09.07.2019

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Zia Ullah learned Deputy District Attorney present. Vide common/separate judgment of today of this Tribunal placed on file of service appeal bearing No.1187/2018 filed by Atlas Khan, the present service appeal is accepted and the impugned orders are set aside. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

PUNCAN INSTANT

Ahmad Hassan) Member (Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 09.07.2019

04.2.2019

Counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Learned AAG requests for adjournment as he has not been contacted by the representative of respondents regarding preparation of requisite reply. Adjourned to 27.03.2019 before the S.B.

Chairman

27.03.2019

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Ghareb Khan ASI present. Representative of the respondent department submitted written reply/comments. Adjourn. To come up for rejoinder/arguments on 16.05.2019 before D.B

Member

16.05.2019 Counsel for the appellant and Addl:AG for respondents present. Counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment.

Adjourned to 09.07.2019 for arguments before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member (M. Amin Khan Kundi)

Member

12.12.2018

Counsel for the appellant Iqbal Khan present. Preliminary arguments heard. It was contended by learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant was serving in Police Department as Constable, he was imposed minor penalty of forfeiture of one increment with immediate effect vide order dated 06.06.2018 on the allegation of misconduct. It was further contended that the appellant filed departmental appeal on 25.06.2018 which was rejected on 02.08.2018 hence, the present service appeal on 01.09.2018. Learned counsel for the appellant further contended that the minor penalty of forfeiture of one increment was passed by the competent authority without any specified period which is against the FR-29. It was further contended that neither any inquiry was conducted nor charge sheet and statement of allegation was served upon the appellant. It was further contended that only show-cause notice was issued to the appellant therefore, the impugned order is illegal and liable to be set-aside.

The contention raised by the learned counsel for the appellant needs consideration. The appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days, thereafter, notice be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 04.02.2019 before S.B.

Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi Member

Appelient Deposited
Secretary Doness Fee

Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of				
_			٠.	
Case No	1190 /2018	•		

	Case No	1190 /2018
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
 1-	26/09/2018	The appeal of Mr. Iqbal Khan resubmitted today by Mr.
_	·	Saadullah Khan Marwat Advocate may be entered in the Institution
		Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
	27-10-2	REGISTRAR >619 12
2-	27-9-2018	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to
		be put up there on 25-10-2018
	d d	
		CHAIRMAN
	-	
	25.10.2018	Due to retirement of Hon'ble Chairman, the
	. *	Tribunal is defunct. Therefore, the case is adjourned.
		To come up on 12.12.2018.
,	17	Roader
	. "	
	·	
•		
		·

The appeal of Mr. Iqbal Khan no. 264 Constable Police Line Lakki Marwat received today i.e. on 01.09.2018 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Memorandum of appeal may be got signed by the appellant.
- 2- Annexures of the appeal may be attested.
- 3- Annexures of the appeal may be flagged.
- 4- Copy of show cause notice mentioned in the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 5- Copy of departmental appeal having no date be dated.
- 6- Five more copies/sets of the appeal along with annexures i.e. complete in all respect may also be submitted with the appeal.

No. 1783 /S.T.

Dt. 3 - 7 /2018.

REGISTRAR 3 9 1 SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Mr. Saadullah Khan Marwat Adv. Pesh.

JU.

Re- Submitted after appletion

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

S.A No. 1190 /2018

Iqbal Khan

versus

D.P.O & Others

INDEX

S. No	Documents	Annex	P. No.
1.	Memo of Appeal		1-3
2.	Show Cause Notice	"A"	46
3.	Reply to Show Cause Notice	"B"	5🕅
4.	Impugned order dated 28-05-2018	"C"	6 D
5.	Representation dated 25-06-2018	`D ''	78
6.	Rejection order dated 02-08-2018	" E"	8 🚳

Appellant

Through

Saadullah Khan Marwat

Advocate.

21-A Nasir Mansion, Shoba Bazaar, Peshawar.

Ph: 0300-5872676 0311-9266609

Dated.01-09-2018

]

BEFORE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

S.A No. 1190/2018

	Iqbál Khan, No. 264,		·
	Constable, Police Line,		•
	Lakki Marwat		. Appellant
		VERSUS	Knyber Pakhtu Service Tribu
1.	District Police Officer, Lakki Marwat.		Diary No. 137
2.	Regional Police Officer, Bannu Region Bannu.		
3	Provincial Police Officer,		

⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔

APPEAL U/S 4 OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974

AGAINST OB. NO. 296, DATED 28-05-2018 OF R. NO.

01 WHEREBY PENALTY OF FORE-FEITURE OF ONE

INCREMENT WAS IMPOSED UPON APPELLANT OR

OFFICE ORDER NO. 2035 / EC DATED 02-08-2018 OF

R. NO. 02 WHEREBY REPRESENTATION OF

APPELLANT WAS REJECTED FOR NO LEGAL REASON:

Hadro-dray

Re-submitted to -day

⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔<=>⇔

>6/9 13 Respectfully Sheweth;

 That appellant was appointed as constable on 28-11-1993. At present he was posted / deputed to Wanda Banochi Check Post, Police Station Pezu, Lakki Marwat.

- 2. That appellant was served with Show Cause Notice regarding of performance below average / poor and not up to the required standard. The said Show Cause Notice was replied on 16-05-2018 by denying the allegations that performance of appellant was up to the standard as is evident from the said reply. (Copies as annex "A" & "B")
- That R. No. 01 imposed punishment of fore-feiture of one increment imposed upon him vide order dated 28-05-2018. (Copy as annex "C")
- 4. That on 25-06-2018, appellant submitted departmental appeal before R. No. 02 for setting aside of the said punishment which was rejected on 02-08-2018 for no legal reason. (Copies as annex "D" & "E")

Hence this appeal, inter alia, on the following grounds:

GROUNDS:

- a. That at the said post, no complaint was ever made either by the public or by the department against appellant.
- b. That performance of appellant was up to the standard as is evident from the reply of the Show Cause Notice.
- c. That appellant remained at the said post for short time and his performance could not be assessed below average.
- d. That before issuing of Show Cause Notice it was the prime duty of the respondents to warn / counsel him regarding the allegations.
- e. That appellant never made any misconduct within the ambit of performance of his official duty as no such allegation was ever made prior to the allegation in hand.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of appeal, the impugned office order dated 28-05-2018 and 02-08-2018 of the respondents be set aside and the fore-feiture increment be restored without any encumbrance, with such other relief as may be deemed proper and just in circumstances of the case.

Appellant

Through

Saadullah Khan Marwat

Amjad Nawaz Advocates.

Dated. 01-09-2018

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, LAKKI MARWAT.

No. 2646 /SRC.

Date 10/05/2018.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE UNDER POLICE RULES 1975.

WHEREAS, you FC Iqbal No.264 while posted at NB Wanda Banochi were found indulge in the following allegations:-

- 1. That you while posted at NB Wanda Banochi, your performance is below average/poor and not up to the required standard.
- That all speaks gross misconduct on your part and makes you liable to be punished under Police Rules-1975.

You are therefore, found guilty of misconduct, as the defined in section 2 (iii) of KPK Police Rules 1975 and as such are liable to action under section 3 of the said Rules.

Based on the above facts, I am satisfied that no enquiry is needed in this case as contained in section 5.3 clauses (a) & (c) under the said Rules.

Now, therefore, you FC Iqbal No.264 are called upon under section 4 (1) of the KPK Police Rules 1975, to show cause within 07 days of the issuance of this notice, as to why one or more penalties including major penalty of dismissal from service should not be imposed upon you.

NOTE:-

Take notice that if you failed to submit reply in compliance of this show cause notice within the stipulated time, it will be presumed that you have nothing to offer in your defense and in that case, an ex-parte action shall straightaway be taken against you without any further notice.

(Arif Shahbaz Khan) PSP District Police Officer Lakki Marwat

Austo

Scanned by CamScanner

ORDER.

My this order will dispose off the departmental enquiry initiated against Constable Iqbal No.264 while posted at NB Wanda Banochi was found to indulge in the following allegations:-

- 1. That he while posted at NB Wanda Banochi, his performance is below average/poor and not up to the required standard.
- 2. That this all show gross misconduct on his part and make him liable to be punished under Police Rules-1975.

He was served with Show Cause Notice to this effect. His reply to the Show Cause Notice was perused and was found unsatisfactory and failed to rebut the allegations leveled against him.

Therefore, I Arif Shahbaz Khan PSP, District Police Officer, Lakki Marwat exercise of the power vested in me under Police Rules-197: is hereby impose upon him minor punishment of "forfeiture of (1) one increment" with immediate effect.

OBNO 296 D4 28/05/2018

No 4017-21 / Dated Lakki Marwat the 01 - 06 - /201

Copy of above is submitted for favour of information to:-

1. The Regional Police Officer, Bannu Region, Bannu for favour of information.

2. HC, EC, PO, Reader and OASI for information & necessary action.

Region, Bannu for favour of information. information & necessary action.

District Police Officer,

5

بخدمت جناب R.P.O صاحب بنول رینج بنول

درخواست نظر ثانى بحواله پوليس رولز باب نمبر 16 فقره نمبر 36 محرانى بحواله پوليس رولز 1975 متعلق احكام بحواله D.P.O كلى مررت

عنوان:

جناب عالى! سائل ذيل گزار شات بطوراستد عابشكل درخواست نظر ثانى المگرانی بوليس رولز 1975 كى روشنى مين بحوالدا حكام 0.B-296 مورند: 2018-05-28 مجاربه DPO كى مروت عرض كرناچا بتنا بول-

1۔ یہ گہ قانونی نقاضوں کی روسے مجازا تھارٹی کو چاہیے تھا۔ کہ وہ مجھے پیشی کے لیے بلائے۔اور میری فریاد سننے کے بعد میرے متعلق احکام صادر کرئے۔لین DPO صاحب کئی مروت نے مجھے پیشی کاموقع نہ دیا اور نہ ہی میرابیان افریاد سنا ہے۔جواس طرح اس سطح پر میری جن تلفی ہوئی ہے۔روئے زمین کا کوئی بھی قانون کسی کا میان افریاد سنے بغیر مزاد سنے کی اجازت نہیں دیتا ہے۔اور نہ ہی انسانی بنیاد حقوق ،انصاف کے تقاضے کیس کا بیان افریاد سنے بغیر مزاد سنے کی اجازت دیتا ہے۔

2۔ یہ کہ میں نے قبل از بحوالہ شوکا زنوٹس کا جواب دیا۔ فوٹو کا پی جواب لف قابل منسلک ہے۔ جس سے میری کاروائی اشکارہ ہے۔ اپنے ذمہ داری کی حد تک میں نے اپنی ذمہ داری پوری کی ہے۔ ا

3 یک بطورادنه ماتحت بحسینت کنسنگیل اپنے انچارج کی ہدایات کی روشنی میں ڈیوٹی سرانجام دی ہے۔

4۔ جناب عالی! میری درخواست نظر تانی/ گرانی پڑور فرمایا جائے ادر میری بے قصوری کو مدنظر دکھ کراحکام DPO صاحب کی مروت جو قانونی معیار کے مطابق پیشی کو کالعدم قرار دیکر میرے سٹاپ شدہ اینکر مدیث کو بحال کر کے معاف فرما کیں۔ یہ کہ خداوند قد وس نے روئے زمیس کے سی بھی حصے میں معافی اصله رحمی پر یا بندی عائد نہیں کی ہے۔ جبکہ حق فیش محفوظ رکھتا ہوں۔

مورخه:2018-25-25

عنایت ماتحت پروری ہوگ ۔

سائل نسٹبل اقبال 264 چیک پوسٹ شاہ تورہ کی مروت

Austo

ORDER

E 8

My this order will dispose of appeals, preferred by the following police officers of Lakki District Police, wherein, they have prayed for setting aside the punishment of forfeiture of one increment imposed upon them by DPO Lakki Marwat vide OB No.296, 297, 300, 301 and 302 dated 28.05.2018 after found their performance as below average/poor and not to the required standard during nakabandi at Wanda Banochi PS Pezu:-

- HC Ghulam Qadar No. 193
- 2. Constable Atlas Khan No.25
- 3. Constable Iqbal No.264
- 4. Constable Ali Muhammad No.674
- 5. Constable Nader No.626

Their service records, inquiry papers and comments, received from DPO Lakki Marwat, were perused and it was found that the appellants were proceeded departmentally (without aid of inquiry) by services upon them show cause notices on the grounds of above misconduct. Their replies to show cause notices were found implausible by competent authority. Thereafter, they were awarded the aforesaid punishments.

Aggrieved from the impugned orders, the appellants submitted the instant appeals to the undersigned that were sent to DPO Lakki Marwat for comments as well as obtaining their service record. DPO Lakki Marwat, vide his letter. No.8874-78/EC dated 13.07.2018 submitted para wise comments.

Their service records and inquiry papers were perused and it was found that their performance for the period of posting at nakabani Wanda Banochi remained below average. They were heard in orderly room today on 01.08.2018 held in the office of undersigned and provided them opportunity to defend their appeals but they failed to produce any plausible reasons with regards to their poor performance. Thus, the undersigned has reached to the conclusion that the punishments awarded to them by the competent authority are fully justifiable and there is no need to interfere in the orders passed by DPO Lakki Marwat.

Therefore, I, Muhammad Karim Khan, Regional Police Officer, Bannu Region Bannu, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Rule, 11(4) (a) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 (amended 2014) hereby reject their appeals and endorse the punishments awarded to them by DPO Lakki Marwat.

Order announced

2035

/EC, dated Bannu the

02 /08/2018

Copy to the District Police Officer, Lakki Marwat for information and n/action w/r to his office Memo: No. quoted above along with the service record containing the inquiry papers of the appellant for record in your office which may be acknowledged please.

(MUHAMMAD KARIM KHAN) PSP Regional Police Officer, & Bannu Region, Bannu

Regional Rol/ce/Officer,

(MUHAMMAR KARI)

Bannu Re

KHAN) PSP

لعرالت ما سرول أمر بيو بل صوب خبر كريم مورف رقع کی خام میلم کولس وی - خام دعوی مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالامیں اینی طرف<u>سے واسطے بروی وجواب ہی وکل اردائی متعلقہ ان مفام</u> كے كئے سخدا للہ خان موقت الروكيك بائى كورك كو وكيل مقرر كرے اقرار كيا جانا ہے كرصاحب موصوف كومقدمك كل كاروائي كاكابل اختيار بوكم نيزوكيل صاحب كوكسف راضى نامدوتقرر ثالث وفيصله برطف فيبن جواب مهی اوراقبال دعویٰ اوربعبورت «گری کرنے اجرار اور وصولی جبک و روبپیها ورعضی دعویٰ اور دخواست ہوشہ کی تصدیق اور اس پر دِستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا نیز بھورت عدم پیرٹری یا ڈگری کیطرفہ یا ایل کی برآمدگی اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کرنے ایل نگرانی و لنظر نانی و سپروی کرنے کا اختیار مرکا اور بھورت فنرورت مقدمہ مذکور کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی سے واسطے اور وسل یا منار فالولی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنی بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا ا وربسا حب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ بالا اختیارات عاصل مہوں کے اور اس کا ساختہ بروا خہ منظور قنول موگا و دوران مقدمهمی جوخرچه و هرجانه النوارمقه بهرکر سبب سے بوگا اس کے شخق وکیلی صاحب موسوف مہوں سے نیز بِقا یا و خرجیری وصولی کر۔۔ کا عبی اختیار مہوگا اگر کوئی تاریخ بیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا عدسے اہر ہمو تو وکیل صاحب یا بند نہ ہوں گے کہ بیروی مذکور کریں۔ لهذا وكالت نامه لكه ديا كرسند كسب -العبا العبال ten سع الله قال مروت المروس الله و كور الله و كو Q6) (51

BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.1190/2018

Iqbal Khan No.264 Constable, Police Lines Lakki Marwat

(Petitioner)

Versus

DPO Lakki Marwat & others

(Respondents)

INDEX

S.No	An	nexure	Page
1.	Para wise Reply with, Affidavit		1-3
2.	Authority Letter		4
3.	Acknowledgment receipt		5-
6.	Order OB No.296 dated 28-05-2018		. 6
7.	Order No.2035 dated 02-08-2018		. 7

Deponent

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR Appeal No. 1190/2018.

Iqbal Khan No.264 Constable, Police Line, Lakki Marwat.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1) District Police Officer Lakki Marwat
- 2) Regional Police Officer Bannu Region, Bannu
- 3) Provincial Police Officer KPK Peshawar.

(Respondents)

REPLY BY RESPONDENT NO. 1,2 & 3

Respectfully Sheweth:

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1) That the appeal of applicant is not maintainable under the law and rules.
- 2) That the appellant has concealed the actual facts from the Honorable Tribunal.
- That the appellant has approached the Honorable Tribunal with unclean hands.
- 4) That the appellant is estopped to file the appeal due to his own conduct.

OBJECTIONS ON FACTS

- 1. Pertains to record.
- 2. In-correct: in fact the petitioner when posted at Nakabandi Wanda Banochi showed neglectful performance in line of duty, which was considered poor and not up to the required standard of the Police Department, as a result a show cause notice vide No.2640/SRC dated 10-05-2018 was issued and served upon the petitioner. The reply of the petitioner was perused and found unsatisfactory and failed to rebut the allegations leveled against him.
- 3. Correct pertains to record, hence need no comments.
- 4. Correct to the extent that appellant submitted appeal for releasing of annual increment, which was examined and perused by Respondent No.2, however petitioner appeal was rejected vide Order No.2035/EC dated 02-08-2018.

OBJECTION ON GROUNDS

- a) Incorrect: The petitioner showed high inattentive performance in line of duty, which resulted in imposition of minor punishment i.e. forfeiture of one increment vide this office OB No.296 dated 28-05-2018.
- b) Incorrect: During the posting at Nakabandi Wanda Banochi the petitioner performance was below average / poor and not up to the required standard, hence minor penalty was awarded.
- c) Incorrect: The petitioner remained posted at NB Wanda Banochi for the sufficient usual period, but his (petitioner) performance was unsatisfactory and poor.
- d) In-correct: The petitioner was informed through Show Cause Notice regarding the allegations leveled against him issued by Respondent No.1, but reply of the petitioner was neither convincible nor justifiable.
- e) In-correct: as already explained in above Para "B".

Prayer.

Keeping in view of the above facts and circumstances, it is humbly prayed that appeal of appellant, being not maintainable, may kindly be dismissed with costs.

Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Respondent No. 3) Regional Police Officer Bannu Region, Bannu (Respondent No. 2)

Dear Sid,

The instant reply is similar to the hope of the state of the services of the 191/18 already verted

Best

District Police Officer
Lakki Marwa

(Respondent No. 1)

Les Land Reservation Programs

15 Alco

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 1190/2018.

Iqbal Khan No.264 Constable,

VERSUS

District Police Officer Lakki Marwat & others

AFFIDAVIT

We, the Respondents do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the attached Para-wise comments are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Court.

Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Respondent No. 3)

Regional Police Officer Bannu Region, Bannu (Respondent No. 2)

District Polity Office Lakki Marwat (Respondent No. 1)

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.1190/2018

Iqbal Khan No.264 Constable Police Line Lakki Marwat

..... (Petitioner)

VERSUS

- 1) District Police Officer Lakki Marwat.
- 2) Regional Police Officer Bannu Region, Bannu.
- 3) Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

...... (Respondents)

AUTHORITY LETTER

We the respondents No. 2 & 3 do hereby solemnly authorize Respondent No.1 to attend the Honorable Court and submit written reply statement and affidavit required for the defence of above Service Appeals on our behalf.

Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar (Respondent No. 3) Regional Police Officer Bannu Region, Bannu (Respondent No. 2)

District Police Officer
Lakki Marwat
(Respondent No. 1)

My this order will dispose off the departmental enquiry initiated against Constable Iqbal No.264 while posted at NB Wanda Banochi was found to indulge in the following allegations:-

- 1. That he while posted at NB Wanda Banochi, his performance is below average/poor and not up to the required standard.
- 2. That this all show gross misconduct on his part and make him liable to be punished under Police Rules-1975.

He was served with Show Cause Notice to this effect. His reply to the Show Cause Notice was perused and was found unsatisfactory and failed to rebut the allegations leveled against him.

Therefore, I Arif Shahbaz Khan PSP, District Police Officer, Lakki Manyat exercise of the power vested in me under Police Rules-197: is hereby impose upon him minor punishment of "forfeiture of (1) one increment" with immediate effect.

OBNO 296 D4 28/05/2018

No 4017-21! Dated Lakki Marwat the 01-06 - /2018.

Copy of above is submitted for favour of information to:

District Police Officer,

/2018

1. The Regional Police Officer, Bannu Region, Bannu for favour of information.

2. HC, EC, PO, Reader and OASI for information & necessary action.

Allested Devided INTAS

this order will dispose of appeals, preferred by the following police officers of Lakki District Police, wherein, have prayed for setting aside the punishment of forfeiture of one increment imposed upon them by DPO Lakki Maryat vide OB No.296, 297, 300, 301 and 302 dated 28.05.2018 after found their performance as below average/poor and not to the required standard during nakabandi at Wanda Banochi PS Pezu:-

- HC Ghulam Qadar No. 193
- Constable Atlas Khan No.25 2.
- Constable Igbal No. 264
- 4. Constable Ali Muhammad No.674
- Constable Nader No.626

Their service records, inquiry papers and comments, received from DPO Lakki Marwat, were perused and it was found that the appellants were proceeded departmentally (without aid of inquiry) by services upon them show cause notices on the grounds of above misconduct. Their replies to show cause notices were found implausible by competent authority. Thereafter, they were awarded the aforesaid punishments.

Aggreved from the impugned orders, the appellants submitted the instant appeals to the undersigned that were send to DPO Lakki Marwat for comments as well as obtaining their service record. DPO Lakki Marwat, vide his letter No.8874-78/EC dated 13.07.2018 submitted para wise comments.

Their service records and inquiry papers were perused and it was found that their performance for the period of posting at nakabani Wanda Banochi remained below average. They were heard in orderly room itoday on 01.48:2018 held in the office of undersigned and provided them opportunity to defend their appeals but they failed to produce any plausible reasons with regards to their poor performance. Thus, the undersigned has reached to the conclusion that the punishments awarded to them by the competent authority are fully justifiable and there is no need to interfere in the orders passed by DPO Lakki Marwat.

Therefore, I, Muhammad Karim Khan, Regional Police Officer, Bannu Region Bannu, in exercise of the power vested in me under Rule, 11(4) (a) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 (amended 2014) hereby reject the appeals and endorse the punishments awarded to them by DPO Lakki Marwat.

Order announced

2035

Regional Royce/Officer Bannu Rexion,/Bannu /EC, dated Bannu the 02 /08/2018

Copy to the District Police Officer, Lakki Marwat for information and n/action w/r to his ioffice Memo: No. quoted above along with the service record containing the inquiry papers of the appellant to record in your office which may be acknowledged please.

> (MUHAMMAD KARIM KHAN) PSP Regional Police Officer Bannu Region, Bannu

filested