

14.07.2022

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt. Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is busy before Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing on 12.09.2022 before S.B.

(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (E) Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Written reply/comments on behalf of respondents not submitted. Fresh Notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/preliminary hearing on 10.06.2022 before \$\cdot \cdot \text{B}\$.

(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER(E)

10.06.2022

Appellant present through representative.

Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakheil learned Assistant Advocate General alongwith Zewar Khan SI (Legal) for respondents present.

File to come up alongwith connected Service Appeal No.3081/2021 titled Hazrat Bilal Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa on 14.07.2022 before S.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Appellant in person present.

Appellant requests for adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not available today. Granted. To come up for preliminary hearing before the S.B on 17.11.2021.

(MIAN MUHAMMÁĎ) MEMBER (E)

17.11.2021

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing on 27.01.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad)

Member(E)

27.01.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard.

Learned counsel for the appellant agitated and assailed the impugned order dated 08.04.2020 where-under the services of Special Police Officer (SPO's) working in District Swat were w.e.f 01.03.2020. He contended that regularized regularization of services of the appellant was required w.e.f 26.06.2009 instead of 01.03.2020. In support of his arguments he referred to judgement of the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar in writ petition No. 2013-P/2016 dated 24.10.2017 as well as Section-03 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (regularization of service) Act, 2009. The appellant submitted his departmental appeal on 10.09.2020 and the instant appeal was instituted in the Service Tribunal on 16.02.2021. As the question of limitation is involved, let pre-admission notice be issued to the respondents to assist the Tribunal on the point. To come up for preliminary hearing on 29.03.2022 before S.B.

> (Mian Muhammad) Member(E)

#### Form- A



Court of			
	-215		
Ma		/2021	

	Case No	o
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	07/06/2021	The appeal of Mr. Afzal Khan resubmitted today by Mr. Muhammad Anwar Khan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
2-	10/06/21	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be up there on <u>01/9/2/</u> .
-		CHAIRMAN
	01.09.2021	None for the appellant present.
		Notices be issued to the appellant and his counsel.
		Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing before the S.B on
		14.10.2021.
		(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (E)

The appeal of Mr. Afzal Khan Constable Belt no. 2121 received today i.e. on 09/03/2021 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Copy of enlistment/appointment order of the appellant mentioned in para-1 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 2- Copy of regularization order mentioned in para-4 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

No. 513 /S.T.
Dt. 10/03 /2021

REGISTRAR
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

Muhammad Anwar Adv. Pesh. Recured on 3 2

Resubmitted after compliance

4-6-2021

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKH UNKHWA TRIBUNAL PESHAW. R

Service Appeal No. 585/2021

Mr.	Afzal	Khan
T.T.	4 MZCII	Man

...... ...Applicant/**Appellant** 

#### VERSUS

Government of KI K through Chic f Secretary & Others
......Respondents

#### APPLICATION | OR CONDONATION OF DELAY If Any

#### Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the applicant is filling accompanying appeal in this Hor orable Service Tribunal.
- 2. That the applicant has equested for the Back Service & Back benefits but till date no action has been taken on her appeals.
- 3. That depar mental appeal has not been rejected on the ground of limitation.
- 4. That time and again the applicant submitted application: / appeals for Back Service and Back benefits but no reply has been given.
- 5. That for the reasons above, the delay, if any ought to be condoned.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that del y if any, infilling the departmental appeal/ the instant appeal be graciously con loned and the appeal be decided on merit.

Applicant

Through

Muhammad Anwar Khan
(Pashtun Ghari)

Advocate High Court,

Peshawar.

Affidavit:

Date: 21 / 7\_ /2021

I Mr. Afzal Khan S/o Palas Khan, Belt No 2121, R/o College colony Kalkot, Tehsil Sherengal, District Upper Dir, do here by solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above <u>Application</u> are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

Date: 24 / 2 /2021

Deponent

2/2

#### BEFORE THE KPK SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No	/2021
Mr. Afzal Khan	Appellant
•	VERSUS
Government of KPK throu	gh Chief Secretary & OthersRespondents

#### INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Grounds of Service Appeal		1-4
2.	Affidavit		5
3.	Addresses of Parties		6
4.	Copy of Contract recruitment selection committee	A	7
5.	Copy of Judgment dated 24/10/2017	В	8-19
6.	Copy of Reply in Writ Petition 1980/2016	С	20-22
7.	Copy of Regular Pay Slip	D	23
8.	Copy of Application	E	24-25
9.	Copy of Pension rules for qualifying Service	F	26
10.	Wakalat Nama		27

Appellant

Through

Muhammad Anwar Khan
(Pashton Ghari)

(Pashton Ghari)
Advocate High Court,
Peshawar

Date: 14/1/2021

## PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No/2021
Mr. Afzal Khan S/o Palas Khan, Belt No 2121, R/o Kalkot, Tehsil Sherengal, District Jpper Dir.
Appellant
VERSUS
1. Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar.
2. Secretary Finance Department, hyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar.
3. The Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police Malakand Region Malakand.
Pasnondents

Appeal u/s 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the order dated 1-3-2020 of The Respondents No. 3, whereby Appealant service has been regulized from contract Service. The Regulization order of 2020 may be considered w.e.f, 2009 instead of

#### Prayer in Appeal

2020

On Acceptance of the instant appeal, The Respondents may be directed to sount the Temporary Services of Appellant with effect from his a itial recruitment dated 26-06-

2009 toward his regular service for the purpose of grant of pension and he may be allowed pension and other benefits.

#### **Respectfully Sheweth:**

#### The appellant submits as under:

- 1. That the Appellant has been appointed as SPO (Special Police Officer) in Police Department on fixed Pay Rs. 15000/- PM in the Year 2009 in prescribe manner by selection committee. (copy of contract recruitment by selection committee is attached as Annexure A)
- 2. That the Respondents admitted the contract service of appellant w.e.f 2009 in reply in writ petition No 1980/2016 latter on along with other Similar Special Police force regulizared by Peshawar High Court Peshawar in writ Petition No 1980/2016 w.e.f 24/10/2017. The appellant is at serial No 21.( Copy of Judgment is attached as Annexure B)
- 3. That the Respondents admitted the contract service of appellant w.e.f 2009 in reply in writ petition No 1980/2016 (Copy of Reply is attached as Annexure C).
- 4. That the Appellanent has been regularized by the Respondents in 2020 instead of date of initial appointment of the Appellant. (Copy of regular Pay slip of is attached as Annexure D)

5. That the Appellant requested to the Respondents for issuance copies of contract as well as Regular Appointment Order but all in vain. The Appellant also requested for Contract and regular appointment order through Right to information Act 2013. (Copy of application is attached As Annexure E).

#### GROUNDS:

- A) That the Petitioner has not been treated in accordance with law and his rights secured and guaranteed under the law have been badly violated.
- B) That the same is against the natural justice also.
- C) That the Appellant remained temporary employee of the Respondents, since 2009, the Appellant was regularized on 1-3-2020 thus in view of the provision contained in Article 371-A of the CSR the Appellant is also entitled that his contract services be counted for the purpose of pension.
- D)That as per Judgment of Supreme Court of Pakistan in Civil Appeal No.1072/2005 decided on 04.04.2016 the larger bench of August Supreme Court of Pakistan has specifically held that the Temporary Service followed by the conformation of regular service counted for the purpose of pension thus the Appellant is entitled for the grant of monthly pension by counting his service w.e.f the date of his initial appointment.
- E) That there are a number of Judgments in identical cases.

  Therefore, Respondents are bound to follow the same and

should have acted inaccodance with law & judgment of August Supreme Court of Pakistan reported in 1996 SCMR 1185.

- F) That the Temporary service followed by confirmation/ regular appointment gave the Appellant a right that his service be considered as regular service.
- G) That the Respondents are using different yard stick and are violating the provision of their own Law/ rules/calendar and the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- H)That the Appellant may kindly be allowed to advance additional arguments at the time hearing of the instant Service Appeal.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant service appeal, the impugned regularization order 2020 may very graciously be consider w.e.f his initial appointment i.e. 2009 instead of 2020 with all back benefits.

Any other remedy which is deemed fit by this Honorable Tribunal in the interest of justice, may also be granted in favour of appellant.

Appellant

Throu<sub>§</sub>h

Muhammad Anwar Khan (Pashton Ghari)

Date: 24/2/2023, Advocate High Court

#### BEFORE THE KPK SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No	/2021
Mr. Afzal Khan	Appellant
	VERSUS
Government of KPK thr	ough Chief Secretary & Others
4	Respondents

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Mr. Afzal Khan S/o Palas Khan, Belt No 2121, R R/o Kalkot Tehsil Sherengal, District Upper Dir, do hereby soleranly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Service Appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Tribunal.

DEPONENT

#### BEFORE THE KPK SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No/2021
Mr. Afzal Khan
Appellant
VERSUS
Government of KPK through Chief Secretary & Others
Respondents

#### **ADDRESSES OF PARTIES**

#### **APPELLANT**

Mr. Afzal Khan S/o Palas Khan, Belt No 2121, R/o Kalkot Tehsil Sherengal, District Upper Dir

#### **RESPONDENTS**

- 1. Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar.
- 3. The Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secreteriate Peshawar.
- 4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police Malakand Region Malakand.

Appellant Through

Muhammad Anwar Khan (Pasaton Ghari)

Advocate High Court

Date: 24/2/2021

Annax A 7

#### ORDER /3 :

A recruitment C mmillee consisting of the following Police officers is hereby constituted under the supervision of the undersigned for recruitment of Special Police Officer on 22/06/2009 to 26/06/2009 at Police Lines Timers training to Dir Lower.

- 1. Mr. Falak Naz Khan Super Intendent of Police Investigation.
- 2. Mr. Purdil Khan Deputy Superintendent of Police Legal.
  - 3. Mr. Khan Raziq Khan SDFO-Tim gara
  - 4. Mr. Shuh Wazir Khan Deputy Streentendent of Police H.Qrs.
- 5. SI Bas or Khan Lines Officer
  - 6. OHC

The recruitment Committee will ensure that the selection of the Special Police Officers will be totally fair, transparent and pure on merit basis according to Provincial Police Officer Memo: No.:15392/E-II gated 20/06/2019.

Mumita Zizarin)

T:Sh: QPM

E strict Police Officer,

Dr Lower at Timergara.

(Karim)

No. 9832-40 /CHC dated Tirrergar 1 the 24 /6 /2009

Copy forward od for ill formation to the :-

- 1. Provinci d Police Officer, N., 7.F.F Poshawar.
- 2. Deputy Inspector Gereral of Police Malakand Region-III Swat.
  - 3. Mr. Falal Naz Khan Superin andent of Police Investigation.
  - 4. Mr. Purd I Khan Deputy Sur printendent of Police Legal.
  - 5. Mr. Khar Raziq Khan SDPG imergara
- 6. Mr. Shab Wazir Khan Deputy Superintendent of Police H.Qrs.
  - 7. SI Bashi: Khan Lines Office
  - 8. онс

AHogtod

Attested

#### BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HICH COURT, PESHAWAR

Writ Petition No. 1880 of 2016

1) Muhammad Anaw: Son of Muhammad Ayub Belt No.227.

2) Katoor Khan Son of Akbar Shah Belt No.502.

- 3) Muhammad Aslam Son of Muhammad Belt No.89.
- 4) Bakht Biland Son of Rasool Shah Belt No.566.
- 5) Islam ud Din Son o, Umar Jan Belt No.568.
- 6) Palas Khan Son of Saadat Khan Late Belt No.578.
- 7) Shah Naseem Khan Son of Noor Muhammad Belt No.557.
- 8) Muhammad Haroon Son of Akbar Said Belt No.567.
- 9) Bilour Khan Son of Wazeef Ullah Belt No.312.
- 10) Said ur Rehman Son of Muhammad Sherin Belt No.521.
- 11) Muhammad Ali Son of Ajda Khan Belt No.547.
- 12) Naseer ud Din Said Muhammad Belt No.555.
- 13) Umarzada Son of Shams ud Din Belt No.570.
- 14) Iftikhar Ahmad Son of Ilam Khan Belt No.264.
- 15) Hazrat Bilal Son of Juma Khan Belt No.569.
- 16) Bakht Rawan Son of Musafar Shah Belt No.566.
- 17) · Aziz Ullah Son of Shamshi Khan Belt No.548.
- 18) I-iazrat Ali Son of Wazeef Ullah Belt No.34
- 19) Miftah ud Din Son of Muhammad Khaliq Belt No.565.
- 20) Noor ul Islam Son of Muhammad Nabi Belt No.285.
- 21) Afzal Khan Son of Palas Khan Belt No.577.
- 22) Shaukat Hayat Son of Fazal Hadi Belt No.573.
- 23) Sher Ahmad Son of Gul Azam Khan Belt No.554.
- 24) Sartaj Son of Faza. Hadi Belt No.556.
- 25) Muhammad Bashar Son of Muhammad Mukhtiar Felt No.563.

26) Gul Sher Son of Muhammad Noor Belt No.571. Attested

Deputy Notistian 19 MAY 2016

WP1980P2016C ROUND

1 DAIOV 2017

- 87) Zafar Ali Son of Daud Khan Belt No.3026.
- 88) La! Muhammad Sor. of Rustam Gul Belt No.3232
- 89) Bakth Zaman Son of Rozi Khan Belt No.3316.
- 90) Syed Zafar Ali Son of Mian Gul Belt No.3273.
- 91) Syed Irshad Ahmad Son of Khursheed Ahmad Bett No.3079.
- 92) Abdul Majeed Son of Shar Makhai Belt No.3216.
- 93) Noor Haider Son of Ali Haidar Belt No.3017.
- 94) Hakim Said Son of Sultan Pervez Belt No.3051.
- 95) Ibrahim Son of Abdul Ghafoor Belt No.3081.
- 96) Alamzeb Son of Muitaba Belt No.3259.
- 97) Khial Muhammad Son of Rustam Gul Belt No.3177.
- 98) Sami Ullah Son of Mian Pir Bacha Belt No.3181.
- 99) Mian Said Ali Son of Mian Said Muhammad Belt No.3325.
- 100) Newsher Son of Naseer Belt No.3136
  All Residents of Deputy Inspector General Malakand
  Region, Malakand.

#### ... ..... Petitioners

#### **VERSUS**

- 1- Secretary Home Department Civil Secretariat, Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2- Secretary Finance Department Civil Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3- The Provincial Police Officer, Civil Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Deputy Inspector General of Police Malakand Region Malakand.

Respectfully Sheweth:

The petitioners submits as under:

1- That the petitioners are the citizen of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa an enrolled as Constables in Special Police Force by respondent No.3.

WP1980P2016GROUND

FILED TODAY
Read train

ATTESTED --EXAMPLE

Attested

#### IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR.

#### FORM '~'

•		FORM OF ORDER SHEET
	Date of Order	Order or other proceedings with signature of the Hon'ble Judge(s)
	1	2 (0) (1)
	24.10.2017	W.P.No.1980-P/2016 with I.R.
		Present: Mr. Muhammad Anwar Khan, Advocate, for the petitioners.
,		Malk Akhlas Hussain Awan, AAG, for the Provincial Government.
		***
	1	
		IJAZ ANWAR, J Same order as in the connected writ petition
		No.2013-P/2016.
	ŀ	CHIEF JUSTICE
/. 		Coff District
7		JUDGE 2000
/1	1/2017	
	"	CERTIFIED TO BE TO SEE
	•	Perly var High Some Poshawar
		The Committee Symmetry 2017

#### Judgment Sheet

#### IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT.

**PESHAWAR** JUDICIAL DEPARTMEN

W.P No. 2013-P/2016.

#### **JUDGMENT**

Date of hearing:...... 24.10.2017

others Petitioner (s) Ahmad Khan and Muhammad Anwar Khan Pashton Ghari, Advocate.

Respondent (s) Secretary Home Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others by Malik Akhter Hussain Awan,AAG.

IJAZ ANWAR, J.-The petitioners Ahmad Khan

and 99 others in the instant writ petition No. 2013-P/2016 as well as the petitioners in the connected writ petitions No. 1980 of 2016, 2109 of 2016, 2146-P of 2016, 2182 of 2016, 2183-P of 2016, 2231-P of 2016, 2330-P of 2016,2437-P of 2016,2481-P of 2016 2538-P of 2016 and 3197 of 2016, have asked for issuance of an appropriate writ directing the respondents to give them benefit under the provisions of the Khyber Fakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Service) Act, 20(19, whereby services of all ad-hoc and contract Attested employees have been regularized.

As in all the writ retitions, one and same point is 2. raised for determination, therefore, we propose to dispose of all the writ petitions through this single judgment in W.P.

No.2013 of 2016.



Precise facts of the instant writ petition and the 3. connected writ petitions are that the petitioners in all the writ petitioners, after fulfilling the selection process as provided in letter No. 523-29 PA (OPS & Trg) dated 26.6.2009, were enrolled in Special Police Force in the year, 2009, on two years contract basis. The contract of petitioners was extended from time to time. The Provincial Assembly passed Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Service) Act, 2009, whereby all the contract employees holding a post on 31.12.2008 or till the commencement of the Act, were declared as regular civil servants. On the strength of the above said policy, services of other employees were regularized, however, the petitioners were deprived from the benefit of it, therefore, they approached the respondents for regularization of their services on the strength of the above said policy but in vain, hence, the above referred writ petitions

Respondents submitted their comments, wherein they stated that in view of ongoing operation against militants in the province, the persons from the Internally Displaced persons (IDPs) were ordered to be appointed in the Special Police Force on contract basis, therefore, the policy of the year, 2009, referred to ibid, is not applicable to the case of the petitioners.

Perhand High Cour

Learned counsel for the petitioners argued that the 5. petitioners were appointed in Special Police Force since the year, 2009-2010 and they are performing their duties till date with the same terms and conditions. They argued that the petitioners have rendered almost ten years service, but they have not been given service protection. They referred to the Pakhtunkhwa, Employees (Regularization of Services) Act, 2009 and argued that employees working in different departments have been regularized except the petitioners despite the fact that the job of the petitioner is exactly the same with those employees, who are performing their duties in regular police force, therefore, the act and action of the respondents is discriminatory.

- Conversely, learned AAG argued that while 6. appointir 3 the petitioners, there was no specific criteria to be adopted and it was, in fact, for the encouragement and compensation of the Internally Displaced Persons, such recruitment was made. Fe argued that there is great difference in the criteria of Special Police and Regular Police, therefore, the Klyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Services) Act, 2009 is not applicable to the case of petitioners.
- We have heard arguments of the learned 7. counsel for the parties and have also perused the record.

Perusal of the record reveals that, initially, it was . 8. decided for the recruitmen of 6725 personnel in Swat, Buner, Shangla, Dir Upper and Dir Lower Districts on contract basis as Special Police Force, thereafter, such appointments were also made in other Districts of the province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Initially, there was no set criteria for such recruitment as the same was to be made from the Internally Displaced persons (IDPs), however, even for such appointments, there was a Recruitment committee to be headed by District Police Officer that was required to observe the age, physical fitness, i.e., height, chest etc. After advent of time, the issuance of advertisement for the filling of such posts was also made mandatory. Vide notification dated 24.10.2009, the Provincial Assembly has promulgated Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization Services) Act, 2009. The relevant section 3 of the said Act is reproduced for ready reference.

Section (3)

Regularization of service of certain employees. All employees including recommendee of the High Court appointed on contract or adhoc basis and hold that post on 31st December, 2008 or till the commencement of this Act shall be opened to have been validly appointed on regular basis having the same qualification and experience for a regular post:

According to the above section, there are three conditions for regularization of service of the contract

TED SER

10 MOV 2017

employees, namely, (i) employees must have been appointed on contract or dhoc basis, (ii) they were holding the said post on 31.12.2008 or till the commencement of this Act, i.e, 24.10.2009, and (iii) having the pre-requisite qualification and experience required for a regular post.

Similarly, section 2 of the Act says that:-

Section (2).

aa) "Contract appointment" means of a duly made person than in accordance with the prescribed method of vecruitment.

b)"employee" means an adhoc or a contract employee appointed by Government on adhoc or contract basis or second shirt/night shift but does not include the employees for project post or appointed on work charge basis or who are paid out of contingencies

It is pertinent to mention here that earlier the Provincial Government, vide Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Servants (Amendment) Act, 2005, amended section 19 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servant Act, 1973. Provincial Government regularized the services of contract employees throughout the province but benefit of Section 19(2) was not extended to some of the employees on the ground that they have not been appointed in the prescribed manner. The controversy came up before this Court in a case of Dr. Rizwanullah and 12 others vs. Government of

#### N.-W.F.F through Chief Secretary, NWFP, Peshawar and

4 others (2009 PLC (CS) 389) wherein it was held that:-

16. A bare look at the history of legislation on this subject in the past further reinforced the above view that the petitioners' service have been duly regularized by the legislature and nothing has been left for the executive to notify their names in the official gazette or to pass any executive order. In this regard, the N.-W.F.P Ad hoc Civil Servants (Regularization of Services) Act-II of 1987 is much relevant wherein a proviso was added to section 3 thereof to the following effect:--

"Provided that---

(i) the services of such civil servants shall be deemed to have been regularized under this Act only on the publication of their names in the official Gazette,"

In the N.-W.F.P Employees on Contract Basis (Regularization of Services) Act, VIII of 1989, Section 4 is couched in the following words;

"S. Regulation of services of certain Civil Servants.—
(1) Notwithstanding anything contained in any law for the time being in force, any Civil Servant, who is or has been appointed or deemed to have been appointed against any post in any Government Department under section 3 of this Act shall be deemed to have been regularly appointed from the date of his continuous afficiation, subject to eligibility, according to the service rules applicable to the post, verified by the administrative Secretary of the department concerned."

17. Again the same language was used in section 4 of the N.-W.F.P Employees on Contract Basis (Regularization of Services) (Amendment) Act-II of 1990.

The relevant provision of the Act (IX), 2005 has been worded almost in a totally different language wherein nothing has been left for the administrative secretaries or the heads of the attached def artment/competent authorities to issue notification

Attested

E AMINE EOUT

with regard to the regula ization of service of contract employees because the object has been clearly accomplished through the plainly understandable words used in section 2(2) of the Act (IX) 2005. On this analogy all the petitioners stood regularized on coming into force of the Act under discussion and issuance of notification/executive order in this regard would be only a formality for the departmental heads/administrative secretaries. Thus authorities were under statutory obligation to do what is equired by the law to do and the petitioners were not required under the said provision to approach them for issuance of such order/notification."

In the present case, the petitioners have been 10. appointed by the Departmental Selection Committee and faced the process of selection regarding physical fitness, height, chest etc. They are performing their duties since year, 2009. Different documents were placed on file, according to which, rumber of Special Police Force employees were proceeded under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Police Rules, 1975. It has also not been denied that the petitioners are performing exactly the same duties as performed by the members of the regular police. Therefore, we found that the case of he petitioners squarely come within the purview of the provisions of the Regu arization Act, 2009, referred to ibid, because they have been appointed on contract basis in a manner prescribed by the Government at that time and that they have also been appointed between the period which was

Attestel

ATTESTED

EXAMINER

10.10V 2017

Employees Khyber Pakhtunkhwa given by the (Regularization of Services) Act, 2009 (ACT No. XVI OF 2009).

It is pertinent to mention here that the special 11. Police Force is performing duty side by side with the regular police. They encounter terrorists and embraces "Shahadat". Their cases for grant of "Shuhada Package" were, initially, regretted by the Provincial Government, however, this Court in a judgment and order dated 19.5.2015 in W.P No. 2502/2015, held their families to avail the said benefit, announced by the Provincial Government.

There is yet ano her very important aspect of the case that is the definition given to the contract employees is clear enough to bring the case of the petitioner within the Pakhtunkhwa Employees purview Khyber (Regularization of Services) Act, 2009, because as objected by the respondents the appointments of the petitioners on contract basis was made otherwise than the method prescribed under the recruitment Rules. In fact, the intention the legislature while promulgating the Khyber Pakhturl hwa Employees (Regularization of Services) Act, were appointed not in accordance with the prescribed

12.

procedure and who were holding post on 31.12.2008 or uptill 24.10.2009.

- When analyzing the case of the petitioners on 13. the touchstone of the Act of 2009, we leave no doubt in our mind that the petitioners stood regularized by operation of law on the promulgation of the Act, ibid.
- For the stated reasons, this and the connected 14. writ petitions are allowed and it is declared that those petitioners who are holding the post of constable/Special Police Force on 31.12.2008 or till the commencement of the Act, 2009, ibid, i.e., 24. 0.2009 shall be deemed to be regular zed.

CHIEF JUSTICE

Announced. Dt.24.10.2017

JUDGE

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COF

(M.Zafral P.S)

(DB of Hon'able Mr. Justice Yahya Afridi, HCJ, and Hon'able Mr 'ustice Ijaz Anwar.)



A. mexure - C.20-22

#### BEFORE THE HONORABLE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT PESHAWAR

Writ Petition No. 1930-P/2016

Muhammad Anwar and others.....(Petitioners)

#### Versus

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, though Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs, Peshawar and others. . . . . . . (Respondents)

COMMENTS ON BEHALL OF RESPONDENTS Subject:-

#### Preliminary Objections:-

- The petition has not been based on facts. a)
- The petition is not maintain ble in the present form. b)
- The petition is bad for non-joinder and mis-joinder of necessary c) parties.
- d) The per tioners are estopped to file the petition.
- The petitioners have got no cause of action to file the petition. e)
- The petition is barred by law and limitation. **f**)
- The petitioners have not come to the Honorable Court with clean g) hands.

#### FACTS:-

1.

Correct to the extent that in view of insurgency of militancy in Khybe Pakhtunkhwa, ongoing operation against militants at Malakand Region, to encourage community policing and to compensate the Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs), the government sanctioned funds for recruitment of Special Police on contract basis for assistance of the regular Police.

Correct to the extent that petitioners and others were recruited on contract basis however, there is great difference in the criteria prescriped for special Police and regular Police.

Correct to the extent that petitioners were initially recruited on contrast basis for two years and the contract was extend from time to time.

Correct to the extent that Special Police assisted the regular Police in discharge of their functions but they were untrained and inexperienced fellows.

Incorrect, the selection process of the Special Police were different Attested than prescribed for regular Police.

Process in different but land of duties

For Jan Jac 30

A Comment of Sec 30

A Comment of S

Correct, to the extent that Spicial Police assisted regular Police and seect Starbovernment had chalked out proper Policy for grant of "Shaheed"

Page NO 5 Rejument Lind package to legal heirs of Special Police "Shuhada".

Incorrect NWFP (KP) employees (Regularization of Service) Act, 2009, is not applicable to pentioners. According to Section 2 (f) of the Act, "Post" means a post under government or in connection with affairs of government to be filled in on the recommendation of commission and the post of constable does not fall within the category of commission posts. Again appointment, seniority & promotion of Police department is governed by Special law i.e. Police order and Police Rules.

Incorrect, the petitioners prayer for regularization was without any force and substance. As explained in reply to Para-7 that NWFP (KP) employee (Regularization of Service) Act, 2009 is not applicable to the petitioner. There was great difference in physical, age, educational, criteria prescribed for special Police and regular Police. Again the members of special Police were the recommended by the notables of the village and not by selection committee constituted for recruitment of regular Police.

Incorrect, the petitioners were appointed on contract basis and most of them were already overage as age limit for special Police was 45 years as against 25 years against for regular Police. The petition of petitioner is not sustainable on the given grounds.

In correct flery sew are above 45-80st all of thronge 25

#### **GROUNDS:-**

Incorrect, petitioners were employed on contract basis and their case is not covered under NW 5P (KP) Employee (Regularization of Service) Act, 2009.

Incorrect, members of Special Police are allowed to participate in the examination of recruitment of regular Police subject to fulfilling the prescribed qualifications. Also additional 03 marks are allotted to such candidates on qualifying the examination.

Incorrect, petitioners voluntarily joined special Police on contract basis and they were well in picture of the fate of the contract service.

Incorrect, contract service is no ground for regularization and there is no rule or law which may allow regularization of petitioners.

That respondents may also seek permission of raising additional grounds during arguments of the case.

b)

c)

a)

d)

e)

1

It is therefore, praced that the petition of petitioners may be dismissed with costs.

Secretary to Gov: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & TAs department Peshawar.

(Respondent Name Secretary

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

(Respondent No.3)

Provincial Police Officer Knyber Pukhtinkhawa

Attested

 $\subset$ CC C  $C_{r}$ C  $\overline{C}$  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc$ HUNEXUNA  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc$ 0 0  $\bigcirc$  $\mathbb{C}$  $\bigcirc$ 

(

1	· · ·	; ;		
	566	Mati Ullah	Sami Ur Rahman	627
i	567	Khan Zamie	Gul Zamin	628
Ì	568	Badshah Zada	Mohammad Nagin Khan	629
	569	Inayat of Hari	Sher Afzal Khao	630
	570	Said Moter Lon	Aurung Zeh	631
:	571	Enyay Bada	Fazal Malcon	632
-	572	Saced ulien	Fazyi Rahmai.	633
il	573	Khesro	Muhammad Zahir Shah 🕠	634
	574	Ubaid Ali Khan	Tali Mand Khan	635
	5/75	Farid Khan	Bakht Jehan Khan	636
	576	Shafi Ullah	Rozi Khan	637
I	577	Muhd; Rahman	Abdur Rahiro	638
	573	Muhd; Umar 0	Barkat Jan	639
:	579	Ali Rahman	Mohammad Raza Khan	640
	580	Sahib Zada /	Akbar Zada	641
1	581	Zia Uilah /	Abdul Hamid	642
-	582	Said Robullah	Bahadar Khan	644
	583	Misac of Hisc	Gul Azirr Khan	646
	584	Klayal wholemmad	Mazz Ulah Khan	647
1	585	Amjad Khar	Aziz Jan	648
	586	Irshad Ahmad	Sherin Zada	649
	527 -	Arshad tobal .	Bashir	650
1	508	Kamesa Hasan	Zahir Shab	145
-	589	Dilawa Phys.	Facul Cavino	254
· 	590	Abdid 181 v	Fastan Almost	483
ĺ.	591	lmad a there	Missal Khan	564

Georgiany to Govern of Khylber Patchenickhova Plime & Tribal Alfairs, Department

#### Endst No & date even

Copy forwarded for information to:

The Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
The Regional Police Officer, Malakand.
The District Police Officer, Dir Upper.

The District Account: Officer Dir Upper.

PS to Home Secretary, Elbyopa Pakhtunkhwa.

SECTION OFFICER (BUDGET)

Fag.

# ، ۱۹ - ۲۶ جاب کی جی این ماحب خیبر پختو گواه بشاور گفتور جناب آئی جی بی ماحب خیبر پختو گواه بشاور

# ورخواست بمرادعطا ميكي Back B mefit ال 2009ء و Contract Back Service مثامل فرانے Feriod

جناب عالى! سائل حسب ذيل عرض رسال ---

1۔ یہ کہ سائل 2009ء میں SPO بھرتی ہوئے تھے ادر پشاور ہائی کورٹ نے 2017ء میں مستقل کرنے کا فیصلہ فرمایا تھا۔

2۔ یہ کہ محکمہ پولیس نے کیم مارچ 2020ء کومستقل کرنے کا آر ڈر فرمایا۔ ہے۔

للذا استدعا ہے کہ سائل کہ بمطابق قانون بھرتی کی ناریخ سے Regular کرنے کا تھم صادر فرما یا جاو ہے۔

202

المعلقات بلي منير المال

4

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtun Khwa, Peshavar

Subject:

"Issuance of Regular & Contract Order of Special

Police Force"

Respected SIR,

With due respect, it is stated that please issue me Copy of my regular & Contract order.

It is my hurably request to provide me a copy of regular & Contract Order as soon as possible; I will be thankful to you.

you're sincerely,

Dated: 1/12/2020

## SERVICE QUALIFYING FOR PENSION

Conditions of Oualifications:not qualify for pension unless it conforms to the following three conditions:-The service of a Government Servant does The Sci fice must be under Go ernment. Second: Third.

The service must not be Non-pensionable.

The service must be paid by Go ernment from the Provincial Consolidated

## SERVICE RENDERED AFTER RETIREMENT ON SUPERANNUATION PENSION.

Service rendered after retirement on superannuation poension/retiring pension shall not count for pens., n or gratuity. Note below Rule - 2.1

Begining of Service. Subject to any special rules, the service of Civil servant begins to qualify for pens on when he takes over charge of the post to which he is first Rule 2.2.

Temporary and off siating service: for pension as indicated be ow;-Temporary and officiating service shall count

- Civil servan's borne on temporary stablishment who have rendered more than five years continuous temporary service shall count such service for ii)
- temporary a (1 officiating service fol) wed by confirmation shall also count

## CLARIFICATION OF PHRASE - QUALIFYING SERVICE

Temporary and officialing service followed by confirmation or temporary/officiating service exceeding five years qualifies for pension.

Some confusion seem to exist in some quarters as to how condonation of interruptions between two sp. 4s of temporary/officiating service may be regulated under The 2.12(1) of the West Pakistan Civil Services Pension Rules. According to Rule 2.3 ibid temporary first officiating service followed by confirmation or temporary/officiating service of more than fee years counts or pension/gratuity. The provisions of Rules 2.12(1) take cognizance of only those cases where the Government's evant had prior to the interruption endered periods of qualifying service and it is const lreed fit to permit him to count certain past qualifying service to vards pension/gratuity. The condonation of interruptions in service with a view to allowing past Non-qualifying temporary/officiating service to qualify for pension/gratuity unde Rule 2.3 is not permis ible. In other words condonation of interruptions for pension/graphity in temporary/offic tting service is permissible only where the broken period of temp wary/officiating service is qualiffying i.e. it exceeds five years or is followed by confirm; ton. Where neither contation is fulfilled, condonation of is not permissible. To make it more clear the following illustrations are given:-

Attested 1920 1 lution

#### IN THE COURT OF

Mx - 1	Afzal Khan VERSUS	CIONL: O.F KPI
\$/9.	Afzal Khan <u>VERSUS</u> Parlas Khan	twough chief
Accuse Petition	· ·	f espondent/
Appella	ant/	Complainant
Plaintif		1
	Duted: Police Si	tation:
_	L to whom these presents shall come that I the und	ersigned a: point:
Mu	shammad Anwar	Khan, (Pushton Ghari),
	cate, High Court, Peshan ar (herein efter	
	pellant/Petitioner in the above mentioned case, to em .that is to say :	do all the allowing acts, deeds and things or
l) ·	To act and plead in the above minitioned case in th	
	may be tried or heard in the first instance or in a stage of its progress until its final decision.	appeal or coview or execution or in any other
- 2)	To sign, verify and present pleasings, appeals, cro	ss - abject - ns .petitions for execution, review
	. revision, withdrawal, compronase or other petit	ion ar afficavits or other documents as shail
đ١	be deemed necessary or advisable for the prosecu	
3)	To withdraw or compromise in the said case or s that shall arise touching or in a y manner relating	
. 4)	To receive money and grant receipts therefore an	
<b>.</b>	necessary to be done for the progress and the co	urse of the prosecution of the said case.
5)	To engage any other Legal pro stitioner authorizing thereby conferred on the Advocate whenever he m	•
	'AND I hereby agree to ratify who tever the Advocat	
	AND I hereby agree not to Hold the Advocate or i	ts substitu a responsible for the result of the
	said case and in consequence of his absence from	n the court when the said case is called up for
•	hearing AND I hereby that in the event of the whole or any	part of the fee agreed by me to be paid to the
	Advocate remaining unpaid. He shall be entitled	
	case until the same is paid.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF I hereunt: set my hand to t	hann name to the contacts of which have hour
	explained to and understood by me, this 24 da	
		افغلىفان
		$\mathcal{O}^*\mathcal{O}^{-}$
	Accepted Ally	Signéture/ thumb impréssion
		of party / parties.
	Muhammad Anwar Khan, (Punh	ton Charff.
. /	Advacate High Court. Pesnewar: Cell No 0333-9262374	
	Office Address:- Law 1) jamber No 127, N	ew Bur Ro. m. Judicial Complex, Poshawer
		,