Service Appeal No.7392/2021 titled "Majid Ali-vs-Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others", service appeal No. 7393/2021 titled "Faisal Ali Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" and service appeal No. 7394/2021 titled "Shahzad Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" decided on 22.09.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan. Chairman, and Fareeha Paul, Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Abbottabad.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD.

BEFORE:

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ... CHAIRMAN

FAREEHA PAUL

... MEMBER (Executive)

(Respondents)

	Service Appeal No.7392/2021
	Majid Ali (Ex-Constable No. 351 Police Abbottabad) R/O Sector No.2 Khalabat Township, (KTS) Tehsil & District Haripur. (Appellant)
	Versus
2.	Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad. District Police Officer, Abbottabad. (Respondents)
	Present:
	Mr. Muhammad Aslam Tanoli, AdvocateFor appellant.
1	Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate GeneralFor respondents.
	Date of Institution
• • • •	Service Appeal No.7393/2021
	Faisal Ali Shah, (Ex-Sub, Inspector Police Abbottabad) R/O Village Changi Bandi, Tehsil & District Haripur. (Appellant)
	<u>Versus</u>
4. 5.	Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.

6. **District Police Officer**, Abbottabad.

Service Appeal No.7392/2021 titled "Majid Ali-vs-Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others", service appeal No. 7393/2021 titled "Faisal Ali Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" and service appeal No. 7394/2021 titled "Shahzad Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" decided on 22.09.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Fareeha Paul, Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Abbottabad.

	Present:
	Mr. Muhammad Aslam Tanoli, AdvocateFor appellant.
	Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate GeneralFor respondents.
	Date of Institution
•••••	Service Appeal No.7394/2021
	Shahzad Shah(Ex-IHC No. 756 Police Abbottabad) R/O Mohallah Loharan Havailian, District Abbottabad. (Appellant)
	Versus
7. 8. 9.	Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad. District Police Officer, Abbottabad.
	(Respondents)
	Mr. Muhammad Aslam Tanoli, Advocate
	Date of Institution
	••••••

J. J.

APPEAL UNDER **SECTION** OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST DATED 10.06.2021 **OF** THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER ABBOTTABAD WHEREBY THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN "DISMISSED FROM SERVICE" AND ORDER DATED 12.08.2021 OF THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER HAZARA REGION ABBOTTABAD WHEREBY APPELLANT'S DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL HAS BEEN FILED.

CONSOLIDATED JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: Through this single judgment this appeal and connected Appeal No. 7393/2021 titled "Faisal Ali Shah-vs-Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" and service appeal No. 7394/2021 titled "Shahzad Shah-vs-Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" are decided as all the three are against the dismissal of the appellants and the same allegations.

2. According to the facts gathered from the record, disciplinary action was started against all the appellants on the allegations that in a preliminary enquiry, conducted by the Addl: Superintendant of Police Abbottabad, vide his office memo No. 1317/PA dated 01.04.2021, a fake and bogus FIR, No. 139 dated 07.03.2021, under Section 15-AA, was registered at Police Station Nawansher, wherein the time of the occurrence was shown as 1935 hrs while on the same date at 1715/hrs the same accused named Hazrat Gul S/O Bahadar Gul resident of Kotka Sokari, Bannu was charged in a murder case registered vide FIR No. 178 dated 07.03.2021 under Section 302/324/34 PPC Police Station, Bannu Cannt, how was it possible that the accused was charged in 2 FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts.

June time and date in two

After conducting the preliminary enquiry, a departmental enquiry was conducted. It was also alleged in the statement of allegations that the appellant reached Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange was out of the jurisdiction of Police Station Nawansher. It was also alleged that preplanning and registering bogus case and obtaining illegal gratification/bribe had been proved against the appellant which shows there malafide intention, severe violation, a serious professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in terms of police E&D Rules 1975. The appellants submitted their reply to the charge sheet whereafter final show cause notice was issued to them, which were also responded. The District Police Officer, Abbottabad relied on the departmental inquiry and after hearing the appellants, awarded them major punishment of dismissal from service on 10.06.2021. The appellants filed departmental appeals which were regretted/filed by the Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad vide order dated 12.08.2021 and the appellants then filed these appeals.

- 3. On receipt of the appeals and admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned, who, on putting appearance, contested the appeals by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defence setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellants.
- 4. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents.

Service Appeal No.7392/2021 titled "Majid Ali-vs-Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others", service appeal No. 7393/2021 titled "Faisal Ali Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" and service appeal No. 7394/2021 titled "Shahzad Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" decided on 22.09.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Fareeha Paul, Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Abbottabad.

- 5. The learned counsel for the appellants reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeals while the learned Additional Advocate General controverted the same by supporting the impugned order.
- 6. The entire episode of these appeals can be summarized in the manner and that the appellants managed registration of a fake case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07.03.2021 under Section-15-AA of Police Station Nawansher, Abbottabad in order to show presence of the accused Hazrat Gul son of Bahadur Gul resident of Kotkah Sokari, Bannu, at Abbottabad who was charged in a murder case registered, on the same day, at Police Station Cantonment Bannu under Sections 302/324/34 PPC just two hours and few minutes prior to the time of occurrence shown in FIR No. 139 registered at Police Station Nawansher, Abbottabad. A preliminary inquiry was conducted by the Additional Superintendent of Police, Abbottabad and then departmental inquiry was conducted by the SP investigation Abbottabad. According to the observations in the inquiry everything was allegedly inquired and tried to be thrashed out except to ascertain the fact whether the accused Hazrat Gul son of Bahadur Gul, involved in the two FIRs, registered on the same date, at two different districts, falling far-away from each other, was actually the same person and present and arrested by the appellants in FIR No. 139 or he was not actually the same person and was present at Bannu. The observation No. 3 of the inquiry report, referred to a CDR of all relevant persons, collected in the previous preliminary inquiry, which revealed that the appellants reached Khokhar Maira interchange clearly indicates that they received the accused (Hazrat Gul) in protocol under the direction of the SHO at Khokhar Maira-

Scrvice Appeal No 7392/2021 titled "Majid Ali-vs-Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others", service appeal No 7393/2021 titled "Faisal Ali Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" and service appeal No. 7394/2021 titled "Shahzad Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" decided on 22.09.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Fareeha Paul. Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Abbottabad.

interchange. The enquiry report said that this prima facie shows that the accused Hazrat Gul was actually in Abbottabad but prima facie observation would not be sufficient to hold that he was actually Hazrat Gul and not a fake person planted to benefit Hazrat Gul in a murder case registered at district Bannu. The preliminary as well as the departmental inquiry both are silent to show and prove the fact whether Hazrat Gul was actually in Abbottabad at the relevant point of time, when FIR was registered at Abbottabad or a fake person was arranged and shown as Hazrat Gul. In case it is established that the accused Hazrat Gul was a fake person, arranged by the appellants at Abbottabad, to establish his alibi, in order to exonerate him from the murder charge at Bannu, then of course the act of the appellants would be a gross misconduct and in case, which, of course, could be easily verified from the finger prints of the person arrested in FIR No. 139 at Abbottabad and his finger prints from the jail record and compared with the record of the NADRA, it is established that accused Hazrat Gul was actually at Abbottabad and was arrested by the appellants in case FIR No. 139 dated 07.03.2021, then the situation could be quite different as such a situation would not prove at least, the allegation that the case was registered by the appellants to extend benefit to the accused Hazrat Gul to establish his alibi so that he could be exonerated from the murder charge at Bannu. During the course of arguments, the learned counsel for the appellant produced certified copy of order dated 24.02.2022 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate-I Abbottabad whereby the FIR registered at Abbottabad was cancelled. The learned Magistrate held in the order that the officials of the concerned Police Station, in their statements

Service Appeal No.7392/2021 titled "Majid Ali-vs-Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others", service appeal No. 7393/2021 titled "Faisal Ali Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" and service appeal No. 7394/2021 titled "Shahzad Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" decided on 22.09.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Farecha Paul, Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Abbottabad.

before inquiry officer admitted that the FIR was registered at about 04:30 AM or 05:00 AM in the morning time on 08.03.2021 and in this respect one day prior i.e. on 07.03.2021, the SHO called the muharrir to keep pending the Roznamcha of 07.03.2021 as he had to register an FIR and on the order of SHO the Muharrir kept the Roznamcha of 07.03.2021 pending till 08.03.2021 (morning) while in the FIR the time of lodging the FIR was mentioned as 2040 hours (08:40 PM). Meaning thereby the FIR was registered in back date. Similarly, one constable Sheraz No. 1132 also admitted before the inquiry that his name was included in the Fard as witness, but neither he was present on the spot nor he has signed on the Fard. Since, in the departmental inquiry it is proved that the FIR was fake, bogus and preplanned, therefore, the cancellation report was filed. The inquiry officer has, though, brought this fact in his observations that the FIR was registered on 08.03.2021 around 4/5 AM but there is no clarification whether only the FIR was registered late or Marasala for lodging the FIR was also drafted late i.e. on 08.03.2021 at 4/5 AM and not on the date and time mentioned in the FIR itself, the copy of which is found placed on file and the same negates the above fact. We find copies of the daily diary No. 33, 38, 39 & 47. According to daily diary No. 33 dated 07.03.2021 the appellants had left the Police Station at 1855 hrs for gasht. Daily diary No. 38 shows that the marasala was drafted by the SHO Faisal Ali Shah and sent through Majid Ali No. 351 (both are the appellants before us) for registration of FIR No. 139 under Section 15AA. Similarly daily diary No. 39 shows that the ASI concerned had completed the FIR around 2050 hrs. These daily diaries also negate the fact stated in the inquiry

Service Appeal No.7392/2021 titled "Majid Ali-vs-Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others", service appeal No. 7393/2021 titled "Faisal Ali Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" and service appeal No. 7394/2021 titled "Shahzad Shah-vs- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others" decided on 22.09.2022 by Division Bench comprising Kalım Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Fareeha Paul, Member, Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Camp Court Abbottabad.

report. Therefore, we find that the above points have not been either enquired, clarified, answered or established during the inquiry. In the absence of inquiry with respect to the above detailed points/facts the punishment awarded to the appellants would not be appropriate.

- 7. Therefore on allowing these appeals, we set aside the impugned orders of dismissal of all the three appellants from service as well as the appellate order filing their departmental representations and direct that a detailed denovo inquiry be conducted, covering the above facts/observations/points made by us and then proceed in accordance with the result/outcome. The appellants are reinstated for the purpose of the above enquiry. The back benefits shall be subject to the outcome of the de-novo enquiry. The enquiry shall be completed within a period of sixty days from the date of receipt of this judgment and the compliance report be submitted to the Registrar of this Tribunal. Date of receipt of this judgment be also acknowledged to the Registrar of this Tribunal. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- 8. Pronounced in open Court at Abbottabad and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 2 and 5 day of September, 2022.

Service

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN

Chairman

Camp court Abbottabad

FAREEHA PAUL
Member (Executive)
Camp court Abbottabad

ORDER

.22 Sept, 2022

- 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.
- 2. Vide our detailed judgement of today placed on file (containing 08 pages), therefore on allowing these appeals, we set aside the impugned orders of dismissal of all the three appellants from service as well as the appellate order filing their departmental representations and direct that a detailed de-novo inquiry be conducted, covering the above facts/observations/points made by us and then proceed in accordance with the result/outcome. The appellants are reinstated for the purpose of the above enquiry. The back benefits shall be subject to the outcome of the de-novo enquiry. The enquiry shall be completed within a period of sixty days from the date of receipt of this judgment and the compliance report be submitted to the Registrar of this Tribunal. Date of receipt of this judgment be also acknowledged to the Registrar of this Tribunal. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- 3. Pronounced in open court in Abbottabad and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 22nd day of Sept, 2022.

(Kalim Arshad Khan)

Chairman

Camp Court Abbottabad

(Fareeha Paul) Member(Executive) Camp Court Abbottabad 21th July 2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present.

Kabiruallah Khttak, Addl: AG and Mr. Noor Zaman

Khattak, District Attorney for respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that he has not prepared the case. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 22.09.2022 before D.B at camp court Abbottabad.

(Salah Ud Din) Member (Judicial) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman Camp Court Abbottabad 19.01.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Shamraiz Khan, ASI alongwith Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present and sought time for submission of written reply/comments. Adjourned. To come up for written reply/comments on 14.02.2022 before the S.B at Camp Court Abbottabad.

(Salah-ud-Din) Member (J) Camp Court A/Abad

17.05 2022

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhamad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General alongwith Mr. Shamraiz, SI for the respondents present.

Written reply/comments on behalf of respondents submitted which is placed on filed. A copy of the same is handed over to the learned counsel for the appellant. To come up for rejoinder as well as arguments before D.B on 15.06.2022 at camp court Abbottabad.

9

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman Camp Court Abbottabad

15.06.2022

Appellant present through counsel.

Noor Zaman Khan Khattak, learned District Attorney alongwith Shamrazi ASI (Legal) for respondents present.

A request was made for adjournment on behalf of learned counsel for the appellant in order to produce relevant documents; granted. Learned DDA also requested for placing on file relevant record in respect of inquiry which is allowed and the entire record is placed on file. To come up for arguments on 21.07.2022 before D.B at Camp Court, Abbottabad.

(Fareeha Paul)

Member (E)

Camp Court, A/Abad

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Camp Court, A/Abad 01.11.2021

Appellant in person present. Preliminary arguments heard and case file perused.

Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant is aggrieved of original impugned order dated 10.06.2021 whereby he was dismissed from service. The appellant preferred departmental appeal on 21.06.2021 which was filed vide appellate order dated 12.08.2021, hence, the instant service appeal instituted in Service Tribunal on 10.09.2021. Moreover, the ends of justice have not been met. Neither opportunity of personal hearing granted nor examination of any witnesses done. No proper procedure has been followed and no findings of any enquiry provided to the appellant and as such the appellant has been condemned unheard. The appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all just legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments in office within 10 days after receipt of notices, positively. If the written reply/comments are not submitted within the stipulated time, or extension of time is not sought through written application with sufficient cause, the office shall submit the file with a report of non-compliance. File to come up for arguments on 10.01,2022 before the D.B at camp court Abbottabad.

Appellar (Deposited Security of Process Fee

> (Mian Muhammad) Member(E)

10/01/2022. Due to concellation of tour, the case is adjourned to 19/01/2022.

Reader.

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court o	t			
		1707		
		11596	/2024	
co No -		, ,	//(1/3	

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	10/09/2021	The appeal of Mr. Majid Ali presented today by Mr. Muhammad Aslam Tanoli Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up
2-		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for at Peshawar. Notice be issued to appellant/counsel for preliminary hearing to be put up there on OI III OI CHAIRMAN

BEFORE KHYBER PKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR CHECK LIST

Case Title: Mapid Ali vs KAR POJ19P & other

		,	-
S.#	Contents	Yes	No
1.	This appeal has been presented by: Morammed Aslam landi Advoc	uli	ĺ
	Whether Counsel / Appellant / Respondent / Deponent have signed the		1
2.	requisite documents?	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>	
3.	Whether Appeal is within time?	1/	
4.	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned?	~	
5.	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?		
6.	Whether affidavit is appended?		
7.	Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent oath commissioner?	1	
8.	Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?		/
0	Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the	./	
9.	subject, furnished?	1.5	
10.	Whether annexures are legible?	1	
11.	Whether annexures are attested?	-	
12.	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?		
13.	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to A.G/D.A.G?		
 14.	Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and	./	
14.	signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents?		
15.	Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct?	سلا	
16.	Whether appeal contains cuttings/overwriting?		1
17.	Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal?	1	
18.	Whether case relate to this Court?	1/	
19.	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?	V	
20.	Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover?		
21.	Whether addresses of parties given are complete?		
22.	Whether index filed?	V	
23.	Whether index is correct?	/	
24.	Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? on		
	Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974		
25.	Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent		
	to respondents? on	<u> </u>	
26.	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? on		
27.	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite party? on		

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled.

Name:

Signature:

Dated:

Mohammad Alaw Jand

09 -09-202)

BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 7392/21

Majid Ali (Ex-Constable No.351 Police Abbottqbad) R/O Sector No. 2. Khalabat Township, (KTS) Tehsil & District Haripur.

(Appellant).

VERSUS

- 1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Paktunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- 3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad

(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL

INDEX

\$/No	Description of Document	Ann- exure	Page No.
1.	Appeal.		01-08
2.	Charge Sheets & its reply	"A&B"	09-10
3.	Final Show Cause Notice & Its reply.	"C&D"	11-12
4.	Impugned Order dated 10-06-2021 of DPO	"E"	13 "
5.	Departure & Arrival report and FIR No.139	"F,G & H"	14-15
6.	Departmental Appeal dated 21-06-2021	uln	17-21
7.	Appeal Rejection Order dated 12-08-2021.	"J"	22
9.	Wakalatnama		

Through

(Mohammad Aslam Tanoli)
Advocate High Court

at Haripur

Dated: 10 -0**8**-2021

BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Appeal No..7.3.92.../.27

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunul

Dlary No. 7540

Dated 10/9/2021

Majid Ali (Ex-Constable No.351 Police Abbottqbad) R/O Sector No. 2. Khalabat Township, (KTS) Tehsil & District Haripur.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Paktunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- 3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad

(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST ORDER DATED 10-062021 OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER ABBOTTABAD WHEREBY THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN "DISMISSED FROM SERVICE" AND ORDER DATED 12-08-2021 OF THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER HAZARA REGION ABBOTTABAD WHEREBY APPELLANT'S DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL HAS BEEN FILED.

PRAYER: ON ACCEPTANCE OF INSTANT SERVICE APPEAL BOTH THE IMPUGNED ORDERS DATED 10-06-2021 AND 12-08-2021 OF THE RESPONDENTS MAY GRACIOUSLY BE SET ASIDE AND APPELLANT BE RE-INSTATED IN SERVICE FROM THE DATE OF DISMISSAL WITH ALL CONSEQUENTIAL SERVICE BACK BENEFITS.

Respectfully Sheweth:

Filedto-day
Registrar
10|9|2021

That appellant while posted as Constable Police Station Nawansher (Abbottabad) was served upon with a charge sheet dated 02-04-2021 by the District Police Officer Abbottabad which was replied on 12-04-2021 explaining all facts and circumstances of the matter and the allegations were denied. (Copies charge sheet and its reply are attached as Annex-"A & B").



- 2. That similarly thereafter the District Police Officer Abbottabad issued a final show cause notice dated 04-06-2021 with the same allegations which was also replied on 08-06-2021. The allegations were vehemently denied. (Copies of final show cause notice and its reply are attached as Annex-"C & D").
- 3. That proper departmental enquiry was not conducted. No witness was called by the so-called inquiry officer in the presence of appellant nor was he afforded to cross examine such a witness if any. Neither documentary evidence was produced against the appellant before the enquiry officer nor was he confronted with such documentary evidence. Copy of enquiry findings, if any, was also not issued to the appellant before serving final show cause notice. Even opportunity of personal hearing was not provided to appellant and he was condemned unheard thus principle of natural justice was seriously violated in his case.
- 4. That the District Police Officer Abbottabad without giving any consideration to the stance taken by appellant in his reply dismissed him from service vide order dated 10-06-2021 in a whimsical and cursory manner and that too without any reason, justification and proof. (Copy of dismissal order dated 10-06-2021 is as Annex-"E").
- 5. That in fact on 07-03-2021 at 18:55 hours, the appellant alongwith SHO & police party set out from Police Station Nawansher on his routine patrolling duty. When appellant alongwith police party present at Kund-Barrier-Road they

noticed a person trying slipping away on seeing the police. The person being suspected one was arrested and his body search was made. During search a 30 bore pistol alongwith 10 live cortèges were recovered for which accused could not produced any license etc. He disclosed his name as Hazrat Gul S/O Bahadar Gul R/O Kotkay Sokari Bannu. Murasila etc were prepared by SHO on the spot and sent to Police Station for registration of FIR through appellant. FIR No.139 dated 07-03-2021 U/S-15AA was registered against the accused. Accused was brought to the Police Station and confined in Lock-up. After Completing necessary proceedings the next day accused was produced before the Judicial Magistrate from where he was sent to jail on judicial remand. (Copies of Departure, Arrival reports and FIR are attached as Annex "F, G & H").

6. That it was not in the knowledge of police party that accused was also charged in a case u/s-302/34 dated 07-03-2021 at Bunnu district. It is totally incorrect, false and fabricated that appellant alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO. Accused was arrested from Kund-Barrier Road. It is totally incorrect that during preliminary inquiry planning of registration of bogus case or obtaining any Illegal gratification/bribe was ever proved. Nothing wrong has been proved against him. Appellant did all that was actually happened. Appellant did not know the accused, and had no previous relation or enmity etc with accused. Allegations



are baseless, false, fabricated and based on malafide having no nexus with truth.

- 7. That appellant has discharged his assigned duties with honesty. There is nothing wrong on his part. The extreme major punishment of dismissal from service awarded to the appellant is against the facts in whimsical and cursory manner, illegally and without lawful authority.
- 8. That afore mentioned order of the DPO Abbottabad was appealed against on 21-06-2021 before the Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad which was filed vide order dated 12-08-2021 and that too without giving any heed to the grounds taken by appellant in the memo of appeal. (Copies of departmental Appeal dated 21-06-2021 and order 12-08-2021 are attached as Annex-"1 & J"), hence instant service appeal, inter alia, on the following amongst other:-

GROUNDS:

- A) That orders dated 10-06-2021 and 12-08-2021 of respondents are illegal, unlawful, against the facts, departmental rules & regulation, passed in a cursory, whimsical and arbitrary manner; hence are liable to be set aside.
- B) That proper departmental enquiry was not conducted.

 No witness was called by the so-called inquiry officer in presence of appellant nor was he afforded to cross examine such a witness. Neither documentary evidence



was produced against the appellant nor was he confronted with such documentary evidence if any. Copy of enquiry findings, if any, was also not issued to the appellant before serving final show cause notice. Even opportunity of personal hearing was not provided to appellant and he was condemned unheard thus principle of natural justice was seriously violated in his case.

- C) That appellant had no knowledge that accused was also charged in a case u/s-302/34 dated 07-03-2021 at Bunnu District. Appellant did only that which had actually happened and discharged his duty honestly. Appellant did not know the accused, and had no previous relation or enmity etc with accused. It is totally incorrect that during preliminary inquiry planning of registration of bogus case or obtaining any illegal gratification/bribe was ever proved against appellant. Nothing wrong on the part of appellant was proved. Allegations are quite baseless, false and based on malafide having no nexus with truth.
- D) That appellant had no knowledge that accused was also charged in a case u/s-302/34 dated 07-03-2021 at Bunnu District. Appellant did only that which actually happened. Appellant did not know the accused, and had no previous relation or enmity etc with accused. It is totally incorrect that during preliminary inquiry planning of registration of bogus case or obtaining any illegal gratification/bribe was ever proved against appellant. Nothing wrong on the part of appellant was proved. Allegations are quite baseless, false, fabricated and based on malafide having no nexus with truth.

11



- E) That the appellate authority has also failed to abide by the law and even did not take into consideration the grounds taken in the memo of appeal. Thus the impugned order of appellate authority is contrary to the law as laid down in Police Rules read with section 24-A of General Clause Act 1897 and Article 10A of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- F) That instant service appeal is well within time and this honorable Service Tribunal has got every jurisdiction to entertain & adjudicate upon the lis.

PRAYER:

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant Service appeal order dated 10-06-2021 and 12-08-2021 of respondents may graciously be set aside and the appellant be reinstated in his service from the date of dismissal with all consequential service back benefits. Any other relief which this Honorable Tribunal deems fit and proper in circumstances of the case may also be granted.

Through:

(Mohammad Aslam Tanoli)
Advocate High Court
At Haripur

Appellant

Dated 10 -08-2021

VERIFICATION

It is verified that the contents of instant Service Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed thereof.

Dated 10-08-2021Appellant



BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Majid Ali (Ex-Constable No.351 Police Abbottabad) R/O Sector No. 2. Khalabat Township, (KTS) Tehsil & District Haripur.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Paktunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- 3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad

(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL

<u>AFFIDAVIT:</u>

I, Majid Ali, appellant do hereby solemnly declare and affirm on oath that the contents of the instant Service Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been suppressed from this Honorable Service Tribunal.

Deponent/Appellant

Dated://o -0**8**-2021

Identified By:

Mohammad Aslam Tanoli

Advocate High Court

At Haripur

OATH
COMMISSIONER
ATTESTED BY

Appellant



BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Majid Ali (Ex-Constable No.351 Police Abbottqbad) R/O Sector No. 2. Khalabat Township, (KTS) Tehsil & District Haripur.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Paktunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- 3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad

(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that no such Appeal on the subject has ever been filed in this Honorable Service Tribunal or any other court prior to instant one.

APPELLANT

Dated: 7 -08-2021



Annex_

I, Zahoor Babar Afridi (PSP) District Police Officer Abbottabad as Competent Authority of the opinion that you FC Majid No. 351 PS Nawansher rendered yourself liable to be proceeded against as you committed the following act/omission within the meaning of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014)!

STATEMENT OF THE ALLEGATIONS

- As per preliminary enquiry conducted by Addl: SP Abbottabad vide his office memo: No. 1317/PA dated 01-04-2021, you FC Majid No. 351 while posted at PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 19:35 hrs a fake/ bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 15AA was registered at PS Nawansher while on the same day at 17:15 hrs the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o . Kotkah Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 132 dated. 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that you alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/ bribe has been proved against you. All this shows your malafide intention, sever violation, a senior professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in term of police E&D Rules- 1975, hence charge sheeted.
- For the purpose of scrutinizing your conduct with reference to the 2). St, Inv. At is hereby appointed as Enquiry officer. above allegations,
- The Enquiry Officer shall in accordance with the provision of this Addition Within 25 days of the receipt of this order, recommendation as to punishment or the appropriate action against you. superintended appropriate action against you.

 4).

You are hereby directed to attend the proceedings on the due date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

District Police Officer **∉Abbottabad**

/PA, Dated Abbottabad the $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}$ /04/2021. Copy to:

- Enquiry Officer for initiating proceedings against the defaulter officer under provisions of the Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014) and submit findings within stipulated period.
- FC Majid No. 351 PS Nawansher (delinquent officer/ official). 2.

Page 2 of 2

Jul 16 2 1 3 0 d - 04 (Vist Pine Fin Port - Spil- City is leg 13 POC 130 MJ 0/1 19:35 mg Co 3 07 3 019 NO -1 rew it (1) à le stra Co metara Co 20 2 - 120 - 120 2 503 ما معروران جا مرت مروج من المعروب المعروب المعروب المعروب المرت المعروب المعرو win 20 3403- WW 0304 Vistille Just - Visit is Fire of the Supering Apportabil مر موسرا و المراد المراج مراه علما المرادس-39 (rie w Received wit 7-65 Culv 3 (W) 2. Costo Justicol Joseph Protocol ile bu will MESTED apos sur con Osto per Aniflaving on's م و المعالي ما و المعالي ما و المعالى ما و ا le cept e pos 313/3 sul se molan Elo live

RICT POLICE OFFICER. A

No: XI Z/PA, Dated Abbottabad, the 4 /06/2021 FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

(Unit Rule (3) KPK Police Rules, 1975 amended 2014)

1. That you FC Majid No. 351 rendered yourself liable to be proceeded under Rule 5 (3) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 (amended 2014) for following misconduct;

- As per preliminary enquiry conducted by Addl: SP Abbottabad vide his office memo: No. 1317/PA dated 01-04-2021, you FC Majid No. 351 while posted at PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 19:35 hrs a fake/ bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 15AA was registered at PS Nawansher while on the same day at 17:15 hrs the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 178 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that you alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/ bribe has been proved against you. All this shows your malafide intention, sever violation, a senior professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in term of police E&D Rules- 1975:-
- II. During proper departmental enquiry the allegations have been proved against you.
- 2. That by reason of above, as sufficient material is placed before the undersigned therefore it is decided to proceed against you in general Police proceedings without aid of enquiry officer;
- 3. That the misconduct on your part is prejudicial to good order of discipline in the Police force.
- 4. That your retention in the police force will amount to encouragement of inefficient Police officers;
- 5. That by taking cognizance of the matter under enquiry, the undersigned as competent authority under the said rules, proposes stern action against you by awarding one or more of the kind punishments as provided in the Rules.
- You are, therefore, called upon to Final Show Cause as to why you should not be dealt strictly in accordance with the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975(amended 2014) for the misconduct Superintender You should police Apply. You should

You should submit reply to this Final Show Cause Notice within 07 days of the receipt of the notice failing which an ex parte action shall be taken against you.

Abbottabad

- 8. You are further directed to inform the undersigned that you wish to be heard in person or not.
- Grounds of action are also enclosed with this notice.

Received by Dated

Annex_D objection DPO alexand 212/PA (Sur J) 16 3 Jile 19 - Ulje تَارِسُ الْعِلَى عَاسَلِ عَوْمَ لُولُسُ مِسْرِجَ مِنُوانِ مُوسُولُ عَمْنِ مِنْ الْمُعْرِفُولُ عَمْنِي حس عواسس زبل موص عرست بول ٥ بي هيك موج قيم 7 لوقت لويدًا 19135 بح بمل ديكر ليولس مالزمس ديور الماهنا معيالاً ما الاصان لوال الحرالات من رؤسل كسنت لا الولورها م كذر سير الورامات تحف مال میں ملاق موا جس زیر در است Ho SHo مالاس کی کی دور ال اسم تلاسى مذكرة محف سى من عدارسى كى دور 10 ماد كالرواد لوس مراكم بوك محف مركز ده اسام حصرت كل صلى ما دوگل الله كونكرسواوى مول سلاما جوهه عاصى رماسل رسب رك مرك ورع FIR كن سرعوالم كيا . وسي فام سناما . یم کمن ملازم به توکوفرسرااس ی میاه علای این اولی اور در عید سن مذكوره كوما و سے رسم كيا اور يہ ى كوك يولوكول حال اور يہ ى العامی فی الی کوی براست دی منی مراز کو کسی وهول رستوت بلي سي كرى على - فيم الراما ت عنظ ب سناواورس كودي س حسس كرى صداعت بن من سلام مي جوگوركيا - دمكيا ، نفرى ساس ليذا زام في بيرزور مزيد كريانول به الرس ملاخ كو سين طِف كاموقع ريا . تو في الزامات كو وعالى بيان 60,3 J Euly ble [5 استرهاميك ما من سوطار أو رس أو زير الواب دا قل رويز كسي حل اى Lo Chi Orlan

Annex-E

ORDER

This office order will dispose off the departmental enquiry against FC Majid No. 351. As per preliminary enquiry conducted by Addl: SP Abbottabad vide his office memo: No. 1317/PA dated 01-04-2021, he while posted at PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 19:35 hrs a fake/ bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 15AA was registered at PS Nawansher while on the same day at 17:15 hrs the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 178 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that he alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/ bribe has been proved against him. All this shows his malafide intention, sever violation, a senior professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in term of police E&D Rules- 1975.

"我们有在有效"的一个"

He was issued with Charge Sheet along with statement of allegations. SP Investigation Abbottabad was appointed as Enquiry Officer. He conducted proper departmental enquiry against the delinquent official and recorded statements of all concerned. After conducting proper departmental enquiry, the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings wherein he reported that the allegations level against delinquent official are proved correct. He was issued with Final Show Notice. He was summoned Orderly Room on 09-06-202

Agendenability thing plausible to state in his defence.

Police Abbottability thing plausible to state in his defence. appear in Orderly Room on 09-06-2021. He was given a patient hearing but he had

Therefore, in exercise of the powers vested in the undersigned Police Disciplinary Rules-1975 (Amended 2014), I, Zahoor Babar Afridi, PSP, District Police Officer, Abbottabad as a competent authority, am constrained to award him the punishment of **Dismissal form Service** with immediate effect.

Order announced.

OB No. <u>184</u>

Dated <u>16-6-1/</u>

Abbottabad

CC.

- 1. Pay Officer DPO Office.
- 2. SRC DPO Office.
- OHC DPO Office along with Enquiry containing (41) pages for 3. completion of record.

ملح وراباد فعادلوال حبر Annex-F روانکی فی آم ۱۲ ملا 35 من 18:55 من وقت 18:55 اس وقت وران کو ایمان می است می کی است می -Un by 6 360 نعل نطالی (حم 10,00-16-20:40-07/03 ASI (50) 100:40:00 10,00 10,00 10,00 10,000 13 por ps. 1.

of Ulijan c 08/03 3/19/19 Juste Anner G 200:10 cies 08/03 SHO 8 12/06/10 47 الماني المنها المانية المانية المراب المانية المراب المانية المرابية المراب كند سريم رود ير فو دور المار الل يرا من كالم وي كالم من كالم الله الم عافة المات 2,6 4,010 es 29062264- 13,2,130 كاولوس ول سكة كو لكرب ول سول شال الداليون Fubuipelle Sty را مرا لمراب المراب معرف ارسال لطه 151 5 8 1 15 Br. Lip Chi Jow Me July 2 151 6492/6100 de 1200 Con Colore Colore Colore Ultio for to the fe tested

Annex-H قارم نسر ۱۲ فه (۱) ابترافي اطلافي ريورث ابتدائي اطلاع أسبت جرم قابل وست النداز كاليس الركورث شده ويرد فعد ١٥١ مجموعه صالط فوجداد كي ام وكون اطلاع وعده منتفيث وسيل مناه الله عام وكون ~ lipt 6632 15 Und OBANTO PETO POSTA كادوا في يمثل المالك المالك المالك المالك المالك المعالم المعلى المعالم المعال こりられるとうしていることがははいっているとう 200 /5/ V 16 11 3 17 W351 DE CON BOUNDE -(659450 L) 1500 ttested

Anne - 9

BEFORE HONOURABLE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER HAZARA REGION ABBOTTABAD.

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST ORDER OB NO. 184 DATED 10-06-2021 OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER ABBOTTABAD WHEREBY APPELLANT HAS BEEN DISMISSED FROM SERVICE".

PRAYER: ON ACCEPTANCE OF INSTANT DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL ORDER DATED 10-06-2021 OF THE DPO ABBOTTABAD MAY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND APPELLANT BE RE-INSTATED IN SERVICE FROM THE DATE OF DISMISSAL WITH ALL CONSEQUENTIAL SERVICE BACK BENEFITS.

Respected Sir,

The following few lines are submitted for your kind consideration and favorable order please:-

- 1. That appellant while posted as Constable at Police Station Nawansher (Abbottabad) was issued with a charge sheet by the District Police Officer Abbott bad vide No. 129/PA dated 02-04-2021. (Copy of charge sheet dated 02-04-2021 is attached as "A").
- 2. That the above mentioned charge sheet was duly replied on -0.4-2021 stating all facts and circumstances of the matter straightaway denying the allegations included therein. (Copy of reply dated -04-2021 is attached as "B").
- 3. That thereafter appellant was issued with a final show cause notice dated 04-06-2021 by the District Police Officer Apportabad with the same allegations as

Hetel

previously. (Copy of final show cause notice dated 04-06-2021 is attached as "C").

- 4. That the said final show cause notice was replied on 08-06-2021 explaining all facts and circumstances and denying the allegations mentioned therein. (Copy of reply dated 08-06-2021 is attached as "D").
- Neither any witness was called by the so-called inquiry officer in the presence of appellant nor was he afforded with opportunity to cross examine such a witness if any. Neither any documentary evidence was produced before enquiry officer nor was appellant confronted with such a documentary evidence, if any. Copy of enquiry findings, if any, was not issued to the appellant before serving final show cause notice. Even opportunity of personal hearing was not provided to the appellant and condemned unheard thus principle of natural justice was seriously violated in the case of appellant.
- 6. That thereafter the District Police Officer Abbottabad without giving any consideration to the stance taken in reply as referred above and without any reason, justification and proof, in a whimsical and cursory manner awarded the appellant with major penalty of dismissal from service vide order dated 10-06-2021. (Copy of dismissal order dated 10-06-2021 is as "E").

And and And

Hested



7.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

- That in fact on 07-03-2021 at 18:55 hours, the appellant alongwith SHO & police party set out from Police Station Nawansher on his routine patrolling duty. When appellant alongwith police party present at Kund-Barrier-Road they noticed a person trying slipping away on seeing the police. The person being suspected one was arrested and his body search was made. During search a 30 bore pistol alongwith 10 live cortèges were recovered for which accused could not produced any license etc. He disclosed his name as Hazrat Gul S/O Bahadar Gul R/O Kotkay Sokari Bannu. Murasila etc were prepared by SHO on the spot and sent to Police Station for registration of FIR through appellant. FIR No.139 dated 07-03-2021 U/S-15AA was registered against the accused. Accused was brought to the Police Station and confined in Lock-up. After Completing necessary proceedings the next day accused was produced before the Judicial Magistrate from where he was sent to jail on judicial remand. (Copies of Departure, Arrival reports and FIR are attached as "F. G & H").
- 8. That it was not in the knowledge of appellant that accused was also charged in a case u/s-392/34 dated 07-03-2021 at Bunnu district. It is totally incorrect, false and fabricated that appellant alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached Khokhar Maira interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO

Page 2 of 2

(20)

Accused was arrested from Kund-Barrier Road. It is totally incorrect that during preliminary inquiry planning of registration of bogus case or obtaining any Illegal gratification/bribe was ever proved. Nothing wrong has been proved against him. Appellant did all that was actually happened. Appellant did not know the accused, and had no previous relation or enmity etc with accused. Allegations are baseless, false, fabricated and based on malafide having no nexus with truth.

- 9. That appellant has discharged his assigned duties with zeal, zest, devotion, dedication and honesty. There is nothing wrong on his part. The extreme major punishment of dismissal from service awarded to the appellant is wrong, against the facts in whimsical and cursory manner, illegally and without lawful authority hence liable to be set aside.
 - 10. That appellant has rendered more that !. 2. years service and always performed his duties with devotion, dedication and honesty and never provided a chance of reprimand to his officers and having good service record at his credit.
 - 11. That if the appellant is afforded with the opportunity of personal hearing he will really prove herself as innocent by adducing credible facts of the matter.

The same state and the same state of the same st

Affested



It is, therefore, requested that order dated 10-06-2021 of the District Police Officer Abbottabad may graciously be set aside and the appellant be re-instated in his service from the date of dismissal with all consequential service back benefits. The appellant shall pray for your long life and good health.

Your Obedient Servant

(Majid Ali)

Ex-Constable No. 351 District Police Haripur

ADDRESS: Village: Sector No. 2,

Khalabat Township (KTS)

Haripur

Cell No. 0344-7555612

Dated: 21-04-2021



OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER HAZARA REGION, ABBOTTABAD

0992-9310021-22

9992-9310023

r.rpohazara@gmail.com

0345-9560687

NO: 18560 /PA DATED 12 / 08/2021

ORDER

This order will dispose off departmental appeal under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 submitted by Ex. Constable Majid Ali No.351 of District Abbottabad against the order of punishment i.e. Dismissal from service awarded by DPO Abbottabad vide OB No.184 dated 10.06.2021.

Brief facts leading to the punishment are that the appellant while posted as constable at PS Nawansher registered a fake/bogus case vide FIR No.139 dated 07.03.2021 u/s 15AA PS Nawansher while on the same day the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkha Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No.178 dated 07.03.2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that the appellant along with IHC Shehzad Shah reached Kokhar Maira interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/bribe was proved against him.

The appellant was issued charge sheet along with summary of allegations and SP. Investigation, Abbottabad was deputed to conduct formal departmental enquiry. The EO after enquiry proceedings held him responsible of misconduct and recommended for major punishment. He was issued final show cause notice and heard in person by the competent authority; however he failed to advance any cogent reason in his defense. Consequently, DPO Abbottabad awarded him major punishment of dismissal from service. Hence, the appellant submitted this present appeal.

After receiving his appeal, comments of DPO Abbottabad were sought and examined/perused. The undersigned called the appellant in OR and heard him in person. However, he could not bring forward any evidence or plausible justification in his defense. Thus, the disciplinary action taken by the competent authority seems genuine and appeal liable to be dismissed. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred upon the undersigned under Rule 11-4 (a) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 the instant appeal is hereby filed with immediate effect.

Mirvais Niaz (PSP)

REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER HAZARA REGION, ABBOTTABAD

/PA, dated Abbottabad the

CC.

1. DPO Abbottabad for information and necessary action with reference to his office Memo No 1644/Legal dated 12-07-2021. Service Roll and Fuji Missal containing enquiry file of the appellant is returned herewith for record.



BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR CAMP COURT, ABBOTTABAD.

SERVICE APPEAL No. 7392/2021.

Majid Ali (Ex-Constable No. 351 Police, Abbottabad) R/O Sector No. 02, Khalabat Township, (KTS) Tehsil & District Haripur.

.....Appellant.

VERSUS

- 1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- 3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

....Respondents.

Para wise comments on behalf of Respondents.

INDEX.

Detail of Documents	Annexure	Page No.
Reply	-	1 to 3
Affidavit	-	4
Charge sheet, statement of allegations.	"A & A-1"	5 & 6
Order No. 184 Dated 10.06.2021	"B"	7
Order No. 18560/ PA dated 12.08.2021	"C"	8
TOTAL	-	8 Pages
	Affidavit Charge sheet, statement of allegations. Order No. 184 Dated 10.06.2021 Order No. 18560/ PA dated 12.08.2021	Reply Affidavit Charge sheet, statement of allegations. "A & A-1" Order No. 184 Dated 10.06.2021 "B" Order No. 18560/ PA dated 12.08.2021 "C"

DSP Legal, Abbottabad.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

SERVICE APPEAL No. 7392/2021

Majid Ali (Ex-Çonstable No. 351 Police, Abbottabad) R/O Sector No. 02, Khalabat Township, (KTS) Tehsil & District Haripur.

.....Appellant.

VERSUS

- 1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- 3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

....Respondents.

Para-wise comments by respondents.

Respectfully Sheweth

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-

- 1. That the instant Service Appeal is not maintainable in the present form.
- 2. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
- 3. That the appellant has not come to the Hon'ble Tribunal with clean hands.
- 4. That the appellant has suppressed material facts from the Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 5. That the instant Service Appeal is not maintainable for non-joinder/miss-joinder of necessary and proper parties.
- 6. That the instant Service Appeal is barred by law and limitation.

ON FACTS:-

- 1. In reply to this para it is submitted that the appellant while posted in Police Station Nawanshehr a fake case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07.03.2021 u/s 155 AA KPK, PS Nawanshehr was registered against the accused Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari, District Bannu, who was also charged in case FIR No. 178 dated 07.03.2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC, PS Cantt, District Bannu. Reportedly, the appellant alongwith Head Constable Shahzad Shah No. 756 reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of the PS Nawanshehr. Therefore, the appellant was issued charge sheet and statement of allegations vide District Police Officer, Abbottabad office No. 1207/PA Dated 02.04.2021. (Copies of charge sheet and statement of allegations are attached as Annexure "A & A-1").
- 2. In reply to this para, it is submitted that the appellant could not give satisfactory reply in response to the charge sheet.

- 3. In reply to this para, it is submitted that proper departmental enquiry was conducted. The appellant joined the enquiry proceedings and all legal and codal requirements were fulfilled during the course of inquiry.
- 4. Incorrect, respondent No. 03 took into consideration all facts, circumstances and relevant evidence which proved the misconduct of the appellant. Consequently, the appellant was awarded major punishment of dismissal from Service vide OB No. 184 dated 10.06.2021. (Copy of order is attached as Annexure "B").
- 5. Incorrect. As explained in para No. 01 above.
- 6. In reply to this para, it is submitted that during fact finding inquiry conducted by the Addl SP Abbottabad upon the registration of fake case registered against the accused of heinous offence at District Abbottabad by the SHO, PS Nawanshehr, it came to the surface that appellant alongwith HC Shahzad Shah No. 756 reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO, while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of the PS Nawanshehr. Therefore, upon charges of misconduct, appellant was awarded the major punishment of dismissal from Service.
- 7. Incorrect, as explained in preceding para.
- 8. Incorrect, the appellant filed departmental appeal against the order of punishment to the respondent No. 02, who considered the same and dismissed vide order No. 18560/PA dated 12.08.2021. (Copy of order is attached as the annexure "C").

ON GROUNDS:-

- a. Incorrect, both the orders are legal and in accordance with law/rules hence, liable to be remained intact.
- b. Incorrect, proper departmental inquiry was conducted by the respondents. The appellant joined the enquiry proceedings. He was given the right of personal hearing and self-defense. Having fulfilled all legal requirements the appellant was awarded the major punishment of Dismissal from Service.

- c. Incorrect, that appellant alongwith HC Shahzad Shah No. 756 reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused already charged in criminal case at District Bannu in protocol under the direction of SHO who registered a fake case against the accused in this district. Therefore, the matter was thoroughly probed and appellant was held guilty of gross misconduct.
- d. In reply to this para, it is submitted that the appellate authority took into consideration all facts, circumstances and relevant evidence, while rejecting the departmental appeal of the appellant. Therefore, the order of punishment is lawful and maintainable.
- f. Incorrect, the instant service appeal is badly time barred and not maintainable under the law.

PRAYER.

In view of above, it is most humbly prayed that the instant service appeal does not hold any legal force which may graciously be dismissed with costs please.

> Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(Respondent Np.1)

Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad (Respondent No.2)

> District Pólice Officer, Abbottabad.

(Respondent No. 3)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE PESHAWAR CAMP COURT, ABBOTTABAD.

SERVICE APPEAL No. 7392/2021

Majid Ali (Ex-Constable No. 351 Police, Abbottabad) R/O Sector No. 02, Khalabat Township, (KTS) Tehsil & District Haripur.

.....Appellant.

VERSUS

- Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

.....Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT.

We, do hereby affirm on oath that the contents of written reply are true to the best of our knowledge & belief and nothing has been concealed from the honorable Service Tribunal.

Submitted please.

17/05/2022 ocate High Cour

Provincial Rolice Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

(Respondent No.1)

Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad (Respondent No.2)

> folice Officer. Abbottabad. (Respondent No. 3)

5 - Ann ure A.

CHARGE SHEET

- 1). I, Zahoor Babar Afridi (PSP) District Police Officer Abbottabad as competent authority hereby charge you <u>FC Majid No. 351 PS Nawansher</u> as explained in the attached statement of allegations.
- 2). You appear to be guilty of misconduct under Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014) and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in the said Police Disciplinary Rules.
- 3). You are therefore, directed to submit your written defense within seven days on the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer.
- 4). Your written defense, if any shall reach the Enquiry Officer with in the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and in that case ex-parte action shall follow against you.
- 5). Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person or otherwise.
- 6). A statement of allegations is enclosed.

(Zahoor Babar Afridi) PSP District Police Officer Abbottabad

Annex_A.1

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

Zahoor Babar Afridi (PSP) District Police Officer Abbottabad as Competent Authority of the opinion that you FC Majid No. 351 PS Nawansher rendered yourself liable to be proceeded against as you committed the following act/omission within the meaning of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014)!

STATEMENT OF THE ALLEGATIONS

As per preliminary enquiry conducted by Addl: SP Abbottabad vide his office memo: No. 1317/PA dated 01-04-2021, you FC Majid No. 351 while posted at PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 19:35 hrs a fake/ bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 15AA was registered at PS Nawansher while on the same day at 17:15 hrs the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 188 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that you alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/ bribe has been proved against you. All this shows your malafide intention, sever violation, a senior professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in term of police E&D Rules- 1975, hence charge sheeted.

For the purpose of scrutinizing your conduct with reference to the 2). above allegations, Shind At is hereby appointed as Enquiry officer.

The Enquiry Officer shall in accordance with the provision of this superinted Abborra against you. superintenuotidappropriate action against you.

4).

time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

District Police Officer **Abbottabad**

/PA, Dated Abbottabad the 2/04/2021 Copy to:

Enquiry Officer for initiating proceedings against the defaulter officer under provisions of the Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014) and submit findings within stipulated period.

FC Majid No. 351 PS Nawansher (delinquent officer/ official).

ORDER

This office order will dispose off the departmental enquiry against FC Maiid No. 351. As per preliminary enquiry conducted by Addl: SP Abbottabad vide his office memo: No. 1317/PA dated 01-04-2021, he while posted at PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 19:35 hrs a fake/ bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 15AA was registered at PS Nawansher while on the same day at 17:15 hrs the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 178 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that he alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/ bribe has been proved against him. All this shows his malafide intention, sever violation, a senior professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in term of police E&D Rules- 1975.

He was issued with Charge Sheet along with statement of allegations. SP Investigation Abbottabad was appointed as Enquiry Officer. He conducted proper departmental enquiry against the delinquent official and recorded statements of all concerned. After conducting proper departmental enquiry, the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings wherein he reported that the allegations level against delinquent official are proved correct. He was issued with Final Show Notice. He was summoned Adender thing plausible to state in his defence. so appear in Orderly Room on 09-06-2021. He was given a patient hearing but he had

Therefore, in exercise of the powers vested in the undersigned Police Disciplinary Rules-1975 (Amended 2014), I, Zahoor Babar Afridi, PSP, District Police Officer, Abbottabad as a competent authority, am constrained to award him the punishment of Dismissal form Service with immediate effect.

Order announced.

10-6-21 Dated

2Abbottabad

CC.

- Pay Officer DPO Office.
- 2. SRC DPO Office.
- OHC DPO Office alongwith Enquiry containing (41) pages for · 3. completion of record.



OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER HAZARA REGION, ABBOTTABAD 0992-9310021-22

0992-9310023

💆 r.rpohazara@gmail.com **345-9560687** DATED 12 / 08/2021

NO: 18560 /PA

This order will dispose off departmental appeal under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa ORDER Police Rules, 1975 submitted by Ex. Constable Majid Ali No.351 of District Abbottabad against the order of punishment i.e. Dismissal from service awarded by DPO Abbottabad vide OB No.184 dated 10.06.2021

Brief facts leading to the punishment are that the appellant while posted as constable at PS Nawansher registered a fake/bogus case vide FIR No.139 dated 07.03.2021 u/s 15AA PS Nawansher while on the same day the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkha Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No.178 dated 07,03.2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that the appellant along with IHC Shehzad Shah reached Kokhar Maira interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of jurisdiction of PS Nawansher, Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/bribe was proved against him.

The appellant was issued charge sheet along with summary of allegations and SP Investigation, Abbottabad was deputed to conduct formal departmental enquiry. The EO after enquiry proceedings held him responsible of misconduct and recommended for major punishment. He was issued final show cause notice and heard in person by the competent authority; however he failed to advance any cogent reason in his defense. Consequently, DPO Abbottabad awarded him major punishment of dismissal from service. Hence, the appellant submitted this present appeal.

After receiving his appeal, comments of DPO Abbottabad were sought and examined/perused. The undersigned called the appellant in OR and heard him in person. However, he could not bring forward any evidence or plausible justification in his defense. Thus, the disciplinary action taken by the competent authority seems genuine and appeal liable to be dismissed. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred upon the undersigned under Rule 11-4 (a) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 the instant appeal is hereby filed with immediate effect.

Mirvais Niaz (PSP) REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER HAZARA REGION, ABBOTTABAD

No. 1856/ /PA, dated Abbottabad the

1. DPC Abbottabad for information and necessary action with reference to his office Memo No 1644/Legal dated 12-07-2021. Service Roll and Fuji Missal containing enquiry file of the appellant is returned herewith for record

No: 2/2/PA, Dated Abbottabad, the 04/06/2021.

GROUNDS OF ACTION

That you FC Majid No. 351, committed following misconduct:-

- As per preliminary enquiry conducted by Addl: SP Abbottabad vide his office memo: I. No. 1317/PA dated 01-04-2021, you FC Majid No. 351 while posted at PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 19:35 hrs a fake/ bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 15AA was registered at PS Nawansher while on the same day at 17:15 hrs the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 178 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that you alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/ bribe has been proved against you. All this shows your malafide intention, sever violation, a senior professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in term of police E&D Rules-1975:-
- II. During proper departmental enquiry the allegations have been proved against you.

By reasons of above you have rendered yourself liable to be proceeded under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 (amended 2014), hence these grounds of action.

District Police Officer Abbottabad

1-9

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, ABBOTTABAD

No: 2/2/PA, Dated Abbottabad, the 4/06/2021.

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

(Unit Rule (3) KPK Police Rules, 1975 amended 2014)

- 1. That you <u>FC Majid No. 351</u> rendered yourself liable to be proceeded under Rule 5 (3) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 (amended 2014) for following misconduct;
 - I. As per preliminary enquiry conducted by Addl: SP Abbottabad vide his office memo: No. 1317/PA dated 01-04-2021, you FC Majid No. 351 while posted at PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 19:35 hrs-a fake/ bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 15AA was registered at PS Nawansher while on the same day at 17:15 hrs the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 178 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that you alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/ bribe has been proved against you. All this shows your malafide intention, sever violation, a senior professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in term of police E&D Rules-1975:-
 - II. During proper departmental enquiry the allegations have been proved against you.
- 2. That by reason of above, as sufficient material is placed before the undersigned therefore it is decided to proceed against you in general Police proceedings without aid of enquiry officer;
- 3. That the misconduct on your part is prejudicial to good order of discipline in the Police force.
- 4. That your retention in the police force will amount to encouragement of inefficient Police officers;
- 5. That by taking cognizance of the matter under enquiry, the undersigned as competent authority under the said rules, proposes stern action against you by awarding one or more of the kind punishments as provided in the Rules.
- 6. You are, therefore, called upon to Final Show Cause as to why you should not be dealt strictly in accordance with the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975(amended 2014) for the misconduct referred to above.
- 7. You should submit reply to this Final Show Cause Notice within 07 days of the receipt of the notice failing which an ex parte action shall be taken against you.
- 8. You are further directed to inform the undersigned that you wish to be heard in person or not.
- 9. Grounds of action are also enclosed with this notice.

District Police Officer Abbottabad

Received by

ARTMENTAL ENUIRY AGAINST FC MAJID NO. 351 THE THEN GD PS NAWANSHER DISTRICT ABBOTTABAD.

INDEX

	DETAIL OF PAPERS	PAGE NO.	REMARKS	
1.	Enquiry report along with covering letter.	1-6	Certified that this Enquiry Report	
2.	Charge Sheet & Summary of allegations issued by W/DPO ATD	7-8 	comprises of 31	
3.	Reply of Charge Sheet of delinquent official along with other	09-13	pages having no	
4.	documents. Statements of MHC Waseem Ayub No. 1217, HC / AMHC Muhammad Niaz No. 1456, LHC/MM Nadeem Qadir No. 1267, FC Zeeshan No. 740, FC Sheraz No. 1132 and FC Nazar Muhammad No. 183 Control Room, Abbottabad.	12-29	cutting / over	
5.	Copy of FIRs PS Cantt District Bannu and PS Nawansher District Abbottabad.	30-31	index.	
	Total	31	Spinvestigation, Abbottabad. Superintendent of I	Poli



Office of the Superintendent of Police, Investigation Abbottabad.

PA/Inv: dated Abbottabad the, 03/06/2021

To: .

The

District Police Officer,

Abbottabad.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST FC MAJID NO. 351 THE THEN GD PS NAWANSHER DISTRICT

ABBOTTABAD.

Memo:

Kindly refer to your good office Endst No: 129/PA dated 02-04-2021 on the subject cited above.

Enclosed kindly find herewith departmental enquiry report along with enclosures in respect of FC Majid No. 351 the then GD PS Nawansher, for favour of kind perusal please.

> (Muhammad Ishtiaq) Superintendent of Police, Investigation, Abbottabad.

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST FC MAJID NO. 351 THE THEN GD PS NAWANSHER PRESENTLY POSTED IN POLICE LINES DISTRICT ABBOTTABAD.

ALLEGATIONS:-

Vide charge sheet & summary of allegations No: 129/PA dated 02-04-2021 issued by your good office for the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of FC Majid No. 351 with the allegations that "while he was posted as GD PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 1935 hours a fake / bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated: 07-03-2021 u/s 15-AA / KPK PS Nawansher. While on the same day at 1715 hours the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadur Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Banu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 178 dated: 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt District Banu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very far away districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that you along with IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Kokhar Mera Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO, while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. During preliminary enquiry the allegation of pre-planning in registering bogus case and obtaining a illegal gratification / bribe has been proved, which tantamount to gross misconduct". The undersigned was appointed as enquiry officer.

PROCEEDINGS:-

After the receipt of enquiry papers the record was thoroughly examined and the delinquent Official was called upon / summoned, heard in person, ample opportunity was given to him and a session of cross question was also made. The statements of the following were recorded which are placed on file.

- 1. HC Waseem Ayub MHC PS Nawansher.
- 2. LHC Nadeem Qadir MM PS Nawansher.
- 3. HC Muhammad Niaz AMHC PS Nawansher.
- 4. Constable Nazar Muhammad No. 183 Control Room Police Lines.
- 5. Constable Zeeshan No. 740 GD PS Nawansher.
- 6. Constable Sheraz No. 1132 GD PS Nawansher.



1. STATEMENT OF DELINQUENT OFFICIAL FC Majid No. 351

The delinquent official stated in his statement that on 7-03-2021 at 1855 hours he along with SHO and police party was present on routine patrolling near Kund barrier road, meanwhile on seeing police party a person tried to decamp from the spot. Being suspect police party arrest him and during his body search recovered one 30-bore pistol along with 10 cartridges from his possession. He disclosed his name as Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadur Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Banu. After arresting the accused SHO PS Nawansher prepared Marasla for registration of FIR and handed over to me along with accused. He further stated that the allegations leveled against him are based on personnel enmity, fake and baseless. He has no role / concern with the registration of said FIR, it is totally wrong to say that he along with IHC shahzad No. 756 went to Khokhar Mera interchange to received the said accused or giving him any protocol. He is totally unaware regarding taking bribe or any pre-paining. He further prayed to file the charge Sheet.

2. STATEMENT OF HC WASEEM AYUB MHC PS NAWANSHER

He stated in his statement that on 07-03-2021 due to an emergency he went to his home on the permission of SHO. When he returned back a case vide FIR No. 139 dated: 07-03-2021 u/s 15-AA / KPK PS Nawansher was already registered. He did not know any information about the incident.

3. STATEMENT OF LHC NADEEM OADIR MM PS NAWANSHER.

He stated in his statement that on 07-03-221 he along with additional Moharrar HC Muhammad Niaz was present in the Moharrar office. SHO ordered him to keep pending the Roznamcha as he has to registered an FIR. On the order of SHO he kept the Roznamcha pending. On 08-03-2021 at about 4:30am or 05:0am while he was sleeping SHO gave him a Marasla and directed to chalked the confinement of involved accused and also register the FIR. He brought into the notice of SHO that Control Room does not write daily progress after 12 O'clock. On this SHO personally informed the control room through his mobile phone that he has to register an FIR u/s 15-AA and asked him to add the said case in morning report. Operator Gulfam posted at Control Room informed the SHO that operator Nazar Shah has been slept, he will brought into his notice and he will add the said case in the morning. On the order of SHO he has chalked the FIR vide No. 139 dated: 07-03-2021 u/s 15-AA / KPK PS Nawansher.

6

4. STATEMENT OF HC MUHAMMAD NIAZ AMHC PS NAWANSHER

He stated in his statement that on 07-03-2021 while he was present in Moharrar office. At evening time SHO came to Moharrar office and asked him to keep the Roznamcha pending as he has to register an FIR. He remained present in the office of Moharrar office till 12:00am and after conveying the whole FIRs of day to Control Room he went to sleep in his room. After him MM LHC Nadeem Qadir was present on duty in Moharrar office. The said case was not registered in his presence.

5. STATEMENT OF CONSTABLE NAZAR MUHAMMAD NO. 183 CONTROL ROOM POLICE LINES.

He stated in his statement that on 07-03-2021 while he was receiving the information regarding registration of cases in different police station of District Abbottabad. At about 11:30 pm additional Moharrar PS Nawansher conveyed him the details of cases from FIR No. 135 to 138. On 08-03-2021 at 07:30am LHC Nadeem Qadir MM PS Nawansher conveyed the details of FIR No. 139 dated: 08-3-2021 u/s 15-AA /KPK PS Nawasher.

6. <u>STATEMENT OF CONSTABLE ZEESHAN NO. 740 GD PS NAWANSHER.</u>

He stated in his statement that on the day of occurrence he was present at Ghari Phana Chowk Nawansher on routine patrolling along with SHO PS Nawansher, IHC Shahzad Shah, FC Majid and FC Sheraz No. 1332. In the meanwhile he received a mobile call from his brother that his father was ill and his brother brought him to hospital. He brought the said information into the notice of SHO and after obtaining permission proceeded towards the hospital.

7. STATEMENT OF CONSTABLE SHERAZ NO. 1132 GD PS NAWANSHER.

He stated in his statement that at the day of occurrence he was present at Ghari Phana Chowk Nawansher on routine patrolling along with SHO PS Nawansher, IHC Shahzad Shah, FC Majid No. 351 and FC Zeeshan No. 740. Due to an emergency after obtaining permission from SHO he went to his home.

OBSERVATIONS

During the course of enquiry the undersigned has observed the following facts: -

1. During cross question session the witness of the "Fard" Constable Sheraz No. 1132 stated that his name was included

in the "Fard" but neither he was present on the spot nor he has signed on the "Fard".

- 2. The witnesses of the "Fard" did not verify their presence in the Marasla.
- 3. CDR of all the relevant person was collected in previous preliminary enquiry which revealed that IHC Shahzad Shah, Constable Majid, Gunner SHO Faisal Shah reached to the Kokhar Maira Interchange as indicated in the CDR, which clearly indicates that he received the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO from Kokhar Maira Interchange (out of jurisdiction of PS Nawansher). Similarly, several suspect numbers are also found in the CDR of SHO Faisal Shah and his gunner.
- 4. Similarly, During the proceeding of previous preliminary enquiry in cross questioning IHC Shahzad Shah confessed that he has visited to Kokhar Maira Interchange at the day of occurrence on 08-03-2021 which is also found suspicious and proves that IHC Shahzad Shah and Constable Majid (Gunner) received accused from Muslimabad Interchange.
- 5. As the accused Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadur Gul belongs to a far away district Bannu and his sudden arrival in District Abbottabad is also a question mark
- 6. The arrest point of the accused was showed in FIR is Kund Barrier, Thandiani Road which was cross checked with CDR of all Police personnel including SHO, which is not found correct.
- 7. The arrival / departure of SHO Faisal Ali Shah in Daily Roznamcha were check and found that although SHO has mentioned about the incident i.e. recovery of Arms & Ammunition etc but he did not mentioned to whom he handed over the recovered arm & ammunition. Similarly, Moharrar was also unaware about the entire episode.
- 8. It is also astonishing that initially a person made confined himself in PS lockup u/s 15 AA/KPK, as the said offence is bail able the accused despite of making arrangements for guarantors in respect of his bail, he preferred to go in judicial remand. It is also a question mark.
- 9. Statement of LHC Nadeem Qaider No.1267 (Muharrir staff) revealed that SHO told them to keep the Roznamcha pending and after it he was directed to register case on Murasala report on 08-03-2021 at round about 04:00/05:00 Am. Meant

10. It is also surprising that how two different FIRs are lodged against the same accused on the same date and time in two far away districts.

RECOMMENDATION: -

Keeping in view of above facts & circumstances and as per statements of concerned officials all the allegations leveled against FC Majid No.351, the then GD PS Nawansher are stand proved. As on the direction of SHO he with the help of IHC Shahzad Shah No. 756 are directly found guilty in preplanning the matter with accused Hazrat Gul, who was charged in Murder case in FIR No.178 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt District Bannu and the accused Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadur Gul tried to established alibi with the help of SHO, IHC Shahzad Shah and Constable Majid in registering bogus case vide FIR No.139 u/s 15AA dated 07-03-2021 PS Nawansher by some unknown means in order to damage the Murder case registered in District Bannu. Hence as per the statements of the officials, CDR analysis, record of the police station and cancellation of the case proved him guilty. Therefore, keeping in view of above Constable Majid No. 351 (delinquent Official) is hereby recommended for Major Punishment for creating embracement for the Department for some ulterior motives.

Submitted please.

(MUHAMMAD ISHTIAQ)

Superintendent of Police, Investigation, Abbottabad.

I save Established

CHARGE SHEET

- 1). I, Zahoor Babar Afridi (PSP) District Police Officer Abbottabad as competent authority hereby charge you <u>FC Majid No. 351 PS Nawansher</u> as explained in the attached statement of allegations.
- 2). You appear to be guilty of misconduct under Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014) and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in the said Police Disciplinary Rules.
- 3). You are therefore, directed to submit your written defense within seven days on the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer.
- 4). Your written defense, if any shall reach the Enquiry Officer with in the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and in that case ex-parte action shall follow against you.
- 5). Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person or otherwise.
- 6). A statement of allegations is enclosed.

(Zahoor Babar Afridi) PSP District Police Officer Abbottabad

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

I, Zahoor Babar Afridi (PSP) District Police Officer Abbottabad as Competent Authority of the opinion that you <u>FC Majid No. 351 PS Nawansher</u> rendered yourself liable to be proceeded against as you committed the following act/omission within the meaning of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014)!

STATEMENT OF THE ALLEGATIONS

- As per preliminary enquiry conducted by Addl: SP Abbottabad vide his office memo: No. 1317/PA dated 01-04-2021, you FC Majid No. 351 while posted at PS Nawansher, on 07-03-2021 at 19:35 hrs a fake/ bogus case vide FIR No. 139 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 15AA was registered at PS Nawansher while on the same day at 17:15 hrs the same accused namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadar Gul r/o Kotkah Sokari Bannu was also charged in murder case vide FIR No. 138 dated 07-03-2021 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt district Bannu, how is it possible that the accused is charged in two FIRs at the same time and date in two very a faraway districts. During cross examination it came to the surface that you alongwith IHC Shahzad Shah reached at Khokhar Maira Interchange to receive the accused in protocol under the direction of SHO while the interchange is out of the jurisdiction of PS Nawansher. Furthermore, the allegations of preplanning in registering bogus case and obtaining of illegal gratification/ bribe has been proved against you. All this shows your malafide intention, sever violation, a senior professional dishonesty and gross misconduct in term of police E&D Rules- 1975, hence charge sheeted.
- 2). For the purpose of scrutinizing your conduct with reference to the above allegations, Ship. At 3 is hereby appointed as Enquiry officer.
- 3). The Enquiry Officer shall in accordance with the provision of this ordinance, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to you, record finding and make within 25 days of the receipt of this order, recommendation as to punishment or the appropriate action against you.
- 4). You are hereby directed to attend the proceedings on the due date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

District Police Officer

Abbottabad

No: 129 /PA, Dated Abbottabad the 2/04 /2021.

2.

- 1. Enquiry Officer for initiating proceedings against the defaulter officer under provisions of the Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014) and submit findings within stipulated period.
 - FC Majid No. 351 PS Nawansher (delinquent officer/ official).

//

15AA , 139/2, 200 , 200 / 200 xxx 2 (20 5) ور المرافع المرافع الموروس المرافع الم Wiles since year to with it is وال ١٠ ماز حفرت على كوفرزة والمحافظ كوثن بسريدك ندارك عارفا in oli stim 140 ole v, Zole & Co SHO - 1 + 3 in . XXX. جواب، مني جي Up per con com with 2 2 cos co coin . 1 - 13 الله إ. دوران طاعا لله بني ملئم سا ته كالمشاء ميرا ما موسي Min Colo Clu - 1466 William on 6.3.3 2 cm . 1-13 (Lughty color ces of lows out & red fine : XXX & Bos of END the min Eighborne . 1 XXX 0,5,0 we per of ibilion of SHO ENDIS (2) .!- 12. ر برزر دو ایک اس اسواسای و بای از کون سے ا · 68 0321-5865549 Ne Hug Col - 1-13 ple best w 2 pln (c) les à - 1 e (1) 1 w blin - 1.1 XXX 1 e 20, w e l som 6 child les vir is 20, 1.1.1.2 · Le 2 per ATTESTED 351 della

ابتدائی اطلاع ریده ۱۵۴ می ایندانی اطلاعی ریدوند ۱۵۴ مجور ما با در در دوند ۱۵۴ مجور ما بطر و جداری فارم نمبر۲۲ ۵(۱) 2191623573021 20:40 237/20 نام و مكنت اطلاع ديم متنيث دسيط الله ١١٥٥ كاح كوار تمانه برواعی کی تاریخ دونت 1615 911501 ATTESTE

08/03/ 3/13/ 12 Juste والي والم والم و المرادة و المان المرادة و المان المرادة و المراد 3 No (3° Colo mie ves W) 166 27.00 19 19 10 5 de 14 pro mo will ele / hi dele / 05 / 6/02 - 1/2 من لاق - دوران الماتي منادرات برها حلوارس المعرب والم *طورلوس* عرد الورد في المركة 2906 من المرك كارل كارل كارل من كراف المرك ال باليون رياف أوالا لومريك جرابان كا ماكر صرفاد بطرابيك Jil 812 - 8-168 Ment - 30 20 111 2/1/2/1 Blein & policibile

Strate 16 مادلوال 07/03/3/19) 133/20/20 ووانكي فيمل شاء (18:55 وفت 18:55 عالى وفت ورا فع 6 351 26 -113231 -740 (12/02) -SHC812 SIX رسائد اور فا ما ملے رفوان را گست دی کار مادر دار دوانہ حدر ATTESTED Buchs

8/07 03 20 h g db les P3 07 03 91 - אין פרול אונ על נובן שומת צאט פיט פק לשים-ATTESTED 29.04.2021 erintendent of Police prestigation, Abbottable 70 la

ن طلاق ريورك داری پیس پرٹ شدر پردند ۱۵ مجرم شابط و جداری 19:00 Cir 07 121 9 19 16:00 Cir 07 bury were Proposition de la la la cibilità 39-321-31 JUSTEMBERSON COMPONIES STORY OF THE ن حزي ل حرب الم سنا ما ورك الناسط مول عام وال Mayour Solendan it se South Solo Com ابتدائي اطلال يحدرن كرو رومت معروف والراق Remainstrine de la cilia de la collection والم المساول عال وادر والمول عال كما والموعاد والمعرف عارفا יוני ל נווווי אן אות בים באצא איווו כלי worker Commence اس روزان روت ا ATTESTED ATTESTED رانى يورد برا درمان سادفها در مع الله المعالم المعالم Supplied to 219/ 1/2000 - Well Chard Super

AVI) & اورل اول مرورات كدر 9. (Silvi)

ابتدائی اطلاعی ر بورث ابتدائی اطلاع نسبت جرم قابل دست اعدادی پولس ر پورث شده زیردند، ۱۵۴مجوعه ضابط فوجداری قارم نمبر۲۳_۵(۱) عَلَىٰ وَهُوَا * · ا<u>هُ</u> آودِ فِي 22:19) فِي الْحَالَةِ الْحَالَةِ الْحَالَةِ الْحَالَةِ الْحَالَةِ الْحَالَةِ ا 0333 \$7763 = P. Sule 219162307-3-021 مشاه ملای تحام ذار ىزى <u>30 دى كە</u> سەن كاردائى بوكتيش كم معلق كى كا أكراطلاح درج كرف من أو تف مواموة وجربيان كرو تماندے روانی کی تاریخ وونت ا ميرور و اعتراني إطلاع <u>شح</u>درج كرو- د اع ومو ATTEST

فطار لوال سيم 08/03 3/1/12 Lu le 16.12-3916, 0 / 1/20 - Coling of of 10 1/20 - Col ومنود - مهم دود مر فو وو کار ایل وی میس کشد کا کی - دوران داری در بیر بیر رود بر فو وو کار ایل دی مشیر مالی میں وال قا مرا - جس کو قالموس کرد کار کی بناه در جامع کلاتی دس صابط یا 12. 12 - 12 63/ 60 Sie 60 10 60 - BU 00 21/6 V 316 4.6 10 22-2906 2764- 15, 20 30 عال- دربافن بر فرز الاستانيا عارف العالم الديمادر عادر الل في المرافق 1511 St will fait of which and ing solling 21134 ترزى - بعرائيان سراك المرني واست طور برداع كورواع ك ATTESTED Bleis erintendent of Police
himselfingsion & polothyle;

و فعادلوال سر 07/03/3/30) روانکی فیصل شام 18:55 م وفت 18:55 استاس وقت وی می مرادشام عادار کی زوم ایمان ۱۹۵۰ سیراز ۱۱۵۲ ماجد از 35۱ ماجد از 35۱ ماجد از 35۱۱ ماجد از 35۱ ماجد از 35 رست باورد ما مامل بعران را تحت دیم مادر ما روانه حدور -Un by bibs Blevia - JO 6.6 / 1000/16-20:40-1000/03/ASI WELLEN, Dr. 10 100 Son do 251,06 2 min SHO 8 W Jeg wind by 120, 130 24-B682, 2 BN 66 15AA/100 139 12 22 ATTESTED Bleco o Piglby E. 5 10 PS-NSF 1 MM - PS-NSF 8,2 E, 2 = 20, 0 / 50 20, 50 - 30, 07/03 AST 20 1/16 39.

قعا مزلوان تر نزارك مر 33 روزنا في مقداره عد 33 روزنگری مرفیل شاه Sho و من 33/8 ع اس و قریب میں سے شرزار " رسيل درسان 740 ميراز 132 ، فافر 351 مرادي الماكت ور كركار مراد روام ورود هام كول. صناحِ عالى تقل عطالي (جل مع. MM-PS-JUSIR ATTESTED. 07-03-021 intendent of Police هجائز لوال شر نقل ريط مر 47 روزنا في الناه المالية المار مع إلى والمرافرة المرافرة الم الله المراد المراد المراد المراد وراد المراد المراد المراد المراد وميره عن الله المراد وميره عن الله المراد المرا مرم الألا الرفس عارط كرفار (عرام المرائة افرائ معرف ارسال ها ارت موره ومرتب المرسل فالروكر وال وميد له حار مرايات ما المربوق صلى والرجار المراق معرفان سا استحرا كرست ورست طور فرر داخل كوت فروالي . حنارعالي

num-ps-NSR

08-03-021

بال ادان المرتاز <u>456 منوز</u> مال ادان المرتاز AMHC

A About NSR THE PS O21

ATTESTED Police

(1) 18 1 15AA 42 139 CW FIR WOW - I Oly listy borce in crist - 112 Willians of Lapurpulity. It se listy har Cu Ci pri to the Che whip vin to by it to washo of the In dig While Bunting of the works of the 1963. Up les pl/scriple tile Ciri Cor Ciris. . Uls. Sight for Whip in William of White I of the M + 1456 Ibil THE PS NSR 0333-5012176

(Dy 3 lo 60 9 19 86 con 1267 1860 0 lo (2, 3, 9 LANIK) WE W, 3 10, Weg ot 3 7, 9 6 ISTARE UP & US D'UN 3 UNIVERS CORSHO by Bull 3 big e Red SHOEL WIDE 0 pt of 08/3 pg 3/2 with 12 wing sign AMH 12/36 (i) · By Je 3 has July 181 By Sully Constant of a significant supposed in N (My Jul d'b) (M/ g L' Eld SIR) 60 9 68/3, 2) 3 8. 97 5 FIR 1917 S July 8 7 10 65 8 18 6 All Sold per Sold will by Jet to Sho & Sie Car 313 cal sing out class the of lost with desperon De New Les Pelle July to She Fell Sing IS IN MO Shirts MOZI & PIR GISAA & WILL CHO July Child Me 2 60 90 July (oliv 190) d'obs & July 180 Jud & El Gilde En Sun Mis Eist dus e Sus (8) - J'GG FIN & 15AA (15) 31 18 g 88/3 Is The Subscrip of the Subscript FIR 1911 (3) (VI) E SON CONS Ogrhus (S) E She Saine ATTEST ED Edition Announce investigation, Abbottabad 04/05

1912 1045 47 Baring 139 WER Cies CO FUS 392 30 30 Well (18) 60 199 (18) 3 200, 3 200 Me -1 199 4.66861912-17/8 Jg Callo July 607 - Ellow - 1905 FIRM MI (1666) (MI) C. La SHO & -: 1/9 662 2016 20 Just 20191 With 3 big did to the classing siles. Eller 419.00 chig ded 29.9 cm jig, came; ju & gree -Zij FIR L'UN UIZ JINN ZINN C'DE ER 340 Floth & Eiled Willisteles 2 ilò que lo lib que cuo 3 = 13 Solow & Col Fines. 6 Silver Encis 3 stire - Alle PIRIN 43/13 Clips Go Cae Suo rio 3 lin É GO GUE CAS LA SINO CO ATTESTED 1966 1267, 676 aperintendent of Police 04/05/02/ 0324 -7788548 investigation, Abbottabad 0310-6544466

رور دور مور السال الموادك سال مورد مور وقوع من (1/2) 43 rest & 200 - 1132 il 351 106 940 012, 12 ile de l'eles con SHO سے روار موں اسی ا تناء س کوری عینہ جو ک کی ایس en 1/2 capellere Al, & les 126 les 1, à pro les les (pelloge, to je ple steel se (spipelos) -2:101:01 / sh (yo -, 6 2 16 C, 20 3 (20 5 540 i e in ser en en la ser en la parte ATTESTED Superintendent of Police
Superintendent of Police
Superintendent of Police را و الماليء مير مايي محفام الأالاستيم الله المرابع المرابع المواحد وفوع أبي لا لوراديث المي الم الالالالي الم الم الحوال فروس ؟ وا- د. جي مين ۲×× عند المراج کے مردے اور رسی ط وروس ؟ ور - ا، مرسی میں گواہ مرد سرں اور ترسی میرے رسی کای۔ 9 On Aprilance issail of 2 per (where I will est of the XXX ور د شری و دور کی ازر شی سر عام دی جا 4 () ENDI OF 2 in wall find in it is the suit of it is sexxx 0346-9535548 65 740 iling J.

0346.9561350

سوال ا) وتوقع کے روز اک کیٹول رد) دیم فروئی پر فو فور نقے۔ جواب فی پر موجو دی ۔

سوال کی نفره فلت 13 مرع کار مرد قررت الورانی ... مراب کے لؤریبا 307:30 مرع کار مرد قررت الورانی

19 05-21

(complainant in the instant case) and other police officials involved in the matter. During the inquiry, who accused SHO and other officials were found guilty of the charges leveled against them and they were dismissed service vide order dated 10.06.2021. Upon directions of this court, the fact finding as well as final inquiry reports are produced before the court today and are placed on file. Perusal of the same revealed that the officials of the concerned police station in statements before inquiry admitted that the FIR was registered at about 04:30 AM or 05:00 AM in the morning time on 08.03:2021 and in this respect on day prior i.e. on 07.03.2021, the SHO called the Muharlir to keep pending the Roznamcha of 07.03.2021 as he has to register an FIR and on the order of the SHO, the Muharrir kept the Roznamcha of 07.03.2021, pending till 08.03.2021 (morning) while in the FIR the time of lodging the FIR was mentioned as 20:40 hours (48:40 PM). Meaning thereby the FIR was registered in back date. Similarly, one constable Sheraz No. 1132 lamo admitted before the inquiry that his name was included in the Fard as witness, but neither he was present on the spot nor he has signed on the Fard. Since, in the department inquiry it is proved that the FIR was lake. bogus and preplanned therefore, this cancellation report was filed. As the prosecution does not want to probable the instant FIR and has requested for its cancellation, therefore, in view of the above while agreeing with the prosecution this case in hand stands cancelled. File be consigned to record room after ins necessary completion and compilation.

8817

Sciid Anna JM-I/Magistrate Sec.30 Abbottabad

7/05/22

I JM I DO

Learned counsel for the accused present. Learned SPP Miss. Tasleem Shahid Advocate also present.

The instant cancellation report is submitted in case FIR # 139, dated 07.03.2021, u/s 15AA KPK, PS Nawansher, Abbottabad. The local police have sought for cancellation of the FIR on the ground that the FIR is fake, fictitious and was preplanned to benefit the accused namely Hazrat Gul S/o Bahadar Gul R/o Bannu in a murder case registered against him on the same day at District Bannu vide FIR # 178, dated 07.03.2021, u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cartt, District Bannu.

During course of proceedings, the ascused appeared before the court and contested the instant cancellation report by supporting the FIR to be true and correct.

Arguments heard and record perused.

Perusal of record reveals that the through FIR # 139, the accused namely Hazrat Gul was charged for commission of offence u/s 15AA KPK. As per the story narrated in the FIR, while on gusht, the SI Nawansher, on suspicion searched accused and 30 bore nistol, bearing # 2906264 was found in his possession. on 08 03.2021, accused was produced before the court Near ed JM-III, Abbottabad/MOD, the offence was llable therefore, the accused was ordered to be eleased on bail. Later on, it surfaced that, on same day nd time accused was also charged in case FIR # 173. dated 07.03.2021, u/s 302/324/34 PPC, PS Cantt, District Bannu and the present FIR was preplarined so as to favour the accused in FIR No. 178. Accordingly, departmental inquiry was initiated against the SHO namely Faisal Shah' of PS Nawansher, Abbettabad

59/15A

17-011-21

24+027-22

133

2

O R D E R 24 02.2022 JM I AMOR PSTATE

Learned counsel for the accused present. Learned SPP Miss. Tasleem Shahid Advocate also present.

The instant cancellation report is submitted in case FIR # 139, dated 07.03.2021, u/s 15AA KPK, PS Nawansher, Abbottabad. The local police have sought for cancellation of the FIR on the ground that the FIR is fake, fictitious and was preplanned to benefit the accused namely Hazrat Gul S/o Bahadar Gul R/o Bannu in a murder case registered against him on the same day at District Bannu vide FIR # 178, dated 07.03.2021, u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Cantt, District Bannu.

During course of proceedings, the accused appeared before the court and contested the instant cancellation report by supporting the FIR to be time and correct.

Arguments heard and record perused.

Perusal of record reveals that the through FIR # 139, the accused namely Hazrat Gul was charged for commission of offence u/s 15AA KPK. As per the story narrated in the FIR, while on gusht, the SHO PS Nawansher, on suspicion searched accused and 30 bore Tristal bearing # 2906264 was found in his possession. on 08 03.2021, accused was produced before the court Theailed JM-III, Abbottabad/MOD, the offence was ballable therefore, the accused was ordered to be eleased on bail. Later on, it surfaced that, on same day and time accused was also charged in case FIR # 178. dated 07.03.2021, u/s 302/324/34 PPC, PS Cantt. District Bannu and the present FIR was preplanned so as to favour the accused in FIR No. 178. Accordingly, departmental inquiry was initiated against the SHO namely Faisal Shah of PS Nawansher, Abbettabad

59/15 A

17-011-21

24-627-27

1078

23

(complainant in the instant case) and other police officials involved in the matter. During the inquiry, and accused SHO and other officials were found guilty of the charges leveled against them and they were dismissed from service vide order dated 10.06.2021. Upon directions of this court, the fact finding as well as final inquiry reports are produced before the court today and are placed on file. Perusal of the same revealed that the officials of the concerned police station in their statements before inquiry admitted that the FIR was registered at about 04:30 AM or 05:00 AM in the morning time on 08.03.2021 and in this respect one day prior i.e. on 07.03.2021, the SHO called the Muhamir to keep pending the Roznamcha of 07:03.2021 as he haps to register an FIR and on the order of the SHO the Muharrir kept the Roznamcha of 07.03.2021, pending till 08.03.2021 (morning) while in the FIR the tire of lodging the FIR was mentioned as 20:40 hours (08:40 PM). Meaning thereby the FIR was registered in back date. Similarly, one constable Sheraz No. 1132 aimo admitted before the inquiry that his name was incided in the Fard as witness, but neither he was present on the spot nor he has signed on the Fard. Since, in the department inquiry it is proved that the FIR was lake. bogus and preplanned therefore, this cancellation report was filed. As the prosecution does not want to project the instant FIR and has requested for its with cancellation, therefore, in view of the above while. agreeing with the prosecution this case in hand stands cancelled. File be consigned to record room after wa necessary completion and compilation.

88 (G

24-01-17 1 (5) 22 x

JM-I/Magistrate Sec.30

JEAN 3.

1'ca.ml 7 Å

(r) 04-10 pt p 16 لعدم افراع من نيردفد 173 ما بطرق مداري بذائی اطلائی ریورٹ ٹمبر 7-3.021 2019 15 AA LEPIL PT. تاريخ وفت ربائي مال بتهيار بازيازيافته تفصيل مال مسروقه مخقر كيفيت اطلار بإاستغاثه كاروائي أكر گرفتاری كيلئے نام پنته مشحیت انوعیت الزام نام يبته ملزمان مكزم ان اورآيا نیز کن نے کب اور کہاں ا آگرکوئی ہو لوليس معنتجها درمز يدتحققات اطلاع دهنده باستغاثه ضانت یا مجلکه بر ا آگر کوئی ہو تو تاریخ دفت گرفتاری بهيجا گيا، مانه _ ہے برآ مدکیا۔اور مجسٹریٹ نه کرتے کے وجو ہات La Janine Sulp 10 15 1432, 2 SItO of Je wich Cho de par por en en 19/201- Jud- 4268 with to #82 2962264 -4. 187.3.21 Cog poly 7.3.21-11 4/1 و جادر مل ول حور من سالمان

12

Sin of 12 For Election City in Lando in existing the court را منظم من المرازي و من المرازي و ال 18,000 (2) (10) In C inter & L = SIN Color & Of the Color of the The ase Species Det a Man por portion in 8/in so con con Et 6 Cond to in a contro Sono welling quillen de és promp délés mes à l'éstins

ولدفي سراح كر شارك و سار طعر الدين عرا من لا إ けんしゃんしょ سی مروده که رکا تو ارسی الی م صراعام مراک م Phis suro olipy 分子是是自然的 [No 2 10 P C S W S of 1 O Color آبار کا مرور بال ساد به وجرفی امام مراه ۱ The Use Dicto Rusides no The

634 653300 1110 5690134-7 11 فارم تمبر٢٧_د.(م) ابتداني اطلاعي ربورك ابتدائي اطلاع نسبت جرم قابل دست اندازي بوليس ربورث شده زير دفعه ۱۵ مجموعه ضابط فوجداري 4 19:35 (10 07 04 899 (139 Est NAK10[99 30-19 و كون الله المارور الله المورود و المول و المو وائی جونتیش کے متعلق کا گیا اگراملاع درج کرنے میں توقف ہوا ہوتو دجہ بیان کرو سرار بداک محمار کا کا کا مراک میں رسے روائلی کی تاریخ ووقت ابتدانی اطلاع نیجدرج کرو- فراسی فوهولی ذیلی قروی ورانی The status of the fair with a fair Wigner of the Color of go very lighting the 39 139 Mil July 1 July 9 21 1 1 1 250 62 2 64-SURMORD ON POR SUM CHIPS COMPOSITIONS COMPOSITIONS. Jul Cur har- GS tien was the sur no cities 13/12/ 1/1/33/20 /Norde Cloud Classing Bischous IIIE alustier of med in an of Je estive Sing pulsed of the of the of the constant of the sure of the sur

<u>Order</u> 08.03.2021

Accused person namely Hazrat Gul s/o Bahadur Gul produced in custody alongwith application for seeking his 14 days Judicial remand.

Record perused which reveals that the offence for which the accused person is charged under section 15AA KPK is bailable, hence, accused is hereby released on bail subject to furnishing bail bands worth Rs. 50,000/- alongwith two reliable sureties to the satisfaction of this court. In default, be kept into Judicial lockup and be produce before Court/MOD on 22.03.2021.

Farman Ali Khan Indicial Magistra - 11 Abbottabas

(Farman Ali Khas) Judicial Magistrato III, Abbottabsi