Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		
Execution Petition No	565/2022	

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge		
1	2	3		
1	22.09.2022	The execution petition of Mr. Tariq Hussain submitted today by Mr.		
	SCANNED	Nasir Mehmood Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar on Original file be requisitioned. AAG has		
	SCANNED KPST Poshawar	noted the next date. The respondents be issued notices to submit		
-		compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.		
		By the order of Chairman		
		REGISTRAR		
:				

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

PESHAWAR

CM No. ____/2022

In

Service Appeal No. 1120/2016

Dated: 21.09.2022

SCANNED

Tariq Hussain

.... Petitioner

Versus

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others Respondents

INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents •	Annexure	Pages
1.	Application for implementation of order with affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of Order dated 20.10.2021	Α	3-8
3.	Wakalat Nama		. 7

Through

Applicatty Petitioner

Nasir Mehmood

Advocate Supreme Court.



CM No/2022 In
Service Appeal No. 1120/2016
Tariq Hussain Section Officer, Sports Department, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
Petitione
Versus
 Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Establishment Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
Senior Member Board of Revenue, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
4. Provincial Selection Board through its Chairman.
Respondent

APPLICATION FOR EXECUTION / IMPLEMENTATION
OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 20.10.2021 PASSED IN
SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1120/2016 TITLED AS "TARIQ
HUSSAIN VS GOVERNMENT OF KP AND OTHERS"

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the above mentioned Service Appeal, was decided by this Hon'ble Tribunal vide judgment dated 20.10.2021 whereby the Service Appeal filed by the petitioner was



allowed. (Copy of Order dated 20.10.2021 is attached as annexure "A").

- 2. That the petitioner sought antidation of his promotion from the date when the regular post was available, which was allowed by this Hon'ble Tribunal in the following terms. For ready reference, para-7 of the judgment is reproduced as under:
 - "7. In the light of above discussion, we allow this appeal and direct the official respondents to ante-date the promotion of appellant to the respective dated (October 2014) when he completed the required length of service. No order as to costs."

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Application, the respondents may please be directed to implement the order dated 20.10.2021 passed in above titled Service Appeal No. 1120/2016, in the interest of justice.

Through

Applicant/Petitioner

Nasir Mehmood

Advocate Supreme Court.

Dated: 21.09.2022

AFFIDAVIT

I, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on Oath that the contents of the Application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

Deponent

SECOPE THE KUYPE



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1120/2016

Date of Institution

31.10.2016

Date of Decision

20.10.2021

Tariq Hussain Section Officer, Governor Secretariat Peshawar.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat Peshawar and three others.

(Respondents)

Nasir Mehmood,

Advocate

. For Appellant.

Muhammad Rasheed,

Additional Advocate General

For Respondents.

Rozina Rehman

Member (J)

Atiq Ur Rehman Wazir

Member (E)

JUDGMENT

Rozina Rehman, Member(J): The appellant has invoked the jurisdiction of this Tribunal through the above titled appeal with the prayer as copied below:

"That on acceptance of the appeal, the act of the respondents by regularizing the service of appellant to the post of P.M.S Officer (B.P.S-17) w.e.f 27.05.2016 through impugned notification may be declared as illegal, without lawful authority, without jurisdiction, malafide, void ab-initio and the respondents be directed to promote the appellant to the post of P.M.S (B.P.S-17)

w.e.f 21.03.2013 with all back benefits."

TESTED

- 2. Brief facts of the case are that appellant was appointed as Tehsildar on 29.10.2011, where-after, he was promoted to the post of Provincial Management Service (PMS BS-17) on Acting Charge Basis vide Notification dated 21.03.2013. Appellant was then promoted to the post of P.M.S on regular basis with immediate effect vide Notification dated 27.05.2016 instead of antedating of his promotion to the date on which the vacancy fell to his turn in the seniority list. His departmental appeal was not responded to, hence, the present service appeal.
- 3. We have heard Nasir Mehmood Advocate learned counsel for appellant and Muhammad Rasheed learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.
- 4. Nasir Mehmood Advocate learned counsel appearing on behalf of appellant, in support of appeal contended that the impugned order is against law, rules and material available on record, therefore, the impugned order may be modified and the appellant may be promoted as P.M.S (B.P.S-17) from the date the appellant was eligible and vacancies were available in his quota i.e. October, 2014. He contended that if a post is available in promotion quota, the civil servant should be promoted on regular basis from the date he becomes eligible and vacancies were available in his quota. He submitted that the Department delayed promotion case of the appellant for no good reason and he was promoted vide impugned order with a delay of almost two years.

5. Conversely, learned D.D.A submitted that the appellant was appointed to the post of Tehsildar (B.P.S-16) on 29.10.2011 and he

completed the required length of service on 28.10.2014 while he was appointed on Acting Charge Basis on 21.03.2013 and that at the time of appointment on Acting Charge of the appellant there were eleven regular posts falling in the share quota of Tehsildar while appellant was at Serial No.12 of the panel. He argued that promotion is always with immediate effect under the rules, therefore, appellant is not entitled for regular promotion to the post of P.M.S (B.P.S-17) w.e.f 21.03.2013 under the rules.

Perusal of record would reveal that appellant was appointed as 6. Tehsildar on 29.10.2011. The prescribed length of service for promotion is three years. Meeting of P.S.B was held on 15.05.2013, wherein, the board observed that appellant had not completed prescribed length of service required for promotion, therefore, he was not recommended for regular promotion to PMS (BS-17). He completed the required length of service on 28.10.2014 but was promoted to the post of P.MS (B.P.S-17) on regular basis vide notification dated 27.05.2016 with immediate effect. The appellant is mainly aggrieved of his promotion with immediate effect and not from the date, when he became eligible for promotion in October, 2014 and post was available in his quota. The promotion of appellant was delayed by the respondents for no fault of the appellant and he/appellant must not suffer for the fault of the respondents. Reliance is placed on Government of Punjab through Secretary Education & one another Vs. Rana Ghulam Sarwar reported in 1997 S.C.M.R and Muhammad Amjad Vs. Dr. Israr Ahmad reported in 2010 P.L.C (C.S)

for promotion from the date, when he becomes eligible for such

760, wherein, it was held by the apex Court that civil servant is eligible

promotion and substantive vacancy in promotion quota is also available.

7. In the light of above discussion, we allow this appeal and direct the official respondents to ante-date the promotion of appellant to the respective date (October, 2014) when he completed the required length of service. No order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED. 20.10.2021

(Atiq Ur Rehman Wazir) Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

Certified to be ture copy

Kinyber thum have Service Tribunal, Pachawar

Exte of Presentation of Amplication 22/10/21

Number of the Popo

22/-

Date of Delivery at Case 1/

(7)

مقدمه دعوى جرم باعث تحريراً نكه مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالامين ابن طرف سے واسطے بیردی وجواب دہی وکل کارواکی متعلقه أن مقام السلوب كيا الم المحمد المادي مقرركر كے اقراركيا جاتا ہے۔كدصا حب موصوف كومقدمدكىكل كارواكى كاكال اختيار ، وكا _نيز وكيل صاحب كوراضى نامهرف وتقرر ثالت وفيصله برحلف ديسي جواب دبى اورا تبال دعوى اور بسورت ذمرى كرف اجراءاورصولى چيك دروپيارعرضى دعوى ادر درخواست برسم كى تقىدىق زراین بردستخط کرانے کا ختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم بیروی یا ڈگری بیطرفہ یا اپیل کی براید گی اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اہل مکرانی ونظر دانی و بیروی کرنے کا ختیار موگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ ندکور کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اوروکیل یا مخارقا نونی کواسیے ہمراہ یا اسے بچاہے تقرر کا اختیار موگا _اورمها حب مقررشده كومچى واى جمله مذكور، باا ختيارات حاصل مول مح اوراس كاساخته برواخته منظور قبول ہوگا۔ دورالو کم مقدمہ میں جوخر چید ہرجاندالتوائے مقدمہ *کرکس*یب سے وہوگا۔ كونى تاريخ بيشى مقام دوره بريمويكوري ما بروتوديل صاحبها بالمدرون كي كمام الكوري مْدُوْرَكُر مِن ـلهذاوكالت نامەكھىديا كەسندر<u>ىيم</u>ىك .2012 09 11 الرقوم ______ کے لئے منظور ہے۔ stuit

wings thereby