18 06 2015

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Asstt: AG for the respondents present. Agent of counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment as counsel for the appellant was busy before Dar-Ul-Qaza, Swat. Adjourned to 24.06.2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B.

Member

24.06.2015

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Assistant A.G for respondents present. Counsel for the appellant is stated busy before Darul Qaza. Adjourned to 29.7.2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B.

Charman

29.07.2015

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant intends to further document the appeal including the placing of judgment of Hon'ble High Court passed in Writ Petition in favour of the appellant. May do so within a fortnight where-after appeal be fixed for preliminary hearing on 3.9.2015 before S.B.

د و Chairman

03.09.2015

None present for appellant despite repeated calls. Even the appeal has not been documented within the time specified by the Court. The Court time is over. The appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED 03.09.2015

27.01.2015

Application in appeal 16.399/2013

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Asst:

Advocate General for the respondents present. Counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 18.03.2015.

Member

18.03.2015

None for the appellant present. Asst: AG for the respondents present. Notice to counsel for the appellant be issued for 20.05.2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B.

Member

20.05.2015

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Asstt: AG for the respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant is stated busy before Dar-Ul-Qaza, Swat. Adjourned to 18 06 2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B.

Member

16.06.2014

Counsel for the appellant present. Application for restoration of appeal filed by the learned counsel for the appellant is handed over to the learned GP, meanwhile pre-admission notice be issued to the learned GP to assist the Tribunal. To come up for arguments on restoration application of appeal on 01.09.2014.

Member

01.09.2014

Clerk of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, GP for the respondents present. Clerk of counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment due to General Strike of the Bar. To come up for arguments on restoration application of appeal on 06.11.2014.

Member

06.11.2014

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Kattak, Asst: AG for the respondents present. Arguments on application for restoration of appeal heard. Application is allowed. The appeal is hereby restored. To come up for preliminary hearing on 27.01.2015.

Member

Counsel for the appellant present and submitted an application for restoration of appeal. To come up for arguments on restoration application of appeal on 05.03.2014.

Member

05.03.2014

Clerk of counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. To come up for argument on application for restoration of appeal on \$\daggeq 04.2014.

Member

22.04.2014

No one is present on behalf of the appellant. To come up for arguments on application for restoration of appeal on 16.06.2014.

lember



BEFORE THE ERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA , PESHAWAR,

S. Appeal No 379 / 2013

Salubul Tay

Govt of K.P.K. L. others.

Application with humble request for restoration of the dismissal order in default dated /2013.

Respectfully Submitted.

1. That the above titled service appeal NO349/w was fixed before your honor for J/J-/2013.

2. That on the same date counsel for the appellant was busy before the Peshawar High Court Darul Qaza Mingora Bench in writ petitions NO. 2141/M/2012 titled Israr Sher Bahader VS Habaib Rasool and others & C.R. NO Khaista VS Ahmad Iqbal and otherss, Amin Ullah & Darvish VS Govt of K.P.K. & Others other case.

3. That as already the appellant before this seriously ill & had Informed the counsel that he unable to attend the court due to his illness (operation was made. Therefore the appellant was not present on the same date.

- 4. That not appearing of the counsel of the appellant before this Honorable Court was not intentionally but due to the above mentioned reason.
- 5. That no notice been served over the appellant or counsel of appellant from court side:
- 6.. That the appellant valuable rights are involved with this case.
- 7. That appellant got the information of dismissal on 1.1.2014.
- : 8. That case need disposal over merit that is the basic requirement of law.

9. That others arguments will be at the time of herring this application.

It is there for humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application this Honorable court may kindly restore the service appeal mentioned above and decide on merit.

Through

: L.: Nawab All Yoor Mivo

High Court Pestawar.

Certificate:

Certificate that all the contents of the above mentioned opplication are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOO PESHAWAR.

S.Appeal NO. of:

or 2013. Appeal No 399/13

1. Sahib -u-Taj PET GMS Atteish Kotki Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

1.Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.

- 2. Director (e & se) Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer District Shangla.
- 4. District Co-Ordination Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)

Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E& SE) Shangla.

Prayer in appeal.

On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3, whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from, may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority, of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awarded to appellant because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.

Respectfully sheweth:

1. That the appellant was appointed as LET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant and others

14/2/13

Khyber (Shawara)

Appealats. 389/2013

02.12.2013

Neither the appellant nor his counsel present. Called for may times but no one is present for the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed in default in limine. File be consigned to the record.

\*\*Mathematical Called for may times but no one is present for the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed in default in limine. File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED 02.12.2013.

Ceres copy

E Tribunal Pesing at 1

Date of Present Androtten 17-12-201

Number of 20

Copylag 14

Uignet

Nomo at 2

Date of Civil 30-12-2013

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOOKKHWA PESHAWAR.

or 2013. APPECIE 110.399/13

1. Sahib -u-Taj PET GMS Atteish Kotki Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.
- 2.Director (e & se) Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer District Shangla.
- 4.District Co-Ordinatión Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)

Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E& SE) Shangla.

Prayer in appeal,

On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3, whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from , may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority, of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awarded to appellant because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.

Respectfully sheweth:

I. That the appellant was appointed as FET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant and others

. Peshawat

Appeal of 389 and 18 Mr. Bahraman Man Bahraman Moither the appellant nor his counsel present. Called for may

02.12.2013

times but no one is present for the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed in default in limine. File be consigned to the

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 02.12.2013.

record.

Date of Prosent

EKAMANAR Kinyber Palk cunking Service Tribunal, Peshuwat

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOO Appeal no 392

S.Appeal NO.

1. Sahib -u-Taj PET GMS Atteish Kotki Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

- I.Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.
- 2.Director (e & se) Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer District Shangla.
- 4. District Co- Ordination Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)

Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E& SE) Shangla.

Prayer in appeal.

On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3, whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from, may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority, of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awarded to appellant because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.

. Respectfully sheweth:

1. That the appellant was appointed as rET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant, and others

Peshawat

Appealolo. 389/20/3
Who - Beknownsunk
Neither the appellant nor his counsel present. Called for may

02.12.2013

times but no one is present for the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed in default in limine. File be consigned to the

record

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 02.12.2013.

sembus

Сору

Date of Present of Must be a factor of Must be

30-12-20/3 30-12-20/3 30-12-20/3

MKAMANASE Knyber Palexumkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar Appeal No. 389 2013

Neither the appellant nor his counsel present. Called for may

02.12.2013.

times but no one is present for the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed in default in limine. File be consigned to the

record.

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 02.12.2013.

Member

22.05.2013

No one is present for the appelant. In pursuance of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tibunals (Amendment Ordinance, 2013) (Khyber Pakhtnkhwa Order II of 2013), the case is adjourned on note Reader for proceeding as before on 16.7.2013

Reader.

16.07.2013

No one is present on behalf of the appellant. Notices be issued to the appellant/counsel for the appellant for preliminary hearing on 02.10.2013.

Member

02.10.2013

Counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. To come up for preliminary hearing \$\omega\$ 02.12.2013.

ember

Counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. Case adjourned to 22.5.2013 for preliminary hearing on the point of maintainability of appeal as to whether the impugned order dated 15.1.2013 is original or appellate order.

•Member.

# Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

<ul> <li>Court of</li> </ul>	, ,		•		
,		:			
Case No			399/20	13	

	Case No	399/2013
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1_	· · · · · 2	3
1	14/02/2013	The appeal of Mr.Sahib-u-Taj resubmitted today by Mr.
		t.Nawab Ali Noor Advocate may be entered in the Institution
		Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for preliminary
		hearing.
		REGISTRAR /
2	18-2-2013	This case is entrusted to Primary Bench for preliminary
		hearing to be put up there on $5-4-20/3$
		CHARMAN
		CHARAMIAN
	1	
,		
	r	
1	,	

The appeal of Mr Sahibul Taj PET received today i.e. on 01/02/2013 is incomplete on the following scores which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days:-

nemoved

objections (1-) Copy of termination order mentioned in para-1 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

> Copy of Judgment of Hon'ble High Court mentioned in para-3 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

Affidavit may be got attested by the Oath Commissioner.

4-, Title of the Index is shown as Peshawar High Court instead of Service Tribunal.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

L.NWAB ALI NOOR ADV. PESH.

peut fully Subsmitted. Needfull done kindly put pefore the Count.

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL K.P.K. PESHAWAR.

GEORGE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL K.P.K. PESHAWAR.

GAPPUN # 2013 MIRCOL NO 39/20/3

1.Sahibul Taj PET GMS Atteish Kotki Shangla District Shangla .

(Appellant).

# Versus

1. Govt of K.P.K. Through Secretary (E & SE) Peshawar & others..

(Respondents)

### INDEX

S.No.	Description of Documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Appeal		1-3
2	Affidavit		4
3	Copy of the reinstatement order	A	5
4.	Copy of the appeal	$\mathcal{B}$	6
5	Copies of the rejection order	C	7
8	Waklat Nama		8

Through

Appellant

Bubu That

L.Nawab Ali Noor Advocate High Court Peshawar BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA PESHAWAR. of 2013. Appeal No. 399/13

S.Appeal NO.

1. Sahib -u-Taj PET GMS Atteish Kotki Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

#### VERSUS

- 1.Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.
- 2. Director (e & se) Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer Distric? Shangla.
- 4. District Co-Ordination Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)

Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E& SE) Shangla.

Prayer in appeal.

On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3, whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from, may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority, of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awarded to appellant

because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.

Respectfully sheweth:

1. That the appellant was appointed as PET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant and others

vad filed.

were terminated on 24.7.2004 from the service on the ground that they are qualified of the diploma of S.D.P.E in place of J.D.P.E.

- 2. That the appellant along with others colleagues challenge the impugned termination before the Peshawar High Court through W.P which was allowed on 24.2.2006 in favor of the appellant and others.
- 3. That appellant and their colleagues were reinstated back to their services and the impugned order dated 24.7.2004 was set aside through the judgment of the Peshawar High Court.
- 4. That over the judgment dated 24.2.2006 the respondents issued order dated 13.4.2006 was re-instated/adjusted back to his own post of PET in their respective schools however no order regarding back benefits or arrears was passed for appellant and the continuation of service of the appellant made question mark. (copy is omnoure A)
- 5. That it was like day clear that the order of the Peshawar High Court was regarding the re-instatement of the appellant not fresh appointment and through the judgment the appellant the same termination order was set aside.
- 6. That right from the re-instatement the appellant request through proper channel and continuously requesting for the arrears and all back benefits of the period w.e.f 20.4.2004 to 24.2.2006, but till date the appellant case kept held and finally it was rejected on 15.1.2013.

  (Copy of opposits registration order all arreases BSE respectively).
- 7. That appellant having no other remedy except to knock the door of this Honorable tribunal on the following amongst others.

#### **GROUNDS:**

- a). THAT the rejection order in question is against the law, rules of service and facts.
- b). THAT before passing the order in question the relevant procedure on the subject, as provided by the law, has not been followed.
- c). THAT no procedure was followed by the respondents before passing of the rejection order dated 15.1.2013 neither any law relevant to the

service / termination has been followed nor any legal finding regarding the rejection of appeal been mentioned.

- d). THAT the respondents rejection order regarding the appeal is unlawful, illegal, beyond the jurisdiction and based on malafid.
- e).THAT the order of the Peshawar High Court is clear about the reinstatement nor about the fresh appointment and thus the appellant been reinstated on their own service through set aside the order of termination dated 24.7.2004.
- f). THAT in order never been mentioned that back benefit will not be awarded to appellant the rejection / not allowing the back benefit is the sweet will of the respondents by self.
- g). THAT question mark before this Honorable court that if the appellant was re-Instated meaning thereby that service was continue and break is there then under what law / rules the respondent not awarding the salaries. Back benefits to appellant.
- h). THAT the appellant is serving in the department with honestly & he has unblemished record and performed his duty honestly and to the best satisfaction of his superior.
- i).THAT the appellant treated discriminately because many presidents are there in the education department in such like cases all back benefits been awarded by the said department.

It is therefore respectfully prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the impugned rejection order dated 15.1.2013 may be set-aside and the appellant may kindly be allowed the salaries / back benefit of the period 20.5.2004 to 20.5.2006 with all back benefits including salaries to appellant.

Through

L. Nawab Ali Noor San Advocate High Court Peshawa

Appellant

HALLE

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA PESHAWAR.

S.Appeal NO. of 2013.

1. Sahib -u-Taj PET GMS Atteish Kotki Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

1.Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar & others.

(Respondents)

## **AFFIDAVIT**

I, L. Nawab Ali Noor Advocate High Court Peshawar as per instruction of my client solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the accompanying service appeal is true & correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Horrible court.

Deponent

# OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DIBIRTOR OFFICER(S&L)SHANGI

# OFFICE ORDER.

Consequent upon the orders of the hencurable High Court , Peshawar possed on 24/2/2006, re-instateme adjustment of the fallowing (ETs(N) is hereby ordered in the schools noted against each, in the interest of public service with effect from 24/2/2008:-S.No. Name & Designation

Mr. Sahibul Taj, FET Mr. Mohammad Alim, FET Mr. Shulam Akbar, PET

Mr. Mohammad Muse, Pkr Mr. Hamidur Rohmon, PET Mr. Jan Badshah, PET

Place of posting/adjustme

GHS-Titwalan. GHS-Amnevi. GMS-Chagam. GMS-Nakhtar Hasham Khel. GMS-Khadang. GME=Golibat.

Note:-

1) No TA/DA is allowed under the rules.
2) All the re-instated PETs will be bound to fulfil all the canditions mentioned in their previous appointment order issued under this effice Endst: No. 7297-7323 dated 20/5/2004.

3) Respondent No.7 and 8 in the above court order will continue their services in the light of court

4) Charge Reports should be submitted to all concerned

( MOHAMMAD SAEED ) E/No.3268-85 /EDO(SCLSH/Dated 13 Executive District Officer, Schools & Literacy, Shangla.

104.

The Advocate General Feshawar High Court, Peshawar.

The Director, S&L, NWEP, Feshawar.

The PS to Secretary to Gevt:of NWEP, S&L, Deptt: Peshawar.

Affairs and Human Rights Department, Peshawar.

The District Geordination Officer, Shangla.

The District Accounts Officer, Shangla.

7-12) All concerned Reed Inches for information n/action.

13-18) Personal files of the touchers concerned for record.

Ullestry Officery

DISTRICT OFFICER, (M&F) S&L, Shangla.

Heed moster, Good angle School, Amnovi. Disti: Shangla

Bilist (E/SE) COLLE EDO -LOCUES عنوان: روالت عرو (ن) جائ والمرازي اوسي من بمالي اردي ارس - JUJULION C 20/5/2004 (11) dence 1.1.1 (1.0) PET 36/2 (Jel) (1.0) 30, 20 cm - 3001 00 0 20 20 7297-7323 \$ 27 7 13 ( Sid Co Com et - & SAPE ) Lin Weg colling of Willing 12006 (circ) ( leie Galle 3 m) ( million on) - Who dis are 1 2 b de sels come de il est este 129 - 16/10 P 24 2006 C 20 = 2004 CM CM JOR BOCK, anties of wilder and ment of or les or les ا دوراند کا تعیق عرفات سری مرافات وا منات اور سناری che sue Elder Lity - dr Obro 6/2 ( Les of the ) PET Z. WICOLD ( GMS 14/2012 (900

Anx. e- I

OPPIC. OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (E&SE) DISTRICT SHANGLA.

No 245-48 /DEO (E&SE) SH

Dated 15 107 /2013

To.,

- .1) Mr Ghulam Akbar PET,GMS Chagum.
- 2) Mr Mohammad Musa PET GMS Nakhtar Hasham Kheland
- 31 Mr. Mohammad Alim PET, GHS Flaza
- 4) Mr Sahibul Taj PET 28 kotkay.

Subject

# **APPEALS**

Memo;

Reference your departmental appeals dated 14/12/2012 for the grant of back benefits (pay & seniority).

In this regard you are hereby informed that in the light of the Court decision High Court Peshawar you have adjusted as PETs vide this office No 3258-85 dated 13/04/2006 but in the above decision no order has passed by the said Handrable Court regarding back benefits..

Hence the appeals on the above mentioned ground are rejected.

DISTINCT EDUCATION OFFICER

Hospid Hospid

Mayes مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالاميس اپن طرف سے واسطے بیروی وجواب دہی وکل کاروائی متعلقہ مقرركر كاقراركياجا تام كرك كساحب موصوف ومقدمه كى كل كاروانى كا كامل اختيار موكا - نيز وكيل صاحب كوراضى نامهرنے وتقرر ثالث وفيصله برحلف ديئے جواب دہى اورا قبال دعوى اور بصورت و گری کرنے اجراءاورصولی چیک وروپیدار عرضی دعوی اور درخواست ہرتم کی تقدیق زرای پردستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم بیروی یا ڈیٹر کا ایک طرف یا اپل کی برامدگی اورمنسوخی نیزدائر کرنے اپیل مگرانی ونظر تانی و پیروی کرنے کا ختیار ہوگا۔ ازبصورات فطرورت مقدمہ ندکور کے ماجزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کوایے جمورہ یا اسپیل کواہے تقرر کا اختیار موكا \_اورصاحب مقرر شده كوبهي وهي جمله ندكوره بااختيارات حاصل مهل محرك اورال كاساخته پرواخته منظور وقبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چہوہرجاندالتوالے مقد کرلا کے کوپ سے وہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دور د برمو یا حدے باہر موتو وکیل صاحب یا بلدمول مذك كرمن لمبذا وكالت نام تكهديا كرسندر -4412 Et 2049 16 31 ا کے لئے منظور ہے۔

Merriber's april

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA PESHAWAR.

S.Appeal NO. of 2013.

1. Sahib -u/Taj PET GMS Atteish Kotki Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

#### VERSUS

- 1.Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.
- 2.Director (e & se) Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer District Shangla.
- 4. District Co-Ordination Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)

Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E& SE) Shangla.

Prayer in appeal.

On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3, whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from, may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority, of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awardêd to appellant because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.

# Respectfully sheweth:

1. That the appellant was appointed as PET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant and others were terminated on 24.7.2004 from the service on the ground that they are qualified of the diploma of S.D.P.E in place of J.D.P.E.

- 2. That the appellant along with others colleagues challenge the impugned termination before the Peshawar High Court through W.P which was allowed on 24.2.2006 in favor of the appellant and others.
- 3. That appellant and their colleagues were reinstated back to their services and the impugned order dated 24.7.2004 was set aside through the judgment of the Peshawar High Court.
- 4. That over the judgment dated 24.2.2006 the respondents issued order dated 13.4.2006 was re-instated/adjusted back to his own post of PET in their respective schools however no order regarding back benefits or arrears was passed for appellant and the continuation of service of the appellant made question mark. (Copy is amount A)
- 5. That it was like day clear that the order of the Peshawar High Court was regarding the re-instatement of the appellant not fresh appointment and through the judgment the appellant the same termination order was set aside.
- 6. That right from the re-instatement the appellant request through proper channel and continuously requesting for the arrears and all back benefits of the period w.e.f 20.4.2004 to 24.2.2006, but till date the appellant case kept held and finally it was rejected on 15.1.2013. (Copy of opposed a superior order all arraway Backway).
- 7. That appellant having no other remedy except to knock the door of this Honorable tribunal on the following amongst others.

# **GROUNDS:**

- a). THAT the rejection order in question is against the law, rules of service and facts.
- b). THAT before passing the order in question the relevant procedure on the subject, as provided by the law, has not been followed.
- c). THAT no procedure was followed by the respondents before passing of the rejection order dated 15.1.2013 neither any law relevant to the

- service / termination has been followed nor any legal finding regarding the rejection of appeal been mentioned.
- d). THAT the respondents rejection order regarding the appeal is unlawful, illegal, beyond the jurisdiction and based on malafid.
- e). THAT the order of the Peshawar High Court is clear about the reinstatement nor about the fresh appointment and thus the appellant been reinstated on their own service through set aside the order of termination dated 24.7.2004.
- f). THAT in order never been mentioned that back benefit will not be awarded to appellant the rejection / not allowing the back benefit is the sweet will of the respondents by self.
- g). THAT question mark before this Honorable court that if the appellant was re- Instated meaning thereby that service was continue and break is there then under what law / rules the respondent not awarding the salaries. Back benefits to appellant.
- h). THAT the appellant is serving in the department with honestly & he has unblemished record and performed his duty honestly and to the best satisfaction of his superior.
- i). THAT the appellant treated discriminately because many presidents are there in the education department in such like cases all back benefits been awarded by the said department.

It is therefore respectfully prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the impugned rejection order dated 15.1.2013 may be set-aside and the appellant may kindly be allowed the salaries / back benefit of the period 20.5.2004 to 20.5.2006 with all back benefits including salaries to appellant.

Through

L. Nawab Ali Noot And Advocate High Court President

Appellant.

William State of the State of t

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA PESHAWAR.

S.Appeal NO. of 2013.

1. Sahib -u-Taj PET GMS Atteish Kotki Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

1.Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar & others.

(Respondents)

## **AFFIDAVIT**

I, L.Nawab Ali Noor Advocate High Court Peshawar as per instruction of my client solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the accompanying service appeal is true & correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Horrible court.

Deponent

# OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER(S&L)SHANGL

# DFFICE ORDER.

hencurable High Court, Peshawer passed on 24/2/2006, re-instateme adjustment of the fallowing IETs (H) is hereby ordered in the schools noted against each, in the interest of public service with effect from 24/2/2005:- .. S.No. Name & Designation

Mr. Sahibul Taj, Pir Mr. Mohammad Alim, PET Mr. Shulam Akbar, PET Mr. Mohammad Musa, Pict Mr. Hamidur Rohmon, Par Mr. Jan Badshah, PET

Place of posting/adjustme

GHS-Titwalan. GHS-Amnavi. GMS-Chagam.

GMS-Nakhtar Hasham Khel. GMS-Khadang. GME=Golibat.

Nete:

- 1) No TA/DA is allowed under the rules.
  2) All the re-instated PETs will be bound to fulfil all the canditions mentioned in their previous appointment order issued under this office
- 3) Respondent No.7 and 8 in the above court order will continue their services in the light of court
- 4) Charge Reports should be submitted to all concerned

( MOHAMMAD SAEED Executive District Officer, Schools & Literacy, Shangla.

E/No. 3268-85 /EDO(SELSH/Dated\_

The Advocate General reshawar High Court, Peshawar.

The Director, S&L, NWFP, Peshawar.

The PS to Secretory to Gevtion hwep, S&L, Deptt: Peshawar.

Affairs and Human Rights Department, Peshawar.

The District Coordination Cfficor, Shangla.

The District Accounts Officer, Shangla.

7-12) All concerned Reed Instance for information n/action.

13-18) Personal files of him toachers concerned for record.

DISTRICT OFFICER,

(M&F) SEL, Shangla.

Heed moster, Good tright School, Amnavi. Distt: Shangla

Williams (E/SE) COLOFOD - LOCALISE 10, 3,1 de Ce (1) 3/2/2/2004 (1) 1/2/2004 (1 1.1.1 John PET 36/2 John Of 110 1/10 30, De 0 30 UV ON 10 70 70 70 7297-7323 272 / Jose of Will a will a specific out - WW dis com of the sold of the sold is the sold in the ever let of 24 2006 = 20 2004 Com Color of John of and of a let com Color of John of a let com Color of Color o 1 24 2008 C 20 2004 / 12 mile Com 1 / 1/2 miles / 1/2/ اے روزلنے کا تعسیم خواہے سرما صراحات وا میات اور سیناری Colos ou Suker Listing - On Of yo Oh ( 20 of 651) PET ZUICOLO ( 20 of 651) 23 of 421 GM3

Anx C- I

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (E&SE) DISTRICT SHANGLA.

No 245-48 /DEO (E&SE) SH

Dated 15 107 /2013

To

- .1) Mr Ghulam Akbar PET, GMS Chagum.
- 2) Mr.Mohammad Musa PET GMS Nakhtar Hasham Kheland
- <sup>33</sup> Mr. Mohammad Alim PET, GHS Fiaza
- 4) Mr Sahibul Taj PET 28 kotkay.

Strinject

## **APPEALS**

Memo:

Reference your departmental appeals dated 14/12/2012 for the grant of back benefits (pay & seniority).

In this regard you are hereby informed that in the light of the Court decision High Court Peshawar you have adjusted as PETs vide this office No 3268-85 dated 13/04/2006 but in the above decision no order has passed by the said Handrable Court regarding back benefits.

Hence the appeals on the above mentioned ground are rejected.

DISTRICT FOUCATION OFFICER