

18.06.2015

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Asstt: AG for the respondents present. Agent of counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment as counsel for the appellant was busy before Darul Qaza, Swat. Adjourned to 24.06.2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B.



Member

24.06.2015

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Assistant A.G for respondents present. Counsel for the appellant is stated busy before Darul Qaza. Adjourned to 29.7.2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B.



Chairman

29.07.2015

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant intends to further document the appeal including the placing of judgment of Hon'ble High Court passed in Writ Petition in favour of the appellant. May do so within a fortnight where-after appeal be fixed for preliminary hearing on 3.9.2015 before S.B.



Chairman

03.09.2015

None present for appellant despite repeated calls. Even the appeal has not been documented within the time specified by the Court. The Court time is over. The appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. File be consigned to the record.


  
Chairman

ANNOUNCED  
03.09.2015

03.09.15


6.  
27.01.2015

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Asst: Advocate General for the respondents present. Counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 18.03.2015.

  
Member


18.03.2015

None for the appellant present. Asst: AG for the respondents present. Notice to counsel for the appellant be issued for 20.05.2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B.

  
Member

20.05.2015

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Asst: AG for the respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant is stated busy before Dar-UI-Qaza, Swat. Adjourned to 18.06.2015 for preliminary hearing before S.B.

  
Member

4  
16.06.2014

Counsel for the appellant present. Application for restoration of appeal filed by the learned counsel for the appellant is handed over to the learned GP, meanwhile pre-admission notice be issued to the learned GP to assist the Tribunal. To come up for arguments on restoration application of appeal on 01.09.2014.

  
Member

5  
01.09.2014

Clerk of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, GP for the respondents present. Clerk of counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment due to General Strike of the Bar. To come up for arguments on restoration application of appeal on 06.11.2014.

  
Member

6  
06.11.2014

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Kattak, Asst: AG for the respondents present. Arguments on application for restoration of appeal heard. Application is allowed. The appeal is hereby restored. To come up for preliminary hearing on 27.01.2015.

  
Member

Application in appeal No. 400/2013,  
Mr. Mohammed Aleem

1  
02.01.2014

Counsel for the appellant present and submitted an application for restoration of appeal. To come up for arguments on restoration application of appeal on 05.03.2014.

  
Member

2  
05.03.2014

Clerk of counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. To come up for argument on application for restoration of appeal on ~~29~~ 04.2014.

  
Member

3  
22.04.2014

No one is present on behalf of the appellant. To come up for arguments on application for restoration of appeal on 16.06.2014.

  
Member

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA  
PESHAWAR

S. Appeal No. 400/2012.

Mulamel Azeem Versus

Govt of K.P.K & others.

Application with humble request for restoration of the dismissal order in default dated  
2/12/2013.

Respectfully Submitted.

1. That the above titled service appeal NO 400/13 was fixed before your honor for  
2/12/2013.
2. That on the same date counsel for the appellant was busy before the  
Peshawar High Court Darul Qaza Mingora Bench in writ petitions NO.  
2141/M/2012 titled Israr Sher Bahadur VS Habaib Rasool and others &  
C.R. NO Khaista VS Ahmad Iqbal and others, Amin Ullah & Darvish VS  
Govt of K.P.K & Others other case.
3. That as already the appellant before this seriously ill & had informed the  
counsel that he unable to attend the court due to his illness (operation was made.  
Therefore the appellant was not present on the same date.
4. That not appearing of the counsel of the appellant before this Honorable  
Court was not intentionally but due to the above mentioned reason.
5. That no notice been served over the appellant or counsel of appellant from court  
side.
6. That the appellant valuable rights are involved with this case.
7. That appellant got the information of dismissal on 1.1.2014.
8. That case need disposal over merit that is the basic requirement of law.
9. That others arguments will be at the time of herring this application.  
It is there for humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application this Honorable  
court may kindly restore the service appeal mentioned above and decide on merit.

Through

Appellant  
L. Nawab Ali Noon Advocate  
High Court Peshawar

Certificate:

Certificate that all the contents of the above mentioned application are true and  
correct to the best of my knowledge..



**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA PESHAWAR.**

S. Appeal NO. <sup>400</sup> of 2013.

1. Muhammad Aleem PET GHS Fefa Puran Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

**VERSUS**

1. Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.
2. Director (e & se) Peshawar .
3. District Education Officer District Shangla.
4. District Co- Ordination Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)


*Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E& SE) Shangla.*

*Prayer in appeal.*

*On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3 , whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from , may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority , of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awarded to appellant because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.*

*Respectfully sheweth:*

1. That the appellant was appointed as PET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant and others were terminated on 24.7.2004 from the service on the ground that they are qualified of the diploma of S.D.P.E in place of J.D.P.E.

**ATTESTED**  
  
**EXAMINER**  
Khyber Pakhtoonkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar

Appeal No. 400/2013  
Mr. Muhammad Ali


02.12.2013

Neither the appellant nor his counsel present. Called for may

times but no one is present for the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed in default in limine. File be consigned to the record.

sd/ Member

ANNOUNCED  
02.12.2013.



Date of Presentation of Application 17-12-2013  
Number of Pages 800  
Copying Fee 6  
Urgent ✓  
Fees 6  
Name of C [Signature]  
Date of Receipt 20-12-2013  
Date of Delivery of 30-12-2013



BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL, KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA  
PESHAWAR.

S. Appeal NO. <sup>400</sup> of 2013.

1. Muhammad Aleem PET GHS Fefa Pura Shangla District Shangla.

Handwritten notes: 370, 1/2/13

VERSUS (Appellant)

- 1. Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.
- 2. Director (e & se) Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer District Shangla.
- 4. District Co-Ordination Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)

Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E& SE) Shangla.

Prayer in appeal.

On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3, whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from, may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority, of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awarded to appellant because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.

Respectfully sheweth:

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as PET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant and others were terminated on 24.7.2004 from the service on the ground that they are qualified of the diploma of S.D.P.E in place of J.D.P.E.

ATTESTED  
EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtoonkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar

EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtoonkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar



Appeal No. 400/2013  
Mr. Mohammad Ali Khan


02.12.2013

Neither the appellant nor his counsel present. Called for may

times but no one is present for the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed in default in limine. File be consigned to the record.

sd/ Member

ANNOUNCED  
02.12.2013.



Date of Presentation of Application 17-12-2013  
Number of Pages 300  
Copying Fee 6  
Urgent \_\_\_\_\_  
Total 6  
Name of Copy \_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Completion 30-12-2013  
Date of Delivery of Copy 30-12-2013

EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar



BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA  
PESHAWAR.

S. Appeal No. 400/2013.

1. Muhammad Aleem PET GHS Fefa Puran Shangla District Shangla.

VERSUS

(Appellant)

1. Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.
2. Director (e & se) Peshawar .
3. District Education Officer District Shangla.
4. District Co- Ordination Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)

*Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E & SE) Shangla.*

*Prayer in appeal.*

*On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3, whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from, may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority, of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awarded to appellant because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.*

*Respectfully sheweth:*


1. That the appellant was appointed as PET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant and others were terminated on 24.7.2004 from the service on the ground that they are qualified of the diploma of S.D.P.E in place of J.D.P.E.

ATTACHED

EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtoonkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar.

EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtoonkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar

Appeal No. 400/2013.  
Mr. Mohammad Ali Khan



02.12.2013

Neither the appellant nor his counsel present. Called for may

times but no one is present for the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed in default in limine. File be consigned to the record.

sd/ Member

ANNOUNCED  
02.12.2013.

Certified

Date of Presentation of Application 17-12-2013  
Number of Pages 300  
Copying Fee 6  
Upd. 6  
Total 6  
Name of C. \_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Completion 30-12-2013  
Date of Delivery of Copy 30-12-2013


EXAMINER  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal,  
Peshawar

Appeal No. 400/2013  
Mr. Mohammed Aliem

02.12.2013

Neither the appellant nor his counsel present. Called for many times but no one is present for the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed in default in limine. File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED  
02.12.2013.

  
Member

22.05.2013

No one is present for the appellant. In pursuance of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunals (Amendment Ordinance, 2013) (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Order II of 2013), the case is adjourned on note Reader for proceeding as before on 16.7.2013

  
Reader.


16.07.2013

No one is present on behalf of the appellant. Notices be issued to the appellant/counsel for the appellant for preliminary hearing on 02.10.2013.

  
Member

02.10.2013

Counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. To come up for preliminary hearing on 02.12.2013.


  
Member

3  
5.4.2013

Counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. Case adjourned to 22.5.2013 for preliminary hearing on the point of maintainability of appeal as to whether the impugned order dated 15.1.2013 is original or appellate order.





Member.



Form- A  
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of \_\_\_\_\_  
Case No. 400/2013

S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	14/02/2013	<p>The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Aleem resubmitted today by Mr. L. Nawab Ali Noor Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for preliminary hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>
2	18-2-2013	<p>This case is entrusted to Primary Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on <u>5-4-2013</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p>

The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Alam PET received today i.e. on 01/02/2013 is incomplete on the following scores which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days:-

*objection  
not  
removed*

- 1- Copy of termination order mentioned in para-1 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 2- Copy of Judgment of Hon'ble High Court mentioned in para-3 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 3- Affidavit may be got attested by the Oath Commissioner.
- 4- Title of the Index is shown as Peshawar High Court instead of Service Tribunal.

No. 264 /S.T.

Dt. 06/02 /2013.

*legally*  
REGISTRAR  
SERVICE TRIBUNAL  
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA  
PESHAWAR.

L.NWAB ALI NOOR ADV. PESH.

*Respectfully submitted*

*Need full done kindly put before the court.*

*N. Noor*



1

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA  
PESHAWAR.**

S.Appeal NO: <sup>400/</sup> of 2013.

1. Muhammad Aleem PET GHS Fefa Puran Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

**VERSUS**

1. Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.

2. Director (e & se) Peshawar .

3. District Education Officer District Shangla.

4. District Co- Ordination Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)

*Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E& SE) Shangla.*

*Prayer in appeal.*

*On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3 , whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from , may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority , of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awarded to appellant because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.*

*Respectfully sheweth:*

- 1/2/13
1. That the appellant was appointed as PET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant and others were terminated on 24.7.2004 from the service on the ground that they are qualified of the diploma of S.D.P.E in place of J.D.P.E.

re-submitted to  
and filed.

1/2/13

- 129
2. That the appellant along with others colleagues challenge the impugned termination before the Peshawar High Court through W.P which was allowed on 24.2.2006 in favor of the appellant and others.
  3. That appellant and their colleagues were reinstated back to their services and the impugned order dated 24.7.2004 was set aside through the judgment of the Peshawar High Court.
  4. That over the judgment dated 24.2.2006 the respondents issued order dated 13.4.2006 was re-instated/adjusted back to his own post of PET in their respective schools however no order regarding back benefits or arrears was passed for appellant and the continuation of service of the appellant made question mark. *(Copy is annexure A)*.
  5. That it was like day clear that the order of the Peshawar High Court was regarding the re-instatement of the appellant not fresh appointment and through the judgment the appellant the same termination order was set aside.
  6. That right from the re-instatement the appellant request through proper channel and continuously requesting for the arrears and all back benefits of the period w.e.f 20.4.2004 to 24.2.2006, but till date the appellant case kept held and finally it was rejected on 15.1.2013. *(Copy of Appeal & Rejection order are annexure B & C respectively)*.
  7. That appellant having no other remedy except to knock the door of this Honorable tribunal on the following amongst others.

**GROUND:**

- a). **THAT** the rejection order in question is against the law, rules of service and facts.
- b). **THAT** before passing the order in question the relevant procedure on the subject, as provided by the law, has not been followed.
- c). **THAT** no procedure was followed by the respondents before passing of the rejection order dated 15.1.2013 neither any law relevant to the service / termination has been followed nor any legal finding regarding the rejection of appeal been mentioned.

- d). **THAT** the respondents rejection order regarding the appeal is unlawful , illegal , beyond the jurisdiction and based on malafid.
- e). **THAT** the order of the Peshawar High Court is clear about the re-instatement nor about the fresh appointment and thus the appellants been reinstated on their own service through set aside the order of termination dated 24.7.2004.
- f). **THAT** in order never been mentioned that back benefit will not be awarded to appellant the rejection / not allowing the back benefit is the sweet will of the respondents by self.
- g). **THAT** question mark before this Honorable court that if the appellant was re-Instated meaning thereby that service was continue and break is there then under what law / rules the respondent not awarding the salaries. Back benefits to appellant.
- h). **THAT** the appellant is serving in the department with honestly & he has unblemished record and performed his duty honestly and to the best satisfaction of his superior.
- i). **THAT** the appellant treated discriminately because many presidents are there in the education department in such like cases all back benefits been awarded by the said department.

*It is therefore respectfully prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the impugned rejection order dated 15.1.2013 may be set-aside and the appellant may kindly be allowed the salaries / back benefit of the period 20.5.2004 to 20.5.2006 with all back benefits including salaries to appellant.*

Through

Appellant

L. Nawab Ali Noor  
Advocate High Court Peshawar

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA  
PESHAWAR.**

S.Appeal NO. of 2013.

1.Muhammad Aleem PET GHS Fefa Puran Shangla District Shangla.

**(Appellant)**

1.Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar & others.

**(Respondents)**

**AFFIDAVIT**

*I, L.Nawab Ali Noor Advocate High Court Peshawar as per instruction of my client solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the accompanying service appeal is true & correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Horrible court.*

Deponent.

Amr A. 5

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER (S&L) SHANGLA.  
OFFICE ORDER.

Consequent upon the orders of the honourable High Court, Peshawar passed on 24/2/2006, re-instatement adjustment of the following PETs (M) is hereby ordered in the schools noted against each, in the interest of public service with effect from 24/2/2006:-

S.No.	Name & Designation	Place of posting/adjustment
1)	Mr. Sahibul Taj, PET	GHS-Titwala.
2)	Mr. Mohammad Alim, PET	GHS-Annavi.
3)	Mr. Ghulam Akbar, PET	GMS-Chagam.
4)	Mr. Mohamad Musa, PET	GMS-Nakhtar Hasham Khel.
5)	Mr. Hamidur Rahman, PET	GMS-Khadang.
6)	Mr. Jan Badshah, PET	GMS-Golibat.

Note:-

- 1) No TA/DA is allowed under the rules.
- 2) All the re-instated PETs will be bound to fulfil all the conditions mentioned in their previous appointment order issued under this office Endst: No. 7297-7323 dated 20/5/2004.
- 3) Respondent No. 7 and 8 in the above court order will continue their services in the light of court order (para-8).
- 4) Charge Reports should be submitted to all concerned

( MOHAMMAD SAEED )  
Executive District Officer,  
Schools & Literacy, Shangla.

E/No. 3268-85 / EDO (S&L)SH / Dated 13/04 / 06.

Copy of the above is forwarded to:-

- 1) The Advocate General Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 2) The Director, S&L, NWFP, Peshawar.
- 3) The PS to Secretary to Govt: of NWFP, S&L, Deptt: Peshawar.
- 4) The Section Officer (Litigation) Govt: of NWFP, Law parliamentary Affairs and Human Rights Department, Peshawar.
- 5) The District Coordination Officer, Shangla.
- 6) The District Accounts Officer, Shangla.
- 7-12) All concerned Head Masters for information n/action.
- 13-18) Personal files of the teachers concerned for record.

*[Signature]*  
DISTRICT OFFICER,  
(M&F) S&L, Shangla.

*[Signature]*  
Head master,  
Govt: High School,  
Amnooli, Distt: Shangla

*[Signature]*

Ann-B-6  
خدمت صا - EDO صا (E/SE) ضلع شالنگہ

عنوان: درخواست براد (د) پائی ٹورٹ آرڈر کی روشنی میں بجالی آرڈر کی درستگی

(۱۱) سے 20/05/2004 سے سنیائی کی بجالی  
(۱۱) سے 20/05/2004 سے 24/02/2006 تک مکمل تنخواہیں اور  
مراعات کی عطا کئے

صا - بجالی

آداب گزارش ہے کہ میری تقریر بہ آسان PET از روئے آرڈر بر  
7297-7323 مورخہ 20/05/2004 کو عمل میں لائی گئی تھی۔ میری تقریر کی بنیاد  
SDPE تھی۔ لہذا میں مخالفین کی اپیل پر 27/05/2004 کو مجھے درخواست کیا گیا  
میں نے عدالت سے رجوع کیا۔ میری اپیل منظور ہوئی اور مجھے عدالتی فیصلہ کی روشنی  
میں 24/05/2006 سے بجالی کیا گیا۔

صا - والا! عدالت عالیہ نے میری بجالی کا فیصلہ دیا ہے نہ کہ تقریر کا  
بجالی کی صورت میں 20/05/2004 سے 24/02/2006 تک مراعات  
و واجبات کا مستحق ہوں۔ لیکن تاحال میرے سرورس کا  
مزد کورہ دروازہ بلا تفضیہ رہا ہے۔  
لہذا درخواست ہے کہ سر آس ڈی ایم سے 20/05/2004 سے 24/02/2006  
تک کے دروازہ کا تفضیہ فرماتے ہوئے مراعات واجبات اور سنیائی نواری  
کے احکامات صادر فرمائیں۔

العارض

محمد سلیم PET  
945 فیف بورن شالنگہ

Attested

مورخہ 14/12/2012

Ann. e-7

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (E&SE), DISTRICT SHANGLA.

No. 245-48 /DEO (E&SE) SH Dated 15/07/2013

To.

- 1) Mr Ghulam Akbar PET, GMS Chagum.
- 2) Mr. Mohammad Musa PET GMS Nakhtar Hasham Kheland
- 3) Mr. Mohammad Alim PET, GHS Fiaza
- 4) Mr Sahibul Taj PET 28 kotkay.

Subject


APPEALS

Memo;


Reference your departmental appeals dated 14/12/2012 for the grant of back benefits (pay & seniority).

In this regard you are hereby informed that in the light of the Court decision High Court Peshawar you have adjusted as PETs vide this office No 3258-85 dated 13/04/2006 but in the above decision no order has passed by the said Honorable Court regarding back benefits..

Hence the appeals on the above mentioned ground are rejected.



DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER  
(E&SE) DISTRICT SHANGLA.



Before The Service Tribunal K.P.K. Peshawar.

S. Appeal # 2013 A-NO-400/13

1. Muhammad Akeem PET GHS Fefa Pura. Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant).

Versus

1. Govt of K.P.K Through Secretary (E & SE) Peshawar & others..

(Respondents)

INDEX

S.No.	Description of Documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Appeal		1-3
2.	Affidavit		4
3.	Copy of the reinstatement order	A	5
4.	Copy of the appeal	B	6
5.	Copies of the rejection order	C	7
8.	Waklat Nama		8

Through

Appellant

L. Nawab Ali Noor Advocate  
High Court Peshawar  
03469076945



/

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNIL KHYBER PUKHTOON KHWA  
PESHAWAR.**

S.Appeal NO. of 2013.

1. Muhammad Aleem PET GHS Fefa Puran Shangla District Shangla.

(Appellant)

**VERSUS**

1. Govt of K.P.K through secretary (E & SE) Peshawar.

2. Director (e & se) Peshawar .

3. District Education Officer District Shangla.

4. District Co- Ordination Officer Shangla.

(Respondents)

*Appeal U/S 4 of the service tribunal Act of 1974 against the order dated 15.01.2013 Whereby the appeal of the appellant was rejected by the DEO(E& SE) Shangla.*

*Prayer in appeal.*

*On acceptance of this appeal the order dated 15.1.2013 passed by respondent No.3 , whereby the appeal of appellant has been rejected from , may kindly be set-aside and direct the respondents to allow the seniority , of period from 20.5.2004 to 24.2.2006 as well as all back benefits including salaries of appellant may kindly be awarded to appellant because the appellant was kept out illegally from the service.*

*Respectfully sheweth:*

1. That the appellant was appointed as PET teacher in the education department and during the service the appellant and others were terminated on 24.7.2004 from the service on the ground that they are qualified of the diploma of S.D.P.E in place of J.D.P.E.

2. That the appellant along with others colleagues challenge the impugned termination before the Peshawar High Court through W.P which was allowed on 24.2.2006 in favor of the appellant and others.
3. That appellant and their colleagues were reinstated back to their services and the impugned order dated 24.7.2004 was set aside through the judgment of the Peshawar High Court.
4. That over the judgment dated 24.2.2006 the respondents issued order dated 13.4.2006 was re- instated/adjusted back to his own post of PET in their respective schools however no order regarding back benefits or arrears was passed for appellant and the continuation of service of the appellant made question mark. *(Copy is annexure A)*.
5. That it was like day clear that the order of the Peshawar High Court was regarding the re- instatement of the appellant not fresh appointment and through the judgment the appellant the same termination order was set aside.
6. That right from the re-instatement the appellant request through proper channel and continuously requesting for the arrears and all back benefits of the period w.e.f 20.4.2004 to 24.2.2006, but till date the appellant case kept held and finally it was rejected on 15.1.2013. *(Copy of Appeal & Rejection order are annexure B & C respectively)*.
7. That appellant having no other remedy except to knock the door of this Honorable tribunal on the following amongst others.

**GROUNDS:**

- a). **THAT** the rejection order in question is against the law, rules of service and facts.
- b). **THAT** before passing the order in question the relevant procedure on the subject, as provided by the law, has not been followed.
- c). **THAT** no procedure was followed by the respondents before passing of the rejection order dated 15.1.2013 neither any law relevant to the service / termination has been followed nor any legal finding regarding the rejection of appeal been mentioned.

- d). **THAT** the respondents rejection order regarding the appeal is unlawful , illegal , beyond the jurisdiction and based on malafid.
- e). **THAT** the order of the Peshawar High Court is clear about the re-instatement nor about the fresh appointment and thus the appellant been reinstated on their own service through set aside the order of termination dated 24.7.2004.
- f). **THAT** in order never been mentioned that back benefit will not be awarded to appellant the rejection / not allowing the back benefit is the sweet will of the respondents by self.
- g). **THAT** question mark before this Honorable court that if the appellant was re- Instated meaning thereby that service was continue and break is there then under what law / rules the respondent not awarding the salaries. Back benefits to appellant.
- h). **THAT** the appellant is serving in the department with honestly & he has unblemished record and performed his duty honestly and to the best satisfaction of his superior.
- i). **THAT** the appellant treated discriminately because many presidents are there in the education department in such like cases all back benefits been awarded by the said department.

*It is therefore respectfully prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the impugned rejection order dated 15.1.2013 may be set-aside and the appellant may kindly be allowed the salaries / back benefit of the period 20.5.2004 to 20.5.2006 with all back benefits including salaries to appellant.*

Through

Appellant

L. Nawab Ali Noor  
Advocate High Court Peshawar

Ann A. 5

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER (S&L) SHANGLA.

OFFICE ORDER.

Consequent upon the orders of the honourable High Court, Peshawar passed on 24/2/2006, re-instatement adjustment of the following PETS (M) is hereby ordered in the schools noted against each, in the interest of public service with effect from 24/2/2006:-

S.No.	Name & Designation	Place of posting/adjustment
1)	Mr. Sahibul Taj, PET	GHS-Titwalan.
2)	Mr. Mohammad Alim, PET	GHS-Amnawi.
3)	Mr. Ghulam Akbar, PET	GMS-Chagam.
4)	Mr. Mohammad Musz, PET	GMS-Nakhtar Hasham Khel.
5)	Mr. Hamidur Rahman, PET	GMS-Khadang.
6)	Mr. Jan Badshah, PET	GMS-Golibat.

Note:-

- 1) No TA/DA is allowed under the rules.
- 2) All the re-instated PETS will be bound to fulfil all the conditions mentioned in their previous appointment order issued under this office Endst: No. 7297-7323 dated 20/5/2004.
- 3) Respondent No. 7 and 8 in the above court order will continue their services in the light of court order (para-8).
- 4) Charge Reports should be submitted to all concerned

( MOHAMMAD SAEED )  
Executive District Officer,  
Schools & Literacy, Shangla.

E/No. 3268-85 / EDO (S&L) S&L / Dated 13/04/06.

Copy of the above is forwarded to:-

- 1) The Advocate General Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 2) The Director, S&L, NWFP, Peshawar.
- 3) The PS to Secretary to Govt: of NWFP, S&L, Deptt: Peshawar.
- 4) The Section Officer (Litigation) Govt: of NWFP, Law parliamentary Affairs and Human Rights Department, Peshawar.
- 5) The District Coordination Officer, Shangla.
- 6) The District Accounts Officer, Shangla.
- 7-12) All concerned Head Masters for information n/action.
- 13-18) Personal files of the teachers concerned for record.

*Lij Dqhal*  
DISTRICT OFFICER,  
(M&F) S&L, Shangla.

*Attested*  
*M. Anwar*  
Head master,  
Govt: High School,  
Amnawi, Distt: Shangla

*M. Anwar*

Ann-B-6  
خدمت صا - EDO صا (E/SE) ضلع شالنگ

عنوان: درخواست براد (ا)، پائی کورٹ آرڈر کی روشنی میں بجالی آرڈر کی درستگی

(۱۱) سے ۲۵/۵/۲۰۰۶ء سے بیٹاری کی بجالی  
(۱۱) سے ۲۵/۵/۲۰۰۶ء سے ۲۴/۵/۲۰۰۶ء تک مکمل تنخواہیں اور  
مراعات کی عطا کرائی

صا - بجالی

آداب گزارش ہے کہ میری تقریر بہ اساس PET از روئے آرڈر  
7297-7323 مورخہ ۲۵/۵/۲۰۰۶ء کو عمل میں لائی گئی تھی۔ میری تقریر کی بنیاد  
SDPE تھی۔ لہذا میں مخالفین کی اپیل پر ۲۷/۵/۲۰۰۶ء کو مجھے درخواست کیا گیا  
میں نے عدالت سے رجوع کیا۔ میری اپیل منظور ہوئی اور مجھے عدالتی فیصلہ کی روشنی  
میں ۲۴/۵/۲۰۰۶ء سے بحال کیا گیا۔

صا - والا! عدالت عالیہ نے میری بجالی کا فیصلہ دیا ہے نہ کہ تقریر کا  
بجالی کی صورت میں ۲۵/۵/۲۰۰۶ء سے ۲۴/۵/۲۰۰۶ء تک مراعات  
و واجبات کا مستحق ہوں۔ لیکن آج حال میرے سر دس کا  
مذکورہ دروائیہ بلا تفسیر رہا ہے۔  
لہذا درخواست تیس خدمت ہے کہ برآس دارم ۲۵/۵/۲۰۰۶ء سے ۲۴/۵/۲۰۰۶ء  
تک کے دروائیہ کا تفسیر فرماتے ہوئے مراعات واجبات اور سنیائی نواز  
کے احکامات صادر فرمائیں۔

العارضین

محمد علیم PET  
945  
صنف پورن شالنگ -

Accepted

مورخہ ۱۴/۱۲/۲۰۱۲

Ann. e-7

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (E&SE), DISTRICT SHANGLA.

No. 245-48 /DEO (E&SE) SH Dated 15/07/2013

To.

- 1) Mr Ghulam Akbar PET, GMS Chagum.
- 2) Mr. Mohammad Musa PET GMS Nakhtar Hasham Kheland
- 3) Mr. Mohammad Alim PET, GHS Fiaza
- 4) Mr Sahibul Taj PET 28 kotkay.


Subject: APPEALS


Memo:

Reference your departmental appeals dated 14/12/2012 for the grant of back benefits (pay & seniority).

In this regard you are hereby informed that in the light of the Court decision High Court Peshawar you have adjusted as PETs vide this office No 3258-85 dated 13/04/2006 but in the above decision no order has passed by the said Honorable Court regarding back benefits..

Hence the appeals on the above mentioned ground are rejected.

  
DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER  
(E&SE) DISTRICT SHANGLA.



# بعدالت

Before the Service Tribunal  
 H.P. 12 Peshawar

2 منجانب

بنام Muhammed Aleem

مورخ

مقدمہ

دعویٰ

جزم

3  
 Capt of H.P. 12 Peshawar

باعت تحریر آئنگے (EAST)

مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دہی وکل کارروائی متعلقہ

آن مقام سپر ڈیپارٹمنٹ کے لئے ایل کو اے بی نوری اور اس کے ساتھ ساتھ  
 مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوفہ کو مقدمہ کی کل کارروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز  
 وکیل صاحب کو راضی نامہ کرنے و تقرر ثالث و فیصلہ لطف دینے جواب دہی اور اقبال دعویٰ اور  
 بصورت ڈگری کرنے اجراء اور صولی چیک و روپیہ اور دعویٰ اور درخواست ہر قسم کی تصدیق  
 زرائیں پر دستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری کی طرفہ یا اپیل کی برآمدگی اور منسوخی  
 نیز دائر کرنے اپیل نگرانی و نظر ثانی و پیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور  
 کے کل یا جزوی کارروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اپنے لئے یا اپنے لئے تقرر کا اختیار  
 ہوگا۔ اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ باختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ  
 پرواختہ منظور و قبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ و ہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے وہ ہوگا۔  
 کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب پابند ہوں گے کہ پیروی  
 مذکورہ کریں۔ لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھ دیا کہ سند ہے۔

2013

3 ماہ

المرقوم

واہ

بمقام سید عزیز گل سپر ڈیپارٹمنٹ کے لئے منظور ہے۔  
 شاہ