11.03.2020

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Zia Ullah learned Deputy District Attorney alongwith Fayaz H.C present. Learned counsel for the appellant sought withdrawal of the present service appeal. Consequently the present service appeal is hereby dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

(Hussain Shah) Member

<u>ANNOUNCED.</u> 11.03.2020

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal)
Member

28.08.2019 Appellant in person present. Mr. Ziaullah, DDA for respondents present. Appellant submitted an application for adjournment. Adjourn. Case to come up for arguments on 12.11.2019 before D.B.

Member

Member

12.11.2019

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Riaz Khan Paindakheil learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present and seeks adjournment.

In view of the stance of the respondent that the appellant had gone abroad and remained there for earning his livelihood. Passport Travel History of appellant be requisitioned for the next date fixed ... Adjourn to 14.01.2020 before D.B.

Member

Member.

14.01.2020

Appellant absent. Learned counsel for the appellant absent. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents present. Due to general strike of the bar on the call of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Bar Council, the case is adjourned. To come up for further proceedings/arguments on 11.03.2020 before D.B. Appellant be put to notice for the date fixed,

Member

Member

Clerk to counsel for the appellant present. Fayaz H.C representative of the respondent department present. Written reply not submitted. Representative of the respondent department seeks time to furnish written reply/comments. Granted. To come up for written reply/comments on 13.03.2019 before 8.B.

Member

13.03.2019

None present on behalf of the appellant. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG alongwith Mr. Muhammad Fayaz, Head Constable for the respondents present and submitted written reply. Adjourned to 08.05.2019 for rejoinder and arguments before D.B-II.

(MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI) MEMBER

08.05.2019

Counsel for the appellant and Addl: AG alongwith Mr. Fayaz, H.C for respondents present.

Due to paucity of time, the instant matter is adjourned to 02.07.2019 for arguments before D.B.

Member

Chairman

O2.07.2019: Appellant in person present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents present. Due to general strike on the call of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Bar Council, learned counsel for the appellant is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 28.08.2019 before D.B

(Hussain Shah) Member

(M. Amin Khan Kundi)

Member

31-10-14 Due to tretienment of Honorable

Chairman the Tribunal is non functional

Therefore the case is adjacrated to come

fat the Same on 17-12-2014

Repoler

17.12.2018

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Wisal Ahmad Inspector present. Written reply not received. Representative of the respondents seeks time to furnish written reply. Granted. To come up for written reply/comments on 23.01.2019 before S.B

Member

23.01.2019 Junior to counsel for the appellant present. Written reply not submitted. Hayat AD representative of the respondents present and seeks time to furnish written reply. Granted. To come up for written reply/comments on 12.02.2019 before

S.B.

Member

Learned counsel for the appellant argued inter alia that the appellant joined Police Department Nowshera in the year \$07; that the appellant was transferred to FRP Peshawar where he performed duties for three (03) years and again transferred to Regular District Police Nowshera vide order dated 03.04.2010, however due to threats to his life, the appellant could not join and performed his duties up till 21 January 2018; that the appellant entered into compromise with his relatives and as a result situation became better and soon after the compromise the appellant submitted application to his hi-ups for joining duties. Further argued that the respondents refused to accept the arrival of the appellant and he was verbally told that he has already removed from service; that the appellant demanded documents regarding his removal from service but the same were denied to him on the pretext that the documents were taken away by the flood in the year 2010; that the departmental appeal dated 07.05.2018 of the appellant was not responded.

In the interest of justice the present service appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all the legal objections including the issue of limitation. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments. To come up for written reply/comments on 31.10.2018 before S.B • The Office Objection in respect of failure of the appellant to attach the copy of the impugned order and other related documents with the present appeal is disposed off accordingly.

Member

Appellant Presited Security & Appease Fee

Form-A FORMOF ORDERSHEET

 Court of 		•	
Case No	/2018		

	Case No	
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	15 /08/2018	As per direction of the Worthy Chairman this case is submitted to the S. Bench for decision on office objection and time limitation. To be put up there on 03.09.2018.
		REGISTRAR
•		
1)	•	

The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Saeed son of Akbar Ali r/o village Kheshgi Distt. Nowshera received today i.e. on 09.08.2018 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

1- Copy of removal from service order mentioned in the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

2- Copies of charge sheet, statement of allegations, show cause notice, inquiry report and replies thereto are not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

3- Annexurs of the appeal may be attested.

No. 1573 /S.T.

Dt. 10/8 /2018.

REGISTRAR PO 18 119
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

and re-dub-itted

Mr. Gohar Ali Adv. Pesh.

Rigin

Propara aro 1 impagned order is not give to the Jord para aro 1 impagned order or solly to lot the appellant by report as Cyround Bot appeals reveals as Cyround Bot appeals or inquiry.

Por para roz 2: No chage Shurt, M shaream report is available prot served upon report is available prot served upon report is appeal revealed els mentioned.

The appeal revealed els mentioned.

Please.

So the appeal is re- Submitted a The application please.

The abservations of the Tolland one

the Same Within

K/si, I the council of the appellations with the affidavit that of chief has asked the imposer and of nemonal frame the respondants who refused to give we! and Halist that aff decement negenting appelled case has floated away in fload. so her me vot avaibble. Døg pleese n fied before the Tre burst. Crohothiklusty. A STATE OF THE STATE OF STATE asset Juston 11 15/8/2018. The observations of this Tolland and reply of counted for the appellant is Sub-ille fan andre pleve 15/8/18 forible distribution of the character of the contraction of and the second of the second o the state of the s

The same of the same

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service A	Appeal N	Vo	1101	/2018			
Mohami	nad Sae	ed		•••••		(Appel	lant)
•			VER	SUS			
District	Officer	of	Regular	Police,	District	Nowshera	and
others					· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(Responder	nts)

INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Service Appeal with Affidavit		1-6
2.	Application with Affidavit		7-9
3.	Addresses of the parties		10
4.	Copies of transfer letter and	A & B	11-12
	transfer order of appellant		•
5.	Copy of compromise deed	С	13-0
6.	Copy of reference letter	D	14-0
7.	Copy of appeal	E	15-16
8.	Wakalat Nama		17

M.Sk. Appellant

Through

Dated: 08/08/2018

Gohar Ali Kheshgi Advocate High Court Hishawar

Gohar Ali Kheshgi

Advocate High Court,

Peshawar.

Cell No. 0345-9082942

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 10 /2018

Service Tribunal
Diary No. 1261

Dated 09 - 8-2018

Mohammad Saeed S/o Akbar Ali R/o Village Kheshgi Payan, Tehsil and District Nowshera, Ex-Constable Regular Police, Nowshera, Bearing No. 128.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. District Officer of Regular Police, District Nowshera.
- 2. Commandant Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home, Secretariat, Peshawar.....(Respondents)

APPEAL U/S 4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

1974. TRIBUNAL, **FOR** SERVICE REINSTATEMENT OF THE APPELLANT IN SERVICE WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS AND SET ASIDE THE IMPUGNED ORDER OF THE RESPONDENT NO. 1, WHO REFUSED TO ACCEPT THE ARRIVAL OF APPELLANT FOR DUTY, AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER OF THE RESPONDENT NO. 1 WHO AWARDED MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF REMOVAL FROM SERVICE AS VERBALLY TOLD THE ALLEGE REASON OF ABSENCE.

Advocate High Count

Filedto-day Registrar



Respectfully Sheweth:

The facts giving rise to the following Appeal of the appellant are as under:

- 1. That the appellant was appointed/ employed as Constable in Regular Police by respondent No. 1 in 2007 in District Nowshera and performed duty with entire satisfaction of superiors with unblemished record.
- 2. That the appellant was transferred to FRP Peshawar where the appellant performed duty for three years with unblemished record and again transferred to Regular District Police Nowshera, transfer order to DPO dated 03/04/2010. (Copies of transfer letter and transfer order of appellant are attached as annexure "A" and "B").
- 3. That after 30/04/2010 all of a sudden, the appellant was suffered from personal grudges over the landed property with his relatives who issued threats of dire consequences to the appellant. So in such constraint and bloody situation it was very

Gonar All Kheshgi Advocate High Court different for the appellant to perform duty and continue his service due to that reason the appellant was out of service upto 21 January, 2018.

- 4. That now the appellant has entered into compromise with the relative and the situation has became better as reference to deed of compromise.

 (Copy of compromise deed is attached as annexure "C").
- 5. That soon after the compromise effected, the appellant submitted the application to high-up's for duty but they refused to accept his arrival and verbally told that appellant is already removed from service, then appellant demanded the documents who denied to give with a reason that the documents are flown away in flood in 2010 as reference letter of the appellant. (Copy of reference letter is attached as annexure "D").
- 6. That feeling aggrieved, appellant filed departmental appeal dated 07/05/2018 to the respondent No. 3, who slept over it and 90 days have been elapsed,

Gohar Ali Kheshgi Advocate High Court Peshawar hence this appeal to Service Tribunal, Peshawar. (Copy of appeal is attached as annexure "E").

7. That the appellant files the instant appeal before the Service Tribunal K.P.K, Peshawar, inter-alia on the following grounds:

GROUNDS:

- A. That the impugned order of the respondents are not speaking order. Having no legal footing to stand on, needs to set aside.
- B. That the impugned verbal order of the respondent is unlawful, fanciful, arbitrary and with colourable exercise of the respondent is not tenable.
- C. That the appellant was condemned unheard and no codal formalities were fulfilled by the respondents regarding, charge sheet, enquiry, final show case notice and no impugned order of dismissal was issued to the appellant.

Gohar Ali Kheshgi Advocate High Court Peshawar D. That the appellant was unsafe and effected from the

relatives and respondents marked him absent and

without giving him chance of hearing, condemned

unheard, removed dismissed/ terminated from

service in absentia.

E. That the impugned order of respondents is also not

based on cogent reason, needs set aside.

F. That the appellant may also be allowed to reply on

additional grounds at the time of arguments please.

It is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of

this appeal, the impugned order may kindly be set

aside and the respondents my please be directed to

order the reinstatement of appellant in service, with

all back benefits please.

Through

Dated: 08/08/2018

Appellant **Gohar** Ali Kheshgi

Advocate High Court

Peshawar/

Gohar Ali Kheshgi Advocate High Court, Peshawar.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal N	lo	/2018	•		٠,
Mohammad Sae	ed	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	(Appel	lant)
	VER	sus			
District Officer	of Regular	Police,	District	Nowshera	and
others			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(Responder	nts)

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mohammad Saeed S/o Akbar Ali R/o Village Kheshgi Payan, Tehsil and District Nowshera, Ex-Constable Regular Police, Nowshera, Bearing No. 128, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the accompanying **Service Appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

MSAG DEPONENT

CNIC 17201-9652854-5

Gonar Ali Kheshgi Advocate High Court Peshawar

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

C.M. No/2018	
In	• .
Service Appeal No/2018	
Mohammad Saeed	(Appellant)
VERSUS	
District Officer of Regular Police, District I	Nowshera and
others(Respondents)

APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IF ANY.

Respectfully Sheweth:

The petitioner/appellant submits as under:

- That the above subject citied appeal has been filed before the Hon'ble Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar, in which there is no date yet fixed.
- 2. That the absence of the petitioner is not willful, but due to the constraint/criminal situation at home with the nearest relative.

Gohar Ali Kheshgi Advocate High Court Peshawar

- 3. That whenever the situation became normal and atmosphere become clear the appellant attended the duty place but was refused by the respondent.
- 4. That there is no bar on condonation of delay.
- 5. That the petitioner would be careful in future.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this petition the petitioner's absence may please be condoned if any.

Appellant

Through

Dated: 08/08/2018

Gohar Ali Kheshgi Ajiyocate High Court Peshawar

Gohar Ali Kheshgi Advocate High Court, Peshawar.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

VERSUS	
Mohammad Saeed	(Appellant)
Service Appeal No/2018	
In (2018)	
C.M. No/2018	

District Officer of Regular Police, District Nowshera and

.....(Respondents)

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mohammad Saeed S/o Akbar Ali R/o Village Kheshgi Payan, Tehsil and District Nowshera, Ex-Constable Regular Police, Nowshera, Bearing No. 128, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the accompanying **Application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

DEPONENT

(NIC - 1720196528545

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No	/2018	
Mohammad Saeed		(Appellant)
VER	sus	
District Officer of Regular	Police, District	Nowshera and
others		.(Respondents)

ADDRESSES OF THE PARTIES

APPELLANT:

Mohammad Saeed S/o Akbar Ali R/o Village Kheshgi Payan, Tehsil and District Nowshera, Ex-Constable Regular Police, Nowshera, Bearing No. 128.

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. District Officer of Regular Police, District Nowshera.
- 2. Commandant Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home, Secretariat, Peshawar.

M.SHE Appellant

Through

Gonar Ali ... CShgu Advocas Wife Court

Dated: 08/08/2018

Gohar Ali Kheshgi

Advocate High Court, Peshawar.

The Commandant,

Frontier Reserve Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,Peshawar

P-11

10

The District Police officer, Nowshera.

/SRC Dated: Peshawar. the 29 / 04 /2010.

Subject

TRANSFER OF LOWER SUBORDINATES.

Money

Please refer to PPO/KPK, Peshawar order Endst: No 9259-62/E-II

dated 03.04/2010.

The service documents of the folloowing Constables are sent herewith for record in your office which may be acknowledged.

1. Cosntable Syed Ali Shah No. 1565

Habib Ur Rehman No. 1646

3. // Syed Johar Ali Shah No. 371

4. // Shahzad-Khan No. 1535

5. // Nawaz Khan No. 1609

6. // Fazal Akbar No. 1723

7. // Muhammad Kazim No.1761

8. // Noor Islam No.1785

9. // Wakeel Ahmad No. 910

(10)// ____ Muhammad Saeed No. 128

11.// Habib Ullah No. 638

12. // Hamid Shah No. 1242

13. // Muhammad Saeed No. 1313

14. // Sohail Ahmad No. 1323

15. // Asad Ali No. 1423

16. // Atta Ullali No. 1438

17.// Muhammad Sareer No. 1792

18. // Rab Nawaz No. 1795

19. // Anwar Zeb 1834,

20. // Yousaf Ali No. 1913

21.// Muhammad Fahim 348

Encl. S.Roll (21)

F.Missal (20)

Gonar Ali Kheshgi Advocate High Court Feshawar FOR COMMANDANT FRP,
KPK, PESHAWAR.

29.14

ORDER /- /2
The following constables of PRP/NWFP Peshawar of the

istrict noted agains, their names are hereby transferred to Regular, Police with immediate effect.

ice with firm	nediate chees	HOME DISTRICT	
5/NO	NAME	Charsadda	
1.	Constable Daud Shah No. 1518	-do-	
2.	Constable Muhammad Jamil No. 1647	-00-	
,	Constable Irianullah No. 1137	-do-	
14.	Constable Sharif Khan No. 1372	-do-	ŕ
5	Constable Abdul Wahab No. 1426	-do-	
6.	Constable Arshad Ali No. 1071, /176	12 - 13-do- 34 2	
7.	Constable Faroog Shah No. 464 - 60	-do-	
8.	Constable Marifat Shah No. 1145	-do-	
9.	Constable Payaz Ahmad No. 944 / 868	-do-	
40.	Constable Khurshid No. 1886	-do-	
11.	Constable Muhammad Siraj No. 1993	-do-	
12.	Constable Fakhar-ud-Din No. 1033	-do-	
13.	Constable Shairyar No. 1140	-do- had	
14.	Constable Sarbiland No. 1238	-do-	
13	X Constable Asfandiyar No. 1241	-do-	
16.	Constable Inayatullah No. 1345	-do-	
1.	Constable Kashif Khan No. 1350	-do-	
s 18.	Constable Subhan Ali No. 1357	-do-	
10.	Constable Kabeer Khan No. 1358	-do-	
2.1.	Constable Arshad Ali No. 1325	-60-	
24.	Constable Ashiqullah No. 1273 NOWSHERA DISTRICT	<u>"</u>	
	NOWSHERA DISTRICT	Nowshera	;
1.	Constable Said Ali Shah No. 1565	do-	ì
2.	Constable Habib-ur-Rehman No.1646	842 -do-	
3.7	xl Constable.AZhar Arrivo.	-do-	•
. N.	Constable Syed Johar Ali No. 371	-do-	
5.	Constable Shahzad Khan No. 1535	-do-	
6	Constable Nawaz Khan No. 1609	-do-	
- 7.	Constable Fazal Akbar No. 1723	-do-	
\$	Constable M. Kazim No. 1761	-do-	
12.	Constable Noor Islam No. 1785	-do-	
; ().	Constable Wakeel Khan No. 910	-do-	
	Constable M. Saced No. 128	-do-	
12.	Constable Hamid Shah No. 1242	- do-	
.3	Constante trained share to	-do-	
14.	Constable Sohail Ahmed No. 1323	-do-	
15	Constable Solian Automos (423)	-do-	
16	Constable Asad Ali No. 1423 Constable Atta Muhammad No. 1438	-do-	
117		Later and the second of the se	
	a lendi		•

Advocate High Court
Peshawar

Constable M. Sarcet No. 1772.

Constable Rab Nawaz No. 1795 -doConstable Anwar Zeb No. 1834 -doConstable Yousaf Ali No. 1913 -doConstable Ni. Fakier Yo. 80/348 -doAddl: IGP/Headquarters,
For Provincial Police Officer.
NWIP, Peshawar.

259-62-

/E-II, dated Peshawar the

03/4/2010.

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary

action to the:

Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar w/r to his Memo-No. 1700/EC I dated: 27.01.2010, No. 4421/EC-I dated: 11.03.2010 and 5927/EC-I, dated 01.04.2010:

Commandant FRP NWFP Poshawar w/r to his Memo No. 1395/EC dated: 18.02.2010 and 2035/EC dated: 19.03.2010.

DPOs Charsadda & Nowshera.

E.C/06)-

CEVICE OF THE COMMANDANT FRPANCEP. PESHAWAR.

No. 1575 So /OSI, Dated Peshawat the 3/4 /2010.

Copy sent to the:-

- 1. Acctt:/FRP/Hars:Pashawer.
- 2. S.R.C/PRP/Hars: Pashawar.
 - j. F.M.C/FRE/Hars:Poshawac.

FOR COMMANDANT, FRONTIER RESERVE POLICE, NWFP, PESHAWAR.

At Openments Of Megalar pulice order at an \$10 doe

Nice rough e post son Bio post of and for in the sail for in Din Janesung Charlo De si la Chas (julo (je) 8, w 9. 1 - 2 15, w (w 60 plo 0, 60/ 3, 0 60 660 المرارف وعمره ع دولون فرفق ع الحسو المورولون فرفس 1 1/2 (ve) (ve) (ve) (ve) (ve) (ve) 2/1/10 رولوں فر تھے۔ وکرہ الران (2 ge) Chi 46 her mi coo (il) (je) o (do) ich reid pol Jabroke f

بخدمت جناب DPO صاحب ضلع نوشبره

جناب عالى!

گزارش ہے کہ سائل 2007 میں ڈسٹرکٹ نوشرہ میں بحیثیت کانسٹیبل بھرتی ہوا تھا۔

1010 میں FRP میں 3سائل بھریڈ گزار کر پھر 2010 میں وران سائل کے والدین کا پچاگان کے ساتھ جائیداد مین FRP ہے ڈسٹرکٹ نوشرہ ٹرانسفر ہوا۔ ای دوران سائل کے والدین کا پچاگان کے ساتھ جائیداد پر تنازعہ ہوا۔ جن کی وجہ سے سائل کو خطرہ لاحق تھا۔ کیونکہ پچاگان اور پچازاد بھائی گان انتائی سرکش اور سینہ زرر تھے۔ اس وجہ سے سائل کو نوگری جاری رکھنا دشوار ہوا۔ اب سائل کے والدین کا پچاگان کے ساتھ سائل کے والدین کا پچاگان کے ساتھ راضی نامہ ہوا ہے۔ اور آپ ہمیں تصفیفہ ہوا ہے۔ اور دونوں خاندان آپس میں راضی باز ہیں۔

بذریعه در خواست استدعاہے که سائل کو دوبارہ بحال کرنے کا تھم صادر فرماکر مشکور فرمادیں۔

عین نوازش ہو گی۔

لعارض ملك. م

آپ كا تالع فرمان محمد سعيد بيلث نمبر 128 خويشكى پايان نوشهر ه

Gohar Ali Kheshgi Advocate High Court Peshaviar

P-15 the dy inspector General of Police Mardan range Marden: Deportmental appeal for reinstate ment K/Sir The appellant submits as under: 1 - That appellant was appointed as constable in regular Distt- police Distl. Nowehera. m 2007 who success fully compted his trains and Successfully performed diety with the capital satisfaction of seniors. That after success fully completing training again handed over to FRP Where appellant performed duty for 3 years with unblemish recod 3 - That after 3 years service asith FRP the appellet was trenspected again to regular distric police along with ful records. as reform . 2959-62/E-11 dt. Deshaw 3/4/2010 to DPO Nowshern. and then letter to ppo transfer letter SRC dated pesta 29/4/2010 Copies attached-- That after 30/4/2010 all a Sindden the ameliant A landed proporty with his retatives which Created threaten of dying conseques han

opposite side so in such constraint and bloody game it was very de Heart for appellant out of service uptel now. 5. That Now the appellant has entered into a compromise attached. I better Now as reference to deed

6-That appellant is a poor man of cating for hand to mouth very difficulty and passing through Starvation. having old ailing porents approached D.P.D. Nowshera Ih rear otaliament and record

to gave documents and told that all the moral is flower away with flood in 2010, as reforce telly

7. That appellat received No change Sheet, Stowcauce or inquiry report and No impugadorder of Dismos al removal or termination.

There fore it is negreeshed that the appellant may please with back benefits please. Datal 7 Th May 2018.

Advocate High Court

m. sk

Appellant Mohd Saced Sto AkberAL conette le monte 128 Vouchel

Advocate High Court کے مظور ہے کا مطور ہے۔ کا مطور ہے کا مطور ہے۔ کا مطور ہے کا مطور ہے کا مطور ہے کا مطور ہے۔

مقام كري

نوث:اس وكالت نامه كي نو نو كا بي نا قابل قبول موكى _

Gonar Ali Kheshgi Advacate High Court Reshawar

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1101/2018

Mohammad Saeed s/o Akbar Ali r/o village Kheshgi Payan, Tehsil & District Nowshera, Ex-Constable Regular Police, Nowshera, Bearing No. 128.

.....Appellant

V ERSUS

- 1. District Police Offier, Nowshera.
- 2. Commandant Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Govenrment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home, Secretariate, Peshawar.

.....Respondents

INDEX

S No.	Description of Documents	Pages
1.	Reply	1-3
2.	Reply to the condonation application	4-5
3.	Affidavit	6

Dated 12-02-2019

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1101/2018

Mohammad Saeed s/o Akbar Ali r/o village Kheshgi Paya, Tehsil and Disrtrict Nowshera, Ex-Constale Regular Police, Nowshera, Bearing No. 128.

.....Appellant

V ersus

- 1. District Police Offier, Nowshera.
- 2. Commandant Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home, Secretariate, Peshawar.

.....Respondents

REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS

Respectfully Sheweth: -

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the appellant has got no cause of action.
 - 2. That the appeal is badly time-barred.
 - 3. That the appellant has been estopped by his own conduct to file the appeal.
 - 4. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
 - 5. That the appellant has not come to the Honourable Tribunal with clean hands.

On Facts

- 1. Para to the extent of appointment/enlistment pertains to record needs no comments while rest of the para is not plausible because every Police Officer/Official is duty bound to perform his duty upto the entire satisfaction of his superiors. More so, unblemished service record does not exonerate a person from his future wrong deeds.
- 2. Plea of the appellant is not plausible because transfers are made on routine basis, however, the appellant alongwith other constables were transferred from FRP to District Nowshera which is evident from the annexed document as annexure "A" of the appeal, but the appellant did not report his arrival rather remained absent and reportedly went abroad. It is added that the non arrival of the

2

appellant is very much clear from his belt number because he mentioned the belt number allotted to him by the Frontier Reserve Police. Had he made his arrival in this District, he would have been allotted belt number from this District. This fact has been concealed by the appellant.

- 3. Incorrect. The story propounded by the appellant is false, baseless and cooked one, because as per secret probe, he had gone abroad and remained there for earning his livelihood. Therefore, in order to mislead the Honourable Tribunal the appellant tailored this concocted story which has no footing to stand on. Moreover, the appellant being member of disciplined force was under obligation to apply for proper leave/permission of the competent authority, but he did not bother to do so, rather, he might have made his departure from FRP but he did not make his arrival in District Police, Nowshera in order to keep both the establishments i.e FRP and District Police, Nowshera in the dark regarding his actual place of posting.
- 4. Incorrect. As discussed earlier, the so called compromise deed has been managed just to create a fake situation of enmity in order to win sympathies and justify his long absence. As per secret probe when the situation got worsened in Gulf, the appellant left for Pakistan.
- Incorrect. As discussed earlier neither the appellant reported his arrival nor joined duty in this District, therefore, has removal/dismissal by this District Police Officer is completely out of question. Moreover, due to devastating flood of 2010, most of the record of this District got damaged/destroyed and the appellant now tries to take advantage of that situation by submitting this service appeal being devoid of merit.
- 6. Incorrect. The appellant in order to give legal cover to the limitation took these pleas of submitting applications to the competent authority as well as appellate authority, but it is worthwhile that the afore-referred applications are without any mentioning of dates or office noting.
- 7. That the appeal of the appellant is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds: -

GROUNDS

A. Para is incorrect. As the appellant in the aforementioned facts para No. 05 being aggrieved of this issue that no proper order regarding his dismissal/removal from service was given to the appellant,

rather, was verbally told that he has already been removed from service. Therefore, plea of the appellant, that the impugned orders of the respondents are not speaking one, is not plausible.

- B. Para already explained needs no comments.
- C. As the appellant did not report his arrival in this district, hence, condemning him unheard and non fulfillment of codal formalities in terms of enquiry is immaterial.
- D. Incorrect. The entire story of the appellant is cooked one because he has staged the so called drama of enmity with his relatives in order to justify his prolong absence.
- E. Para explained earlier hence, no comments.
- F. The respondents also seek permission of this Honourable Tribunal to advance additional evidence at the time of arguments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of above submissions the appeal of the appellant may very kindly be dismissed with cost.

Govenrment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Through Secretary Home, Secretariate, Peshawar.

Respondent No.4

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar.` Respondent No.,

Commandant Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondent No.02

> District Police Officer, Nowshera. Respondent No.01

4

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1101/2018

Mohammad Saeed s/o Akbar Ali r/o village Kheshgi Payan, Tehsil & District Nowshera, Ex-Constable Regular Police, Nowshera, Bearing No. 128.

.....Applicant

V ERSUS

- 1. District Police Offier, Nowshera.
- 2. Commandant Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Govenrment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home, Secretariate, Peshawar.

.....Respondents

REPLY TO THE APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY

Respectfully Sheweth: -

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the applicant has no cause of action to file the instant application.
- 2. That the application is barred by law.

Facts

- 1. That the appeal filed by the appellant before this Honourable Tribunal may kindly be dismissed being a badly time barred.
- 2. Incorrect. The story propounded by the appellant is false, baseless and cooked one, because as per secret probe, he had gone abroad and remained there for earning his livelihood. Therefore, in order to mislead the Honourable Tribunal the appellant tailored this concocted story which has no footing to stand on. Moreover, the appellant being member of disciplined force was under obligation to apply for proper leave/permission of the competent authority, but he did not bother to do so, rather, he might have made his departure from FRP but he did not make his arrival in District Police, Nowshera in order to keep both the establishments i.e FRP and District Police, Nowshera in the dark regarding his actual place of posting.

- Incorrect. As discussed earlier, the so called compromise deed has been managed just to create a fake situation of enmity in order to win sympathies and justify his long absence. As per secret probe when the situation got worsened in Gulf, the applicant left for Pakistan.
- Incorrect. As the apex court of Pakistan has held that the 4. question of limitation cannot be considered a "technicality" simpliciter as it has got its own significance and would have substantial bearing on merits of the case.
- 5. Para not related needs no comments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of above submissions, the instant application may very kindly be dismissed.

> Govenrment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Through Secretary Home, Secretariate, Peshawar.

> > Respondent No.4

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtuhkhwa, Peshawar.

Respondent No.3

Commandant Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Péshawar. Respondent No.02

> District Police Officer, N**o**wshera. Respondent No.01



BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1101/2018

Mohammad Saeed s/o Akbar Ali r/o village Kheshgi Paya, Tehsil and Disrtrict Nowshera, Ex-Constale Regular Police, Nowshera, Bearing No. 128.

.....Appellant

V ERSUS

- 1. District Police Offier, Nowshera.
- 2. Commandant Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Govenrment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home, Secretirate, Peshawar.

.....Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

We the respondents do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on Oath that the contents of reply to the appeal are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from the Honourable Tribunal.

Government of Khyber

Govenrment of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Through Secretary Home, Secretirate, Peshawar.

Respondent No.4

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

Respondent/No.3

Commandant Frontier Reserve Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondent No.02

> District Police Officer, Nowshera. Respondent No.01

10 The 3 Member, Khyber. Prenchtvon thwal. Pashwon Adjournment. Subject -The appellant Submits as under Through K/Sir. Council Goher Ali advolate perhin 1- That to day my appeal titled. M. Saced. Vs . polin has been fixed In Arguanets. dt. 28th August 2019 2. That to day I am busy in H. court in other Cass.

and under to argue the appeal. Fixed For There for Kindy adjourn of appeal pleas. Appellet Though Causal. Soft Del-d. 28/8/2019