# Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	
Execution Petition No.	581/2022

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge			
1	2	3			
1	28.09.2022	The execution petition of Mst. Yasmeen Sarfaraz submitted today by Mr.Amjad Ali Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar on Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The respondents be issued notices to submit compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.  By the order of Chairman			
		REGISTRAR -			

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution fetition NO. 581/2022

In Re:

Service Appeal No.273/2018

Mst. Yasmeen Sarfaraz......Appellant

### **VERSUS**

#### INDEX

S.No.	Description of documents.	Annexure	Page No
1.	Application for implementation		1-3
2.	Copy of judgment dated 24.05.2022		4-7
3.	Wakalatnama		8

Dated: 15.09.2022

Appellant

through

Amjad Ali (Mardan

Advocate

Supreme Court of Pakistan

### BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 581/2022

In Re:

Service Appeal No.273/2018

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunai

Dansy No. 1458

Dated 28-9-2022

Mst. Yasmeen Sarfaraz S.S.T (General) BPS-16 Sharif Abad, Near Sugar Mills Nowshera Road Mardan, Posted at GGHS Bakri Banda, Mardan

.....Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

- 1) Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary E&SE, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2) Chief Secretary Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

...Respondents

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT DATED 24.05.2022 OF THIS HON'BLE TRIBUNAL PASSED IN SANO.273/2018

Filed to-day

Registrar

Sir,

Appellant humbly submits as under:-

 That appellant filed titled Service Appeal No.617/20185 before this hon'ble Tribunal with the prayer that

"on acceptance of this appeal the order dated 14.11.2017 may please be modified by inserting name of appellant in promotion order dated 14.11.2017 as subject specialist (BPS-17) w.e.f.

# (2)

# 14.11.2017 with all back benefits when respondents No.3 to 5 were promoted"

2. That appeal of the appellant came up for hearing on 24.05.2022, and this Hon'ble Tribunal was pleased to allow the appeal of the appellant with the following order:-

"After going through case file and hearing the arguments from the counsel to the appellant and learned have arrived at the AAG we conclusion that the appellant was not informed by the relevant office to complete the missing PERs, if they were not available in her personal file. When confronted with the letter of DEO(F) Mardan dated 31.10.2017 enclosing the PERs of the appellant for the year 2012 to 2016 as provided by her counsel, the learned AAG had no answer. It was noted with regret that the departmental representative was not present during the hearing and the learned AAG could not provide any documentary proof that certain PERs were missing and that the appellant had been informed to provide the same. The appeal in hand is hence allowed and the concerned department is directed to promote the appellant from the date when her junior colleagues were promoted to the post of Subject Specialists, that is all back 14.11.2017, alongwith benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. Consign. "

 $\left( 3\right)$ 

(Copy of order/judgment dated 24.05.2022 is Annex "A")

- 3. That after announcement of judgment dated 24.05.2022, the appellant send the attested copy of the said judgment to the respondents for its implementation.
- 4. That since announcement of judgment/ order dated 24.05.2022 the same has not yet been implemented, nor any steps whatsoever in this respect have been taken by the respondents, despite several request of the appellant, but in vain.
- 5. That omission of respondents to act upon the order of this Hon'ble Tribunal speaks of the fact that respondents has undermined the authority of this Hon'ble Tribunal and have not moved even an inch for implementation of the same.
- 6. That this omission/ act of respondents squarely falls within the ambit of contempt of court as respondents have conveniently ignored the time frame provided by this Tribunal Court.

It is therefore, humbly requested to please direct respondents to implement the judgment dated 24.05.2022 in its letter and spirit

Appellant

through

Amjad Ali Advocate

Supreme Court of Pakistan

At Mardan

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, do hereby affirm and declare on oath that the contents of accompanying Application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble court.

Deponent

REME COURT

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE <u>TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR</u>

Service Appeal No. 273 /2018

ervice Tri

Mst. Yasmeen Sarfaraz S.S.T (General) BPS-16 Sharif Abad, Near Sugar Mills Nowshera Road Mardan, Posted at GGHS Bakri Banda, Mardan

.....Appellant

dated

### **VERSUS**

- 1) Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary E&SE, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2) Chief Secretary Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil
- 3) Sobia Haq, S.S English (BPS-17), GGHSS Kaddi, District
- (4) Mst. Nusrat Jabeen GGHS Warjun, Chitral.
  - 5) Mst. Nuzhat Shaheen, S.S English (BPS-17) GGHS Hafia .....Respondents Gali, Abbottabad.

Appeal u/s 4 of Service Tribunal Act, order the against 14.11.2017 passed by respondent 1974 No.1, wherein for considered

appellant is subject BPS-17, specialist English and respondents No.3 to 5 are promoted, who are junior to the appellant and the dated appeal departmental 15.11.2017 filed by appellant against the same was remained unresponded after lapse of 90 days which is illegal, against law and facts.

ATTERTEB Peshawa"

## KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.



Service Appeal No. 273/2018

BEFORE:

MR. KALIM ARSHAD KHAN

... CHAIRMAN

MISS. FAREEHA PAUL

MEMBER(E)

Mst. Yasmeen Sarfaraz, SST (General) BPS-16, Sharif Abad, Near Sugar Mill Nowshra Road Mardan.

.... (Appellant)

#### **Versus**

- 1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary E&SE, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. Chief Secretary Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 3. Sobia Haq, S.S English (BPS-17), GGHSS Kaddi, District Swabi.
- 4. Mst. Nusrat Jabeen GGHS Wargjun, Chitral.
- 5. Mst. Nuzhat Shaheen, S.S English (BPS-17) GGHS Hafia Gali, Abbottabad.

... (Respondents)

Mr. Amjid Ali Advocate

For appellant

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Asstt. Advocate General

For respondents

Date of Institution..........27.02.2018

Date of Hearing..........23.05.2022

Date of Decision.......24.05.2022

Khyber Falorisab Bervice Tribanas Pentuwan

#### **JUDGEMENT**

FAREEHA PAUL MEMBER (E) The service appeal in hand has been instituted under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the order dated 14.11.2017 passed by the Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

wherein appellant was not considered for promotion to BS-17 and her junior colleagues were promoted. The appellant filed a departmental appeal dated 15.11.2017 but it remained unresponded after lapse of 90 days. The order dated 14.11.2017 has been impugned with a prayer to modify it to the extent of inserting the name of the appellant in that order as Subject Specialist BS-17 with all back benefits.

- 2. Brief fact of the case are that the appellant was appointed as PST vide order dated 22.12.2006. She was appointed as SST (General) vide order 30.5.2012 after recommendation of Public Service Commission and adjusted in GGHS Bakri Banda vide order dated 31.5.2012. As per final seniority list of the SSTs, as provided by the counsel of the appellant, the appellant stood at serial No. 2948 whereas her colleagues junior to her were promoted before her.
  - 3. Respondents were put on notice who submitted written replies/comments on the appeal. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the Assistant Advocate General and perused the case file with connected documents minutely and thoroughly.
  - 4. The learned counsel for appellant contended that the name of the appellant was not included in the working paper prepared for the promotion committee on the ground that her PERs were not complete. He presented the PERs duly completed before the Tribunal, with the argument that the same had already been provided to the concerned quarters.
    - 5. When confronted the learned Assistant Advocate General stated that the appellant had not submitted her PERs and other documents mandatory for processing the case at the time of preparing working paper for the promotion committee. He could not respond to the query whether any notice was issued to the applicant for providing the relevant document

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtukhwa
Service Triburral

including the missing PERs for processing the case for promotion committee.

- 6. After going through case file and hearing the arguments from the counsel to the appellant and learned AAG we have arrived at the conclusion that the appellant was not informed by the relevant office to complete the missing PERs, if they were not available in her personal file. When confronted with the letter of DEO(F) Mardan dated 31.10.2017 enclosing the PERs of the appellant for the year 2012 to 2016 as provided by her counsel, the learned AAG had no answer. It was noted with regret that the departmental representative was not present during the hearing and the learned AAG could not provide any documentary proof that certain PERs were missing and that the appellant had been informed to provide the same. The appeal in hand is hence allowed and the concerned department is directed to promote the appellant from the date when her junior colleagues were promoted to the post of Subject Specialist, that is 14.11.2017, alongwith all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. Consign.
- 7. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal this  $24^{th}$  day of May, 2022.

Cate of Presentation of Application of Application

(KALIM ARSHAD KHAN) Chairman

> (FAREEHA PAUL) Member (E)

