06<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

Counsel for the appellant present and heard.

The appeal is within time which is admitted to full hearing. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Out district respondents be summoned through TCS, the expenses of which be deposited by the appellant within three days, while the local respondents be summoned through process serving agency of the learned Senior Civil Judge, Peshawar. To come up for written reply/comments on 01.09.2022 before the S.B.

Chairman

01.09.2022

Security & Process Fee

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Fayyaz Ali, H.C for the respondents present.

Reply/comments on behalf of respondents not submitted. Representative of the respondents requested for time to submit reply/comments. Adjourned. To come up reply/comments on 10.10.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

# Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court oi		
se No -	1072/ <b>2022</b>	

	Case No	1072/ <b>2022</b>
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	29/06/2022	The appeal of Mr. Murad Ali presented today by Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.  REGISTRAR
2-	4-9-22	This case is entrusted to Single Bench at Peshawar for preliminary hearing to be put there on 6-7-22. Notices be issued to appellant
	,	and his counsel for the date fixed.
		CHAIRMAN
	· ,	
,		
		·
		·
,		

UNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR
CHECKLIST

Cas	e Title: Y a C L	Yes	No
5.#	Contents		
		-	
1.	This appeal has been presented by:  Whether Counsel / Appellant / Respondent / Deponent have signed the	1	
2.	Jo original Chile	1/	
	Whether Appeal is within time?  Whether Appeal is within time?	1	
3.	Whether Appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?		- 7
4	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?  Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?	1	
5.	Whether affidavit is appended?  Whether affidavit is appended?	1	
6.	collination of the collins and collins and collins are collins and collins are collins and collins are collins and collins are	1	
7.	Whether affidavit is duty accepting paged?  Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?  Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?		
8	Whether appeal/annexures are property pages.  Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the		
9.	Whether certificate regarding the garding	1/	
	subject, furnished?		
10.	Whether annexures are legible?		
11.	Whether annexures are attested?  Whether annexures are readable/clear?	-	
12.	Whether annexures are attested: Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear? Whether copy of appeal is delivered to A.G/D.A.G? Whether copy of appeal is delivered to A.G/D.A.G?	1	<del></del>
13.	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to the Counsel engaged is attested and		
		_	
14.	Whether Power of Attorney of the signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents?  signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents?	17	
15.	Whether numbers of felerica days		
16.	Whether numbers of felence custogs Whether appeal contains cuttings/overwriting? Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal?		
$\frac{17.}{17.}$	TYP -41- on list of hooks has occur pro-		
18.	Whether case relate to this course in attached?		
$\frac{10.}{19.}$	Whether case relate to this Court:  Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?  Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?	_	
20.	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached:  Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover?  Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover?		
$\frac{20.}{21.}$	Whether addresses of parties gives		
$\frac{21}{22}$	Whether index filed?		
23.	Whather index is correct!		
	Whether Security and Process Fee deposition Tribunal Rules 19	74	
24.	Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Thousand appearies has been se	nt	
35	Dule 11 notice along with one		
25.	to respondents? on		
.	to respondents? on  Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? on		
26.	whether sop	. `	1
	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite		
27			
1	party? on	ve heen	fulfilled

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled. cimus Ali Claq

Name:

Signature:

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

# SERVICE APPEAL NO. 107272022

Murad Ali

V/S

Police Deptt:

# **INDEX**

CN	ī <sub>o</sub> I	Documents	Annexure	P. No.
S. N	10.			01-05
01.		Meino of appeal		. 06
02.		Affidavit		07-10
03.		Copies of suspension order dated	. 500	07-10
		00 11 2020 charge sheet along Willi	A,B&C	
		statement of allegations and reply to		
		charge sheet		11
04.		Conv of inquiry report	D	
		Copies of show cause notice and reply	E&F	12-13
05.		to show cause notice		·
		to show cause notice	G	14
06.		Copy of order dated 30.12.2020	H,I,J,K,L&M	15-21
07.		Copies of departmental appeal, show		
		source reply to show cause, order		
		dated 02.08.2021, revision and order		
		dated 02.06.2022		22 :
				22
08		Vakalat Nama		

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

Cell No. 03339390916

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERV	ICE A	<b>PPEAI</b>	NO.	. /	2022

Murad Ali Ex-FC No.1188, Police Lines Nowshera.

(APPELLANT)

### VÉRSUS.

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region Mardan.
- 3. The District Police Officer, Nowshera.

(RESPONDENTS)

**KHYBER** OF THE 4 SECTION APPEAL UNDER TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 02.06.2022, WHEREBY THE REVISION OF APPELLANT WAS REJECTED, AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 02.08.2021, WHEREBY THE MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF REDUCTION IN PAY BY TWO STAGES FOR A PERIOD OF 02 YEARS WAS CONVERTED INTO DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT AND AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 31.012.2020, WHEREBY THE MAJOR PUNISHMENT **OF REDUCTION IN PAY BY TWO STAGES FOR A PERIOD** OF 02 YEARS WAS IMPOSED UPON THE APPELLANT AND REINSTATED HIM INTO SERVICE.

PRAYER:

THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 02.06.2022 AND 02.08.2021 MAY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND THE ORDER DATED 30.12.2020 MAY ALSO BE SET ASIDE TO THE EXTENT OF PUNISHMENT OF REDUCTION IN PAY BY TWO STAGES FOR A PERIOD OF 02 YEARS AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED

INTO HIS SERVICE WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

# RESPECTFULLY SHEWTH:

# FACTS:

- 1. That the appellant has appointed in the respondent department in the year 2014 and was performing his duty with great devotion and honesty, whatsoever, assigned to him and no complaint has been filed against him regarding his performance.
  - That the A-1 examination was conducted by ETEA authority on \$\\$01.11.2020 in District Charsadda and the appellant went with his friend namely Constable Abbas Akhtar as he was going to give A-1 exam. The appellant during examination was waiting outside the examination Centre for his friend Abbas Akhtar and after some time some constables who were the candidates of A-1 exam took out their papers to solve the paper with the help of their colleagues and when the examiner came out form the Centre, the people were escaped from the place, however, the appellant along with other some other people did not leave the spot and the examiners took the appellant along with some other people to the examination Centre. Abbas Akhtar also told the examiner that he came with him only for the purpose of company, but despite that the officials concerned took the appellant along with other people to the PS City Charsadda and the concerned DSP, SHO after proper investigation of the incident left the appellant along with other people as they were innocent.
  - 3. That on the basis of above incident, the appellant was suspended from service vide order dated 09.11.2020 and charge sheet along with the statement of allegations were issued to the appellant in which the allegations of appeared unlawfully in A-1 examination held by ETEA authority on 01.11.2020 by impersonating himself as constable Abbas Akhtar No1199 was leveled against the appellant, which was properly replied by the appellant in which he denied the allegations and gave the real facts about the issue. (Copies of suspension order dated 09.11.2020, charge sheet along with statement of allegations and reply to charge sheet are attached as Annexure-A,B&C)
  - 4. That inquiry was conducted against the appellant which was not according to the prescribed procedure as neither statements were

recorded in the presence of the appellant nor gave him opportunity of cross examination. The inquiry officer did not conduct regular inquiry in order to dig out the reality about the issue by observing the reply to the charge sheet of the appellant, but despite that the inquiry officer found the appellant guilty. (Copy of inquiry is attached as Annexure-D)

- That show cause notice was issued to the appellant which was replied by the appellant in which he again denied the allegations and gave the real facts about the matter. (Copies of show cause notice and reply to show cause notice are attached as Annexure-E&F)
- 6. That on the basis of above baseless allegations major punishment of reduction in pay by two stages for the period of two years has imposed upon the appellant and also reinstated him in service vide order dated 30.12.2020. (Copy of order dated 30.12.2020 is attached as Annexure-G)
- That the appellant filed departmental appeal on 03.05.2021 against 7. the punishment of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of 02 years on which respondent No.2 issued show cause notice to the appellant which was replied by the appellant in which he again denied the allegations and requested to set aside the punishment imposed by respondent No.3, but respondent No.2 converted the major punishment of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of 02 years into dismissal from service on the departmental appeal of the appellant vide order dated 02.08.2021 without providing opportunity of personal to the appellant. The appellant then filed revision on 27.08.2021, which was rejected vide order dated 02.06.2022 for no good grounds. (Copies of departmental appeal, show cause, reply to show cause, order dated 02.08.2021, revision and order dated 02.06.2022 are attached as Annexure-H,I,J,K,L&M)
  - 8. That the appellant has no other remedy except to file the instant service appeal in this Honourable Tribunal on the following grounds amongst others.

# **GROUNDS:**

A. That the impugned orders dated 02.06.2022, 02.08.2021 and order dated 30.12.2020 to the extent of punishment of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of 02 years are against the law, facts, norms of justice and material on record, therefore, not tenable and the orders dated 02.06.2022 and 02.08.2021 are liable to be set aside and the

order dated 30.12.2020 is also liable to be set aside to the extent of punishment of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of 02 years.

- B. That inquiry conducted against the appellant was not according to the prescribed procedure as neither statements were recorded in the presence of the appellant nor gave him opportunity of cross examination, which is violation of law and rules and as such the orders dated 02.06.2022 and 02.08.2021 are liable to be set aside and the order dated 30.12.2020 is also liable to be set aside to the extent of punishment of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of 02 years on this ground alone.
- C. That no opportunity of defence was provided to the appellant during inquiry proceeding, which is violation of Article-10A of the Constitution of Pakistan.
- D. That the inquiry office did not conduct regular inquiry in order to dig out the realty about the matter by observing the reply to the charge sheet, which is violation of law and rules.
- E. That inquiry officer also mentioned in his inquiry report that information from some other sources was gathered with specification of source of that information which means that the appellant has been punished on the presumption basis and without conducting regular inquiry, which is not permissible under the law.
- F. That the friend of the appellant namely Constable Abbas Akhtar has A-I examination in District Charsadda and the appellant went with him just to company him and during examination, the appellant was waiting outside the examination Centre for his friend Abbas Akhtar and after some time some constables who were the candidates of A-I exam took out their papers to solve the paper with the help of their colleagues and when the examiner came out form the Centre, the people were escaped from the place, however, the appellant along with other some other people did not leave the spot and the examiners took the appellant along with some other people to the examination Centre. Abbas Akhtar also told the examiner that he came with him only for the purpose of company, but despite that the officials concerned took the appellant along with other people to the PS City Charsadda and the concerned DSP, SHO after proper investigation of the incident left the appellant along with other people as they were

innocent, which means that the appellant has been punished for no fault on his part.

- G. That respondent No.2 enhanced the punishment of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of 02 years to dismissal from from service on the departmental appeal of the appellant without providing opportunity of personal hearing which is violation of law and rules.
- H. That respondent No.3 imposed the penalty of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of 02 years, which was enhanced to dismissal from service by respondent No.2, which is too harsh and was passed without observing codal formalities and such liable to be set aside.
- I. That the appellant has been condemned unheard and has not been treated according to law and rules.
- That the appellant seeks permission of this Honourable Tribunal to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

Murad Ali

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

CERTIFICATE:

It is certified that no other similar service appeal between the parties ha been filed earlier.

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE	APPEAL NO	/2022
OLIC TOP		·

Murad Ali V/S Police Deptt:

# **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Murad Ali Ex-FC No.11188, Police Lines Nowshera (Appellant) do hereby affirm and declare that the contents of this service appeal are true and correct and nothing has been concealed from this august Court.

DEPONENT

Murad Ali (APPELLANT)

CNIC: 17201-1812582-1 Cell# 03169690092





C. THE

# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

No. 0923-9220102 & Fax No. 0923-9220103 Email dpo\_nowsherakpk@yahoo.com





## ORDER

Following Constables are heretry placed under suspension and closed to Police Lines. Nowshera with immediate effect:

- Murad Ali No. 1188 (on 160 days long leave from Police Lines).
- Zar Khan No 53 of guard NADRA Office, Nowshera Canit.
- Schall No 419 Reader ASP Cantl.
- Abbus Akhtar No 1199 of Ehle Force

Charge sheets & statement of allegations will be issued against them

separate	1057				Alia	- لد
OB No.			; .	A STATE OF THE STA	District	velice Officer, velices
A		Nowshera, the _	9 111	_/2020.		
No. I	Copy for in	Nowsheld, ind ne itemation and ne	cessary act	Khyber Pakh	lunkhwa, Pash	gwar.

- Deputy Command
- ASP Cantt Nowshera
- DSP HQr. Nowshera,
- Pay Officer
- Estublishment Clerk.
- OHO
- FMC.



CHARGE SHEET

I, Capt: (R) Najmul Hasnain Liaquat, PSP District Police Officer, Nowshera, as ent authority, hereby charge FC Nurad Ali No. 1188 as per statement of allegations

By reasons of above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under Police Rules, 1975.

You are, therefore, required to submit your written defense within 07 days of the pt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer, as the case may be.

Your written defense, if any should reach the Enquiry Officer within the specified iod, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and in that case extended the action shall follow against you.

Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

District Police Officer Nowshera



# DISCIPLINARY ACTION

I, Capt: (R) Najmul Hasnain Liaquat, PSP, District Police Officer, Nowshera nt authority am of the opinion that FC Murad Ali No. 1188 has rendered himself liable to ed against as he committed the following acts / omissions within the meaning of Police

# STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

Whereas, FC Murad Ali No. 1188 (on 160 days earned leave from Lines, now under suspension, appeared unlawfully in A-1 Examination held by ETEA authority .020, by impersonating himself as Constable Abbas Akhtar No. 1199 of Platoon No. ,Elite ual candidate), which amounts to grave misconduct on his part and rendered him liable for nt under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975.

For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said accused official with to above allegations

ASP CANT is hereby nominated as officer.

The Enquiry Officer shall in accordance with the provision of Police Rules, by the Teasonable opportunity of hearing to the defaulter official; record his findings and mediate recommendations as to punish or other appropriate action against the defaulter

FC Murad Ali No. 1188 is directed to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the

e and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

76000

District Police Officer, Novshera

OV 16

بحواله حيارج شيث نمبري 182/PA مورخه 2020.11.2020 معروض بيول كه بمورخه 11.2020.

چ رسده A1 امتحان تھا۔جسکے لیئے ضلع صد اک علاوہ دیگر اصلاع ہے بھی پولیس کنٹیبلال آئے ہوئے تھے۔منسٹبل . فورس جو کدمیراقریبی دوست ہے جنگا بھی A1متحان تھا تواسکے ساتھ صمر او چاہ گیا نفرار جسب متعاشہ جُنہ پہنچہ و کنسٹیبر

بال کے اندر گیااور ہم باہر تنے ۔میر سے علاؤہ وہال دیگراضلاع سے بھنی بہت زیادہ پولیس والے ہیں دو کیٹر ول میں اپ ساتھ اے تھا ورامتحانی ہال کے باہر موجود تھے۔تھوڑی دیر بعدامتحانی ہال سے فنٹنے سنٹیان نے پیری باہر نگائے تثر

ا پیغ جمرائیاں کی مدد سے حل کرائے شروٹ کیئے کہائی اثناء میں EATA کے استاذ ان بابر انکے جس میں بہت ہے لا

لیکن میں اور میر سے علاوہ و ہال پر 5/6 لڑ کے اور تھے۔ جونہیں بھا گے۔ تو اس استاذ وں نے امیں ٹیکڑ کرامتحان ہال کے ا به رئے تصادیر نکالے جب میرے دوست کنٹیبل عباس اختر میروے پاس آیا تواس استاذ وں اے ان سے بھی بیپر تبھین <sup>و</sup>

استاذ کو کافی سمجھایا کہ بیر میرادوست ہے اور میرے ساتھ ایا ہے۔ توان سے بغ چھے ایا کہ امتحانی ہال کے اندر کیا کررہے ہو تک

ا يک بھی ندی ۔ مجھے اورکنٹيل عباس اختر اور ديگر 2/3 لڑ کے اور تھے جسکوز پرنگرانی پولیس تھا نہ ٹی چارسدہ پہنچا یا تا ہوں ا

SHO،DSP صاحبان نے بھی موقعہ کی نسبت صورتحال کی کی جانچ پڑتال کر کے جسمیں بغیر کئی کے جھوڑ و بید کیونا

تتصاب بجھے ملم ہوا کہ من سائل کی انکوائر کی ہے ہروز وقوعہ جو کچھ بھی ہوا تھاا سکے بارے تحریبات ریاساس معاملہ میں ہے قع

استدعاهیکه میرے خلاف جاری ثیرہ چارج شیٹ کو بغیر کسی کاروائی داخل دفتر گیا جائے ﴿

20 11 1026



# OTTIVE SUB-DIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICER,

CANTT CIRCLE

Tel: 0923-9220108, E-Mail: sdponsrcantt@gmail.com

No.  $\frac{76}{}$  /ST, Dated:  $\frac{21}{12}$  /2020.

The Worthy District Police Officer,

DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST CONSTABLE MUFAD ALI No.1188 POLICE LINES NOWSHERA.

Kindly refer to your office Diary No. 182/PA, dated 09.11.2020.

-ARY OF ALLEGATIONS:

Constable Murad Ali No.1188, (on 160 days earned leave from lines Nowshera) now under suspension, appeared unlawfully in A-1 nation held by ETEA authority on 01.11.2020, by impersonating himself nstable Abbas Akhtar No.1199 of Elite Force (actual candidate). The etent authority designated the undersigned as enquiry officer.

In this connection enquiry proceedings were initiated and the 3<u>ING:</u> ilter official was summoned, and served the charge sheet upon him. He nitted his reply to the charge sheet, wherein he did not confess his guilt stated that he was caught outside the examination hall as he was waiting is friend. Constable Murad Ali No. 1188 further added that he went with riend FC Abbas Akhtar in order to accompany him.

The alleged police official was heard in person and reply was ICLUSION: used. The defaulter official did not admit the charges leveled against him in charge sheet. In this connection statement of HC Tajbar & HC Masad Shah sistants OHC Nowshera were also recorded, which revealed that they were led by the ETEA staff for the identification of the person caught in the amination hall for impersonating himself as FC Abbas Akhtar, but the rson was identified as the defaulter official FC Murad No.1188 from which it evident that the alleged constable impersonated himself. Similarly, formation from other sources was gathered as well.

Hence, the charges leveled against him in the charge sheet have ECOMMENDATION: een proved without any doubt and the alleged constable has been found uilty. Therefore, he is recommended for any suitable punishment under the elevant rules and law, if so agreed please.

Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Cantt circle Nowshera.

# FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

Whereas, you FC Nurad Ali No. 1188, while posted as steno DSP lowshera now on 160 days earned leave, appeared unlawfully in A-1 Examination ETEA authority on 01 11 2020, by impersonating yourself as Constable Abbas Akhtar 39 of Elite Force (actual candidate).

On account of which you were suspended and proceeded against tmentally through ASP Cantt Nowshera who after fulfillment of legal formalities itted his report to undersigned, wherein the allegations leveled against you have been ed and recommended you for awarding punishment.

Therefore, it is proposed to impose Major / Minor penalty including niesal as envisaged under Rules 4(b) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975.

Hence, I Capt: (R) Najmul Husnain Liaquat, PSP District Police ficer, Nowshera, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Rules 5(3) (a) & (b) of the Tyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975, call upon you to Show Cause finally as to why the oposed punishment should not be awarded to you.

Your reply shall reach this office within 07 days of the receipt of this notice, failing which; it will be presumed that you have no defense to offer.

You are at liberty to appear for personal hearing before the undersigned.

بحواله فا نئل شو کازنوٹس نمبری PA / 279مور خه 2020-12-22معروض ہوں کہ بمور خه ©202-11-10 کو بہتا م چارسدہ A1 کاامتحان تھا۔ جس کیلے ضلع لہزا کے علاوہ دیگر اضلاع سے بھی پولیس کنسٹیبلان آئے ہوے متھے۔ کنسٹیبل عباس اختر جو که میر اقریبی دوست ہے جسکا بھی A 1 امتحان تھااسکے همراه چلا گیا۔ جب متعلقہ جگہ پہنچے تو کنسٹیبل عباس اختر امتحانی حال کے اندر گیااور ہم باہر تھے۔میرے علاوہ وہاں پر دیگر اضلاع سے بھی بہت زیادہ اولیس والے سادہ کپڑوں میں اپنے اپنے دوستوں کے ساتھ آئے تھے اور امتحانی حال کے باہر موجود تھے۔تھوڑی دیر بعد المتحانی مَال سے مختلف کنسٹیسلان نے ہیپر باہر نکالنے شروع کیے کہ اس اثناء میں ETEA کے استاذان باہر نکلے جس میل بہت ہے لڑ<u>ے ہماگ</u> نُظِیٰ لیکن میں اور میرے علاوہ وہاں پر 6 / 5 لڑ کے اور تھے جو نہیں بھاگے۔ تواس استاذان نے ہمیل پکڑ کر امتحانی حال کے اندر کے گئے اور بمارے تصاویر نکالے جب میر ادوست کنسٹیبل عباس اختر میرے پاک آیاتواُل استاذوں نے اُس سے بسپر چھین لیا۔عباس اختر نے استاذ کو کافی سمجھایا کہ یہ میر ادوست ہے اور میرے ساتھ آیاہے میں توال سے یو چھنے آیا کہ امتخانی حال کے اندر کیا کررہے ہولیکن انہوں نے ایک بھی نہ سن مجھے، کنسٹبیل عباس اختر اور دیگر 3 /2 لڑ کے اور تھے جسکو زیر نگرانی پولیس تھانہ سٹی چار سدہ پہنچایا گیاوہاں پر DSP/SHO صاحبان نے جھی موقعہ کی نسبت صور تحال کی جانچ پڑتال کرے ہمین بغیر کسی کاروائی کے چھوڑ دیا کیونکہ ہم بے گناد تھے اب مجھے علم ہوا کہ من سائل کے خلاف انکوائری نشر وع ہوئی ہے امتحان کے دن جو بھی ہواوہ ارادہ نہیں ہوااور میں اس معالمے میں بے گناہ ہوں میرے خلاف لگائے گئے الزامات بے بنیاد ہیں اشد عاہے کہ انگوائری چارج شیٹ کو بغیر کسی کا روائی داخل دفتر کی جائے۔ كنستيبل مراسطي بيك نمير 188 المتعينديوليس لانن نوشهرد 24.12.20

Collen or Long of Long of Miner Man and James of Miner Miner of Mi

10 Alice 21/12

9 (19)

DISTRICT NOWSHERA

OLICE DEPARTMENT

### ORDER

This order will dispose of the departmental enquiry initiated under Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules-1975 against Constable Murad Ali No. 1188, on 160 days earned lead from Police Lines, Nowshera), appeared unlawfully in A-1 Examination held by ETEA authority of 01.11.2020, by impersonating himself as Constable Abbas Akhtar No. 1199 of Elite Force (actual candidate).

On account of which, he was suspended; closed to Police Lines and proceeded against departmentally through ASP Capit Nowshera, who after fulfillment of legal formalities submitted his report to undersigned vide his office No. 76/St: dated 21.12.2020, wherein the allegations leveled against him have been proved and recommended him for awarding suitable punishment.

He was served with Final Show Cause Notice, to which he submitted his reply, which was peruged by the undersigned and found unsatisfactory.

He was heard in orderly room by the undersigned wherein he failed to produce any cogent reason in his defense, therefore, he is hereby awarded major punishment of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of 02 years and re-instated in service with immediate effect in exercise of powers vested in me under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules-1975.

OB No. /234 Dated 30 //3 /2020

District Police Office Nowahera

No. 35(9-29/PA; dated Nowshera; the 30/2 /2020 Copy for information and necessary action to the

DSP HQrs: Nowshera.

Pay Officer.

Establishment Clerk.

OHC.

5. EMC with enquiry papers (12 pages).

Official concerned.

1800-50-80 1800-18 . mus 5(5/151) co 3 7min Nº 8 ( 1, 6, 10 g - 1) 8 mm Jen 2/00 1 8811 - 20 6 20 300 olegan 1-22 32 - 1 De ale 10 15 - 100 8 5/0 mg/1 26. 62.3. 8th JULU LINE LIE (20) -3. 1818 Tuchon in pay by two stages for a period of les years) TRE No : 511, 80 1 9881 Excloses-61-08! 20 560 - 191 (20 19 - 20 ) 20 - 001 200 22 My 2002 8 2014 July 

Phone No Fax No:



0937-9230115 Email: digmardan@gmail.com



IES, dated, Mardan Region the

18-06- 2021

### SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

Whereas, you Constable Murad All No.1188, Nowshera while on leave for 150 days appeared unlawfully in A-1 examination held by ETEA on 01-11-2020, by impersonating yourself as Constable Abbas Akhtar No.1199/Elite Force. On account of the aforementioned allegations proper departmental enquiry/proceedings were initiated against you and on conclusion of the same, the District Police Officer, Nowshera awarded you major punishment of reduction in pay by two stage vide O.B No.1286, dated 30.12.2020. Feeling aggrieved, you filed a departmental appeal and during personal hearing it transpired that the order of punishment does not commensurate with the gravity of your misconduct, rather you deserve not to be retained in the force because of the unbecoming of an officer.

Therefore, it is proposed that why your punishment shall not be enhanced as envisaged under Rule 11, Sub Rule 4 Clause (d) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 as amended 2014.

Hence, I, Yaseen Farooq, PSP Regional Police Officer, Mardan in the exercise of the power vested under Rule 11, Sub Rule 4 Clause (d) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975 as amended 2014 call upon you to Show Cause as to why not impose upon you the enhance punishment of dismissal/removal of service provided in the above-mentioned rules.

Your reply shall reach this office within 07 days of receipt of the Notice, failing which it will be presumed that you have no explanation to offer.

You are at liberty to appear for personal hearing before the undersigned.

(YASEEN FAROOPSP. Regional Police Officer. Mardan.

Constable Murad All No.53 District Nowshera

CC.

The District Police Officer, Nowshera.

I (17)

Respected sir,

With reference to the Show Cause Notice No. 3150/ES, dated 18.06.2021, it is submitted that I had been awarded major punishment of reduction in pay by two stages by DPO Nowshera vide OB No. 1286, dated 30.12.2020.

Respected sir, I had already been awarded a major punishment after proper departmental enquiry. I already been through a lot of embarrassment and I am really ashamed of whatever I have done. I apologize for this act and feel regret from the depth of my heart.

Besides, I am being the sole bread winner of my family, the punishment awarded to me greatly affected me financially. It is humbly requested that I may kindly be given a single chance. I am assured/promised that I will never ever give chance of any misconduct to my superior in future and will perform my duties efficiently and honestly.

Keeping in view the above submission, it is requested that the instant Show Cause Notice may kindly be filed and set aside the punishment awarded to me by DPO Nowshera.

Constable Murad Ali No. 1188 Police Lines, Nowshera Cell No. 0312-5801433

27.06.2021

This order will dispose-off the departmental appeal preferred by Constable Murad Ali No. 1188 of Nowshera District Police against the order of District Police Officer, Nowshera, whereby he was awarded major punishment of reduction in pay by two stages vide OB: No. 1286 dated 31.12.2020. The aspellant was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations that he was granted to days earned leave, during the said leave, appeared unlawfully in A-1 Examination held by ETEA authority on 01.11.2020, by impersonating himself at Constable Abbas Akhtar No. 1199 of Elite Force (actual candidate).

Proper departmental enquiry proceedings were initiated against him.

He was issued Charge Sheet alongwith Statement of Allegations and Sub Divisions.

Police Officer, Cantt: Nowshera was nominated as Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer after fulfilling codal formalities submitted his findings to District Police Officer.

Nowshera, wherein he held responsible the delinquent Officer and recommendate him for suitable punishment.

him for suitable punishment.

He was issued Final Show Cause Notice to which his reply was received/perused and found unsatisfactory. The delinquent Official was heard in Orderly Room by the District Police Officer, Nowshera, wherein he failed to produce any cogent reason in his defense. Therefore, he was awarded major punishment of reduction in pay by two stages vide OB. No. 1286 dated 31.12.2020.

Feeling aggrieved from the order of District Police Officer, Nevisiero, the appellant preferred the instant appeal. He was summoned and hearth of the advance and Orderly Room held in this office on 16.06.2021 but he failed to advance and plausible reason to justify his innocence. Hence, he was issued Show Orders Rules under Rule-11, Sub Rule-4 Cladse (d) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules under Rule-11, Sub Rule-4 Cladse (d) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules amended 2014 to which his reply was received and found unsatisfactory. Therefore, he was called in Orderly Room held this on 26.07.2021 but this time too the bitterly failed to advance any cogent reasons in his defense.

From the perusal of the enquiry file and service record of the appellant, that allegations leveled against the appellant have been proved beyond any shadow of doubt and the competent authority has treated him lenies by not registering a criminal case although the misconduct of the appellant deserved a harsher punishment. Therefore, the retention of appellant in Police Department will a harsher punishment. Therefore, the retention of appellant in Police Department will stigmatize the prestige of entire Police Force as instead of fighting crime, he has stigmatize the prestige of entire Police Force as instead of fighting crime, he has himself indulged in illegal and criminal activities. Hence, the very conduct of himself indulged in illegal and criminal activities.

K (13)

Keeping in view the above, I, Yaseen Farooq, PSP Regional Police Officer, Mardan, being the appellate authority, hereby convert the major punishment of reduction in pay by two stages into major punishment of dismissal from service Regional Police Officer, Mardan' with irnmediate effect. order Announced. Copy forwarded to District Police Officer, Nowshera for information and No. 1033 IES, Dated Mardan the 02 necessary action w/r to his office Memo: No. 1222/PA dated 26.05.2021, His Service My of hecertary Na 1475/PA dt 05/8/2021

# ایبل بخدمت جناب صوبانی بولیس آفیسر تخیر بختونخوا برائے منسوخی هم جناب ریجنل پولیس آفیسر صاحب مردان برخانگی نمبری: 4033/ES معرف 2021 معرف ایک کاریک

جناب عالى!

بعداحترام وتکریم معروض ہوں کہ سائل مورخہ 01.09.2014 جمرتی شُدہ ہے کہ سائل کو جناب OP صاحب نوشہرہ نے ذ الزامات میں جا رج شیٹ دے کر بحوالہ OB No. 1286مؤرخہ 31.12.2020 کو duction in pay by two stages for two years کی سزادی ۔ جن کی نسبت سائل نے ریجنل پولیس آفیسر صاحب مردان کوسزاا کی معافی کی اپیل کی جنہ نے سزا میں اضا فہ کرتے ہوئے DPOصاحب نوشہرہ کی سزا کو Convert کرکے سائل کو بحوالہ تھکم نمبر ی 4033/ES موہ 02.08.2021 كوملازمت سے برخاست كيا جسكى بابت يقصيل اپني صفائى پيش كرتا مون:

جہاں تک الزامات کاتعلق ہے A1متحان منعقدہ 2020، 11.01 بمقام چارسدہ تھا۔لنسٹیبل عباس اختر ایبیٹ نورس نوشہرہ جو َ میرا قریبی دوست ہے اُس کا بھی A 1 امتحان تھا اُس کے ہمرا چلا گیا تھا۔ جب جگہ پہنچے تو کنسٹیبل عباس اختر ہالؔ کے اندر گیا اور ہم با ہر \_ میرے علاوہ وہاں پر دیگراضلاع سے بھی بہت زیادہ پولیس والےسادہ کپڑوں میں اپنے اپنے دوستوں کے ساتھا کئے تھے اور باہرموجود تخ تھوڑی دیر بعدامتحانی ہال ہے مختلف کنسٹیبلان نے بییر بارنکا لنےشروع کئے اور وہاں پرافرا تفری شروع ہوئی کہ اس ا ثناء میں ETEA. اسا تذہ باہر نکلے جس میں ہے بہت ہے لڑے بھاگ نکلے لیکن میں اور میر یاے علاوہ وہاں پر چندلڑ کے تتھے جونہیں بھا گے تواسا تذہ نے ہم پلز کرامتجانی ہال کے اندر لے گئے تو میرادوست عباس اختر میرے پاس آیا کہ کیا مسئلہ ہے ETEA کے اسا تنہ ہوٹے اُن سے بھی ہیرچھیر ۔ اور ہارے تصاویر نکالے کہ آپ اُن کی مدد کررہے ہیں۔ہم نے ETEA کے اسا تذہ کو بہت سمجھانیا کہ میں ہاہر تھالیکن اُنہوں نے ہماری اَ نہ منی اور مجھے اور کنٹیبل عباس اختر کے علاوہ دو تین لڑ کے اور تھے زیر نگرای تھانہ ٹی پولیس جا رسدہ پہنچا لا گیا اور وہاں پر DSP SHO صاحبان نے موقع کی نسبت جانچ پڑتال کر کے ہمیں بغیر کسی کاروائی کے چھوڑ دیا کیونکہ ہم بے گناہ تنھے۔

# جناب عالى!

سائل کاتعلق ایک غریب خاندان سے ہےاور سائل اپنے خاندان کا واحد خودکفیل ہے۔ سائل نے مُحکمہ پولیس میں بے داغ ملازمہ:

استدعاء ہے کہ سائل کے گھریلوں غربت اور بے دارغ ملازمت پولیس اورالزام ہذا میں بے گناہی کو مذافرر کھتے ہوئے سائل کوئی کہ پولیس میں بحال کر کے مشکر رفر ما ئیں ۔سائل تاحیات احسان منداور دُعا گور ہے گا۔

آپ كا تابعدارسابقه كنشيل مُرادعلى بلث نمبر 1188 ضلع نوشهره



# OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

### ORDER

This order is hereby passed to dispose of Revision Petition is der Rule 11-A of Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa Police Rule-1975 (amended 2014) submitted by Ex-FC Murad Ali No. 1188.

The petitioner was awarded punishment of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of two (02) years by District Police Officer, Nowshera vide OB No. 1286, dated 30.12.2020 on the allegations that he was granted 160-days earned leave, during the said leave, appeared unlawfully in A-1 Examination held by ETEA authority on 01.11.2020, by impersonation himself as Constable Abbas Akhtar No. 1199 of Elite Force (actual candidate). The Appellate Authority i.e. Regional Police Officer, Mardan converted his penalty of reduction in pay by two stages for a period of two (02) years into dismissal from service vide order Endst: No. 4033/ES, dated 02.08.2021.

Meeting of Appellate Board was held on 19.05.2022 wherein petitioner was heard in person. Petitioner denied the allegations leveled against him.

Perusal of enquiry papers revealed that the allegations against the petitioner was proved during enquiry. His conduct was detrimental to discipline and his further retention in Police is bound to negatively influence discipline of other personnel of the force. Moreover, the petitioner could not produce cogent evidence of his innocence. The Board see no ground and reasons for acceptance of his petition, therefore, the Board decided that his petition is hereby rejected.

Sd/-

### SABIR AHMED, PSP

Additional Inspector General of Police, HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

No. S/ $\frac{1269-75}{22}$ , dated Peshawar, the \_\_\_\_

2/6 /2022

# Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

- 1. Regional Police Officer, Mardan. One Service Roll and one Fauji Missal of the above named Ex-FC received vide your office Memo: No. 5561/ES, dated 06.10.2021 is returned herewith for your office record.
- 2. District Police Officer, Nowshera.
- 3. PSO to IGP/Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, CPO Peshawar.
- 4. AIG/Legal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. PA to Addl: IGP/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 6. PA to DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 7. Office Supdt: E-IV CPO Peshawar.

(DR. ZAHFDIVLLAH) PSI Alga Establishment,

For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

# "A"

# KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD, PESHAWAR.

		Murae	<u> </u>		Apellant/Petitic
· ·			Versus		
	PPO	KPK	Perhau	-1-6V	RESPONDEN
ice to A	Responder ppellant/Pet	t (3)	DPO	Nowsh	ela
•••••					
	notice tha				Preliminary hea

You may, therefore, appear before the Tribunal on the said date and at the said place either personally or through an advocate for presentation of your case, failing which your appeal shall be liable to be dismissed in default.

Copy of appeal

Registrar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.