



Form- A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Case No. 1394/2013

S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	08/10/2013	<p>The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Iqbal presented today by Mr. Muhammad Asif Yousafzai Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for preliminary hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>
2	9-10-2013	<p>This case is entrusted to Primary Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on <u>29-10-2013</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p>

29.1.2014

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP with Mr. Mir Qasam Assistant Secretary for the official respondents and private respondent No. 4 in person present. Appellant needs further time. To come up for arguments on 5.3.2014. Rejoinder, if any, in the meantime.

MEMBER

5.3.2014

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP with Mukhtiar Ali, Supdt. for the respondents present. Counsel for the appellant needs time. To come up for arguments on 9.4.2014.

MEMBER

MEMBER

9.4.2014.

Counsel for the appellant, Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP for the official respondents and private respondent No.4 in person present. Counsel for the appellant stated at the bar that the appellant has been retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation and requested for withdrawal of the appeal. His signature also obtained in the margin of order sheet. Hence, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

9.4.2014.

MEMBER

MEMBER

Muhammad Jan

1394/13

5.12.2013

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, AAG with Mukhtiar Ali, Assistant for respondent No. 1, and Attaullah, ADK for respondents No. 2 & 3 present. Written reply of respondents No. 2 and 3 filed. Copy handed over to counsel for the appellant. Applicant Azeemullah, with his counsel present Counsel for the appellant has no objection for impleadment of applicant. As such Azeemullah, Patwari is impleaded as private respondent No.4. Entry be made in the heading of appeal. To come up for written reply of respondents No. 1 & 4 on main appeal as well as reply/arguments on stay application on 12.12.2013. Till then status quo is extended.

MEMBER

MEMBER

12.12.2013.

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP with Mir Qasam Assistant Secretary and Attaullah, ADK for the official respondents and private respondent No. 4 with counsel present. Representative of the respondent No. 1 relied on the written reply already submitted by respondent No. 2 and 3. Written reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 received and placed on file. Copy handed over to counsel for the appellant. To come up for arguments on 3.1.2014. Rejoinder, if any, in the meantime. Status quo is extended till the date fixed.

MEMBER

MEMBER

3.1.2014.

Counsel for the appellant and Muhammad Adeel Butt, AAG with Mr. Mir Qasam Assistant Secretary for the official respondents and counsel for private respondent No.4 present. Counsel for the appellant needs time to file rejoinder. To come up for rejoinder on 29.1.2014.

MEMBER

25.10.2013.

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Ziaullah, G.P with Mukhtiar Ali, Supdt for respondent No. 1 and Mr. Attaullah, ADK for respondents No. 2 and 3 present and requested for time to file written reply. Mr. Muhammad Aamir Nazir, learned Member is on leave, therefore, case to come up for written reply on main appeal as well as reply/arguments on stay application on 28.10.2013. Till then status quo be maintained.

~~MEMBER~~

MEMBER

28.10.2013.

Appellant with counsel, Mr. Usman Ghani, Sr.GP with Mir Qasam, Assistant Secretary for respondent No. 1 and Mr. Attaullah, ADK for respondent No.3 present and requested for further time. None is available on behalf of respondent No.2. Fresh notice be issued to him positively. To come up for written reply on main appeal as well as reply/arguments on stay application on 19.11.2013. Till then status quo is extended.

MEMBER

19.11.2013

Appellant with counsel, Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, AAG with Mir Qasam Assistant Secretary for respondent No. 1 present and requested for further time. Mr. Imran Clerk on behalf of respondent No. 3 present and stated that Mr. Attaullah, ADK who dealing the cases is on leave. None is available on behalf of respondent No. 2. Fresh notice be issued to him positively. Mr. Azeemullah, Applicant with counsel (Mr. Adil Majeed, Advocate) present and submitted an application for impleadment as necessary party. Copy handed over to counsel for the appellant. To come up for written reply on main appeal, reply/arguments on stay application and reply/arguments on application for impleadment on 5.12.2013. Till then status quo is extended.

MEMBER

MEMBER

Appeal No. 1394/2013
Mr. Muhammad Yaqub

11.10.2013

3.

Counsel for the appellant present and submitted an application for early hearing of the appeal instead of 29.10.2013 which was allowed and the filed was requisitioned for today. Preliminary arguments heard. Contended that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law/rules. The impugned rejection order dated 04.10.2013 is not a speaking order and in violation of section 24-A of the General Clauses Act. He further stated that the original order is pre-mature and politically motivated. He further contended that other appeal No.1384/13 titled Mr.Siraj Muhammad has already been admitted to full hearing. The cited case/appeal has already pending before the Final Bench-II, therefore, the instant appeal being similar and identical be clubbed with the mentioned service appeals. Points raised at the Bar need consideration. The appeal is admitted to regular hearing subject to all legal objections/limitation. The appellant is directed to deposit the security amount and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notice be issued to the respondents. Appellant also filed an application for suspension of the impugned order dated 16.09.2013. Notice of application should also be issued to the respondents. To come up for written reply on main appeal on 25.10.2013 as well as reply/arguments on application on stay application on the date fixed.

Member.

Appellant deposited
Process fee & Security
of Rs. 180/- Bank Receipt
attached with file.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Appeal no. 1394/2013

Muhammad Iqbal -----Petitioner

VERSUS


Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through
Secretary Revenue & Estate Department. Peshawar
and others.-----Respondents


.....
INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of Appeal	---	01-04
2.	Stay Application		05-06
3.	Copy of NIC	A	07
4.	Copy of Order (15.4.2013)	B	08-10
5.	Copy of Order (16.09.2013)	C	11
6.	Copy of Representation	D	12
7.	Copy of Order (4.10.2013)	E	13
8.	Copy of Policy	F	14-17
9.	Vakalat Nama	F	18

APPELLANT
Muhammad Iqbal

THROUGH:


M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI
ADVOCATE

&

Taimur Ali Khan
Advocate.

(1)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1394 /2013

Muhammad Iqbal, Patwari,
Presently posted as Patwari Halqa Tarnab,
District Peshawar-----

Appellant

VERSUS

1. The Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Revenue & Estate Department, Peshawar.
2. Commissioner Peshawar Division, Peshawar.
3. Deputy Commissioner Peshawar.-----**Respondents**

4 *Azeemullah patwari halqa Tarnab.*

APPEAL U/4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE
ORDER DATED 4.10.2013 WHEREBY THE
APPELLANT'S DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL HAS BEEN
REJECTED AGAINST THE TRANSFER ORDER
DATED. 16.9.2013 FOR NO GOOD GROUNDS.

PRAYER: *That on acceptance of this appeal the order dated. 3.10.2013 may be set-aside and the respondents may be directed to modify the order dated. 16.9.2013 and to restore the appellant's posting at Halqa Tarnab Peshawar and not to transfer the appellant in violation of Govt: instruction/policy. Any other remedy which this august tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favour of appellant.*

8/10/13

8/10/13

SMBR

Respectfully Sheweth

1. That the appellant is a senior most Patwari, serving in the Revenue Department under control and command of Deputy Commissioner Peshawar. The appellant is in his last leg of service and going to retire on 2.4.2014. Copy of NIC is attached as Annexure-A.
2. That, lastly the appellant was posted at Halqa Tarnab, Peshawar vide order dated 15.4.2013. Copy of Oder is attached as Annexure-B.
3. That after serving less than two month, the respondent No. 3 once again transferred the appellant to the office of District Kanoongo Peshawar vide order dated 16.9.2013 on the basis of flimsy ground of complaints. (copy of order dated 16.9.2013 is Annexure C).
4. That the appellant aggrieved by the aforesaid order, filed Representation/ Appeal before the Commissioner Peshawar Division, Peshawar (Respondent No.2 on 19.9.2013) which has been rejected vide order dated 4.10.2013 (copy of the representation and order are Annexure D& E).
5. That the impugned posting and transfer order dated 16.9.2013 and rejection order dated 4.10.2013 is void and without lawful authority as well as the final rejection order is also non speaking order, which are liable to be set aside, inter alia, on the following grounds:-

GROUND

- A) That the transfer order of the appellant is politically motivated on the recommendations of the setting MPA who is on inimical terms with the appellant, so the impugned order on the face of record is void, unlawful and passed in violation of posting transfer policy of the Govt:
- B) That the transfer of the appellant is premature and in his place blue eyed person of the political and influential MPA has been posted and thus the impugned transfer order is based on malafide and ulterior motive.

- C) That the respondents particularly Respondent No. 2&3 has not acted in accordance with in view of Article- 4,25 of the Constitution r/w section 24-A of the General Clauses Act 1897 and thus the impugned order is without merit & lawful Authority, unlawful and thus unsustainable in the eyes of law.
- D) That the transfer order of the petitioner smacks arbitrariness, deviation from the normal procedure of law.
- E) That the transfer of petitioner is void and unlawful/ immature, being violative of relevant law as under civil servant cannot be transferred without completion of his normal tenure .
- F) That the transfer of the appellant within two months shows the political pressure on the Authorities which is against the law of land.
- G) That the respondents have violated the principles laid down by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan in Anita Turab Case in which it has been clearly stated that the tenure fixed by the Govt: shall be respected.
- H) That the appellant has been prematurely transferred on the basis of complaint, whereas the appellant was never confronted with any complaint nor any inquiry was conducted in that respect.
- I) That posting/transfer cannot be made as punishment because the same is not provided punishment in the relevant E&D Rules. Thus the posting order dated. 16.9.2013 is liable to be set-aside.
- J) That the respondents have violated the Govt: posting /transfer policy dated. 15.2..2003. (Copy of Policy is attached as Annexure-F)
- K) That the final speaking order is also the violation of Section - 24-A of the General Clauses Act as well as the Supreme Court's Judgment reported as 1991 SCMR-2230.
- L) That the appellant is in his last leg of service, therefore, under the policy and rules, he should have not been transferred and that too on flimsy grounds of complaints etc.


4

M) That the appellant seeks permissions to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT 
MUHAMMAD IQBAL

Through


M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI
ADVOCATE
&

Taimur Ali Khan
Advocate.

5

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR

Service Appeal NO. _____/2013

Muhammad Iqbal -----Petitioner

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through
Secretary Revenue & Estate Department. Peshawar
and others.-----Respondents


APPLICATION FOR SUSPENSION OF
IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 16.9.2013 AND
4.10.2013 TILL PENDING FINAL DECISION
OF MAIN APPEAL.

Respectfully Sheweth,


1. That the petitioner/ appellant has filed Appeal before this Hon,ble Tribunal in which no date hearing has been fixed so for.
2. That the appellant has got a good prima facie arguable case, the impugned order is without lawful authority and unsustainable in law, balance of convenience lies in his favour and in case operation of impugned order is not suspended, the very purpose of appeal would be defeated and it would infructuous as well and the appellant would suffer irreparable loss.
3. That the grounds of main appeal may also be considered as integral part of this application.

6

It is, therefore, prayed that the operation of impugned order dated 16.9.2013 AND 4.10.2013 may graciously be suspended till final decision of appeal.


Petitioner
Muhammad Iqbal

Through



M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI
ADVOCATE

&

Taimur Ali Khan
Advocate.

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of Application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Deponent.



حکومت پاکستان

17301-1631295-9



۴۹۹۱

03/12/1954

سليم حسن

دستخط کون

دستخط مجوزہ جنرل

A
7



V1D39X


17301-1631295-9

سليم حسن



31/12/2014

30/01/2003



ATTESTED



B
=

**OFFICE OF THE
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PESHAWAR.**

(Dated Peshawar 1st 5 /04/2013

(8)

OFFICE ORDER

**IMPLEMENTATION OF DIRECTIONS OF ELECTION COMMISSION OF
PAKISTAN - NEUTRALITY ASSESSMENT.**

In pursuance of Establishment Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar letter No.SO(E-1)E&AD/9-49/2012, dated 13.4.2013 coupled with the directives of Election Commission of Pakistan, a meeting was convened in the office of the undersigned to assess the necessary neutrality assessment of the Revenue Field Staff and consequently the following postings/transfers amongst the Patwaris of Peshawar District are hereby ordered in the public interest with immediate effect:-

S#	Name of Patwari	From	To
1.	Mr. M. Yaseen	P.H Fatu Abdur Rahima	P.H Ram Kishin vice No.12
2.	Mr. Mohammad Iqbal	Waiting for posting	P.H Tarnab vice No.4)
3.	Mr. Abdul Wahab	P.H Khulizai	P.H Urmar Bala vice No.21
4.	Mr. Fazle Muhtaq	P.H Tarnab	P.H Daman Hindki vice No.41
5.	Mr. Payo Gul	P.H Garhi Sherdad	P.H Achini Bala Vice No.43
6.	Mr. Nisar Mohammad	P.H Shah Dhand	P.H Pharipura vice No.17
7.	Mr. Riaz Ahmed	LAC Branch	P.H Pishlakhara Payan vice No.48
8.	Mr. Sadiq Akber	P.H Khazana	P.H Takhtabad Awal vice No.11
9.	Mr. Saeed Ahmed Khan	P.H Tukra No.1	P.H Pajaggi vice No.32
10.	Mr. Mohammad Qayas	P.H Achini Payan	P.H Pishlakhara Bala vice No.49
11.	Mr. Shaukat Ali	P.H Takhtabad Awal	P.H Tukra No.1 vice No.9
12.	Mr. Mohammad Aslam	P.H Ram Kishin	P.H Ghilji Kander Khel vice No.40
13.	Mr. Zikriya Khan	P.H Suleman Khel	P.H Dab Bunyadi vice No.56
14.	Mr. Tilawatir Rehman	P.H Jala Bela.	P.H Khazana vice No.8
15.	Mr. Mohammad Ali Jan	P.H Passani.	P.H Mahal Cabri vice No.62
16.	Mr. Didar Khan	P.H Nauthia	P.H Suleman Khel vice No.13
17.	Mr. Tariq Javed Gul	P.H Pharipura	P.H Shah Dhand vice No.6
18.	Mr. Mukammal Shah	P.H Hazar Khawani	P.H Nauthia vice No.16
19.	Mr. Fazal Rabi.	Waiting for posting.	P.H Hazar Khawani vice No. 18
20.	Mr. Mir Zaman	P.H Tauda	P.H Passani vice No.15
21.	Mr. Gulzar Ahmed	P.H Urmar Bala	P.H Jala Bela vice No.14
22.	Mr. Qaiserud Din	Waiting for posting	P.H Pakha Ghulam vice No. 66
23.	Mr. Riaz Khan	Waiting for posting	P.H Shagi Hinkgayan vice No.24.

ATTESTED

9

63

72

61

67

74

68

24.	Mr. Mohammad Abid Mr. Ikramullah	P.H Shazji Hindkiyan P.H Tahkal Bala No.1	Acary Branch P.H Sarband vice No.46
26.	Mr. Falak Naz	P.H Ahmed Khel	P.H Khulozai vice No.3
27.	Mr. Siraj Mohammad	P.H Rashida	P.H Kagawala Ali zai vice No.64
28.	Mr. Anwar Zeb	P.H Mullazai	P.H Urman Miana vice No.29
29.	Mr. Alamzeb	P.H Urman Miana	P.H Haji Pando vice No. 58
30.	Malik Zainul Abideen.	P.H Lala Ahmed	P.H Choha Gujar vice No. 47
31.	Mr. Sikander	P.H Kaniza	P.H Daag vice No. 72
32.	Mr. Mohammad Younas	P.H Pajraji	P.H Garhi Sherdad vice No.5
33.	Mr. Sher Wali	P.H Surizai Bala	Report to Office
34.	Mr. Illikhar Alam	P.H Badaber Maryamzai	P.H Tauda vice No.20
35.	Mr. Nacem Jan	P.H Sufaid Sang	P.H Mullazai vice No.28
36.	Mr. Amjed Khan Khalil	P.H Chagharmalli	P.H Lala vice No.26
37.	Mian Inamullah	P.H Lala	P.H Shahi Bala vice No.38
38.	Mr. Ibrahim Shah	P.H Shani Bala	P.H Daman Afghani vice No.28
39.	Mr. Shindi Gul	P.H Daman Afghani	P.H Chaghar Malli vice No.25
40.	Mr. Mohammad Ejaz	P.H Ghalji Kander Khel.	Report to office.
41.	Waqar Ahmed	P.H Daman Hindki.	Report to Office
42.	Mr. Ghafoor Khan	P.H Sardar Garhi	P.H Hargoni vice No. 61
43.	Mr. Azimullah Khan	P.H Achini Bala	P.H Badaber Maryamzai vice No.34
44.	Mr. Nasrullah	P.H Essa Khel Topchian.	P.H Nodah Payan vice No.67
45.	Mr. Sajjad Khan	P.H Palosi Talarzai	Report to Office
46.	Mr. Alamzeb Shahid	P.H Sarband	P.H Masho Gagar vice No.40
47.	Mr. Mashooq Jan	P.H Choha Gujar	P.H Ghalji Kander Khel vice No.40
48.	Mr. Ibrar Khan	P.H Pishlakhara Payan	P.H Pawaka/Abdara vice No. 52
49.	Mr. Sanauallah	P.H Pishlakhara Bala.	Report to DK office
50.	Mr. Saleem Shahzad	P.H Dheri Baghbanan	P.H Landi Yarghjo vice No. 74
51.	Mr. M. Nadeem	P.H Mashogagar	P.H Garhi Baghbanan vice No.76
52.	Mr. Mohamamd Kamran	P.H Pawaka	P.H Surizai Bala vice No.33
53.	Mr. Tahirullah	P.H Mathra	P.H Nahaqi vice No.68
54.	Mr. Waheed Khan	Waiting for posting	P.H Sufaid Sang vice No. 35
55.	Malik Abdul Ghaffar.	P.H Achar	P.H Achini Payan vice No. 10
56.	Mr. Mohammad Yousaf	P.H Dab Bunyadi.	P.H Achar vice No.44
57.	Mr. Zarshad Khan	P.H Palosi Maqdarzai.	P.H Garhi Sherdil vice No. 74
58.	Mr. Imtiaz Khan	P.H Haji Pando.	P.H Palosi Maqdarzai vice No.57
59.	Mr. Haroon Khan	P.H Nasirpur	P.H Musazai vice

ATTESTED

15/7

10

60.	Mr. Saifullah	P.H Musazai	No.49.
61.	Malik Zahidullah	P.H Hargoni.	P.H Aadipura vice No.73
62.	Mr. Hassanat Shah	P.H Mahat Gaba	P.H Sardar Garhi vice No. 42
63.	Mr. Noor Hussain	Waiting for posting.	P.H Lala Abdul Rahim vice No.1
64.	Mr. Fayaz Khan	P.H Kagawala Alizer	P.H Rashida vice No.
65.	Mr. M. Ishfaq	Waiting for posting.	P.H Nasirpur vice No.59
66.	Mr. Mohammad Saeed	P.H Pakha Ghulam	P.H Maira Kachori vice No.71
67.	Mr. Shah Jehan	P.H. Nodch Payan	P.H Lala Ahmed vice No.30
68.	Mr. Asadullah Khan	P.H Nahaqi	P.H Essa Khel Topchian vice No. 44
69.	Mian Saddiq Ali Shah	P.H Nodch Bala	P.H Malhra vice No.53
70.	Mr. Aftab Ahmed	P.H Landi Akhun Ahmed	P.H Landi Akhun ahmed vice No.70
71.	Mr. Javed Ahmad	P.H Maira Kachori	P.H Nodch Bala vice No.69
72.	Mr. Sher Alam	P.H Daag	P.H Ahmad Khel vice No.26
73.	Mr. Arshed Khan	Aadi pura	P.H Kaniza vice No. 31
74.	Mr. Amjed Suhail	Under transfer to Garhi Sherdil	P.H Tehkal Bala No.1 vice No.25
75.	Anwar Ali	Under transfer to Landi Yarghajo	P.H Dheri Baghbarian vice No.50
76.	Mohammad Bashir	P.H Garhi Baghbarian	Palosi Talarzai vice No.45
			Report to office.

[Signature]
Deputy Commissioner,
Peshawar.

No. 56-12-19/DC(P)/DK. Dated Peshawar the 15/04/2013

- Copy forwarded to the:-
1. Commissioner Peshawar Division Peshawar.
 2. Additional Deputy Commissioner, Peshawar.
 3. Assistant Commissioner, Peshawar.
 4. Additional Assistant Commissioner (Rev) Peshawar.
 5. PS to SMBR Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
 6. Tehsildar Peshawar.
 7. Revenue Bill Clerk.
 8. Official concerned for compliance.

[Signature]
Deputy Commissioner,
Peshawar.
ATTESTED
[Signature]



OFFICE OF THE
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PESHAWAR.

Dated Peshawar the 16/09/2013.

11

OFFICE ORDER.

Due to bad reputation and public complaints, the following patwaris are hereby ordered to report to DK's office for further posting:-

1. Mr. Siraj Mohammad Patwari P.H Rashida.
2. Mr. Mohammad Iqbal, Patwari P.H Tarnab.
3. Mr. Tahirullah Patwari P.H Nahaqi.

The Revenue Officers concerned are directed to hand over the charge of the Patwar halqa to the contiguous Patwari in the same circle.



Deputy Commissioner,
Peshawar.

No. 1377-22 /DC(P)/DK


Dated Peshawar the 16/09/2013

Copy forwarded to the:-

1. Commissioner, Peshawar Division Peshawar.
2. Addl: Deputy Commissioner, Peshawar.
3. PS to Senior Member Board of Revenue Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pesh.
4. Tehsildar/All Naib Tehsildars in District Peshawar.
5. Patwari concerned.
6. Revenue Bill Clerk.


Deputy Commissioner,
Peshawar.

ATTESTED





OFFICE OF THE
COMMISSIONER PESHAWAR DIVISION
PESHAWAR

11

13

APPEAL NO: 4
DATE OF INSTITUTION: 19.09.2013
DATE OF DECISION: 04.10.2013

Muhammad Iqbal Patwari.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, PESHAWAR.....(Respondent)

ORDER

This is a departmental appeal filed by the above name appellant against the order of Deputy Commissioner Peshawar bearing No.13717-22/DC(P)/DK dated 16.09.2013, whereby he was transferred from the post of Patwari Halqa Tarnab to DK office Peshawar.

Perusal of the record reveals that no illegality/irregularity in passing the impugned order has been committed as posting/transfer of revenue field staff is a routine matter and are made on administrative grounds, purely in the interest of public service.

Keeping in view the above, the appeal is hereby rejected being not maintainable.

COMMISSIONER
PESHAWAR DIVISION PESHAWAR.

Announced
04.10.2013

ATTESTED

F
=

(14)

GOVERNMENT OF N.W.F.P.
ESTABLISHMENT & ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT
(REGULATION WING)

NO.SOR-1(E&AD)1-1/85(Vol:II)

Dated the Peshawar the 15th February,2003.

- 1- All Administrative secretaries to Govt: of NWFP.
- 2- The Secretary to Governor, NWFP.
- 3- The Secretary to Chief Minister, NWFP.
- 4- All Heads of Attached Departments in NWFP.
- 5- All the Heads of Autonomous/Semi Autonomous Bodies in NWFP.
- 6- All Distt: Co-Ordination Officer/Political Agents in NWFP.
- 7- The Registrar Peshawar High Court Peshawar.
- 8- All Distt: & Session Judges in NWFP.
- 9- The Secretary NWFP Public Service Commission, Peshawar.
- 10- The Director Anti-Corruption Establishment, Peshawar.
- 11- The Secretary Board of Revenue, NWFP, Peshawar.
- 12- The Registrar, NWFP Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

SUBJECT: POSTING/TRANSFER POLICY OF THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT.

Dear Sir,

1. I am directed to refer to the subject noted above and to say that in supersession of all policy, instructions issued in this behalf, the competent authority has approved the following Posting/Transfer Policy: -
 - i) All the posting /transfer shall be made strictly in the public interest and shall not be abused/misused to victimize the Government Servant.
 - ii) All Government servants are prohibited to exert political, Administrative or any other pressure upon the posting /transfer authorities for seeking posting transfer of their choice and against the public interest.
 - iii) All contract Govt: employees appointed against specific posts cannot be posted against any other post.
 - iv) The normal tenure of posting shall be three years subject to the condition that for the officers/officials posted in unattractive areas, the tenure shall be two years and for the hard areas the tenure shall be one year. The unattractive and hard areas will be notified by the government.
 - v) Months of March and July are fixed for posting/transfer of the officers/officials excluding the officers in B-19 and above in the Province. Posting/transfer in Education and Health Departments shall be made in March

ATTESTED



while the remaining departments shall make posting/transfer in July. There shall be a ban on posting/transfer throughout the year excluding the aforementioned two months due to promotion/retirement/creation of new post/return from long leave/involvement in disciplinary proceedings and adjustment of surplus staff for which specific relaxation shall be obtained from the Chief Minister.

- vi) While making posting/transfers from settled area to FATA vice-versa specific approval of the Governor NWFP needs to be obtained.
- vii) Officers may be posted on executive/administrative posts in the Distt: of their domicile except District Co-Ordination Officers (DCOs), and Superintendent of Police (SP). Similarly Deputy Superintendent of Police (DSP) shall not be posted at a place where a Police Station (Thana) of his area/residence is situated.
- viii) No posting/transfers of the officers/officials on the detailment basis shall be made.
- ix) Regarding the posting of husband/wife, both in the Provincial Services, efforts where possible would be made to post such persons at one station and this will be subject to the public interest.
- x) All posting/transfers authorities may facilitate the posting/transfers of unmarried female Govt; Servant at the station of their residence of their parents.
- xi) Officer/officials except DCOs and SPs who are due to retire within one year may be posted on their option, on posts in the Distt: of their domicile and be allowed to serve there till retirement.
- xii) In terms of Rule-17 (1) and (2) read with schedule-III of the Govt: of NWFP Rules of Business 1985, transfer of officers shown in column 1 of the following table, table shall be made by the authorities shown against each officers in column 2 thereof: -

COLUMN -1	COLUMN -2
<p><u>Outside the Secretariat.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Officers of the all Pakistan Unified Group i.e. DMG, PSP including Provincial Police Officers in BPS-18 and above. 2. Other officers in BPS-17 and above to be posted against scheduled posts or posts normally held by the APUG, PCS (EG) and PCS (SG) 3. Head of attached Deptt: and other officers in B-19 & above in all the Deptt: 	<p>Chief secretary in consultation with the Establishment Deptt. With the of the Chief Minister.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ATTESTED <i>[Signature]</i></p>

<p><u>In the Secretariat.</u></p> <p>4. Secretaries:</p> <p>5. Other officers and above the rank of Section Officers: -</p> <p>a)- Within the same Deptt:</p> <p>b)-Within the Secretariat from one Deptt: to another.</p> <p>6. Officers up to the rank of Superintendents.</p> <p>a)- Within the same Deptt:</p> <p>b)- To and from Attached Deptt:</p> <p>c)- Within the Secretariat from one Deptt: to another.</p>	<p>Chief Secretary with the approval of the Chief Minister.</p> <p>Secretary of the Deptt: concerned.</p> <p>Chief Secretary/ Secretary Establishment.</p> <p>Secretary of the Deptt: concerned.</p> <p>Secretary of the Deptt: in consultation with the Head of Attached Deptt:</p> <p>Secretary Establishment.</p>
---	--

xiii) While considering the posting/transfers proposals all the concerned authorities shall keep in mind the following: -

- a). To ensure the posting of proper persons on proper posts the annual confidential reports, past and present record of service, performance on post held presently and in the past and general reputation with focus on integrity of the concerned officer/officials be considered.
- b). Tenure on present post shall also be taken into consideration and the posting/transfers shall be in the best public interest.

xiv) Government servants including Distt: Government employees feeling aggrieved due to the orders of posting/transfers authorities may seek remedy from the next higher authority/ the appointing authority as the case may be brought an appeal to be submitted within seven days of the receipt of such order. Such appeal shall be disposed of within fifteen days. The option of appeal against posting/transfers orders could be exercised only in the following cases: -

- i)- premature posting/transfers or posting/transfers in violation of this policy.
- ii)- Serious and grave personal(humanitarian) grounds.

2. To streamline the posting /transfers in the Distt; Govt: and to remove any imitant/confusions in this regard the provision of Rule 25 of the NWFP Distt: Govt: Rules of Business 2001 read with schedule-IV thereof is referred. As per schedule-IV the Posting /Transferring authorities for the officials/officers shown against each are as under: -

ATTESTED
Am

17

S.No	Officers	Authority
1-	Posting of Distt: Coordination Officer and Executive Distt: Officer in a Distt:	Provincial Govt:
2-	Posting of Distt: Police Officer.	Provincial Govt:
3-	Other officer in BPS-17 and above posted in the Distt:	Provincial Govt:
4-	Official in BPS-16 and below.	Executive Distt: Officer in consultation with Distt: Coordination Officer.

3. As per Rule-25 (2) of the Rules mentioned above the Distt: Coordination Department shall consult the Govt: if it is proposed to:-
- a)- transfer the holder of the tenure post before the completion of his tenure or extend the period of his tenure; and
 - b)- Acquire an officer to hold charge of more than one post for a period exceeding two months.
4. I am directed further to request that the above noted policy may be strictly observed/ implemented.

Your Faithfully,

Sd/xxx
(GHULAM JALANI ASIF)
ADDL: SECRETARY(REG:)

Endst:NO.SOR-1(E&AD)1-1/85

dated Peshawar the 15.2.2003.

ATTESTED



VAKALAT NAMA

NO. 1394 /2013

18

IN THE COURT OF Service Tribunal Peshawar.

Mohammad Iqbal

(Appellant)
(Petitioner)
(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

Revenue Deptt.

(Respondent)
(Defendant)

I/We Mohammad Iqbal (Appellant)

Do hereby appoint and constitute **M.Asif Yousafzai, Advocate, Peshawar,** to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/Counsel on my/our costs.

I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case at any stage of the proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against me/us.

Dated 8-10-2013 /20

(CLIENT)

ACCEPTED

M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI
Advocate

M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI
Advocate High Court,
Peshawar.

OFFICE:

Room No.1, Upper Floor,
Islamia Club Building,
Khyber Bazar Peshawar.
Ph.091-2211391-
0333-9103240

Taimur Ali
Advocate

BEFORE THE K.P.K. SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Application No. _____/2013
In Appeal No.1394/2012

Mr. Muhammad Iqbal . V/S Revenue Department.

.....

**APPLICATION FOR FIXING AN EARLY DATE of HEARING INSTEAD
OF 29.10.2013**

.....


RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the above mentioned appeal is pending before the Primary Bench and fixed for 29.10.2013.
2. That the appellant is in his leg of service and he has been posted vide order dated 16.9.2013 in violation of Government Rules and instruction etc.
3. That the appellant has already moved an application for suspension of order dated 16.9.2013 and 4.10.2013, but the appeal has been fixed on 29.10.2013 which would definitely damage the cause of the appeal which is against the principle of justice because if one the appellant relinquish the charge of the post then his appeal would become infructuous.
4. That in other appeal of Muhammad Siraj against the order dated 16.9.2013 has already been admitted to regular hearing and stay application is fixed on 11.10.2013.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that keeping in view the above submission the titled appeal may be fixed for an early hearing instead of 29.10.2013 to meet the ends of justice. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that may also be awarded in favour of the appellant.

Appellant.
Muhammad Iqbal

THROUGH:


(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)
ADVOCATE, PESHAWAR.

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared the contents of the above application are true
and correct.


Deponent.

BEFORE THE K.PK. SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Application No. _____/2013
In Appeal No.1394/2012

Mr. Muhammad Iqbal . V/S Revenue Department.

**APPLICATION FOR FIXING AN EARLY DATE OF HEARING INSTEAD
OF 29.10.2013**


RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the above mentioned appeal is pending before the Primary Bench and fixed for 29.10.2013.
2. That the appellant is in his leg of service and he has been posted vide order dated 16.9.2013 in violation of Government Rules and instruction etc.
3. That the appellant has already moved an application for suspension of order dated 16.9.2013 and 4.10.2013, but the appeal has been fixed on 29.10.2013 which would definitely damage the cause of the appeal which is against the principle of justice because if one the appellant relinquish the charge of the post then his appeal would become infructuous.
4. That in other appeal of Muhammad Siraj against the order dated 16.9.2013 has already been admitted to regular hearing and stay application is fixed on 11.10.2013.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that keeping in view the above submission the titled appeal may be fixed for an early hearing instead of 29.10.2013 to meet the ends of justice. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that may also be awarded in favour of the appellant.

Appellant.
Muhammad Iqbal

THROUGH:


(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)
ADVOCATE, PESHAWAR.

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared the contents of the above application are true and correct.


Deponent.

BEFORE THE K.P.K. SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Application No. _____/2013
In Appeal No.1394/2012

Mr. Muhammad Iqbal .

V/S

Revenue Department.

.....

**APPLICATION FOR FIXING AN EARLY DATE of HEARING INSTEAD
OF 29.10.2013**

.....


RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the above mentioned appeal is pending before the Primary Bench and fixed for 29.10.2013.
2. That the appellant is in his leg of service and he has been posted vide order dated 16.9.2013 in violation of Government Rules and instruction etc.
3. That the appellant has already moved an application for suspension of order dated 16.9.2013 and 4.10.2013, but the appeal has been fixed on 29.10.2013 which would definitely damage the cause of the appeal which is against the principle of justice because if one the appellant relinquish the charge of the post then his appeal would become infructuous.
4. That in other appeal of Muhammad Siraj against the order dated 16.9.2013 has already been admitted to regular hearing and stay application is fixed on 11.10.2013.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that keeping in view the above submission the titled appeal may be fixed for an early hearing instead of 29.10.2013 to meet the ends of justice. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that may also be awarded in favour of the appellant.

Appellant.
Muhammad Iqbal

THROUGH:


(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)
ADVOCATE, PESHAWAR.

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared the contents of the above application are true and correct.


Deponent.

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHAWA PESHAWAR.

Service appeal No. 1394/2013

Muhammad Iqbal Petitioner

VS

Secretary Govt: of KPK Revenue and Estate

Respondent

Commissioner Peshawar Division Peshawar

Respondent

Deputy Commissioner Peshawar

Respondent

PARAWISE REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 & 3

Preliminary objections:-

1. That the appellant has got no cause of action.
2. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
3. That the appellant has cancelled material fault from Honriable Tribunal and has not approached to the Tribunal with clean hands.
4. That this Honorabe Tribunal has got no jurisdiction to adjudicate the appeal.
5. That the appeal is bad in the present form due to non-jainder of necessary parties.
6. That the Office order vide No. 13717-22/DCP/DK, dated 16-19/2013 is an administrative order, which do not affect the posting / transfer policy or terms and condition of service of the appellant. Hence the appellant has got no cause of action and not maintainable.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWEATH

1. Correct
2. No comments
3. No comments
4. The departmental appeal was rejected on the ground of maintainability hence the appeal is not maintainable.
5. Incorrect. Order dated 16-09-2013 has been passed by the Competent Authority having no illegality.

GROUND

1. Incorrect. No political motives are involved in the subject order. The order is purely on administrative and passed by the Competent Authority where by the appellant was directed to report to DK Office.
2. Incorrect. Have denied and replied in para (a) above.
3. Incorrect. No illegality has been done by the Competent Authority.
4. Incorrect.
5. Incorrect
6. Incorrect
7. Incorrect. The appellant was directed to report to DK Office only.
8. Incorrect. The appeal is liable to be rejected.
9. Incorrect. No policy has been violated.
10. No comments.
11. No Comments.
12. No Comments.
13. It is therefore, requested that the appeal may please be dismissed with cast.

1. Respondent

2. Respondent

3. Respondent



BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHAWA PESHAWAR.

Service appeal No. 1394/2013

Muhammad Iqbal Petitioner

VS

Secretary Govt: of KPK Revenue and Estate

Respondent

PARAWISE REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 & 3 AGAINST THE STAY APPLICATION OF THE APPELLANT.

Preliminary objections:-

1. That the appellant has cancelled material fault from Honrjable Tribunal and has not approached to the Tribunal with clean hands.
2. That this Honorabe Tribunal has got no jurisdiction to adjudicate the appeal.
3. That the appeal is bad in the present form due to non-jajnder of necessary parties.
4. That the Office order vide No. 13717-22/DCP/DK, dated 16/19/2013 is an administrative order, which do not affect the posting / transfer policy or terms and condition of service of the appellant. Hence the appellant has got no cause of action and not maintainable.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWEATH

1. Correct
2. Incorrect. The petitioner / appellant has got no para wise case having no balance of convenience. The question of irreparable loss does not appear at all. The petitioner is liable to be rejected having no legal force / requirements.
3. No. Comments.

It is therefore, requested that the appeal may kindly be dismissed with cost.

1. Respondent

2. Respondent

3. Respondent

IN THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re; service appeal#~~1394~~ / 2013

Mohd. Iqbal

Vs Govt. Of KPK etc

**APPLICATION FOR IMPLEADMENT OF
PETITIONER IN THE PANEL OF RESPONDENTS
IN THE CAPTIONED APPEAL BEING NECESSARY
AND PROPER PARTY**

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:-

1. That the captioned service is pending before this hon'ble tribunal and is fixed for today
2. That the appellant Mohd. Iqbal has challenged order dated 16/09/2013 of his transfer from Mauza Tarnab to the office of District Kanungo Peshawar.
3. That after the aforementioned transfer order the post of patwari halqa mauza Tarnab was lying vacant.
4. That before filing of instant appeal, the applicant has been posted as patwari halqa mauza Tarnab vide order dated 01/10/2013
5. Despite the fact that after his posting as patwari halqa mauza tarnab present applicant is a necessary party as his rights are involved in the captioned appeal, he has not been made a party by the appellant.
6. The appellant was well aware of order of posting of the applicant dated 01/10/2013 but has malifidely not arrayed the later as a party in the captioned appeal
7. Present applicant is a necessary and proper party in the captioned appeal as an order passed by this hon'ble tribunal may affect him adversely and the captioned appeal could not be adjudicated upon in absence of the applicant


8. There is no legal or factual bar in allowing the instant application and its rejection may cause irreparable loss to the applicant.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application the applicant may be impleaded in the panel of respondents so as to enable him to defend his rights involved in the captioned appeal

Applicant/Azeemullah
Patwari halqa Tarnab

28/10/13

Through



Adil Majeed Khan
Advocate

High Court, Peshawar.


AFFIDAVIT

It is hereby solemnly affirmed and declared on oath that contents of instant application are true and correct

ATTESTED



DEPONENT





OFFICE OF THE
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PESHAWAR.


Dated Peshawar the 01 / X / 2013

OFFICE ORDER

The following postings/transfers amongst the Patwaris of Peshawar District are hereby ordered with immediate effect in the public interest:-

S#	Name of Patwari	From	To
1.	Mr. Noor Hussain.	Patwari P.H. Mushtarzai.	Patwari P.H. Mahal Terai vice No.7
2.	Mr. Zarif.	Patwari P.H. Urmar Miana	Patwari P.H. Rashida
3.	Mr. Farmanullah.	Patwari P.H. Surizai Payan.	Patwari P.H. Tehkal Payan against the vacant post.
4.	Mr. Ibrahim Shah	Patwari P.H. Hargoni.	Patwari P.H. Lala.
5.	Mr. Azimullah	Patwari P.H. Bada Ber Maryamzai.	Patwari P.H. Tarnab
6.	Mr. M. Nadeem	Patwari P.H. Masho Peki.	Patwari P.H. Shah Alam against vacant post.
7.	Mr. Ihsanul Haq	Patwari P.H. Mahal Terai.	Patwari P.H. Khazana
8.	Mr. Itikhar Alam	Patwari DK's office Pesh.	Patwari P.H. Tukra No.1
9.	Mr. Wisal Khan	Patwari P.H. Tukra No.1	Report to DK's office.

NOTE: On expiry of leave, Mr. Amjed Khalil Patwari (PH Lala) and Mr. Sadiq Akbar Patwari (PH Khazana) will report to DK's office.


Deputy Commissioner,
Peshawar.

No. 14705-14 /DC(P)/DK. Dated Peshawar the 01 / X / 2013

Copy forwarded to the:-

1. Commissioner, Peshawar Division Peshawar.
2. Addl: Deputy Commissioner, Peshawar.
3. PS to Senior Member Board of Revenue Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pesh.
4. Tehsildar/All Naib Tehsildars in District Peshawar.
5. Patwari concerned.
6. Revenue Bill Clerk.



بعدالت KPK Service Tribunal Peshawar

Service Appeal # 1394/2013

منجانب صاحب / عظیم اللہ

2013ء

موردہ

مقدمہ

دعویٰ

جرم

محمد اقبال بنام S.M.B.R.

باعث تحریر آنکہ

مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب وہی دکل کاروائی متعلقہ آن مقام لیسٹ اور عادل مجید خان ایڈووکیٹ ہائی کورٹ مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوفہ کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وکیل صاحب کو راضی نامہ کرنے و تقرر ثالث و فیصلہ پر حلف دیئے، جواب وہی اور اقبال دعویٰ اور بصورت ڈگری کرنے اجراء اور وصولی چیک دروپیہ ارضی دعویٰ اور درخواست ہر قسم کی تصدیق زرائیں پر دستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری یکطرفہ یا اپیل کی برآمدگی اور منسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل ٹرانس و انظر ثانی و پیروی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔ اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ باختیارات حاصل ہوں گے۔ اور کا ساختہ پر داخہ منظور و قبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ ہر جانہ التواء نے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب پابند ہوں گے کہ پیروی مذکور کریں۔ لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھ دیا تاکہ سند رہے۔

2013ء

المرقوم 28 ماہ الکتوبر

العبد

واہ

م

العبد

مقام KPK Service Tribunal Peshawar کے لئے منظور ہے۔

IN THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re; Service Appeal# _____/2013

Iqbal

Vs

Govt. of KPK etc


Written Reply on behalf of Respondent No. 4

Preliminary Objections:

1. That the appellant has got no cause of action to file the present appeal.
2. That the instant appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
3. That the impugned order has been passed within the ambit of relevant provisions of KPK Service Tribunal Act, hence instant appeal is liable to be dismissed.
4. That the impugned is not an order of transfer, hence this Honourable tribunal does not have jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the same.
5. That the respondent No. 4 has been appointed against the same vide order dated 01.10.2013, hence vested right has accrued in favour of respondent No. 4 therefore the instant appeal is liable to be rejected.

Parawise Reply:

1. Para No. 1 needs no reply.
2. Para No. 2 needs no reply.
3. Para No. 3 is not related to the instant appeal and is irrelevant in that respect moreover contents of Para No. 3 are very clear about the intention of the appellant that for some unknown reasons he desperately wants to remain Patwari Halqa Moza Tarnab.



4. Para No. 4 is incorrect vide order dated 20.09.2013 the appellant has not been transferred rather he has been directed to report to the District Kanoongo Office for further posting, hence the appeal is not maintainable.
5. In reply to Para No. 5 it is submitted that the representation of the appellant has rightly been turned down by Commissioner Peshawar.

Reply on Grounds:


- a) Ground A is incorrect and malicious the appellant has been removed from the post of Patwari Halqa Tarnab on complaints of corruption and he wants to save his skin by filing instant appeal.
- b) Ground B is incorrect the impugned order is not a transfer order, hence contents are denied.
- c) Ground C is incorrect, moreover after passing of the impugned order dated 16.09.2013 the post of Patwari Halqa Moza Tarnab fell vacant whereafter the respondent No. 4 has been appointed against the same vide order dated 01.10.2013, hence vested right has accrued in favour of respondent No. 4 therefore the instant appeal is liable to be rejected.
- d) Ground D is incorrect, hence denied.
- e) Ground E is incorrect, hence denied.
- f) Ground F is incorrect, hence denied.
- g) Ground G is incorrect, hence denied.
- h) Ground H is incorrect, hence denied.
- i) Ground I is incorrect, hence denied.
- j) Ground J is incorrect, hence denied.
- k) Ground K is incorrect, hence denied.
- l) Ground L is incorrect, hence denied.

m) Ground M is incorrect, hence denied.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that the instant appeal may kindly dismissed.

Respondent No. 4

Through



Adil Majeed Khan

Advocate

High Court, Peshawar.

IN THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re; Service Appeal# _____/2013

Iqbal

Vs

Govt. of KPK etc

Application for suspension of Impugned Order

Respectfully Sheweth,


Written reply on behalf of respondent No.4 is as under:

- 1) Para No. 1 needs no reply.
- 2) Para No. 2 is incorrect, in view of the contents of accompanying written reply appellant does not have a prima facie case in his favour neither balance of convenience lies with him and there is no question of irreparable loss to the appellant.
- 3) Para No. 3 needs no reply.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that the instant application may kindly dismissed.


Respondent No. 4

Through


Adh Majeed Khan
Advocate
High Court, Peshawar.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Azeem Ullah Patwari Halqa Tarnab, do hereby solemnly declare that contents of instant written reply are true and correct.



DEPONENT



**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA,
SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.**

Service Appeal No. __1394 /2013

Mr. Mohammad Iqbal V/S Revenue Department.

.....

REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF APPELLANT

.....

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

Preliminary Objections:

(1-6) All objections raised by the respondents are incorrect and baseless. Rather the respondents are estopped to raise any objection due to their own conduct.

FACTS:

- 1 Admitted correct by the respondents, so no comments.
- 2 No comments endorsed by the respondents which means they have admitted Para-2 of appeal is correct.
- 3 No comments endorsed by the respondents which means they have admitted Para-2 of appeal is correct.
- 4 Incorrect, while Para-4 of appeal is correct.
- 5 Incorrect, while Para-4 of appeal is correct.

GROUND:


(1-13) All Paras of Grounds of appeal are correct, while that of reply of the respondents are incorrect. The respondents have not only violated the posting, transfer policy, but also the circular of the Government issued on 27.2.2013 which was based on the guidelines of the Supreme Court of Pakistan in the case of Aneeta Turab. Moreover, the appellant is going to retirement on 24.2.2014

and being in last leg of the service such transfer are normally not considered good, keeping in view the principle of fair play.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of appellant may kindly be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT
Muhammad Iqbal

Through:



(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)
ADVOCATE, PESHAWAR.

AFFIDAVIT

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of rejoinder are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.


DEPONENT

ATTESTED



**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA,
SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.**

Service Appeal No. __1394 /2013

Mr. Mohammad Iqbal V/S Revenue Department.

.....

REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF APPELLANT

.....

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

Preliminary Objections:

(1-6) All objections raised by the respondents are incorrect and baseless. Rather the respondents are estopped to raise any objection due to their own conduct.

FACTS:

- 1 Admitted correct by the respondents, so no comments.
- 2 No comments endorsed by the respondents which means they have admitted Para-2 of appeal is correct.
- 3 No comments endorsed by the respondents which means they have admitted Para-2 of appeal is correct.
- 4 Incorrect, while Para-4 of appeal is correct.
- 5 Incorrect, while Para-4 of appeal is correct.

GROUND:


(1-13) All Paras of Grounds of appeal are correct, while that of reply of the respondents are incorrect. The respondents have not only violated the posting, transfer policy, but also the circular of the Government issued on 27.2.2013 which was based on the guidelines of the Supreme Court of Pakistan in the case of Aneeta Turab. Moreover, the appellant is going to retirement on 24.2.2014

and being in last leg of the service such transfer are normally not considered good, keeping in view the principle of fair play.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of appellant may kindly be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT
Muhammad Iqbal

Through:



(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)
ADVOCATE, PESHAWAR.

AFFIDAVIT

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of rejoinder are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.


DEPONENT

ATTESTED



KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.No. 1537/ST,Dated 28/10/2013

To:

The Deputy Commissioner,
District, Peshawar.Subject:- Appeal No. 1394/2013, MUHAMMAD IQBAL VERSUS THE
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
REVENUE & ESTATE DEPARTMENT, PESHAWAR ETC.

I am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of order dated 28.10.2013,
passed by this Tribunal in the above mentioned appeal for further necessary action.

Encl. As above.


REGISTRAR,KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE
TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.