


16.05.2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned AAG apprised the court about filing of CPLA No. 206-P of 2022 in the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. A request for early hearing has also been made. However, the respondent department is obligated to either get the Service Tribunal judgment dated 25.01.2022 suspended from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan or provisionally/conditionally implement it subject to the outcome of CPLA. To come up for further proceedings on 21.07.2022 before S.B.


(Mian Muhammad)
Member(E)

21.07.2022 Clerk of learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.



Implementation report not submitted. Learned Additional Advocate General seeks time to contact the respondents for submission of implementation report. Adjourned. To come up for implementation report on 10.10.2022 before S.B.


(Mian Muhammad)
Member (E)

Form- A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Execution Petition No. 188/2022

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	11.04.2022	<p>The execution petition of Mr. Muhammad Arif submitted today by Mr. Yasir Saleem Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p> <p>This execution petition be put up before to Single Bench at Peshawar on <u>16-05-2022</u>. Original file be requisitioned. Notices to the appellatant and his counsel be also issued for the date fixed. <i>Notices be issued to the Respondents for I/R</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p>

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Execution Petition NO. 188/2022

In the matter of
Appeal No. 1067/2018
Decided on 25.01.2022

Muhammad Arif, Warder (BPS-5), District Prison Karak.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Khyber
Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others.

(Respondents)

INDEX

S. No	Description of documents	Annexure	Page No
1.	Memo of Appeal & Affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of the consolidated Judgment and order dated 25.01.2022	A	3 - 8
5.	Vakalatnama		9

Appellant

Through



YASIR SALEEM
Advocate, Peshawar

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In the matter of
Appeal No. 1067/2018
Decided on 25.01.2022

Exception Petition No. 188/22

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Diry No. 434

Dated 11-4-2022

Muhammad Arif, Warder (BPS-5), District Prison Karak.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. The Superintendent Circle Headquarters, Prison DI Khan.
4. The Superintendent, District Prison Karak.

(Respondents)

Application for the implementation of the Judgment and Order dated 25.1.2022 in captioned service appeal of this Honourable Tribunal.

Respectfully Submitted:

1. That the above noted service appeal was pending adjudication in this Honourable Tribunal and was decided vide judgment and order dated 25.01.2022.
2. That vide judgment and order dated 25.01.2022, this Honorable Tribunal allowed the appeal and reinstated the appellant with all back benefits. The operating Para of the Judgment and order, is reproduced below:

“8. In view of the foregoing, the appeal in hand and other connected appeals mentioned above are accepted and the appellant are entitled for salaries and all other benefits which would have accrued in their favour had they not been removed from service..

(Copy of the consolidated Judgment and order dated 25.01.2022, is attached as Annexure A)

3. That the judgment and order of this Honourable Tribunal, was duly communicated to the respondent by the applicant vide various applications for implementation. Thereafter the applicant is continuously approaching the respondents for the implementation of

the judgment dated 25.01.2022, however they are reluctant to implement the same.

4. That the respondents are legally bound to implement the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal dated 25.1.2022 in its true letter and spirit without any further delay.

It is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of this application the judgment and order dated 25.01.2022 of this Honourable Tribunal be implemented in its true letter and spirit.

Appellant

Through




YASIR SALEEM
Advocate, Peshawar

AFFIDAVIT

It is solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above implementation petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

M. Arif
DEPONENT



3



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Case No. 1355

Date 29/8/2018

Service Appeal No. 1145/2018

Manzoor Khan, Warder (BPS-5), Central Prison Haripur.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. That Home Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
3. The Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. The Superintendent Central Prison Haripur.

(Respondents)

Appeal under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974, against the Order dated 04.04.2018, whereby, though the appellant has been re-instated in service, however the intervening period has been treated as Extra-Ordinary leave with nil pay against which his Departmental Appeal dated 23.04.2018 has not been responded till the lapse of Statutory Period of 90 days.

Registrar
29/8/18

Prayer in Appeal -

Re-submitted to -day
and filed.

On acceptance of this appeal the Order dated 04.04.2018, to the extent of treating the intervening period as Leave without Pay may please be set-aside and the appellant may also be allowed the back benefits of service.

Registrar
13/9/18

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar


ORDER
25.01.2022



Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.

Vide our detailed judgment of the today, separately placed on file, the appeal in hand and other connected appeals mentioned above are accepted and the appellants are entitled for salaries and all other benefits which would have accrued in their favor, had they not been removed from service. Parties are left to bear their respective costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED
25.01.2022


(AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN)
CHAIRMAN


(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)
MEMBER (E)


ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

5

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1145/2018

Date of Institution ... 29.08.2018

Date of Decision ... 25.01.2022



Manzoor Khan, Warden (BPS-05) Central Prison Haripur.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and three others. ... (Respondents)

Yasir Saleem,
Advocate

For Appellant

Asif Masood Ali Shah
Deputy District Attorney

For respondents

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN ...
ATIQU-UR-REHMAN WAZIR ...

CHAIRMAN
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT

ATIQU-UR-REHMAN WAZIR MEMBER (E):- This single judgment shall dispose of the instant service appeal as well as the following connected service appeals having common questions of law and facts:-

1. 1002/2018 titled Noor Islam
2. 1003/2018 titled Sher Ali Baz
3. 1067/2018 titled Muhammad Arif
4. 1068/2018 titled Malik Aftab
5. 1069/2018 titled Hameed Ullah
6. 1119/2018 titled Muhammad Sajid
7. 1146/2018 titled Zaib Nawaz

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

6

03. Brief facts as narrated in the memo of appeal are that the appellant was initially appointed as Warder in the Prison Department in the year, 2007. While posted at District Jail Lakki Marwat on 24.05.2013, an incident of escape of under trial prisoners took place due to which the appellant was proceeded against departmentally and was ultimately awarded with major punishment of removal from service vide order dated 17.03.2014. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant filed departmental appeal followed by Service Appeal No. 880/2014 before this Tribunal, which was accepted vide judgment dated 01-03-2018 and the appellant was re-instated in service by converting major penalty into minor penalty of withholding of three increments for three years. Benefits of the period in which the appellants remained out of service were also allowed subject to the condition if they were not gainfully employed during the period. The appellant submitted affidavit to the respondents to the effect that he never remained in gainful employment during the period he remained out of service. Respondent No. 3 though reinstated the appellant in service vide order dated 04.04.2018 but treated the intervening period as extraordinary leave without pay. After exhausting departmental remedy, the appellant filed the instant service appeal with the prayer that on acceptance of the appeal, the order dated 04.04.2014 to the extent of treating the intervening period as leave without pay may be set aside and the appellant may be allowed the back benefits of service.

04. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law; that the appellant was re-instated in service by orders of this tribunal and back benefits were also allowed and the appellant also submitted affidavit to the effect that he was not gainfully employed anywhere; that the respondent should have

ATTESTED
BY
M. K. KHAN
Member
Service Tribunal
Lakki Marwat

(7)

considered the affidavit submitted by him to this effect, which however was not considered; that the appellant remained out of service due to the penalty which was subsequently set aside by this Tribunal, hence he is entitled to all back benefits.

05. Learned Deputy District Attorney appearing on behalf of the respondents while rebutting the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant, argued that the enquiry proceedings conducted were strictly in accordance with law. The appellant was given ample opportunity to defend himself but he could not prove his innocence. He further argued that in pursuance to the directions given in the judgment dated 01.03.2018 the appellant was reinstated into service vide order dated 04.04.2018, however, the intervening period was treated as Extra-ordinary leave without pay because the department on the basis of well-settled principle "No Work No Pay", could not pay salary to the appellant for the period during which he did not perform his duty and requested for dismissal of the appeal with cost.

06. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

07. This Tribunal vide judgment dated 01-03-2018 has very clearly reinstated the appellant as well as made him entitled for back benefits of the intervening period, subject to the condition if he was not gainfully employed elsewhere. The respondents re-instated him in service but the intervening period was treated as leave without pay, inspite of the fact the appellant had submitted affidavit to the effect that he was not gainfully employed anywhere, but such benefits were refused to the appellant, which however was not warranted. Now the point remains for determination is that during

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Services Tribunal
Peshawar

the period in question the appellant remained jobless or otherwise. In Para-9 of the Memo. of Appeal, the appellant clearly stated that he submitted affidavit to the respondents which is sufficient proof that he never engaged in gainful employment during the period, he remained out of service which has not been considered by the respondents.

08. In view of the foregoing, the appeal in hand and other connected appeals mentioned above are accepted and the appellants are entitled for salaries and all other benefits which would have accrued in their favor, had they not been removed from service. Parties are left to bear their respective costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED
25.01.2022



(AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN)
CHAIRMAN


(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)
MEMBER (E)

Certified to be true copy
EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal,
Peshawar

~~Date of Presentation of Application~~ 15/2/22
~~No. of Copies~~ 2800
~~Number of Pages~~ 30
~~Number of Volumes~~ 4
~~Total~~ 34
~~No. of Copies~~ [Signature]
~~Date of Submission of Copy~~ 15/2/22
~~Date of Delivery of Copy~~ 15/2/22

بعدالت جناب سروس ٹریبونل پٹنہ



2 جناب

محمد عارف بنام گورنمنٹ

موزخہ	-----
مقدمہ	-----
دعویٰ	-----
پرز	-----

باعث تحریر آنکے

مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیردی وہ جواب دی وکیل کاروائی متعلقہ
 آن مقام پٹنہ کے لیے یاسر سلیم کے نام سے درخواست کی گئی ہے۔
 مقررہ کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز
 وکیل صاحب کو رضی نامہ کرنے کے وقت تقرر ثالثتہ فیصلہ برحلف دیے جواب دی اور اقبال دعویٰ اور
 باہر دست ڈگری کرنے اجراء اور صولی چیک دور و پیرا عرضی دعویٰ اور درخواست ہر قسم کی تصدیق
 زرائیں پر دستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم پیردی یا ڈگری یکطرفہ یا اپیل کی برآمدگی اور منسوخی
 نیز دائرہ کرنے اپیل گمرانی و نظر ثانی و پیردی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور
 کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار
 ہوگا۔ اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ با اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا سہا لختہ
 پر واختم منظور قبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ ہر جانش التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا۔
 کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب پابند ہوں گے۔ کہ پیردی
 مذکور کریں۔ لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھ دیا کہ سند ہے۔

المترقون 7 ماہ 2022

العبد العبد العبد

کے لیے منظور ہے۔

پٹنہ

ہر مقام

Handwritten signature on the right margin.