16.05.2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned AAG apprised the court about filing of CPLA No. 206-P of 2022 in the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. A request for early hearing has also been made. However, the respondent department is obligated to either get the Service Tribunal judgment dated 25.01.2022 suspended from the august Supreme Court of Pakistan or provisionally/conditionally implement it subject to the outcome of CPLA. To come up for further proceedings on 21.07.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member(E)

21.07.2022

Clerk of learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Implementation report not submitted. Learned Additional Advocate General seeks time to contact the respondents for submission of implementation report. Adjourned. To come up for implementation report on 10.10.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of				
Execution Petition No.	183/2022			

	Exe	ecution Petition No. 183/2022
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	11.04.2022	The execution petition of Mr. Manzoor Khan submitted today by Mr. Yasir Salim Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please. REGISTRAR
2-	noted the	This execution petition be put up before to Single Bench at Peshawar on 16-05-2022. Original file be requisitioned. Notices to the appellant and his counsel be also issued for the date fixed. Notices also be issued to the Respondent for 1/R. CHAIRMAN
	shah wassal	
	•	

DIL



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

on, 11/05/2022

Case No. CPLA No. 206-P of 2022

Title:

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar & others

Versus Manzoor Khan.

SUBJECT:

APPLICATION FOR EARLY HEARING

CATEGORY OF CASE: Service Matter/ back benefit.

BRIEF OF CASE (FROM TRIAL COURT TO IMPUGNED ORDER)

Nature of Proceeding before lower Court:- Execution Petition of Court before the Hon'ble Peshawar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar asking for implementation of the impugned order pending before this august Court in the above noted case.

Relief claimed in main case. That the impugned Judgment & Order dated 25-01-2022 passed in Service Appeal No. 1145 of 2018.

GROUND/ REASON OF URGENCY:

1. Respondent filed Execution Petition of Court before the Hon'ble Peshawar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

2.	Hon'ble	Peshawar	Khyber	Pakhtunkhwa	Service	Tribunal,	Peshawar	directed	the
	petitione	ers to imple	ment the	e judgment pass	sed in Ser	vice Appea	al No. 1145	of 2018.	

PROOF OF URGENCY:	Attached/	Not attached)
PRAYER:		

It is respectfully prayed that the Petition may kindly be fixed in the 1st Week of June, 2022

UNDERTAKING:

Certified that this is 1st application by the AOR/Applicant for early fixation of instant case.

(Mian Saadullah jandoli)

Advocate-on-Record Supreme Court of Pakistan For the Government of KP

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Execution Petition NO. NO. 183/2022

In the matter of Appeal No. 1145/2018 Decided on 25.01.2022

Manzoor Khan, Warder (BPS-5), Central Prison Bannu.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others.

(Respondents)

INDEX

S. No	Description of documents	Almnessure	Page No
1.	Memo of Appeal & Affidavit		1-8
2.	Copy of the consolidated Judgment and order dated 25.01.2022	A	3-8
5.	Vakalatnama		9

Appellant

Through

YASIR SALEEM Advocate, Peshawar BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA

BLECCE HOU fetition NO. 183/2022 Khyber Paldicukhwa Service Tribuna?

In the matter of

Appeal No. 1145/2018

Decided on 25.01.2022

Diary No. 429

Dated 11-4-2022

Manzoor Khan, Warder (BPS-5), Central Prison Bannu.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Superintendent Circle Headquarters, Prison DI Khan.
- 4. The Superintendent, Central Prison Bannu.

(Respondents)

Application for the implementation of the Judgment and Order dated 25.1.2022 in captioned service appeal of this Honourable Tribunal.

Respectfully Submitted:

- 1. That the above noted service appeal was pending adjudication in this Honourable Tribunal and was decided vide judgment and order dated 25.01.2022.
- 2. That vide judgment and order dated 25.01.2022, this Honorable Tribunal allowed the appeal and reinstated the appellant with all back benefits. The operating Para of the Judgment and order, is reproduced below:
 - In view of the foregoing, the appeal in hand and other connected appeals mentioned above are accepted and the appellant are entitled for salaries and all other benefits which would have accrued in their favour had they not been removed from service..

(Copy of the consolidated Judgment and order dated 25.01.2022, is attached as Annexure A)

3. That the judgment and order of this Honourable Tribunal, was duly communicated to the respondent by the applicant vide various implementation. Thereafter the applicant is applications for continuously approaching the respondents for the implementation of the judgment dated 26.02.2013, however they are reluctant to implement the same.

4. That the respondents are legally bound to implement the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal dated 26.02.2013 in its true letter land sprit without any further delay.

It is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of this application the judgment and order dated 26.02.2013 of this Honourable Tribunal be implemented in its true letter and spirit.

Applicant

Through

YASIR SALEEM Advocate, Peshawar

AFFIDAVIT

It is solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above implementation petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

DEPONENT



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1145/2018

Manzoor Khan, Warder (BPS-5), Central Prison Haripur.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2. That Home Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

3. The Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

4. The Superintendent Central Prison Haripur.

(Respondents)

Appeal under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtuakhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974, against the Order dated 04.04,2018, whereby, though the appellant has been re-instated in service, however the intervening period has been treated as Extra- Ordinary leave with out pay against which his Departmental Appeal dated 23.04.2018 has not been responded till the lapse of Statutory Period of 90 days.

Prayer in Appeal: -

Re-submitted to -day and Med.

LESTE DE

On acceptance of this appeal the Order dated 04.04.2018, to the extent of treating the intervening period as Leave without Pay may please be set-aside and the appellant may also be allowed the back benefits of service.

ORDER 25.01.2022 Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

Arguments heard and record perused.

Vide our detailed judgment of the today, separately placed on file, the appeal in hand and other connected appeals mentioned above are accepted and the appellants are entitled for salaries and all other benefits which would have accrued in their favor, had they not been removed from service. Parties are left to bear their respective costs. File be consigned to the record room.

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 25.01.2022

> (AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN) CHAIRMAN

(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR) MEMBER (E)

EXAMENER Knyther Polititudings

MESTRED

જાલામાં જેવી જેવા છે. પ્રત્યુજ્યામાં કરવા

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1145/2018

Date of Institution

29.08.2018

Date of Decision

25.01.2022

Manzoor Khan, Warder (BPS-05) Central Prison Haripur.

(Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and three others. ... (Respondents)

Yasir Saleem, Advocate

For Appellant

Asif Masood Ali Shah Deputy District Attorney For respondents

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN
ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT

ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR MEMBER (E):- This single judgment "
shall dispose of the instant service appeal as well as the following
connected service appeals having common questions of law and facts:-

- 1. 1002/2018 titled Noor Islam
- 2. 1003/2018 titled Sher Ali Baz
- 3. 1067/2018 titled Muhammad Arif
- 4. 1068/2018 titled Malik Aftab
- 5. 1069/2018 titled Hameed Ullah
- 6. 1119/2018 titled Muhammad Sajid
- 7. 1146/2018 titled Zaib Nawaz

EXAMINER Khylet Pakhtukhwa Khylet Tribunus

Brief facts as narrated in the memo of appeal are that the appellant 03. was initially appointed as Warder in the Prison Department in the year, 2007. While posted at District Jail Lakki Marwat on 24.05.2013, an incident of escape of under trial prisoners took-place due to which the appellant was proceeded against departmentally and was ultimately awarded with major punishment of removal from service vide order dated 17.03.2014. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant filed departmental appeal followed by Service Appeal No. 880/2014 before this Tribunal, which was accepted vide judgment dated 01-03-2018 and the appellant was re-instated in service by converting major penalty into minor penalty of withholding of three increments for three years. Benefits of the period in which the appellants remained out of service were also allowed subject to the condition if they were not gainfully employed during the period. The appellant submitted affidavit to the respondents to the effect that he never remained in gainful employment during the period he remained out of service. Respondent No. 3 though reinstated the appellant in service vide order dated 04.04.2018 but treated the intervening period as extraordinary leave without pay. After exhausting departmental remedy, the appellant filed the instant service appeal with the prayer that on acceptance of the appeal, the order dated 04.04.2014 to the extent of treating the intervening period as 'eave without pay may be set aside and the appellant may be allowed the back benefits of service.

04. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law; that the appellant was reinstated in service by orders of this tribunal and back benefits were also allowed and the appellant also submitted affidavit to the effect that he was not gainfully employed anywhere; that the respondent should have

mx of the track had a service to the track had a

(7)

considered the affidavit submitted by him to this effect, which however was not considered; that the appellant remained out of service due to the penalty which was subsequently set aside by this Tribunal, hence he is entitled to all back benefits.

- O5. Learned Deputy District Attorney appearing on behalf of the respondents while rebutting the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant, argued that the enquiry proceedings conducted were strictly in accordance with law. The appellant was given ample opportunity to defend himself but he could not prove his innocence. He further argued that in pursuance to the directions given in the judgment dated 01.03.2018 the appellant was reinstated into service vide order dated 04.04.2018, however, the intervening period was treated as Extra-ordinary leave without pay because the department on the basis of well-settled principle "No Work No Pay", could not pay salary to the appellant for the period during which he did not perform his duty and requested for dismissal of the appeal with cost.
- 06. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.
- O7. This Tribunal vide judgment dated 01-03-2018 has very clearly reinstated the appellant as well as made him entitled for back benefits of the intervening period, subject to the condition if he was not gainfully employed elsewhere. The respondents re-instated him in service but the intervening period was treated as leave without pay, inspite of the fact the appellant had submitted affidavit to the effect that he was not gainfully employed anywhere, but such benefits were refused to the appellant, which however was not warranted. Now the point remains for determination is that during

EXAMINER Shyber Yakhtukhwa Bervica Tribunal

TESTED

 \int_{Λ}

the period in question the appellant remained jobless or otherwise. In Para9 of the Memo. of Appeal, the appellant clearly stated that he submitted affidavit to the respondents which is sufficient proof that he never engaged in gainful employment during the period, he remained out of service which has not been considered by the respondents.

08. In view of the foregoing, the appeal in hand and other connected appeals mentioned above are accepted and the appellants are entitled for salaries and all other benefits which would have accrued in their favor, had they not been removed from service. Parties are left to bear their respective costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 25.01.2022

(AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN) CHAIRMAN

(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR) MEMBER (E)


Certified to be ture copy

EXAMINER

Khyber Falshtunkhwa

Khyber Fribunal.

	15/2/2-26
PROPERTY OF PROPERTY OF THE TOPON	2560
Newson Control of the	La Contraction of the contractio
Copying for 4	
	, , , ,
Transl	04
No reality of the state of the	15/2/22
	118-1-1
Muse of Delivery of Capy-	15/2/22



"B"

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR. JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD,

	PE	SHAWAI	R.	CQ		
No.	- 4	.0- 1	100	<u>SB</u>		
А рре о	LNO. E. P.No.	183 70	> 184	of 20 2 ?	L	
,.	LNo E.P.No. Manzooy Kha	in 30	others	Appellant/l	Petitioner	
Through	Chief Secy K	versus PK Pes	hawa	Respo	ndent	
	(Respo	ondent No	(2)	,,,,	
Notice to: _ Gout	of KPK 1	brough (Chief S	ecy KI	PK Jeshawa	1
WHEREAS an ap Province Service Tribut the above case by the pe hereby informed that t *on	nal Act, 1974, had titioner in this C he said appeal/p	s been presourt and no petition is of A.M. If you do so on the erson or by of Attorned the date of which you e fixed and	sented/regotice has befixed for lower to the control of the contro	istered for seen ordered nearing be o urge any ed, or any c ed represe therefore, copies of v ase also ta	consideration, in consideration, in the total and the the Tribuna of the thing against the other day to which the the the the the the the the the th	n re al ne ch ny n nt n
Notice of any alter given to you by register address. If you fail to fur address given in the appropriate posted to this add this appeal/petition.	red post. You sho rnish such addre eal/petition will ress by registere	ould inforr ss your add be deemed	n the Reg lress conta to be your	istrar of a nined in thi correct ad	ny change in you is notice which th ldress, and furthe	er e e
Copy of appeal is	attached. Copy	of appeal	has alread	l y been se r	rt to you vide th	is
office Notice No		dated	•••••••		 12 12 nd	
Given under my l		al of this C	ourt, at Po	eshawar th	is	
Day of	<u> </u>		20 كا		•	
For Impl-men-ti	ion ort	4			·	
		Khy	ber Pakht		ar, Service Tribuna	ıl,
				Dochows	- h ha	

Note: 1. The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays.

2. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

PS/Secv 5&AD KP Dialy No.

77

Monzoox Khar. 4 6 Others E.P.W. 183 to 184

Mough thing Secy NOR Penhawa

good of KPK though Chief Secy KPK Perhann (1)

16/05/2012

L's

April

For Einpl-men-tion Report

E12 1/17 PS/Secy E&AC 10

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR. JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD, PESHAWAR.

SB
No. Appeal No. E. P. No. 183 to 189 052022
Man 2004 Khan 3 6 others Appellant/Petitioner
Man 2004 Chan 3 (6) Others Appellant/Petitioner
Through Chief Sery KPK Peshawar Respondent
Respondent No(2)
Notice to: — The IGP Prisons KPK Perhawa
WHEREAS an appeal/petition under the provision of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province Service Tribunal Act, 1974, has been presented/registered for consideration, in the above case by the petitioner in this Court and notice has been ordered to issue. You are hereby informed that the said appeal/petition is fixed for hearing before the Tribunal *on
Notice of any alteration in the date fixed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be given to you by registered post. You should inform the Registrar of any change in your address. If you fail to furnish such address your address contained in this notice which the address given in the appeal/petition will be deemed to be your correct address, and further notice posted to this address by registered post will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of this appeal/petition. Copy of appeal is attached. Copy of appeal has already been sent to you vide this
office Notice Nodateddated
Given under my hand and the seal of this Court, at Peshawar this
Day of
Day of Perovit Registrar, w
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal.

The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

Marzour Han & 10 189

mingh that say KVK Pohama

The Top Prison Mr Postura

16/26/2012

(For Jupl-new-tion Report