners has been cancelled, is illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority and of no legal effect.
- C. That the letter dated 31.08.2015 is violative of the Police Rules 1934, therefore, no separate promotion rules in the Traffic Branch of the Police Department, therefore, cancelling the promotion of the petitioners allegedly on the ground that these post belongs to the Traffic Warden, is illegal and against the record.
- D. That the letter dated 31.08.2015 is self contradictory, that at present traffic branch has not been declare as separate cadre in the Police Department. Similarly the respondents have yet to frame Rules for forming separate rules for the Traffic Warden's.
- E. That the petitioners are not provided with right of hearing before cancelling the promotion order.
- F. That the law provide a separate mode for withdrawing the promotion of the Regular

ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court  
20 DEC 2016





(12) 35

Employees as instant case no such mode has been adopted, therefore, order cancelling the promotion of the petitioners is legally not sustainable.

G. That the petitioners seek permission of this Hon'ble Court to relay on additional grounds at the time of hearing of this petition.

It is, therefore, requested that on acceptance of this writ petition an appropriate writ petition may please be issued as prayed for. OR


Any other remedy, deemed proper in the circumstances of the case and not specifically asked fro may also be granted.

**INTERIM RELIEF**

That the respondents may please be restrained from giving effect to the order dated 04.09.2015 and filling the post of Sub-Inspectors till the decision of titled writ petition

  
Petitioners

Through

  
IJAZ ANWAR  
Advocate, Peshawar

**CERTIFICATE:**

Certified as per information furnished by petitioner that no such like writ petition has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Court.

  
Advocate


**LIST OF BOOKS:**

- 1) Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- 2) Law books as per need.

ATTESTED


EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court

20 DEC 2015

  
19 SEP 2015

36 ANNEX G

Judgment.  
**BEFORE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT**  
**PESHAWAR.**



Judicial Department.

Writ Petition No. 3113-P of 2015.

Muhammad Israr, ASI & others.....petitioners.

Vs

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others.....Respondents.

Date of hearing.....24<sup>th</sup> November, 2015.....

Petitioner(s) by MR. G. Z. Anwar, Advocate.....

Respondent(s) : Cont. by MR. Farooq Adam AAG.

**WAQAR AHMAD SETH, J:-** Muhammad Israr, ASI &

138 others have invoked the writ jurisdiction of this Court,  
under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of  
Pakistan, 1973, with the following prayers:-

“That on acceptance of this writ  
petition an appropriate writ may  
please be issued declaring the  
petitioners as fit to hold the rank of  
Sub-Inspector, was duly considered  
by the Departmental Selection  
Committee and validly promoted  
vide notification dated 24.07.2015.  
The letter dated 31.08.2015,  
whereby directions were issued for  
the cancellation of the promotion of  
the petitioners and order dated

TESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court  
20 DEC 2016

TESTED

37

71

04.09.2015, whereby the promotion notification dated 24.07.2015 is cancelled, is illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority and of no legal effect. The petitioners have even right to continue in the rank of sub-Inspector".

2. The averments made by the petitioners in the petition are that, the petitioners herein are of two categories. First category are consisting of those police officers who are promoted from the rank of Constables and reached to the status of confirmed ASI's, while the second category are the police officers, who were directly appointed as ASI's by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Public Service Commission. It is averred in the petition that prior to the instant writ petition a writ petition bearing No. 3652-P of 2014 was filed, wherein direction was sought to finalize the seniority list of the direct / promotee Assistant Sub Inspector and then promotion to the rank of Sub-Inspector on the basis of seniority cum-fitness was asked, which was disposed of on furnishing the said seniority list prepared under Rule-XIII (10) list (E) and according to the said list, petitioners were placed at the top of the seniority list, and thus were considered eligible for promotion to the post of Sub-Inspector; that on 17.07.2015, the meeting of Departmental

ATED  
NER  
igh Court  
C 2016

Handwritten signature and stamp at the bottom of the page.



Promotion Committee was held wherein petitioners were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector and accordingly notification dated 24.07.2015 was issued. It is further averred that at present there are no separate promotion rules in the different branches of police department, the officer from regular police are transferred to traffic police etc, but vide impugned letter dated 31.08.2015, referring to minutes of the 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board Meeting dated 13.08.2015, it was directed that the promotion of SI's should be cancelled immediately as, these posts belongs to traffic wardens, so vide impugned notification dated 04.09.2015, the promotion notification dated 24.07.2015 was cancelled.

3. Petitioners feeling aggrieved from the actions of respondents and having no other adequate and efficacious remedy have knocked the door of this Court through the petition in hand.

4. Comments were called from respondents, which they furnished, wherein they stated that the Departmental Promotion Committee had wrongly made recommendations for promotion of petitioners to the rank of SI, against the vacancies exclusively sanctioned and reserved for newly created Traffic

TESTED  
EXAMINER  
Lawyer High Court  
20 DEC 2016

*[Handwritten signature]*

38


Wardens Service unit of Police. Police Policy Board took notice of promotion of petitioners made against wrong post and decided that the promotion order shall be rescinded; that promotion against vacancies sanctioned and allotted to Traffic Warden Service confer no right on petitioners. It is well settled principle of law that wrong and void orders do not create any right. Further stated that Traffic Wardens Service was recently introduced, and Provincial Government accorded sanction of creation of separate strength for the said unit of Police. Respondent No.2 has promulgated standing order No. 5/2015 for regulating the recruitment and promotion of Traffic Warden Services Officer, therefore, the competent authorities correctly made decision of cancellation of the promotion of petitioners made against the vacancies of Traffic Warden Service.

5. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and available record perused with their valuable assistance.

6. Record is suggestive that being fit, competent and eligible for promotion, petitioners were duly considered and promoted vide promotion order dated 24.07.2015, as officiating Sub-Inspectors by the Departmental Promotion Committee. Respondents have not grudged their promotion on their

ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Hawar High Court  
20 DEC 2016

h.




eligibility, competency, fitness or otherwise. The notification dated 24.07.2015, whereby petitioners were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector, with immediate effect was also published in the police gazette part-II. The grievance started with a letter No. 2402-E III dated 31.08.2015, which in fact are the minutes of the 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board meeting held on 13.08.2015 and for the purposes of petitioner's case, the relevant portion i.e paragraph 2 & 3 reads as under:-

2. "The Board took serious note of the recent promotions of SIs by CCPO on the posts of Traffic Wardens. They Board directed that since these seats belong to the traffic warden, these promotions should be cancelled with immediate effect.

3. It is therefore, requested that action about Para-2 of PPB may be taken forthwith and report be communicated to this office please.

7. The above referred orders / directions of the alleged Police Policy Board was implemented vide order No. 16570 / EC-I dated, Peshawar 04.09.2015, issued by CCPO, Peshawar, which reads as under:-

TESTED  
AMINER  
for High Court  
0 DEC 2016




41  
6

"In pursuance of 13<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015 duly approved by Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar issued vide DIG / HQrs; Letter Endst: No. 1598-1650 / PA DIG / HQrs; dated 28.08.2015 & AIG Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Letter No. 2404/E-II, dated 31.08.2015, the promotion Notification of 139 promoted Offg. Sub-Inspectors issued vide this office Notification No. 13706/EC-I, dated 24.07.2015 is hereby cancelled".

8. The Police Policy Board, who issued the directions and in reference to those directions the subsequent order dated 4.9.2015, was issued, figures nowhere in the law. Learned counsel for the respondents / AAG alongwith departmental representative present in the court, was time and again directed to show that under what authority of law the Police Policy Board has been constituted and what are their functions, especially in reference to the Departmental Promotions Orders issued by the duly constituted Promotion Committee, but failed to produce so. We have gone through the Police Laws of Pakistan, but nowhere could locate the said Police Policy Board

ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court  
20 DEC 2016



and its functions or powers. On the face of it the impugned order / directions dated 31.8.2015 of Police Policy Board & subsequent order of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar dated 04.09.2015 are void ab initio as the same are not issued on the directions and on behalf of competent authority or lawful authority.

9. The void order as defined by Corpus Juris Secundum, in (Vol. 92 PP-1021-1024) is "Expression void in the strict or accurate sense means "absolute null" that is to say incapable of rectification or confirmation and of no effect whatever". The Supreme Court of Pakistan in the case of Chief Settlement Commissioner v Raja Muhammad Fazil Khan (PLJ 1975 SC 15) defined a void order as follows:-

As order is to be treated as void only when it is made by a court, tribunal or other authority which has no jurisdiction either as regards the subject matter, the pecuniary value or the territorial limits when the dispute arise. Such an order would amount to usurpation of power unwarranted by law and accordingly it would be nullity".

ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court  
20 DEC 2016

*[Handwritten mark]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

43



10. A void order was that order, which was passed by an authority not competent to pass it and in the instant case, when the Police Policy Board nowhere figures in the Administration Authority of the police department then its directions are prima facie void ab initio and are of no lawful authority, thus, the subsequent order dated 4.9.2015 of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar, regarding cancellation of earlier promotion order are also of no effect. In the case of Moulana Atta ur Rehman Vs Al-Hajj Sardar Umer Farooq and other reported in PLD 2008 SC 663 (b) it has been held as under:-

“---When the basic order is without lawful authority and void ab initio, then the entire superstructure raised thereon falls on the ground automatically”.

Again in the case of Mustafa Lakhani Vs Pakistan Defence Officer Housing Authority, Karachi, reported in 2008 SCMR, 661 (b) it has been held:-

“---Subsequent orders passed on basis of void order---Legal effect stated. If on the basis of a void order subsequent orders have been passed either by the same authority or by other authorities, the whole series of such orders together with

ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Peshawar High Court  
2.0 DEC 2016

*[Handwritten signature]*  
**ATTESTED**

the posts of Traffic Wardens, whereas, a glance over the promotion order would show that in all 139 ASI's were promoted as officiating Sub-Inspectors out of which only 17 have been posted against the traffic post, which even otherwise are inter-transferable, hence the reasons given for withdrawal / cancellation is not legal nor lawful.

13. Learned counsel for the respondents strongly objected to the maintainability of writ petition in view of Article 212 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973; the non availing of departmental remedy / alternate remedy by way of departmental appeal and that officiating Sub Inspectors promotion do not confer a right as the same promotion was officiating only.

14. The plea of officiating promotion is not correct because in the police hierarchy there is a cadre of officiating Sub Inspectors from the "E" list of ASI's and the officiating is not in the sense as is otherwise used in the civil servant promotions. As regarding the objections of maintainability of writ petition and the cancellation of promotion, as per learned AAG, pertains to terms and conditions of service, therefore, the petitioners being civil servants were supposed to have

TESTED  
 XAMINER  
 awar High Court  
 20 DEC 2016



45  
SA

the superstructure of rights and obligations built upon them, must unless some statute or principle or law recognizing as legal the change position of the parties is in operation, would fall to the ground, because such orders have as little legal foundation as the void order".

11. In the Police Department, right from Police Rules, 1934, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Police Rules, 1975, Police Order, 2002 and all the relevant provision of departmental law / rules / regulations for promotion purposes, the Departmental Promotion Committee is the relevant and competent for the purpose of promotions and as such the same authority has the powers to rescind / withdraw or cancel the same, therefore, where under the rules, regulations and policy have been framed for regulating promotions, any breach or deviation from them for malafide reasons or due to arbitrary act of the competent authority would entitled an aggrieved employee to challenge the same in the Court of law. Reliance in this respect is place on

PLD 2003 SC 175 (d).

12. The perusal of impugned directions dated 31.8.2015 would reveal that the reasons for cancellation of promotions of the petitioners was that, they were promoted on

TESTED  
KAMINER  
Punjab High Court  
20 DEC 2016


SA



challenged the impugned orders before Service Tribunal under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Service Tribunal Act, 1974, by availing first the remedy of departmental appeal, which even otherwise, was mandatory before filing the writ petition by way of alternate remedy by relying on PLD 1997 SC 351, 1990 SCMR, 1238, 2015 SCMR, 253, 2002 SCMR 549 and 2002 PLC (CS) 244.

15. We have given our anxious consideration to the proposition and are of the view that an appeal under section 4 of the Service Tribunal Act, 1974, lies against an order passed by a "Departmental Authority" in respect of any of the terms and conditions of service and in the explanation given to the said section 4 the word "Departmental Authority" means an authority other than a tribunal, which is "competent" to make an order in respect of any of the terms and conditions of civil servant. In the instant case as pointed out earlier, that the impugned orders / directions issued by Police Policy Board are void, of no lawful authority as the Police Policy Board is not a Departmental Authority as defined in the section *ibid*. Same is the condition for departmental representation. Since the impugned orders are of no lawful authority or even no authority

TESTED  
EXAMINER  
Lawyer High Court  
20 DEC 2016



of the department for the purpose of promotions, service discipline etc hence without availing the departmental remedy writ is competent and maintainable. In the case of Collector of Custom Valuation and another Vs Karachi Bulk storage and terminals Ltd. reported in 2007 SCMR 1357, (a) it has

been held that:-

“---Art. 199---Constitutional petition before High Court---Maintainability ---Impugned order found to be illegal, contrary to law or void ab initio---Remedies under departmental hierarchy, non-availing of---Aggrieved party in such case would be entitled to invoke constitutional jurisdiction of High Court”.

16. In such like cases, as the present one where an order has been passed by an authority whose existence is doubtful alongwith powers and functions, not explained anywhere, then the availability of adequate remedy would not be an absolute bar against the exercise of constitutional jurisdiction of this Court. In exceptional cases, like the present one, this Court can entertain the constitutional petition directly, therefore, the objection in this regard is spurned. Even

STED  
SINER  
High Court  
DEC 2016

otherwise, the High Court was competent to interfere such provision of law in exercise of constitutional jurisdiction where the competency of the authority is in question. In the case of Farzand Raza Naqvi and 5 others Vs Muhammad Din and others, reported in 2004 SCMR, 400, it has been held that "if an order impugned is a void order or it has been passed without lawful jurisdiction, the non availing of alternate remedy of appeal against such order would not bar High Court to proceed in constitutional jurisdiction and declare such orders as without lawful authority, rule that High Court should not entertain constitutional petition and adjudicate the matter in a constitutional jurisdiction in which remedy of appeal, review or revision is applicable, under the statute, is not an absolute rule and in exceptional cases, the strict observations of the rule that extra ordinary remedy of constitutional petition cannot be availed in a matter in which relief being sought under Article 199 of the Constitution could be granted by way of appeal, review or revision may cause in justice in substance, therefore, application of such rule would depend on facts and circumstances of each case".

ESTED

WINER  
High Court

DEC 2016

Likewise, in similar situation the Apex Court in the case  
of Brig Muhammad Bashir Vs Abdul Karim and others

reported in PLD 2004 SC 271, (d) has held that:-

“---Art. 199---Constitutional jurisdiction of High Court, exercise o---Principles object and scope--- Articles 199 of the Constitution casts an obligations on High Court to act in aid of law, protect the rights of citizens within the framework of the Constitution against infringement or law and constitution by the Executive Authorities, strike a rational compromise and a fair balance between the rights of citizens and auctions of State functionaries, claimed to be in the larger interest of Society---Such power is conferred on High Court, under the Constitution and is to be exercised subject to Constitutional limitations---Provision of Art. 199 of the Constitution is intended to enable High Court to control executive so as to bring it in conformity with the law--- Whenever the executive acts in violation of law, an appropriate order can be granted which can relieve the citizens of the effects of illegal action---Relief can be granted to the citizens of the country under Art. 199 of the Constitutions, against infringement of any provisions of law or of the Constitutions as it is an omnibus Article---If the citizens of the country are deprived of the guarantee given to them under the constitution, illegally or not in accordance with law, then provision

50

31

of Art. 199 of the constitution can always be invoked for redress--- Paramount consideration in exercise of constitutional jurisdiction is to foster justice and right a wrong---So long as statutory bodies and Executive Authorities act without fraud and bona fides within the powers conferred on them by the statute, the judiciary cannot interfere with them---Ample power is vested in High Court to issue directions to an Executive Authority when such an Authority is not exercising its power bona fide for the purpose contemplated by the law or is influenced by extraneous and irrelevant considerations---Where a statutory functionary acts mala fide or in a partial, unjust and oppressive manner, High Court in exercise of its constitutional jurisdiction has ample power to grant relief to the aggrieved party”.

17. Lastly learned AAG while relying on 2013 SCMR, 1752, contended that perpetual rights cannot be claimed on an illegal order, therefore, the authority cancelled the promotion orders of the petitioners and the same cannot be granted as of right. Indeed, perpetual rights cannot be claimed on an illegal order, but in the instant case, the order of promotion dated 24.07.2015 is quite legal and lawful, because the same has been issued with the approval by of Departmental Promotion Committee, by the Competent Authority and

h.



ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN  
(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

PRESENT: MR. JUSTICE MIAN SAQIB NISAR, CJ  
MR. JUSTICE UMAR ATA BANDA  
MR. JUSTICE WAZ UL AMAN

CIVIL PETITION NO. 24-P OF 2018  
For appeal against the judgment dated  
21.11.2015 of the Revenue Tribunal  
Fazalpur passed in W.P. No. 31137/2015

Govt. of K.P. through Chief Secretary, Peshawar  
& others

Petitioner(s)

Muhammad Israr & others

Respondent

Respondent(s)

For the Petitioner(s):

Mr. Zahid Yousaf Qureshi, Addl. AG  
Jabangir Khan, SI, PTC Hazara

For Respondents 1,2,7:

Mr. Naweed Akhtar, AG

Other Respondents

N.R.

Date of Hearing:

26.01.2018

ORDER

MIAN SAQIB NISAR, CJ - Learned counsel for Respondents No. 1, 2 and 7 states that he has no objection if the impugned judgment is set aside. However, his writ petition be sent to the department as an appeal or representation under the law. Learned Additional Advocate General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa also states that he has no objection to that effect. In the light of the above, this petition is converted into appeal and allowed and the impugned judgment is set aside in the terms noted above. The department is directed to decide the appeal/representation of the respondents within a period of one month.



Sd/- Mian Saqib Nisar  
Sd/- Umar Ata Banda  
Sd/- Waz ul Aman

Entered to be

Am. 3. 10

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN**  
**(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)**

Present: MR. JUSTICE MIAN SAQIB NISAR  
MR. JUSTICE UMAR ATA BANDIAL  
MR. JUSTICE IJAZ UL AHSAN

**CIVIL PETITION NO.34-P OF 2016**

(On appeal against the judgment dated  
24.11.2015 of the Peshawar High Court  
Peshawar, passed in W.P. No.3113-P/2015)

Govt. of K.P through Chief Secretary, Peshawar.....**Petitioners**

**V E R S U S**

Muhammad Israr & others.....**Respondents**

For Petitioner (s): Mr. Zahid Yousaf Qureshi, Addl. AG  
Jahangir Khan, SI, PTC Hangu,

For Respondents 1,2,7: Mr. Naveed Akhtar, ASC

Other Respondents: N.R.

Date of hearing: 26.01.2018

**MIAN SAIQB NISAR, CJ.**—Learned counsel for Respondents No.1, 2 and 7 states that he has no objection if the impugned judgment is set aside. However, his Writ Petition be sent to the department as an appeal or representation under the law, Learned Additional Advocate General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, also states that the has no objection to that effect, in the light of the above, this petition is converted into appeal and allowed and the impugned judgment is set aside in the terms noted above. The department is directed to decide the appeal/representation of the respondents within a period of one month.



Sd/- Mian Saqib Nisar J  
Sd/- Umar Ata Bandial, J  
Sd/- Ijaz ul Ahsan J



POWER OF ATTORNEY

In the Court of Benial Tribunal kpk Peshawar

Masood Khan } For  
} Plaintiff  
} Appellant  
} Petitioner  
} Complainant

VERSUS

Sgt-7 kpk and others } Defendant  
} Respondent  
} Accused  
}

Appeal/Revision/Suit/Application/Petition/Case No. \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_  
Fixed for \_\_\_\_\_

I/W, the undersigned, do hereby nominate and appoint

ZARAJ ANWAR ADVOCATE, my true and lawful attorney, for me in my same and on my behalf to appear at \_\_\_\_\_ to appear, plead, act and answer in the above Court or any Court to which the business is transferred in the above matter and is agreed to sign and file petitions. An appeal, statements, accounts, exhibits. Compromise or other documents whatsoever, in connection with the said matter or any matter arising there from and also to apply for and receive all documents or copies of documents, depositions etc, and to apply for and issue summons and other writs or sub-poena and to apply for and get issued and arrest, attachment or other executions, warrants or order and to conduct any proceeding that may arise there out; and to apply for and receive payment of any or all sums or submit for the above matter to arbitration, and to employ any other Legal Practitioner authorizing him to exercise the power and authorizes hereby conferred on the Advocate wherever he may think fit to do so, any other lawyer may be appointed by my said counsel to conduct the case who shall have the same powers.

AND to all acts legally necessary to manage and conduct the said case in all respects, whether herein specified or not, as may be proper and expedient.

AND I/we hereby agree to ratify and confirm all lawful acts done on my/our behalf under or by virtue of this power or of the usual practice in such matter.

PROVIDED always, that I/we undertake at time of calling of the case by the Court/my authorized agent shall inform the Advocate and make him appear in Court, if the case may be dismissed in default, if it be proceeded ex-parte the said counsel shall not be held responsible for the same. All costs awarded in favour shall be the right of the counsel or his nominee, and if awarded against shall be payable by me/us

IN WITNESS whereof I/we have hereto signed at \_\_\_\_\_  
the \_\_\_\_\_ day to \_\_\_\_\_ the year \_\_\_\_\_  
Executant/Executants \_\_\_\_\_  
Accepted subject to the terms regarding fee \_\_\_\_\_

Zartaj Anwar

Advocate High Courts

ADVOCATES, LEGAL ADVISORS, SERVICE & LABOUR LAW CONSULTANT  
FR-3-4, Fourth Floor, Bilour Plaza, Saddar Road, Peshawar Cantt  
Ph.091-5272154 Mobile-0331-9399185

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL  
PESHAWAR.**

**Service Appeal No. 715/2018.**

Masood Khan No.756/P ASI CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

**VERSUS.**

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

**Reply on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 2, 3&4.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:-**

**PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.**

1. That the appeal is badly time barred.
2. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
3. That the appellant has not come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant has no cause of action.
5. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
6. That the appellant concealed the material facts from Honorable Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has got no locus standi and cause of action to file the instant appeal.

**FACTS:-**

- 1- Para relates to record hence needs no comments.
- 2- Para No. 2 is for the petitioner to prove.
- 3- Para No. 3 is admitted correct.
- 4- Para No. 4 is legal, hence no comments.
- 5- Para No. 5 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 7-Para No. 7 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 8-Para No. 8 pertains to record, hence needs no comments.
- 9-Para No.9 is incorrect. Actually Traffic Warden is a separate Establishment, and appellant alongwith his other colleagues were not entitled for promotion over the sanctioned seats of other establishment i.e. Traffic Warden therefore their promotion order being unlawfully passed on the vacant seats of other unit was cancelled vide order Endst: No. 16570/EC-I dated 04.09.2015 in pursuance of 18<sup>th</sup>

Police Policy Board (PPB) meeting held on 13.08.2015 in the best interest of the department.

10-Para No. 10 is incorrect. The order dated 24.11.2015 passed by the Hon'able Court was without Jurisdiction which was challenged in the Apex Court, by filing CPLA through Law Department. The Supreme Court of Pakistan subsequently vide judgment dated 26.01.2018 set aside order of the Hon'ble Court, converted the petition into department appeal and remanded back to respondent department with the direction to decide the same in one month.

11-Para No. 11 is correct as per record.

12-Para is incorrect. The appeal was decided within stipulated period of one month and their appeal was rejected vide order dated 21.02.2018 by respondent department. (Copy annexed)

13-Para No. 13 is incorrect. Order issued on 04.09.2015 was lawful and based on genuine grounds.

**GROUND:-**

- A- Incorrect. The appellant was treated as per law/rules, no provision of law has been violated.
- B- Incorrect. Para already explained in above para's, and no right of appellant has been violated.
- C- Incorrect. As already explained in the above para that traffic warden is a separate establishment functioning under the command of DIG/Traffic and promotion over the vacant posts of warden was inadvertently made which after due deliberation was later on cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015 by the respondent department.
- D- Para is repetition of Para C.
- E- Incorrect. The appellant was provided full opportunity of personal hearing.
- F- Incorrect. The appellant was legally treated as per law and rules.
- G- That respondent may also be allowed to advance any additional ground at the time of hearing of the appeal.

**PRAYERS:-**

It is therefore most humbly prayed that in light of above facts, submissions the appeal of the appellant devoid of merits, legal footing may be set aside/ dismissed.




**Provincial Police Officer,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.**



**Deputy Inspector General of Police,  
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**



**Capital City Police Officer,  
Peshawar.**



**AIG Establishment,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL**  
**PESHAWAR.**

**Service Appeal No. 715/2018.**

Masood Khan ASI No.756/P CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

**VERSUS.**

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

**AFFIDAVIT**

We respondents No. 1 ,2,3 & 4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the written reply are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has concealed/kept secret from this Honorable Tribunal.



**Provincial Police Officer,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.**



**Deputy Inspector General of Police,  
HQrs:KhyberPakhtunkhwa,Peshawar.**



**Capital City Police Officer,  
Peshawar.**

AT - 03/09/18

**AIG Establishment,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,Peshawar.**

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

In the matter of  
Appeal No. 715/2018

Masood Khan ASI No. 756/P CCP, Peshawar.....  
**(Appellant)**

**VERSUS**

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others.  
**(Respondents)**

**REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT**

*Respectfully submitted:*

The appellant submit his rejoinder as under:

**Preliminary Objections:**

1. That the present appeal is well within time.
2. That all the necessary parties are included in the appeal.
3. That the appellant has come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant got cause of action against the respondents.
5. That no rule of estoppel applies to present appeal.
6. That the appellant has not concealed any thing from this Hon;able Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has locus standi and got cause of action to file the instant appeal and is maintainable in its present form.

**Facts of the Case:**

1. Contents of para 1 of the appeal are correct hence no comments.

2. Contents of para 2 needs no comments. More over the service record shows that the appellatant has performed his duty honestly and without any complaint till date.
3. Contents of para 3 needs no comments.
4. Contents of para 4 needs no comments.
5. Contents of para 5 needs no comments. Furthermore the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
7. Contents of para 7 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
8. Contents of para 8 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
9. Contents of para 9 is incorrect hence denied. It is pertinent to point out that presently there is no separate promotion rules in the different branches of the Police Department, nor the respondents have created different cadre for appellatant or framed separate rules for the appellatant.
10. Contents of para 10 needs no comments.
11. Contents of para 11 needs no comments.
12. Contents of para 12 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.
13. Contents of para 13 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.

**Grounds of Appeal:**


- i. Para A of the appeal is correct, the appellatant have not been treated in accordance with law, his rights secured and guaranteed under the law are badly violated.
- ii. Para B of the appeal is correct.
- iii. Para C of the appeal is correct.

- iv. Para D of the appeal is correct.
- v. Para E of the appeal is correct.
- vi. Para F of the appeal is correct.
- vii. That the appellant seeks the permission of this honorable tribunal to rely on additional grounds at the hearing of this appeal.

It is, therefore, prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.


  
Appellant

Through

  
ZARTAJ ANWAR  
Advocate Peshawar

AFFIDAVIT

I do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above rejoinder as well as appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.



  
Deponent



**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA**  
**SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

In the matter of  
Appeal No. 715/2018

Masood Khan ASI No. 756/P CCP, Peshawar.....  
**(Appellant)**

**VERSUS**

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others.  
**(Respondents)**

**REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT**

*Respectfully submitted:*

The appellant submit his rejoinder as under:

**Preliminary Objections:**

1. That the present appeal is well within time.
2. That all the necessary parties are included in the appeal.
3. That the appellant has come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant got cause of action against the respondents.
5. That no rule of estoppel applies to present appeal.
6. That the appellant has not concealed any thing from this Hon;able Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has locus standi and got cause of action to file the instant appeal and is maintainable in its present form.

**Facts of the Case:**

1. Contents of para 1 of the appeal are correct hence no comments.

2. Contents of para 2 needs no comments. More over the service record shows that the appellant has performed his duty honestly and without any complaint till date.
3. Contents of para 3 needs no comments.
4. Contents of para 4 needs no comments.
5. Contents of para 5 needs no comments. Furthermore the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
7. Contents of para 7 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
8. Contents of para 8 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
9. Contents of para 9 is incorrect hence denied. It is pertinent to point out that presently there is no separate promotion rules in the different branches of the Police Department, nor the respondents have created different cadre for appellant or framed separate rules for the appellant.
10. Contents of para 10 needs no comments.
11. Contents of para 11 needs no comments.
12. Contents of para 12 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.
13. Contents of para 13 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.

**Grounds of Appeal:**

- i. Para A of the appeal is correct, the appellant have not been treated in accordance with law, his rights secured and guaranteed under the law are badly violated.
- ii. Para B of the appeal is correct.
- iii. Para C of the appeal is correct.

- iv. Para D of the appeal is correct.
- v. Para E of the appeal is correct.
- vi. Para F of the appeal is correct.
- vii. That the appellant seeks the permission of this honorable tribunal to rely on additional grounds at the hearing of this appeal.

It is, therefore, prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

**Appellant**

Through



**ZARTAJ ANWAR**

Advocate Peshawar

**AFFIDAVIT**

I do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above rejoinder as well as appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Deponent

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA**  
**SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

In the matter of  
Appeal No. 715/2018

Masood Khan ASI No. 756/P CCP, Peshawar.....  
**(Appellant)**

**VERSUS**

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others.  
**(Respondents)**

**REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT**

*Respectfully submitted:*

The appellant submit his rejoinder as under:

**Preliminary Objections:**

1. That the present appeal is well within time.
2. That all the necessary parties are included in the appeal.
3. That the appellant has come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant got cause of action against the respondents.
5. That no rule of estoppel applies to present appeal.
6. That the appellant has not concealed any thing from this Hon;able Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has locus standi and got cause of action to file the instant appeal and is maintainable in its present form.

**Facts of the Case:**

1. Contents of para 1 of the appeal are correct hence no comments.

2. Contents of para 2 needs no comments. More over the service record shows that the appellant has performed his duty honestly and without any complaint till date.
3. Contents of para 3 needs no comments.
4. Contents of para 4 needs no comments.
5. Contents of para 5 needs no comments. Furthermore the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
7. Contents of para 7 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
8. Contents of para 8 needs no comments. Further more the relevant record is attached with the service appeal.
9. Contents of para 9 is incorrect hence denied. It is pertinent to point out that presently there is no separate promotion rules in the different branches of the Police Department, nor the respondents have created different cadre for appellant or framed separate rules for the appellant.
10. Contents of para 10 needs no comments.
11. Contents of para 11 needs no comments.
12. Contents of para 12 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.
13. Contents of para 13 is incorrect hence denied, and that of the appeal is correct.

**Grounds of Appeal:**

- i. Para A of the appeal is correct, the appellant have not been treated in accordance with law, his rights secured and guaranteed under the law are badly violated.
- ii. Para B of the appeal is correct.
- iii. Para C of the appeal is correct.

- iv. Para D of the appeal is correct.
- v. Para E of the appeal is correct.
- vi. Para F of the appeal is correct.
- vii. That the appellant seeks the permission of this honorable tribunal to rely on additional grounds at the hearing of this appeal.

It is, therefore, prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

**Appellant**

Through



**ZARTAJ ANWAR**

Advocate Peshawar

**AFFIDAVIT**

I do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above rejoinder as well as appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Deponent

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.**

**Service Appeal No. 715/2018.**

Masood Khan ASI No. 756/P of CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

**VERSUS.**

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

**Reply on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 2, 3 & 4.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:-**

**PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.**

1. That the appeal is badly time barred.
2. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
3. That the appellant has not come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant has no cause of action.
5. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
6. That the appellant concealed the material facts from Honorable Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has got no locus standi and cause of action to file the instant appeal.

**FACTS:-**

- 1- Para relates to record hence needs no comments.
- 2- Para No. 2 is for the appellant to prove.
- 3- Para No. 3 is admitted correct.
- 4- Para No. 4 is legal, hence no comments.
- 5- Para No. 5 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 6- Para No. 6 is incorrect. In fact the appellant along with others were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub Inspector vide Notification No.3682/EC dated 24.07.2015. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of the decision made in 18<sup>th</sup> police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015.(copy of 18<sup>th</sup> Policy board decision annexed as "A")
- 7- Para No. 7 is incorrect. In fact the appellant along with others were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub Inspector vide Notification No.3682/EC dated 24.07.2015. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of

the decision made in 18<sup>th</sup> police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015.

- 8- Para No. 8 pertains to record.
- 9- Para No.9 is incorrect. In fact Traffic Warden is a separate Establishment, and appellant alongwith his other colleagues were not entitled for promotion against the sanctioned seats of other establishment i.e. Traffic Warden, therefore their promotion order being unlawfully passed on the vacant seats of other unit was cancelled vide order Endst: No. 16570/EC-I dated 04.09.2015 in pursuance of 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board (PPB) meeting held on 13.08.2015 in the best interest of the department.(cancellation order as annexed "B")
- 10-Para No. 10 is incorrect. The order dated 24.11.2015 passed by the Hon'able High Court does not fall within jurisdiction of High Court therefore it was challenged before the Apex Court, by filing CPLA through Law Department. The Supreme Court of Pakistan subsequently vide judgment dated 26.01.2018 set aside order of the Hon'ble High Court, converted the petition into departmental appeal and remanded back to respondent department with the direction to decide the same in one month.
- 11- Para No. 11 is correct as per record.
- 12- Para is incorrect. The appeal was decided within stipulated period of one month and their appeal was rejected vide order dated 21.02.2018 by respondent department. (Copy annexed)
- 13- Para No. 13 is incorrect. Order issued on 04.09.2015 was lawful and based on genuine grounds.

**GROUND:-**

- A- Incorrect. The appellant was treated as per law/rules, no provision of law has been violated.
- B- Incorrect. Para already explained in above paras, and no right of appellant has been violated.
- C- Incorrect. As already explained in the above para that traffic warden is a separate establishment functioning under the command of DIG/Traffic and promotion over the vacant posts of warden was inadvertently made which after due deliberation was later on cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015 by the respondent department.
- D- Para is repetition of Para C.
- E- Incorrect. The appellant was provided full opportunity of personal hearing.
- F- Incorrect. The appellant was legally treated as per law and rules.
- G- That respondent may also be allowed to advance any additional ground at the time of hearing of the appeal.



**PRAYERS:-**

It is therefore most humbly prayed that in light of above facts, submissions the appeal of the appellant devoid of merits, legal footing may be set aside/ dismissed.



**Provincial Police Officer,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.**



**Deputy Inspector General of Police,  
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**



**Capital City Police Officer,  
Peshawar.**



**AIG Establishment,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.**

**Service Appeal No. 715/2018.**


Masood Khan ASI No. 756/P of CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.


**VERSUS.**


1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.


**AFFIDAVIT.**

We respondents 1, 2, 3 and 4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the written reply are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has concealed/kept secret from this Honorable Tribunal.

  
**Provincial Police Officer,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.**

  
**Deputy Inspector General of Police,  
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**

  
**Capital City Police Officer,  
Peshawar.**

  
**AIG Establishment,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**



OFFICE OF THE  
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE  
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
Central Police Office, Peshawar

No. 613 /Legal dated Peshawar, the 21/2/2018.

ORDER


NO 311 - LB  
21 - 02 - 018 ①

This order is passed in compliance with judgment of the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan dated 26.01.2018, passed in Civil Petition No. 34-P/2016, titled Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Peshawar and others Versus Muhammad Israr and others. The brief, yet relevant, facts of the case are that Muhammad Israr and 138 others Assistant Sub-Inspectors (names mentioned in the memo of Writ Petition / representation) of Police of District Peshawar (hereinafter referred to as appellants) were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector vide Notification No. 3685/EC dated 24.07.2015 of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service of alien cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of the decision made in 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar dated 04.09.2015.

The appellants filed Writ Petition No. 3113-P/2015 before the Honorable Peshawar High Court Peshawar against the cancellation of their promotion orders. The Writ Petition was contested on the ground that the appellant were promoted against the vacancies exclusively created for Traffic Warden Service and the matter relates to terms and conditions of service and the appellants have approached wrong forum. However, the Honorable High Court accepted the petition vide order dated 24.11.2015.


Civil Petition was lodged against the judgment of the Peshawar High Court Peshawar before the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan and the Honorable Court allowed the petition and the impugned judgment of Honorable High Court Peshawar was set aside. However, on the request of respondents / appellants the Honorable Courts directed that the Writ Petition filed by the appellants may be treated as departmental appeal and the department may decide the representation of the appellants within a period of 01 month.


On receipt of the order of the Honorable Court, the relevant record was thoroughly examined which revealed that the impugned promotions of appellants were made against the vacancies of the Traffic Warden Service which was amounting to out of turn promotion. The Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan has issued clear directions with regard to stoppage of out of turn promotion. The promotion of appellants against the vacancies of alien cadre were correctly cancelled by the Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar. There is no force and merit in the representations of appellants, and their prayer is not tenable, hence the representation is rejected.

  
Addl: Inspector General of Police HQrs:  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.

No. S/ 613 /18

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar with reference to his office memo No. 216/LB dated 06.02.2018, for service of the copy of the order on the appellants.

  
Addl: Inspector General of Police HQrs:  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.



	<p>courses in specialized training schools</p> <p>KP Police have established a number of specialized schools that are imparting valuable training/ courses to lower and upper subordinates. In order to get benefits from these training facilities, it is essential to ensure that upper subordinates undergo and qualifies mandatory training courses in these police training schools.</p>	<p>that a Standing Order may be issued that would link promotions with mandatory training courses for upper subordinates in the various Police Training Schools. An upper subordinate will have to obtain a total of nine (09) marks prior to promotion. A training course of one week duration at any of the above schools will carry one (1) mark while a two week course will carry two (2) marks.</p>	<p>AIG/ Establishment</p>
3	<p>Traffic Wardens Police.</p> <p>The KP police have recently introduced Traffic Wardens system in the Province. Presently, it is operational in the Provincial Capital and would be gradually introduced in other parts of the Province. Since it is a separate cadre for which separate rules will be formulated in due course. However, there is no internal mechanism that would streamline the working of Traffic wardens.</p>	<p>During the PPR meeting, it was unanimously agreed that a separate standing order may be issued for streamlining the affairs of Traffic Wardens that will address issues such as selection, deputation, training and promotions etc. of Traffic Wardens. The Board decided that till permanent recruitment of traffic wardens, seats will be filled by temporary deputations. However, they will not get promoted on the seats sanctioned for traffic wardens nor will claim seniority if they are posted on a senior rank in traffic warden. On repatriation to parent district, they will be repatriated in their substantive ranks.</p> <p>The Board took serious note of the recent promotions of SIs by CCPO on the posts of Traffic Wardens. The Board directed that since these seats belong to the Traffic Wardens, these promotions should be cancelled with immediate effect.</p>	<p>CCPO; DIG/HQ; AIG/ Establishment</p>
4	<p>NTS qualified Constables</p> <p>Modern Policing requires the application of IT skills and tools. It is therefore essential that lower subordinates possess those skills.</p>	<p>All the members of the PPB agreed that all constables who have been recruited through NTS will undergo a mandatory training course of 02 week in the newly established I.T School at Peshawar.</p>	<p>AIG Establishment</p>
5	<p>Incentive for Posting in Legal Branch</p>	<p>It was unanimously decided that a committee consisting of the following will</p>	<p>DIG/HQ AIG/</p>



OFFICE OF THE  
CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER,  
PESHAWAR.


Phone No.091-9210641 Fax No.0919212597

No. 16570 /EC-I, dated Peshawar the 4/9 /2015.

ORDER.

In pursuance of 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015 duly approved by Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar issued vide DIG/HQrs: Letter Endst: No.1598-1650/PA/DIG/HQrs:, dated 28.08.2015 & AIG Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Letter No.2404/E-II, dated 31.08.2015, the promotion Notification of 139 promoted Offg: Sub-Inspectors Issued vide this office Notification No.13685-13706/EC-I, dated 24.07.2015 is hereby cancelled.

No. 16571-94 /EC-I,

  
(Mubarak Zeb)PSP  
Capital City Police Officer,  
Peshawar. 04/08

to the:-

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Inspector General of Police, NH & Motorway Police, Islamabad.
3. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Investigation KPK, Peshawar.
4. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Special Branch KPK, Peshawar.
5. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Elite Force KPK, Peshawar.
6. Deputy Inspector General of Police, CTD KPK, Peshawar.
7. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region.
8. Commandant Police Training College Hangu.
9. Commandant CPC, university Campus, Peshawar.
10. Director Anti-Corruption Establishment KPK.
11. SSSP/Operation, Investigation & Traffic, Peshawar.
12. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Logistic Headquarter, Sector-H/11, Islamabad.
13. District Police Officers Charsadda & Nowshera.
14. EC-II, PO, AS,CC & Computer Cell.

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.**

**Service Appeal No. 715/2018.**

Masood Khan ASI No. 756/P of CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

**VERSUS.**

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

**Reply on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 2, 3 & 4.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:-**

**PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.**

1. That the appeal is badly time barred.
2. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
3. That the appellant has not come to this court with clean hands.
4. That the appellant has no cause of action.
5. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
6. That the appellant concealed the material facts from Honorable Tribunal.
7. That the appellant has got no locus standi and cause of action to file the instant appeal.

**FACTS:-**

- 1- Para relates to record hence needs no comments.
- 2- Para No. 2 is for the appellant to prove.
- 3- Para No. 3 is admitted correct.
- 4- Para No. 4 is legal, hence no comments.
- 5- Para No. 5 relates to record, hence needs no comments.
- 6- Para No. 6 is incorrect. In fact the appellant along with others were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub Inspector vide Notification No.3682/EC dated 24.07.2015. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service cadre. Therefore, In pursuance of the decision made in 18<sup>th</sup> police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015.(copy of 18<sup>th</sup> Policy board decision annexed as "A")
- 7- Para No. 7 is incorrect. In fact the appellant along with others were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub Inspector vide Notification No.3682/EC dated 24.07.2015. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of

the decision made in 18<sup>th</sup> police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015.

- 8- Para No. 8 pertains to record.
- 9- Para No.9 is incorrect. In fact Traffic Warden is a separate Establishment, and appellant alongwith his other colleagues were not entitled for promotion against the sanctioned seats of other establishment i.e. Traffic Warden, therefore their promotion order being unlawfully passed on the vacant seats of other unit was cancelled vide order Endst: No. 16570/EC-I dated 04.09.2015 in pursuance of 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board (PPB) meeting held on 13.08.2015 in the best interest of the department.(cancellation order as annexed "B")
- 10-Para No. 10 is incorrect. The order dated 24.11.2015 passed by the Hon'able High Court does not fall within jurisdiction of High Court therefore it was challenged before the Apex Court, by filing CPLA through Law Department. The Supreme Court of Pakistan subsequently vide judgment dated 26.01.2018 set aside order of the Hon'ble High Court, converted the petition into departmental appeal and remanded back to respondent department with the direction to decide the same in one month.
- 11- Para No. 11 is correct as per record.
- 12- Para is incorrect. The appeal was decided within stipulated period of one month and their appeal was rejected vide order dated 21.02.2018 by respondent department.  
(Copy annexed)
- 13- Para No. 13 is incorrect. Order issued on 04.09.2015 was lawful and based on genuine grounds.


**GROUND:-**

- A- Incorrect. The appellant was treated as per law/rules, no provision of law has been violated.
- B- Incorrect. Para already explained in above paras, and no right of appellant has been violated.
- C- Incorrect. As already explained in the above para that traffic warden is a separate establishment functioning under the command of DIG/Traffic and promotion over the vacant posts of warden was inadvertently made which after due deliberation was later on cancelled vide order dated 04.09.2015 by the respondent department.
- D- Para is repetition of Para C.
- E- Incorrect. The appellant was provided full opportunity of personal hearing.
- F- Incorrect. The appellant was legally treated as per law and rules.
- G- That respondent may also be allowed to advance any additional ground at the time of hearing of the appeal.



**PRAYERS:-**


It is therefore most humbly prayed that in light of above facts, submissions the appeal of the appellant devoid of merits, legal footing may be set aside/ dismissed.



**Provincial Police Officer,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.**



**Deputy Inspector General of Police,  
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**



**Capital City Police Officer,  
Peshawar.**



**AIG Establishment,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.**

**Service Appeal No. 715/2018.**


Masood Khan ASI No. 756/P of CCP, Peshawar.....Appellant.

**VERSUS.**


1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. AIG/Establishment CPO, Peshawar.
3. DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.....Respondents.

**AFFIDAVIT.**

We respondents 1, 2, 3 and 4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the written reply are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has concealed/kept secret from this Honorable Tribunal.

  
**Provincial Police Officer,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.**

  
**Deputy Inspector General of Police,  
Hqrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**

  
**Capital City Police Officer,  
Peshawar.**

  
**AIG Establishment,  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**



OFFICE OF THE  
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE  
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
Central Police Office, Peshawar

No. 613 /Legal dated Peshawar, the 21/2 /2018.

ORDER


NO 311 - LB  
21-02-018 ①

This order is passed in compliance with judgment of the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan dated 26.01.2018, passed in Civil Petition No. 34-P/2016, titled Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Peshawar and others Versus Muhammad Israr and others. The brief, yet relevant, facts of the case are that Muhammad Israr and 138 others Assistant Sub-Inspectors (names mentioned in the memo of Writ Petition / representation) of Police of District Peshawar (hereinafter referred to as appellants) were promoted to the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector vide Notification No. 3685/EC dated 24.07.2015 of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar. Later on it came to light that the promotion of appellants were made against the vacancies created and sanctioned for Traffic Warden Service of alien cadre. Therefore, in pursuance of the decision made in 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board, the promotion order of appellant was cancelled vide order of Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar dated 04.09.2015.

The appellants filed Writ Petition No. 3113-P/2015 before the Honorable Peshawar High Court Peshawar against the cancellation of their promotion orders. The Writ Petition was contested on the ground that the appellant were promoted against the vacancies exclusively created for Traffic Warden Service and the matter relates to terms and conditions of service and the appellants have approached wrong forum. However, the Honorable High Court accepted the petition vide order dated 24.11.2015.


Civil Petition was lodged against the judgment of the Peshawar High Court Peshawar before the Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan and the Honorable Court allowed the petition and the impugned judgment of Honorable High Court Peshawar was set aside. However, on the request of respondents / appellants the Honorable Courts directed that the Writ Petition filed by the appellants may be treated as departmental appeal and the department may decide the representation of the appellants within a period of 01 month.


On receipt of the order of the Honorable Court, the relevant record was thoroughly examined which revealed that the impugned promotions of appellants were made against the vacancies of the Traffic Warden Service which was amounting to out of turn promotion. The Honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan has issued clear directions with regard to stoppage of out of turn promotion. The promotion of appellants against the vacancies of alien cadre were correctly cancelled by the Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar. There is no force and merit in the representations of appellants, and their prayer is not tenable, hence the representation is rejected.

  
Addl: Inspector General of Police HQrs:  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.

No. S/ 613 /18

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar with reference to his office memo No. 216/LB dated 06.02.2018, for service of the copy of the order on the appellants.

  
Addl: Inspector General of Police HQrs:  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.



	<p>courses in specialized training schools</p> <p>KP Police have established a number of specialized schools that are imparting valuable training/ courses to lower and upper subordinates. In order to get benefits from these training facilities, it is essential to ensure that upper subordinates undergo and qualifies mandatory training courses in these police training schools.</p>	<p>that a Standing Order may be issued that would link promotions with mandatory training courses for upper subordinates in the various Police Training Schools. An upper subordinate will have to obtain a total of nine (09) marks prior to promotion. A training course of one week duration at any of the above schools will carry one (1) mark while a two week course will carry two (2) marks.</p>	AIG/ Establishment
3	<p><b>Traffic Wardens Police.</b></p> <p>The KP police have recently introduced Traffic Wardens system in the Province. Presently, it is operational in the Provincial Capital and would be gradually introduced in other parts of the Province. Since it is a separate cadre for which separate rules will be formulated in due course. However, there is no internal mechanism that would streamline the working of Traffic wardens.</p>	<p>During the PPR meeting, it was unanimously agreed that a separate standing order may be issued for streamlining the affairs of Traffic Wardens that will address issues such as selection, deputation, training and promotions etc. of Traffic Wardens. The Board decided that till permanent recruitment of traffic wardens, seats will be filled by <u>temporary deputations</u>. However, they will not get promoted on the seats sanctioned for traffic wardens nor will claim seniority if they are posted on a senior rank in traffic warden. On repatriation to parent district, they will be repatriated in their substantive ranks.</p> <p>The Board took serious note of the recent promotions of SIs by CCPO on the posts of Traffic Wardens. The Board directed that since these seats belong to the Traffic Wardens, these promotions should be cancelled with immediate effect.</p>	CCPO; DIG/HQ; AIG/ Establishment
4	<p><b>NTS qualified Constables</b></p> <p>Modern Policing requires the application of IT skills and tools. It is therefore essential that lower subordinates possess those skills.</p>	<p>All the members of the PPB agreed that all constables who have been recruited through NTS will undergo a mandatory training course of 02 week in the newly established I.T School at Peshawar.</p>	AIG Establishment
5	<p><b>Incentive for Posting in Legal Branch</b></p>	<p>It was unanimously decided that a committee consisting of the following will</p>	DIG/HQ AIG/




OFFICE OF THE  
CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER,  
PESHAWAR.

No. 16570 /EC-I, dated Peshawar the 1 / 4/9 /2015.  
Phone No.091-9210641 Fax No.0919212597

ORDER.

In pursuance of 18<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board Meeting held on 13.08.2015 duly approved by Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar issued vide DIG/HQrs: Letter Endst: No.1598-1650/PA/DIG/HQrs:, dated 28.08.2015 & AIG Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Letter No.2404/E-II, dated 31.08.2015, the promotion Notification of 139 promoted Offg: Sub-Inspectors Issued vide this office Notification No.13685-13706/EC-I, dated 24.07.2015 is hereby cancelled.

No. 16571-94 /EC-I,

  
(Mubarak Zeb)PSP  
Capital City Police Officer,  
Peshawar. 24/8

to the:-

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Inspector General of Police, NH & Motorway Police, Islamabad.
3. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Investigation KPK, Peshawar.
4. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Special Branch KPK, Peshawar.
5. Addl: Inspector General of Police, Elite Force KPK, Peshawar.
6. Deputy Inspector General of Police, CTD KPK, Peshawar.
7. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region.
8. Commandant Police Training College Hangu.
9. Commandant CPC, university Campus, Peshawar.
10. Director Anti-Corruption Establishment KPK.
11. SSSP/Operation, Investigation & Traffic, Peshawar.
12. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Logistic Headquarter, Sector-H/11, Islamabad.
13. District Police Officers Charsadda & Nowshera.
14. EC-II, PO, AS/CC & Computer Cell.