13th June, 2022

Clerk of counsel for the appellant present.

Counsel are on strike. To come up for preliminary hearing on 23.06.2022 before S.B.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

23rd June, 2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present.

Let pre-admission notice be issued to the other side for reply and arguments. To come up for reply/preliminary hearing on 16.08.2022 before S.B.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

16.08.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Reply/comments on behalf of respondents not submitted. Learned Additional Advocate General seeks time to contact the respondents for submission of reply/comments. Adjourned. To come up for reply/comments as well as preliminary hearing on 13.10.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of ____

Case No /2022

Signature of judge proceedings

1 2 3

The appeal presented today by Mr. Mir Zaman Safi Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.

24-5-2-22

?

This case is entrusted to Single Bench at Peshawar for preliminary hearing to be put there on 25-5-22. Notices be issued to appellant and his counsel for the date fixed.

CHAIRMAN

26.05.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment to further prepare the brief. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing on 10.06.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

BEFORE KHYBER PKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR CHECK LIST

Case Title: MSt: Salaha vs Special Education Depth:

	2	Yes	No
S.# C	Contents Chis appeal has been presented by: Mix Zaman Say		1
1.	This appeal has been presented by: Personent have signed the		1.
1	Whether Counsel / Appellant / Respondent / Depondent		
. 4.	equisite documents?		
3.	Whether Appeal is within time?		
	- A CONTROL OF THE ADDICAL IS THE CONTROL OF THE CO	-	
5	Whether the enactment under which the appear is in-	1	
	contain appended/		
7	Whather affidavit is duly attested by competent oath commissioner.		1.
	1/		•
	Whether certificate regarding filing any earner appear on the		1
9.	subject, furnished?	~	
10	Whether annexures are legible?	/	
	Whether appearings are affected?	1	
12.	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?		
	c all a delivered to A U/U/A.U.		
	Whather Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested		
14.	- I by notitioner/appellant/respondents.	1	
1.5	Whother numbers of referred cases given are correct.		-
	i antoing outtings/OVETWITHING		
17.	Whether list of books has been provided at the child of the apparent	~	
18.	Whather case relate to this Court?		
19.	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?		
20.	Whether requisite number of spare copy whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover?		
21.	Whether addresses of parties given are complete.		
22.	Whether index filed?		
23.	Whether index is correct?	·	
24.	Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? on Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974 Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974		
	Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Thomas Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Thomas Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent		
25.	Rule 11, notice along with copy of appearant amounts		
	to respondents? on Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? on		-
26.	Whether copies of comments/repry/rejounder succession		
20.	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite		
27			
27.	party? on		. '

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled.

Name:	Mir	Zuma	n Sof
Signature:	M-	and a	<u>-</u>
Dated:	· · · · · ·		

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 843 /2022

SALEHA

VS

SPECIAL EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal		1- 4.
2.	Stay application		5.
3.	Notification dated 01.04.2011	A	6.
4.	FST Judgment	В	7- 13.
5.	Supreme Court Judgment	C .	14- 21.
6.	Letters	D	22- 23.
7.	Salary slips	E	24- 26.
8.	PHC order sheet	F	27- 28.
9.	Summary & decision of Cabinet	G & H	29- 32.
10.	Notification dated 25.11.2019	I	33.
11.	Departmental appeal	J	34.
12.	Wakalat Nama	•••••	35.

THROUGH:

MIR ZAMANSAF

ADVOCATE

Office: Room No. 6-E, 5th Floor, Rahim Medical Centre, Hashtnagri, Peshawar.

Cell: 0333-9991564

Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted after admission of appeal.

M

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 80

Mst. Saleha, Aya (BPS-04),

Govt: Institute for Mental Reiterated & Physically Handicapped Children,APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Social Welfare, Special Education & Women Empowerment. Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of Social Welfare, Special Education & Women Empowerment Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

.....RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 FOR THE GRANT OF HEALTH ALLOWANCE IN LIGHT OF THE JUDGMENT OF THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN AND NOTIFICATION DATED 25.11.2019 SIMILARLY TO ALL OTHER EMPLOYEES OF THE SPECIAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT WITH EFFECT FROM THE DATE OF DEVOLUTION TO THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT i.e. JANUARY, 2012 AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed to grant/allow professional allowance to the appellant in light y of the judgment of Apex Court and Notification dated 25.11.2019 from the date of devolution under the 18th Constitutional Amendment to the Provincial Government i.e. w.e.f January, 2012. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: **ON FACTS:**

- That the appellant is serving in the respondent Department as Aya (BPS-1-04) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of his superiors.
- 2-That the Institutions of Special Education were working under the control of Federal Government and after the Provincial Government enacted Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Servant (Amendment) Act, 1973 where under Section 11(b) of the Act ibid, devolved employees of the Federal Government were declared Civil Servants of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province before the commencement of 18th Constitutional (Amendment



Act, 2010) for all intents and purposes. That in this respect Notification dated 01.04.2011 has been issued by the Ministry of Social Welfare & Special Education whereby employees of the Social Welfare and Special Education have been transferred to the Province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Copy of the Notification dated 01.04.2011 is attached as annexure.

- 5- That the Provincial Government also approved Health Allowance at the rate of one running basic pay to the devolved employees working in the Special Education Institutions from the date of their devolution to the Provincial Government. That it is also pertinent to mention here that colleagues of the appellant serving in the different Special Education Institutions receiving the Health Allowance. Copies of the Salary Slips are attached as annexure.
- 7- That in light of the directions of Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, the Social Wlefare, Special Education & Women Empowerment Department move a summary to the Worthy Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for approval and the same has been placed before the Provincial Cabinet for appropriate order. That the provincial Cabinet approved Health

- 8- That the Finance Department also issued Notification dated 25.11.2019 in light of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan in Civil Appeal No.811/2016 and allowed the Health Allowance to the employees of Special Education Institutions with effect from the date of devolution under the 18th Constitutional Amendment. Copy of the Notification dated 25.11.2019 is attached as annexure.
- 9- That in light of the decision of the Provincial Cabinet, Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and Notification dated 25.11.2019 of the Finance Department, the appellant time and again requested the authority concerned to allow Health Allowance to the appellant similarly to the other employees of the Special Education Institutions but the authority concerned is not willing to do so. That appellant feeling aggrieved from the inaction of the authority concerned by not allowing Health Allowance to the appellant preferred Departmental appeal but no reply has been received so for. Copy of the Departmental appeal is attached as annexure.
- 10- That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy but to file the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the inaction of the respondents by not allowing the Health Allowance to the appellant is against the law, facts, norms of natural justice and materials on the record.
- B- That the appellant has not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the inaction of the respondents is discriminatory and is clear violation of fundamental rights of the appellant duly conferred by the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973.
- D-That the respondents acted in arbitrary and malafide manner while not allowing the Health Allowance inspite of clear directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan and Notification dated 25.11.2019 of the Finance Department.
- E- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- F- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the health allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.

- G-That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- H- That under the principle of consistency the appellant is fully entitle for the grant of Health Allowance similarly to the other employees of the Special Education Department.
- I- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

SALEHA

THROUGH:

MIR ZAMAN SAFI

ADVOCATE

CERTIFICATE:

It is certified that no other earlier appeal was filed between the parties.

DEPONENT

LIST OF BOOKS:

- 1- CONSTITUTION OF PAKISTAN, 1973
- 2- SERVICES LAWS BOOKS
- 3- ANY OTHER CASE LAW AS PER NEED

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHÁWAR

APPEAL NO.____/2022

SALEHA

VS

ATTESTED

SPECIAL EDUCATION DEPTT:

AFFIDAVIT

I Mir Zaman Safi, Advocate High Court, Peshawar on the instructions and on behalf of my client do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of this service appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Court.

MIR ZAMANSAI

Advocate

High Court, Peshawar

TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE NEXT ISSUE OF GAZETTE OF PAKISTAN PARTA

No.F. 11 19/2011-Coord (Pt) GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN MINISTRY OF Social Walfare & Special Education

islamabad, the Chapti 2011

MOTIFICATION

On reorganization of Federal Secretariat in pursuance of Constitution (Eighteenth Amendment) Act. 2010 (Act No.X of 2019) the following Centres under the Ministry of Social Welfare & Special Education are transferred to the Social Welfare & Special Education Department Government of Khyber Pakhtoon Khawa.

> Special Education Centre for Mentally Retarded Children, D.I. Khan Special Education Centre for Hearing Impaired Children, Swat

National Special Education Complex Mardan

Vocational Training Centre, for Disabled Person, Mardan Special Education Centre for Visually Handicapped Children, Konat National Special Education Complex (PHC, MRC, VHC & HIC) Peshawar Hostel Facilities National Special Education Complex Poshawar

Vocational Training Gentre for Disabled Person Peshawar

Special Education Centre for Visually Handicapped Children Chaisada

Special Education Centre for Physical Handleapped Children, Abpoltabas

yunammad Altaf) Section Officer (Admin)

the Manager. Printing Corporation Pakistan Press.

Islamabad CC:-

1. Secretary. In er Provincial Coordination Civision, Islamobad.

2. Secretary, Finance Division, Islamabad.

3. Secretary, Cabinet Civision, Islamobac.

4; Secretary, Establishment Division, islamabad.

5. Chief Secretory, Government of KPK Pesnawar.

6. Mt. M. Farez Khan, Director General M.S. Wing: Establishment

7. Mr. Muhammad Shahid Sidalqui, Director General, M.S Wing,

Establishmen! Division, Is amabad. 8. Secretary, Social Weltare & Special Equation Department

Government of KPK, Peshawar 9. Concerned Canife

10. Office Copy!

BETTER COPY OF ANNEXURE-A

No. F, 11-19/2011-Coord (Pt) Government of Pakistan Ministry of Social Welfare & Special Education

Islamabad the 1st April, 2011

NOTIFICATION

On reorganization of Federal Secretariat in pursuance of Constitution (Eighteenth Amendment) Act, 2010 (Act No.X of 2010) the following Centres under the Ministry of Social Welfare & Special Education are transferred to the Social Welfare & Special Education Department Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

- 1. Special Education Centres for Mentally Retarted Children, D.I. Khan.
- 2. Special Education Centres for Hearing Impaired Children, Swat.
- 3. National Special Education Complex, Mardan.
- 4. Vocational Training Centres, for Disabled person, Mardan.
- 5. Special Education Centres for Visually Handicapped Children, Kohat.
- 6. National Special Education Complex (PHC, MRC, VHC & HIC) Peshawar.
- 7. Hostel Facilities National Special Education Complex, Peshawar
- 8. Vocational Training Centres for Disabled Person Peshawar.
- 9. Special Education Centre for Visually Handicapped Children, Charsadda.
- 10. Special Education Centre for physical handicapped Children, Abbottabad.

(Muhammad Altaf) Section Officer (Admin)



Judgment Sheet In the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad

Appeals No.565 to 573; 757 to 793, 894 to 918, 918-A, 919 to 943, 998 to 1045, 1108 to 1179, 1224 to 1243, 1265 to 1325, 2327 to 2350, 2352 to 2368&2368-A, 2369 to 2443, 2446 to 2484 & 2487 to 2501, 2508 to 2520(R)CS/2016 with M.Ps.

Nusrat Tahir and others

Versus

Secretary, Capital Administration & Development Division, Secretary, Finance Division and AGPR, Islamabad.

> Date of Institution: 04.04.2016, etc. Date of hearing : 17.07.2017 Date of Judgment: 18.07.2017

Before:

Syed Rafique Hussain Shah, and Syed Muhammad Hamid, Members.

Present:

Mr. Muhammad Anwar Mughal, Advocate for the appellants.

Syed Zil-e-Husnain Kazıni, Assistant Attorney General for the respondents with M/s Arshad Anjum, Assistant Director, CA & DD, Navced Akhter, Section Officer, Finance Division, Azhar Nadeem Awan, Assistant Accounts Officer and Muhammad Jabbar,

Senior Auditor, AGPR as D.Rs.

JUDGMENT

SYED RAFIQUE HUSSAIN SHAH, MEMBER: With this judgment we shall decide the above titled appeals. The facts giving rise to the present appeals are that the Prime Minister of Pakistan approved payment of Health Allowance to the institutions providing Health Services in the year 2012 and the Finance Division issued notification No.2(13)R-2/2011-777 dated 06.02.2012 for grant of Health Allowance, equal to one basic pay of running salary to the health personnel in the employment of Federal Government in BPS Scheme w.e.f. 01.01.2012, in addition to the existing pay and allowances in BPS scheme. Health allowance had been paid to the contemporaries of the appellants in other Government Hospitals including PIMS, Federal Govt. Polyclinic, CDA, ICT, Pakistan Railways and Federal Government Services Hospital, Islamabad, etc. but it was discontinued to the present appellants vide impugned orders dated 08.08.2014, 21.03.2016

Islamagad

and 25.03.2016. The Secretary, Capital Administration & Development Division (CA&DD) vide letter-dated 06.08.2012 allowed Health Allowance to 24 personnel of Directorate General of Special Education (DGSE) and the AGPR. Islamabad started paying the said Allowance to these personnel with effect from 01.01.2012. Due to discriminative action of Secretary CARDD the employees of DGSE started agitating the matter with him who vide letter dated 13.03.2013 allowed Health Allowance to all the employees of 16 institutions of DGSE and allied education centres, etc. in view of functions and the services being rendered by them in providing Health Services to the disabled and special children with effect from 01.01.2012 and the AGPR accordingly started paying the said allowance to them. The AGPR vide letter dated 08.08.2014 informed the Director General, DGSE that Finance Division had not provided budget allocation for the year 2014-2015 for the said Allowance, hence, the payment of said Allowance be stopped by submitting the computer changes through concerned DDOs. Subsequently the Finance Division allocated budget for the said Allowance but the CA&DD parked the budget and later on allocated the same to some other organization. Being aggrieved of stoppage of the said Allowance vide letter dated 08.08.2014 the affected employees filed Writ Petition No.3784/2014, 3858/2014 and 4007/2014 which were disposed of by the Hon ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad vide order dated 17.09.2015 in the following manner: -

"4. In the light of the above, the instant petition along with the connected petitions are converted into representations deemed to be pending before the learned Secretary CADD. The learned Secretary CADD shall afford an opportunity of hearing to an authorized representative of the petitioners and, thereafter pass a speaking order. It is expected that the Secretary shall, inter alia, take into consideration:

- a) the affidavit dated 26.3.2015 filed by the Secretary Finance, during the proceedings before this Court;
- b) the fact that in some cases the Health Allowance was paid to the employees by the AGPR pursuant to the approval given by the CADD:
- c) The request made by and on behalf of the petitioners that in the circumstances recovery of the Health Allowance would cause immense hardship; and
- d) The fact that the petitioners are employees of different departments and entities under the

ger in Horvier in Amini Alamatai MIChar

5

administrative control of CADD and, therefore, the entitlement or eligibility shall be taken into consideration in the light of status of each department or entity separately.

5. In order to meet the ends of justice, it would be appropriate that recovery of the Health Allowance already paid to the employees is recovered after a speaking order has been passed by the learned Secretary. It is expected that the Secretary CADD shall pass a speaking order preferably within 90-days.

XXX

The Secretary CA&DD after perusing the record and affording the opportunity of hearing to the authorized representatives of the petitioners (now appellants) on 14.03.2016 passed the order dated 21.03.2016 and declared the CA&DD's letter dated 13.03.2016 allowing Health Allowance to the DGSE employees unlawful, against the rules, void ab initio and directed the AGPR to recover the said Allowance from their salaries in easy installments.

In this backdrop, the appellants filed the instant appeals with the following prayer: -

"It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that the appellant being entitled to Health Allowance being covered under category of Health Personnel, the said order dated 21.03.2016 by respondent No.1 may therefore, be set aside and it be declared that the action of respondent No.1 in withdrawing the said allowance and recovery thereof from the salaries of appellants, is malafide, illegal, violative of principles of locus pocnitentiae, unfair, unjust, unreasonable, arbitrary. fanciful and capricious and that are entitled to receive the same, from the date it has been withdrawn and stopped from payment."

The learned counsel for the appellants argued that the appellants being Federal government Servants under Article 240 of Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 and covered under Section 2 (b) of Civil Servants Act, 1973 were entitled to all pay and allowances prescribed by Federal Government for its employees. Further stated that financial directive contained in Ministry of Finance O.M. No.2(13)R-2/2011 dated 06.02.2012 was unambiguous and clearly stipulated admissibility of Health Allowance to all health personnel in employment of Federal Government in BPS Scheine but despite the said notification of the Finance Division, the appellants were deprived of the

Federal Bervice Citumal Islamacas

565 to 573(R)CS/2016, etc. with M. b.

said benefits. In support of his version, the learned counsel for the appellants referred to the judgments of FST dated 05.10.2015, 11.01.2016, 18.05.2016 and 14.12.2016 passed in Appeals No.224(R)CS/22015, 867 to 872(R)CS/2015, 1176 to 1187, 1189 to 1251(R)CS/2015 & 381 to 405(R)CS/2016 and prayed for the same relief. The learned counsel vehemently argued that the respondent-Finance Division was blowing hot and cold in the same breath as, on the one hand, it had admitted the claim of the appeliants in para 5 of their parawise comments filed in the Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad while, on the other hand, they declined the same claim vide order dated 21.03.2016. He contended that the benefit once granted and acted upon had created a vested right which could not be withdrawn unilaterally, in violation of principle of natural justice. The learned counsel for the appellant lastly argued that impugned orders were void ab initio, coram-non-judice and having no sanctity of law as withdrawal / stoppage of salary amounted to punishment which could not be awarded without adopting the due process of law provided under the Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 1973.

The appeals were resisted by the respondents. It was stated that the said allowance was not admissible to the appellants as they did not fall under the definition of health personnel because health personnel meant. a person who held a post in any institute or organization delivering services in the health sector and included in Schedule-I. It was further submitted that the Health Allowance was allowed provisionally to the appellants by the AGPR on the basis of CADD Division's letter dated 06.08.2012 but subsequently that Division sent a reference to the Finance Division to seek its concurrence for admissibility of Health Allowance which regretted the same on 14.03.2013 on the ground that they were involved in the process of education, training and rehabilitation of disabled children and persons with disabilities and, hence, could not be declared as health personnel to become entitled for the said allowance. The CADD on 13.03.2013 in its communication to AGPR conveyed sanction of the Health Allowance to DGSE employees without concurrence of the Finance Division and the AGPR made provisional payment to the appellants, which was subsequently discontinued after verification of entitlement in order to prevent the misuse of this allowance by non-entitled personnel. It was submitted by respondent No.2 that health allowance was granted with the

ATTESTED

M 200%

5

approval of the Prime Minister, through a summary, specifically moved for three Federal Government Hospitals i.e. Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, F.G. Polyclinic and National Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine. The Directorate General of Special Education & Social Welfare, being an educational body, dealt with the process of education, training and rehabilitation of disabled children and persons with disabilities, which was carried out by a multi-professional team including teachers, professionals, doctors, administrators, ministerial and supportive / ancillary staff did not fall within the ambit of health organization and could not be declared as 'health personnel' to get the said allowance. The respondent No.3 i.e. AGPR in its parawise comments submitted that the identical cases titled Dr. Farkh F. Lodhi, etc. vs Sceretary Finance were still pending before the

- 5. We heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- The health allowance equal to one basic pay of running salary was granted to the health personnel in the employment of Federal Government, in BPS Scheme, with effect from 01.01.2012. It was made. admissible to non-clinical cadres. In reply to a query raised by AGPR vide letter No.TM/18-64/CSHP/HPS/2011-12/186, dated 12.03.2012, the Regulations Wing of the Finance Division vide U.O. No.F.2(13)R-2/2012-172 dated 27.03.2012 furnished definition of the health personnel by stating that 'health personnel' meant a persons who held a post in any institute or organization delivering services in the health sector and included in Schedule-I, but did not include person who was on deputation to the Federal Government from any Province or other authority and who was on contract, or on work charged basis or who was paid from contingencies. The appellants are neither deputationists from any Province or other authority nor have been engaged on contract or on work charged basis. They are also not being paid from contingencies. On the contrary, they are civil servants under section 2 (b) of the Civil Servants Act, 1973 and are delivering services to the disabled children / persons. The beneficiaries / appellants comprised of Academic and Administrative Cadres. According to the definition surnished by the Finance Division vide their u.o. dated 27.03.2012 the appellants are entitled to health allowance. In paras-6 & 7 under Facts of parawise comments filed before the Hon'ble Islamabad High

Federal Control of the special control of the

A J Naver

565 to 573(R)CS/2016, etc. with M.Ps.

Court, Islamabad on behalf of Secretary Finance Division in Writ Petition No.4007/2014, it was categorically admitted that in April, 2014 Ministry of CADD again submitted NIS / BOs containing a provision of Rs. 100 million as HPA 'for DGSE for financial year 2014-15', however, DFA refused to endorse the NIS / BOs and forcefully deducted an amount of Rs.92.542 million of HPA, leaving Rs.7.458 million for the petitioners (now appellants) i.e. 24 employees who belonged to medical profession and came under the criteria of entitlement of HPA, as per DFA (CADD) understanding. D.O. letter dated 09.05.2014 was issued by FA's Organization to AGPR for stoppage of HPA to non-entitled employees and it was duty of AGPR to take action on the said letter and decide entitlement. It was prayed that the petition was an administrative issue with CADD & DGSE, therefore, the Finance Division be excluded from the list of respondents. Now the Finance Division has come up with different stand, it is not allowed to approbate and reprobate. No logical reply has been submitted by the respondents for depriving the appellants of their legitimate rights. A large number of employees of the Federal Government, similarly placed, are getting the said advantage. Why, then, the appellants be deprived, is not clear from what has been written in the comments before the Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad and the Federal Service Tribunal. This seems to be discriminatory treatment offending Article 4 & 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Further, the appellants have been directed by respondent No.1 to refund the paid amount which is against the principle of locus pocnitentiae because the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan has consistently held that the benefit once granted and acted upon created a vested right which could not be withdrawn unilaterally, in violation of natural justice. It is an established law that benefits received by a civil servant, even under erroneous order passed by the competent authority without any fault on the part of civil servant could not be recovered even if the beneficial order was subsequently withdrawn or rectified. We are fortified to take this view in the light of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan reported in PLD 1992 SC 207. Hence, to this extent, the impugned orders are not legally sustainable and liable to be set aside.

7. We would like to make an emphasis on the judgments of the Tribunal in Appeals No.224(R)CS/2015 and 381 to 405(R)CS/2016 dated

ATTESTED Prisung

My Jan

(13)

OS.10.2015 and 14.12.2016 wherein the controversy relating to Flealth Allowance has already been resolved. When confronted with the said fact, the respondents have failed to deny the fact. As such, the action of the respondents appears to be inconsistent in the light of the aforementioned judgments on account of Article 25 of the Constitution which guarantees equal rights and equal protection of law for every citizen. To ensure uniformity, rule of consistency must be applied while considering the case of the appellants. Since the Hon ble April Count vide its judgment in the case reported as 1996 SCMR 1185 has already held that "rule of good governance demands that the benefits of the said decision be extended to other civil servants also, who may not be parties to the litigation, instead of compelling them to approach the Tribunal or any other legal forum. Hence, the claim of the appellants is required to be decided on the same analogy / principle as framed in the cases of Dr. Farrukh Fiaz Lodhi and others by the Tribunal vide its judgment dated 05.10.2015, 11.01.2016 and 14.12.2016.

8. Foregoing in view and following the rule of consistency, the impugned orders dated 21.03.2016 and 25.03.2016 are set uside with i direction to the respondents to continue the Health Allowance already granted to the appellants since 2012, and to refund all deduction made in compliance with the impugned orders within a period of one month from the date the copy of this judgment is received in their office. Since the main appeals have been accepted, Misc. Petitions are also accepted.

9. Judgment to apply all the titled appeals mutatis mutandis.

10. There shall be no order as to costs.

11. Parties shall be informed accordingly.

MEVANER -

SO(_

18.07.2017

Saced

CERTIFIED TRUE CORY

PURITEUSI adeid Servico Tr buna Islamabad

M

BETTER COPY

05.10.2015 and 14.12.2016 wherein the controversy relating to Health Allowance has already been resolved. When confronted with the said fact, the respondents have failed to deny the fact. As such, the action of the respondents appears to be inconsistent in the light of the aforementioned judgments on account of Article 25 of the Constitution which guarantees equal rights and equal protection of law for every citizen. To ensure uniformity, rule of consistency must be applied while considering the case of the appellants. Since the Hon'ble Apex Court vide its judgment in the case reported as 1996 SCMR 1185 has already held that "rule of good governance demands that the benefits of the said decision be extended to other civil servants also, who may not be parties to the litigation, instead of compelling them to approach the tribunal of any other legal forum. Hence, the claim of the appellants is required to be decided on the same analogy/principle as framed in the cases of Dr. Farrukh Faiz Lodhi and others by the Tribunal vide its judgment dated 05.10.2015, 11.01.2016 and 14.12.2016.

- 8. Forgoing in view and following the rule of consistency, the impugned orders dated 21.03.2016 and 25.03.2016 are set aside with direction to the respondents to continue the Health Allowance already granted to the appellants since 2012, and to refund all deduction made in compliance with the impugned orders within a period of one month from the date of copy of this judgment is received in their office, Since the main appeal have be accepted Misc. Petition are also accepted.
- 9. Judgment to apply all the titled mutatis mutandis.
- 10. There shall be no order as to costs.
- 11. Parties shall be informed accordingly.

ISLAMABAD

18.07.2017



(in C.A.811/2016)

...Respondents(s)

For the Appellant(s) (in all cases)

Mr. Sajid Ilyas Bhatti, DAG Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR Ms. Saadia Kanwal, S.O Fin. Mr. Abid Hussain Channa, S.O Fin. Mr. Sajid Javed, Asstt. Legal Fin. Mr. Abdul Razzaq, AAO MEG

Rawalpindi

For the Respondent(s)

In-person

For the Respondent(s) Mr. Muhammad Ilyas Lodhi, ASC

(in C.A.216/16)

Malik Itaat Hussain Awan, ASC

Amicus Curiae:

Mr. Muhammad Makhdoom Ali

Khan, Sr. ASC

Mr. Sikandar Bashir Mohmand, ASC

Date of Hearing

17.01.2018.

JUDGMENT.

UMAR ATA BANDIAL, J .- By leave of this Court granted on 23.02.2016, 01.4.2016 and 12.12.2017 in the several connected appeals before us, the appellant Federal Government challenges the judgments delivered on common questions of fact and law by the learned Federal Service Tribunal on 05.10.2015, 11.01.2016 and 18.07.2017. The judgments impugned in the connected appeals declare the respondent employees of different institutions functioning under the Directorate General of Special Education ("DGSE") to be entitled to payment of Health Allowance granted by the Federal Government vide its Office Memoranda dated 04.02.2012 and 06.2.2012. These Memoranda are issued by the Finance Division (Regulations Wing) Government of Pakistan pursuant to approval granted by the Prime Minister under the Rules of Business, 1973. It would be useful to reproduce the two

> Senior C

memoranda containing the terms and conditions for the grant of

Health Allowance to eligible persons:

"Government of Pakistan Finance Division (Regulations Wing)

F.No.2(13)R-2/2011

Islamabad, the 04th Feb,2012

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject:

GRANT OF ADHOC ALLOWANCE EQUAL TO ONE BASIC PAY AT THE INITIAL OF THE SCALE TO THE HEALTH PERSONNEL IN BPS SCHEME.

The undersigned is directed to say that the Ordinance No.VI of 2011 that sanctioned the Career Structure for Health Personnel Scheme (CSHP) has lapsed on 26.12.2011. Accordingly, CSHP is no longer in the field and all health personnel have consequently reverted to the BPS scheme. In order to compensate health personnel for the loss of benefits sought under CSHP while preserving their status as Civil Servants, it has been decided by the Federal Government to grant adhoc allowance equal to one basic pay at the initial of the scale to the health personnel in the employment of Federal Government, in BPS scheme, with effect from 1st January, 2012. This will be in addition to their existing pay/allowances in BPS Scheme.

 This Division's OM No.2(13)R-2/2011-698 dated 17th November, 2011 may be treated as withdrawn w.e.f. 26.12.2011.

> Sd/--(M. Munir Sadiq) Deputy Secretary (R-I)"

"Government of Pakistan Finance Division (Regulations Wing)

F.No.2(13)R-2/2011-777

Islamabad, the 06th February,2012

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject:

GRANT OF ADHOC ALLOWANCE EQUAL TO ONE BASIC PAY OF RUNNING SALARY TO THE HEALTH PERSONNEL IN BPS SCHEME.

In continuation of Finance, Division's O.M. No.F.2(13)R-2/2011, dated 4.2.2012, it has been decided by the Federal Government to grant benefit of one basic pay of running salary as Health Allowance to the health personnel in the employment of Federal Government, in BPS scheme, with effect from 1st January, 2012. This will be in addition to their existing allowances in BPS Scheme. Also grant of stipend amounting to Rs.50,000 per month to the postgraduate residents and Rs.24,000 per month for House Officers respectively w.e.f. 1.7.2011 will continue.

Sd/--(Manzoor Ali Khan) Sr. Joint Secretary (Regulations)"

(emphasis supplied)

2. Learned Deputy Attorney General has contended that the Health Allowance granted by the Federal Government is available to health personnel in the employment of the Federal Government in the BPS scheme at three hospitals established in

Senior Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad, namely, Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences ("PIMS"), Federal Government Polyclinic ("FGP") and National Institution of Rehabilitation Medicines ("NIRM"). The employees at these hospitals do not have a career structure in place after the Career Structure for Health Personnel Scheme Ordinance, 2011 ("Ordinance") lapsed on 26.1.2011. The Health Allowance was accordingly granted by the Federal Government as a form of compensation. It is clear from the two memoranda dated 04.2.2012 and 06.2.2012 reproduced above that the Health Allowance is granted to "health personnel". However, the composition of the category of employees that are eligible for the benefit has not been provided therein. The respondents who are several hundred in number are unrepresented by counsel. In view of the fact that a large number of employees are affected by the instant controversy, the Court has sought assistance from Mr. Muhammad Makhdoom Ali Khan, Sr. ASC and Mr. Sikandar Bashir Mohmand, ASC as amicus curiae in the matter. Mr. Sikandar Bashir Mohmand, ASC made able submissions before the Court that highlighted important facts and documents on record which simplified the controversy appreciably.

3. It transpires that an Office Memorandum dated 27.03.2012 by the Finance Division (Regulations Wing) clarifies that the term "health personnel" used in the above mentioned memoranda bears the meaning given to that expression in Section 2(b) of the Ordinance. This definition refers to the contents of Schedule-I to the Ordinance which specifies the service providers who qualify as health personnel. A perusal of Schedule-I shows that five categories of service providers are

Senior Court A Cociste
Supreme Court of Taxastan

(1b)

classified as health personnel, namely: Doctors, Allied, Nurses, Paramedics and Support. The services specified under the categories of Paramedics and Support include Teachers, Audiovisual Operators, Technicians, Librarians, etc.



- administrative Ministry for institutions of the Federal Government at Islamabad is the Ministry of Capital Administration and Development Division ("CADD"). It is an admitted fact that vide order dated 13.03.2013 the Ministry of CADD granted the Health Allowance to the employees of the DGSE and its allied special education centers/ institutions including National Trust for the Disabled ("NTD") and the National Council for Rehabilitation of Disabled respondents were ("NCRDP"). The Persons acknowledged as beneficiaries of the said grant and were paid the Health Allowance with effect from 01.1.2012 until 27.10.2014, when the Finance Division informed the Accountant General Pakistan Revenue ("AGPR") that only health personnel working in Federal Government hospitals and clinics were qualified to receive the Health Allowance. The AGPR correspondingly instructed the DGSE to stop payment of the said allowance to its employees as no budget allocation for the said emolument had been made in the financial year 2014-15.
- 5. The discontinuation of their Health Allowance was taken to the Islamabad High Court by some of the respondents. Vide order dated 17.9.2015 the learned High Court referred the dispute to the Secretary CADD for passing a speaking order thereon; and till then restrained recovery of past payments of the Health Allowance from the affected employees of DGSE and allied centers. The Secretary CADD heard the parties and by

Senior Court Associate
Supreme Court of Pakistan
Islamabad

~\}

order dated 21.3.2016 rejected the entitlement of 'the respondents to receive the said allowance. The principal ground of his decision is that employees of the DGSE were engaged in the process of education, training and rehabilitation of disabled children and therefore did not fall within the ambit of a health organization. The respondents successfully challenged the said order before the learned Federal Service Tribunal which has, inter alia, by the impugned judgment dated 18.07.2017 declared that the respondents are entitled to the grant of Health

Allowance.

B

- Learned Deputy Attorney General has contended б. that after the lapse of the Ordinance that had provided a career structure for the doctors, nurses and paramedics working in PIMS, FGP and NIRM, the Health Allowance was granted by the Federal Government as compensation to the said health to show any unable however, was, He contemporaneous direction issued by the Ministry of CADD or the Ministry of Finance that restricted the grant of the Health Allowance to the claimed employees of the three hospitals specified by him. As already noted above, the definition of health personnel provided in the Ministry of Finance Office Memorandum dated 27.3.2012 is wide in scope and therefore unhelpful to his plea.
 - 7. We have examined the definition of "health personnel" adopted by said memorandum dated 27.3.2012 from Section 2(b) of the Ordinance which is to the following effect:
 - "b) "health personnel" means a person who holds a post in any institute or organization delivering services in the health sector and included in Schedule-I, but does not include:

 i) a person who is on deputation to the Federal Government from any Province or other authority;

AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

Senior Court Ass / clabs Supreme Court of flat stan Islamabad

mo

- 8. It is noted that the foregoing definition of health personnel covers persons holding posts in any institute or organization who are delivering services in the health sector that are included in Schedule-I to the Ordinance. Learned Deputy Attorney General was unable to distinguish the respondents, who are employees of the DGSE and allied institutions/centers, NCRDP and NTD, from the paramedic and support staff positions that qualify as health personnel according to Schedule-I to the Ordinance. It is not denied by the appellant that education, training and rehabilitation of disabled persons are services provided in the health sector. These services fall within the terms of Schedule-I to the Ordinance and therefore the providers thereof qualify as health personnel.
- 9. Accordingly, not only do the respondents fall within the category of persons who are, in terms of Finance Division Memoranda dated 06.2.2012 and 27.3.2012, eligible for grant of the Health Allowance but their entitlement has in fact been admitted by both the Ministry of CADD and Ministry of Finance. In this respect the aforementioned letter dated 13.3.2013 issued by the Ministry of CADD is referred. Also the affidavit of the Secretary Finance, Government of Pakistan filed in the Islamabad High Court pursuant to that Court's order dated 20.3.2015 passed in Writ Petition No. 4007 of 2014, specifically records that the proposal approved by the Prime Minister vide Summary dated 25.1.2012 did not restrict admissibility of the Health Allowance to the personnel of the three hospitals (identified by the learned DAG). For that reason the Finance

Senior Court Association

W}

(20)

- 10. As a result, the said allowance was paid to the health personnel of the DGSE and its allied institutions until 27.10.2014 when the Finance Division instructed the AGPR to confine the grant of the allowance to employees of Federal Government hospitals and clinics. This instruction represents merely a change of opinion which is not occasioned by an amendment in the terms of eligibility for the Health Allowance. Therefore, as the Memoranda dated 04.2.2012, 06.2.2012 and 27.3.2012 issued by the Finance Division, Government of Pakistan still hold the field in their original terms, there is no merit in the objection by the learned DAG to the entitlement of the respondents to claim and receive the Health Allowance.
- Deputy Attorney General contended that the Health Allowance is granted under executive fiat without any statutory backing therefore the same can be withdrawn by the Federal Government at any time. That is clearly a flawed contention. It is admitted that grant of the Health Allowance and the terms of eligibility to receive the same were determined by the competent authority, Ministry of Finance in accordance with Rules of Business of the Federal Government. The original terms of the said lawful grant still hold the field. These were acted upon and payment of the Health Allowance to the respondents has conferred a vested right upon them. In such circumstances, the executive is barred by the rule of *locus poenitentiae* from unilaterally rescinding and retrieving the benefit availed by its recipients. Reference is made



Muhammad Himayatullah Farukhi (PLD 1969 SC 407) and The Engineer-in-Chief Branch vs. Jalaluddin (PLD 1992 SC 207). Therefore without a change of the terms of eligibility for the Health Allowance even the prospective exclusion of the respondents from receipt of the benefit shall constitute arbitrary and unlawful action.

12. In the circumstances, we do not find any error or defect in the impugned judgments of the learned Federal Service Tribunal dated 05.10.2015, 11.1.2016 and 18.7.2017. Consequently, these appeals are dismissed and the entitlement of employees of the DGSE, allied institutions/centers, NCRDP and NTD to receive the Health Allowance is affirmed.

Sd/- Mian Sagib Nisar, CJ Sd/- Umar Ata Bandial, J Sd/- Ijaz ul Ahsan, J

Islamabad 17.01.2018 Naseer

ot approved for reporting.

Certified to be True Copy

Senior Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad

CA No:	8158/	/2022	Civil/Crim	inal
	maion:	20.4.	22	-
Date of Present		~110		
No of Words:-	ATT AND THE STATE OF THE STATE	Salvador e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Property and a second s	·.
No of Polios:	mmenda eresandramentes u	:5/1	Sept 11 - Transaction States and States	
RemuisiMon For	The state of the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the section in the second section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the se	5 	iran-industr ias in anti-lastica de la colo	
Copy Fee Inter-	nganggananan at ang makangangg	31:6.2		1
Court Fee Stan	and the same and the same	36-6	S. Commission of the	
Date of Comple	stien of Cor	26//	12	1
Date of Deliver	y of Copyra	10/0	<u> </u>	
Compared by/	Prepated by		1,16	
De since but	The same of the sa	COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PART		72.22







Office of the

Accountant General

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Phone: 091 9211250-53

No.HAD/Allowances/Corresp:/2017-18/422

Dated: 19.04.2018

To

The Branch Officer, Pay Roll-4 Section.

Subject:

COMPLAINCE OF JUDGMENT DATED 17.1.2018 PASSED IN CIVIL APPEALS NOS 1631-2112/2017,216/2016 & 806-811/2016 BY THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN.

I am directed to enclose herewith a copy of A.O(TM) No.TM(F)/T-40/Health Allowance/2017-18/138 dated 05.04.2018 alongwith Honorable Supreme Court Judgment for further compliance under intimation to AGPR Sub Office Peshawar accordingly.

ACCOUNTS OFFICER (HAD)

please pui up 2°

23/4/1B

D:\My Document\HAD Section\complaint regard.doc





OFFICE OF THE

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL PAKISTAN REVENUES

Sub Office PESHAWAR

Ph No:(091-9211278), Fax No:091-9211301

No. TM(F)/T-40/Health. Allow./2017-18/ /3-8

Dated: 05 .04.2018

To

The Accounts Officer (HAD),
Office of the Accountant General,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

23)

Subject:

COMPLIANCE OF JUDGMENT DATED 17.01.2018 PASSED IN CIVIL APPEALS NOS.1631-2112/2017, 216/2016, 1344/2016&806-811/2016 BY THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN.

Please find enclosed herewith the Accountant General Pakistan Revenues, Islamabad letter No-TM/18-64/Circular/2017-18 Vol-B-III/589 dated 12.03.2018, along-with its enclosures on the above subject.

The Supreme Court has made the employees of Director General Special Education, its allied institutions/ Centers, NCRDP and NTD entitled for Health Allowance. Hence, the Director General Special Education has been transferred to Province under 18th amendment and become a Provincial subject. It is kindly requested to take the requisite action on the above noted subject judgment under intimation to this office for onward submission of compliance report before the court.

ACCOUNTS OFFICER (TM)
AGPR Sub Office Peshawar

Copy forwarded to Accounts Officer (TM) office of the Accountant General, Pakistan Revenues G-8/4, Islamabad.

HAD

ACCOUNTS OFFICER (TM)
AGPR Sub Office Peshawar

n- b



read shows the New Desnit (1) 400 more and limit -40.do

Page: 1,350 Date: 20.00.2018

ranch Code: 231759 HAYE	MATABAN BRANCH	MATIDRAL BANK OF FA	KISTAN RAYAT	ABAG BRANCH 81) Grade: 17 HTH: 728	HAYATARAD DRAHCH 8445-2 Duckle Ho.: PRINCIPAL	Accet:No: 1732-4 Cazette4/Hom- *REPAID B	Carattud: C	
16310123 NUMAHHAD TARIG KHAK PAYHENTI	CHIC: 1720121212773 A H D U N T D E B U C T	IORS	4,270.00- 3,620.00-	FDAN/I AND	CPF4: 10-HISC 9653 INCOME TAX 17,870.52	2,980.00 916,300.00	59 667 00 4 891 40 7,700 00	
1901 House Reat Allouance 1558 Special Allouance 1947 Medical Allou 15% (1 1985 Health Professiozal 2148 15% Adhoc Relief All 2199 Wéhoc Relief Allou 8	CHIC: 1720121212773 A H G U H T D E E U C T 57,970.00 3017 CPF Sub- 6,650.08 6501 HBA Los 2,718.08 3501 Beaven 2,540.00 4084 R. Beaven 57,990.00 3609 lacone 1,280.90 906.00 4,557.60 5,797.00 5,797.00 146.367.00	a Principal in ent fund fits % Death C Tax	175.00- 2,136.00- 1,490.00-	House Building Advance	0301 134,500.00			
2211 REBOG Relief All 201 2224 Adbog Relief All 201 2747 Adbog Relief All 201	5,797,00 5,797,00 146,307,00 %ATACOD DRANCH CHIC: 1120104014277	DEDUCTIONS HATTOVAL BANK OF (11,711.0 0- Pakisian Haya	TADAD BRAKCH -	NET PAY HAVATABAD DRANCH	34,598.00 01.08.20 Acces Re 5303	18 31.08.2018	
Branch Code: 231759 - BA	SATAMAD USBALA					Carottadin	p-Cazettad: C	
FAYEENTS OGOI Basic Fay 100 Basic Fay 100 Basic Fay 100 Basic Fay 100 Basic Fay	A N D U N T D E D U C 57,970.00 3017 GPF Su 6,650.00 6501 NBA Le	bscription - Rs plan Principal In	4,270.00- 3,400.00- 6,500.00-	House Duilding Advanc	CPFR: IV-MISC 967 INCUME TAX 19 602.35 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 3,268.00 391,000.00 214,500.00	36,334.28 16,000.00 19,530.09	· .
1550 Special Allousice 1547 Hedical Allousice 1547 Hedical Allous 157 (1 1585 Health Professional 2148 152 Adhoc Relief Allous 2197 Adhoc Relief Allous	CHIC: 1120104014277 A N D U M T D E D U C 57,770.00 3017 CPF SU 6,650.00 6501 RRA Lo 2,710.08 6505 CPF Lo 2,540.00 3501 Denevo 57,770.00 4004 R. Ber 1,280.00 3609 Income 906.00 4,667.00 5,797.00 5,797.00 5,797.00 146.287.00 TRUAD BRAACH RAHIM MEDICAL CE CHIC: 1310194308056 A M B U N T D E B U C	olem frincipal im olemits & Death C p Tax	175. u6- 2,136. 00- 1,634. 00-	CPF Temp. Advance				
2711 Adhoc Belief All 201 2724 Adhoc Relief All 201 2747 Adhoc Relief All 201	5,797.00 5,797.00 146,287.00	DEDUCTIONS RANK LINI	18,135.00- TED GI	ROAD BRANCH RAMIN MEDI	NET PAY CAL CEPESHAHAR	128,152.00 01.08 Accet Ro: 108	2018 31.08.2018 99 CD	
Branch Code: 080010 C	CHIC: 1310194308056	Desig: SPEECH	THERAPIST (802	09384) Srade: 17 HTM: LDAM/FUND	buckle Ho.: PRINCIPAL	Cazetted/X REPAID	DA-Gazetted: G . BALANCE	
00344271 SRIMR HRIVER P A Y N E H T S 0601 Rasic Pag	A N B U N T D E D U C 55,670.00 3017 GPF T A 650.00 3501 Bener	Subscription - Rs	4,270.00- 195.00-		CPF6: IU-HISC 90 INCOME TAX 30,780.72	5,132.00	25,649.70	
1001 House Reat Allowance 1719 Convey Allowance 20 1558 Special Allowance 1947 Hedical Allow 15% (1	146 287.00 T ROAD BRANCH RAHIN MEDICAL CE CHIC: 1310194308056 A M B U K Y D E D U C 55,670.00 3017 FFF S 6,650.00 3501 Benet 5,000.00 4004 R Be 2,562.00 3609 Incom 2,7401.00 57,990.00 1,220.00 867.00 4,474.00	emefits & Death C me Tax	2,546.00-					• . •
198 Bealta Profession All 2148 152 Adhoc Relief All 2019 Adhoc Relief Allou 6 2211 Adhoc Relief All 201 2224 Adhoc Relief All 201 2247 Adhoc Relief All 201	1,220.00 887.00 4,474.00 5,547.00 5,547.00				HET FAY	138,201.00 61.0	31.08.2018 31.08.2018	
	5,567.00 5,567.00 147,968.00 HAHARSHER ATD: CHIC: 3320214097567 A M C U N T D E D U	MATIONAL BANK D	9,167.00- F PAKISTAN N	AHANSHER AID:	ABBOTADAD	geent.do: b	/Hos-Cazetted: 6	

(3)

PESHAWAR HIGH

FOF FORM OF C

Date of Order

WAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR.	TO THE PARTY OF TH
FORM 'A' FORM OF ORDER SHEET	TATALON THOO
ORDER OR PROCEEDINGS WITH SIGNATURE OF	

or Proceedings W.P No.4301-P/2018 with Interim Relief. 19.09.2018 Mr. Muhammad Khursheed Khan, Advocate, Present: counsel for the petitioners. Mr. Moeen-ud-Din Hamayun, AAG for the respondents. Despite direction of this Court dated 11.09.2018, the Advocate General Office failed to check the relevant quarter i.e. respondent No.2 and apprise the Court about the letter dated 03.06.2018. consequences of the impugned Today, the learned counsel for the petitioners produced another letter dated 14.09.2018, whereby the Director Social Welfare & Special Education Department despite repeated reminders of Accounts Officer has failed to provide the requisite admissibility of health professional allowance from Finance Department and release of budget through SAP system under object head of health allowance is still awaited. The lethargic and disobedient attitude of the concerned officer has exposed him liable to be dealt with under the contempt of the Secretary Court laws, however, taking lenient view, is directed to Finance Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar personally appear before the Court and explain his position.



							_			_
				hearing		ΔA	10	-2	1 (
T_{\sim}	A0111A	1119	tor	hearing	Λn	114	11)	.ZU	l C	3.
10	COILLE	uv	IUI	mount.	O31	· · ·				

Interim Relief.

Notice for the date fixed. In the meanwhile, Professional health allowance shall not be deducted from the petitioners.

Copying fee Total 2 c Date of Preparation of Copy 25-4-22 Bate of Delivery of Copy 2-5.4.24 Veceived By 1/0/19

25 APR 2022

Ayub Hon'ble Mr. Rooh-ul-Amin Khan & Hon'ble Mr. Justice Qalandar Ali Khan.





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ZAKAT, USHR, SOCIAL WELFARE, SPECIAL EDUCATION & WOMEN EMPOWERMENT, DEPARTMENT

SUMMARY FOR PROVINCIAL CABINET

Subject:-

DRAWL OF HEALTH ALLOWANCE BY THE EMPLOYEES OF SPECIAL EDUCATION

WP.No.430/2018 NAZEER SHAH VS GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Before the 18th constitutional amendment the employees of Directorate General of Special Education, Islamabad were allowed and since 01.04.2011, after the 18th amendment they were working under the Sucrolary, Social Welfare Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Till their devolution and allow 01.01.2012 the petitioner were receiving Health Professional Allowance vide Finance Division (Regulation Wing), Islamabad Notification (Annex-I).

- This allowance was stopped by Federal government and accordingly the petitioners filled a service appeal in Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabud and on 18.07.2017, the Federal Service Tribunal decided in favour of the petitioners (Annex-II).
- 3. The Federal Government then challenged the judgment / docliden of the Federal Service Tribunal in the Supreme Court of Pakistan but the apex court also decided in favour of the petitioners (Annex-III).
- After shifting the services from Federal Government to Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, the employees of Special Education were receiving the said allowance provisionally on 13.06.2018, the Accountant Officer (PR-04) office of the Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has written a letter to Deputy Commissioner, Peshawar with a copy to Director, Social Welfare and Secretary, Finance Department that budget for the Health Allowance has been allocated but the same has not been uploaded to the SAP Computer System till date. Accountant Officer (PR-04) further clarified that the Accountant Comoral Office has released the payment (Health Allowance) to these employees on the request of Social Welfare Department in compliance of the judgment of Suprome Court of Pakistan dated 17.01.2018, provisionally and subject to its admissibility





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ZAKAT, USHR, SOCIAL WELFARE, SPECIAL EDUCATION & WOMEN EMPOWERMENT DEPARTMENT

SUMMARY FOR PROVINCIAL CABINET

DRAWL OF HEALTH ALLOWANCE BY THE EMPLOYEES OF SPECIAL EDUCATION Subject:-

WP.No.430/2018 NAZEER SHAH VS GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

from Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and that in case the released budget is not been uploaded to the SAP System, the payment of Health Allowance will be stopped (Annex-IV).

- Accordingly the employees of Special Education filled a writ petition under article-199 of the constitution of Pakistan, 1973 and requested for suspension of the above mentioned letter of Accountant General, Kliyber Pakhtunkhwa and for continuation of Health Allowance. On 19.09,2018 in rin arder sheet issued by the Honorable Court in the subject writ petition, the until directed the Secretary, Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa lo personilly appear before the court on 04:10.2018. In compliance with the court order the Secretary, Finance Department appeared before the court on 04.10.2018 and the honorable court directed the Secretary, Finance Department that Professional Health Allowance shall not be deducted from the petitioners (Annex-V).
 - Finally, in compliance with the orders of Honorable Peshawar High Court Peshawar the Secretary, Finance Department requested vide his office SO(Lit)/FD/3-2521/2013 dated 01.10.2018 advising this department to move a summary being the Administrative Department after thorough analysis for the approval to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Annex-VI).
 - Pursuance to the order of Peshawar High Court Peshawar dated 04.10.2018, the Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is requested to allow the Health Allowance to the petitioners and implement the order of the Honornbla



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ZAKAT, USHR, SOCIAL WELFARE, SPECIAL EDUCATION & WOMEN EMPOWERMENT DEPARTMENT

SUMMARY FOR PROVINCIAL CABINET

Subject:- DRAWL O F HEALTH ALLOWANCE BY THE EMPLOYEES OF SPECIAL EDUCATION

WP.No.430/2018 NAZEER SHAH VS GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

- 8. On the observations of Finance Department the department of Smill Walture responded and provides the requisite information. The Finance Department Khyhor Pakhtunkhwa proposed that the subject case may be placed before the Provincial Cabinet for appropriate orders with regard to the approval of the proposed allowance in light of Court Decision alongwith the issue of over-payment and other ullied matters (Annex-VII).
- 9. The Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in Para-20 of the summary has already accorded approval to place the subject issue before the meeting of Provincial.— Cabinet for approval please.

10. The Provincial Cabinet is requested to allow the "Health Allowance" to the petitioners and implement the order of the Hönorable Court.

(MUHAMMADTOREES KHAN)

Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Zakat, Ushr, Social Wolfare, Special Education & Women Empowerment Department



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ESTABLISHMENT AND ADMN: DEPARTMENT (CABINET WING)

No.SOC(E&AD)9-24/2019

Dated Peshawar the 28th October, 2019.

The Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Zakat, Ushr, Social Welfare, Special Education & Women' Department.

SUBJECT: DECISION OF THE MEETING OF PROVINCIAL DATED 22.10.2019.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith the following decision of the meeting of Provincial Cabinet held on 22.10.2019 under the chairmanship of Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for implementation.

DRAWL OF HEALTH ALLOWANCE BY THE EMPLOYEES OF SPECIAL EDUCATION WP.NO.430/2018 NAZEER SHAH VS GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Decision of the Cabinet:

The Cabinet approved Health Allowance to the devolved employees of Special Education Institutions at the rate of one running basic pay.

Implementing Department:

Zakat, Ushr, Social Welfare, Special Education & Women Empowerment

I am to request that an implementation report of the Cabinet decision as required under Rule 25 (2) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Rules of Business, 1985 may kindly be furnished on top priority basis to the Cabinet Section, Administration Department.

> (TAJ MUHAMMAD) SECTION OFFICER (CABINET)

ENDST.NO. & DATE EVEN.

P.S to Secretary Administration Department. Copy to:-

PA to Additional Secretary (Cabinet) Administration Department.

PA to Deputy Secretary (Cabinet) Administration Department 3.

SECTION OFFICER (CABINET)



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA MINANCE DEPARTMENT

(REGULATION WING)

Dated Peshawar, the 25-11-2019

No.FD(SOSR-II)8-7/2019. In pursuance of the Supreme Court of Pak stan Civil Appeal No.811/2016 titled "Muhammad Atique-Ur-Rehman & Others V/s Federal Government of Pakistan through Secretary Capital Administration etc," the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Provincial Cabinet) has been pleased to approve Health Allowance at the rate of one running basic pay to the devolved employees working in the Special Education Institutions from the date of their devolution to Provincial Govt of Khyber

The above said allowance will be admissible only to the employees of Special Education Institutions of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa devolved under 18th Constitutional: Pakhtunkhwa. Amendment.

Secretary to Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department

Endst: No. & Date Even.

Copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to the:-

- Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 2. Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

- 4. Secretary to Social Welfare, Special Education & Women Empowerment Deptt. 3. Principal Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. Director, FMIU, Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. PS to Minister Finance, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. PS to Secretary, Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 8. PS to Special Secretary, Finance Department, Khyber Pakhlunkhwa.
- 9. PA to Additional Secretary (Regulation), Finance Department.
- 10. Waster File.

ION OFFICER (SR-II) FINANCE DEPARTMENT

To.

The Director of Social Welfare.

Special Education & Women Empowerment Department.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL FOR GRANTING/ALLOWING HEALTH ALLOWANCE TO THE APPLICANT W.E.F. THE DATE OF DEVOLUTION OF THE EMPLOYEES OF SPECIAL EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS TO THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA i.e. JANUARY, 2012.

Respected Sir.

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of Special Education Department and is serving as Aya at the Government Institute for Mental Reiterated & Physically Handicapped Children, Chitral, quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of my superiors. That the Provincial Government approved Health Allowance at the rate of one running basic pay to these devolved employees working in the Special Education Institutions from the date of their devolution to the Provincial Government. That the employees of Special Education Institution under the Federal Government were receiving Health Allowance which had been stopped/deducted from their salaries against which those employees preferred service appeals before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad and the same have been allowed in favor of the employees vide judgments dated 05.10.2015, 11.01.2016 and 18.07.2017 and the employees of Special Education Institutions declared entitle for receiving of the health allowance. That the respondent Department feeling aggrieved from the judgments of Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad filed CPLA No. 811/2016 with title of (Federal Government of Pakistan through Secretary Capital Administration etc; VS Muhammad Attiq Ur Rehman & others) before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan and the same has been dismissed by the Supreme Court vide its judgment dated 17.01.2018 and entitled the employees of Special Education Institutions for receiving of health allowance. That it is pertinent to mention that the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Finance Department issued Notification dated 25.11,2019 in implementation of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan in C.P No. 811/2016 and approved Health Allowance to the employees of Special Education Institutions of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa from the date of their devolution to the Province. That in light of the judgment of Supreme Court and Notification dated 25.11.2019 the applicant time and again requested the authority concerned for the grant of Health Allowance in light of the Principle of Consistency but no reply has been received from the quarter concerned. That the applicant feeling aggrieved from the inaction of the authority concerned by not allowing/granting Health Allowance similarly to the other employees of Special Education Institutions preferred the instant Departmental appeal before your good self for the grant of Health Allowance.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed to grant/allow health allowance as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan and Notification dated 25.11.2019 of the Finance Department from the date of devolution to the Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Dated: 15.02.2022.

You're Obediently

Mst; SALEHA, Aya,

Government Institute for Mental Reiterated & Physically Handicapped Children, Chitral

WAKALAT NAMA

<u>BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,</u> <u>PESHAWAR</u>

OF 2022 aleha (APPELLANT) (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER) **VERSUS** (RESPONDENT) Special Education Department (DEFENDANT) Do hereby appoint and constitute MIR ZAMAN SAFI, Advocate, High Court, Peshawar to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. MIR ZAMAN SAFI **ADVOCATE** mir, adrocate 323 @ gmail.com

OFFICE:

Room No.6-E, 5th Floor, Rahim Medical Centre, G.T Road, Hashtnagri, Peshawar. Mobile No.0333-9991564 0317-9743003