

24.08.2022

Petitioner in person present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Muhammad Raziq, H.C for the respondents present.

02. In pursuance of the judgement of Service Tribunal dated 24.01.2022, the respondent department has issued Notification bearing No. 14654-59/EC-I dated 18.08.2022 whereby the petitioner has been promoted as officiating ASI w.e.f. 31.05.2005 and confirmed in the rank of ASI in list E w.e.f. 31.05.2007 conditionally/provisionally subject to the outcome of CPLA pending before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. Copy of the same is placed on file as well as provided to learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner stated at the Bar that the petitioner is satisfied with the implementation report/Notification dated 18.08.2022 submitted by the respondents.

03. The judgement of Service Tribunal delivered in service appeal No. 225/2018 on 24.01.2022 stands therefore, implemented. Consign.

04. Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal this 24th of August 2022.






(Mian Muhammad)
Member (E)

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET


Court of _____

Execution Petition No. 390/2022

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	06.07.2022	<p>The execution petition of Mr. Maqbool Jehan submitted today by Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR,</p>
2-	<p>7-7-2022</p> <p><i>Noted</i></p>	<p>This execution petition be put up before Single Bench at Peshawar on <u>13-07-2022</u>. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The respondents be issued notices to submit compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p>
	13.07.2022	<p>Appellant alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.</p> <p>Learned Additional Advocate General seeks time to contact the respondents for submission of implementation report. Adjourned. To come up for implementation report on 08.08.2022 before S.B.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mian Muhammad) Member (E)</p>

8.8.2022 Due to The public Holiday

The Case is Adjourned to 24-8-2022


Reader

Registered at the office of the Registrar, Government of India, New Delhi-110011.
Ministry of Defence, Government of India, New Delhi-110011.
Date: 24/07/2022


(1) In pursuance of the judgment of Service Tribunal dated 25.01.2014, the respondent department has issued a notification bearing No. 12345/2014 dated 15.02.2014, whereby the appellant was appointed as a temporary employee on a probationary basis. The outcome of CIPA petition filed before the august Supreme Court of India, dated 15.02.2014, is placed on file and it is noted that the appellant was appointed as a temporary employee on a probationary basis. The outcome of CIPA petition filed before the august Supreme Court of India, dated 15.02.2014, is placed on file and it is noted that the appellant was appointed as a temporary employee on a probationary basis.

(2) The judgment of the Service Tribunal dated 25.01.2014 stands before the Service Appeal No. 12345/2018 on 24.07.2022 stands before the Tribunal for consideration.

(3) The appellant is directed to appear before the Tribunal on 24th of July, 2022 and attend the Tribunal.

Yours faithfully,
[Signature]

POLICE DEPTT:



CCP, PESHAWAR.

**FOR PUBLICATION IN THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, POLICE GAZETTE PART-II,
ORDERS BY THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.**

NOTIFICATION.

Dated 18/08 /2022.

No. _____/EC-I, **REVISED PROMOTION/CONFIRMATION IN THE RANK OF OFFG; ASI:-** As per direction of CPO letter No. 3516/legal, dated 22.07.2022 in the light of Hon'ble Service Tribunal judgment Execution Petition No. 390/2022, in service appeal No. 225/2018. Maqbool Jahan No. P/425 now SI of CCP, Peshawar is hereby promoted as offg; ASI w.e from 31.05.2005 and confirmed in the rank of ASI in list "E" w.e from 31.05.2007 conditionally/provisionally subject to the outcome of CPLA.

**FOR CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER,
PESHAWAR.**

No. 14654-59 EC-I,

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action to the:-

1. The Addl: Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
2. The Deputy Inspector General of Police HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
3. Asstt: Inspector General of Police, Legal CPO, Peshawar w/r to his office letter No.3516/legal, dated 22.07.2022.
4. DSP Legal.
5. AS, EC-II.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

Execution petition No. 390 /2022
In Service Appeal No.225/2018

Maqbool Jehan

V/S

Police Deptt:

INDEX

S. No.	Documents	Annexure	P. No.
01.	Memo of execution petition	-----	01-02
02.	Copy of memo of appeal	A	04-07
03.	Copy of Judgment	B	08-12
	Wakalat nama	-----	13

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN)
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT
PESHAWAR

Cell No. 03339390916

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR.**

Execution petition No. 390 /2022
In Service Appeal No.225/2018



Mst. Maqbool Jehan SI No.1317P,
Capital City Police Peshawar.

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The Provincial Police officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Capital City Police officer Peshawar.
3. The Senior Superintendent of Police Headquarters, Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS

.....

**EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE
RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE
JUDGMENT DATED 24.01.2022 OF THIS
HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL IN
LETTER AND SPIRIT.**


.....

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the petitioner has filed an appeal bearing No.225/2018 in this august Service Tribunal against the order dated 28.04.2017, whereby the departmental appeal of the petitioner for confirmation as ASI w.e.from 31.05.2005 and promotion to list-E w.e.from 31.05.2007 as mentioned in the order dated 12.09.2009 passed on the basis of Honourable Peshawar High Court Peshawar has been rejected with the prayer that on the acceptance of the service appeal of the petitioner the order dated 28.04.2017 may be set aside and the respondents may be directed to consider the petitioner and mentioned him as confirmed ASI w.e.from 31.05.2007 as mentioned in the order dated 12.09.2009. (Copy of memo of appeal is attached as Annexure-A)

2. That the said appeal was heard on 24.01.2022 and accepted the appeal of the petitioner as prayed for. **(Copy of judgment dated 24.01.2022 is attached as Annexure-B)**
3. That the since the announcement of the judgment, the petitioner has waited for more than four months to implement the judgment dated 24.01.2022 of this Honorable Tribunal, but the respondents have not taken action on the judgment dated 24.01.2022 till date.
4. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the respondents after passing the judgment of this Honorable Service Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
5. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the respondents are legally bound to implement the judgment dated 24.01.2022 of this Honorable Service Tribunal in letter and spirit.
6. That the petitioner has having no other remedy except to file this execution petition for Implementation of judgment dated 24.01.2022 of this Honorable Service Tribunal.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may be directed to implement the judgment dated 24.01.2022 of this Honorable Service Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this Honorable Service Tribunal deems fit and appropriate may also be awarded in favour of petitioner.


PETITIONER
Maqbool Jehan

THROUGH:


(TAIMUR ALI KHAN)
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT,

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the execution petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this august Service Tribunal.




DEPONENT

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. _____/2017

Mr. Maqbool Jehan, SI NO.1317/P,
Capital City Police, Peshawar.

.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. The Provincial Police Officer, KPK Peshawar.
2. The Central city Police Officer, Peshawar. -
3. The SSP Headquarters, Peshawar.

.....(Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 28.04.2017 RECEIVED BY THE APPELLANT ON 04.12.2017 WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL FOR CONFIRMATION AS ASI W.E.FROM 31.05.2005 AND PROMOTION TO LIST-E W.E.FROM 31.05.2007 AS MENTIONED IN THE ORDER DATED 12.09.2009 PASSED ON THE BASIS OF HONORABLE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT PESHAWAR HAS BEEN REJECTED FOR NO GOOD GROUNDS.

PRAYER:

THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 28.04.2017 MAY BE SET ASIDE AND THE RESPONDENTS MAY BE DIRECTED TO CONSIDER THE APPELLANT AND MENTION HIM AS CONFIRMED ASI W.E.FROM 31.05.2007 AS MENTIONED IN THE ORDER DATED 12.09.2009. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

FACTS:

1. That the appellant joined the FRP during the year 1987. The appellant has passed lower college course in 1999 and intermediate college course at PTC Hangu in the year 2004. The appellant was promoted as officiating ASI on 31.05.2005. All the dates are recorded in Departmental Appeal of the appellant. (the copy of which is attached as Annexure-E)
2. That in the year 2007, some colleagues of the appellant filed Writ Petition in the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar against the discriminatory treatment of FRP because colleagues of the appellant (Petitioners in the Writ Petition) were not treated as regular police despite of performance duties as such and also against the reversion / demotion in the case of transfer to the district of domicile. The Writ Petition was heard on 20.03.2008 and the Honorable Court was kind enough to pass an order that *"We feel that apparently the FRP is now a regular establishment and no more a transit force and there is no proof that the personal working therein was temporarily posted for five years, therefore, the discriminatory treatment, meted out to them is violative of the fundamental rights, particularly when. They will be placed at any extra-ordinary junior position if transferred to the Districts and enlisted there on the basis of their length of service and experience in all branches of police force. In any case, since the petitioners have not been transferred out of the Frontier Reserve Police within the prescribed period of five years, as stated in the year impugned order, therefore, a mistake on the part of the concerned authorities can not put the petitioners in an adverse situation and they can not be penalized for the fault of the others. Moreover, the decision to rectify a wrong practice shall definitely operate prospectively and it can not be applied to the continuously serving the department of over fifteen years. We, therefore, direct that the case of the regularization, promotion and opportunities for enlistment in the intermediate course etc. shall re-examined at a higher level under the supervision of the Provincial Police Officer and the decision dated 16.02.2017 may be re-considered by the concerned DPC so that nobody shall be discriminatively deprived of his legal rights and that no decision be made operative retrospectively damaging the members of the discipline force who have to perform extra ordinary duties and who deserve to be adequately compensated and encourage. The re-consideration process be finalized within a period of two months and*

the result thereof be communicated to be petitioners and a report may be forwarded to the Registrar of the Court. with these observations these petitions are disposed of. (Copy of the Judgment is attached as Annexure-A)

3. That after the judgment of the august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, the PPO KPK issued a letter dater 10.06.2009 in which it was clarified "to give effect to the orders of the Honorable High Court recommends that earlier decision on the DPC should not be applied retrospectively and all officials of the FRP be given permanent status and may be confirmed to their rank with their colleagues after completing their probation period as per FR 13;18". (Copy of the Order 10.6.2009 is attached as Annexure-B)
4. That on the basis of the above decision of the committee, the appellant was considered as promoted Officiating ASI with effect from 31.05.2005 and confirmed in the rank of ASI and promoted to List-E with effect from 31.05.2007 vide order dated 12.09.2009. (Copy of order 12.09.2009 is attached as Annexure-C)
5. That as exact time, the appellant was transferred to Malakand Region along with other colleagues as officiating ASI vide order dated 14.11.2007, but the appellant was reverted to the rank of H.C by the then DIG Malakand Region-III being officiating ASI. But at that time, the judgment of the High Court was not in field.
6. That the appellant was again transferred from Malakand Division to Peshawar Range and in the office of CCPO, Peshawar. The appellant's name is still mentioned in the record as officiating ASI which is totally violation of the order of dated 12.09.2009. Even the appellant name has not been incorporated in the list-E of the CCPO Peshawar office. The appellant asked about non inclusion of his name in List-E, he was simply informed by the concerned office that he is still officiating ASI, therefore, the appellant forthwith filed Departmental Appeal on 11.01.2013 and waited for statutory period of 90 days. (Copy of the appeal is attached as Annexure-D)
7. That after the statutory period of 90 days, the appellant filed service appeal No.1010/2013 in the august Service Tribunal which was decided on 29.03.2017 in which this august Tribunal observed that as the respondents are in a position to decide the grievances of the appellant in accordance with judgment of the august Peshawar High

Court Peshawar dated 20.03.2008 and according to their stance taken in the written comments, the same is under consideration in departmental appeal as such the august Service Tribunal directed that the grievances of the appellant be positively decided by the respondents without further loss of time and within a period of one month from the date of the communication of this judgment. **(Copy of judgment dated 29.03.2017 is attached as Annexure-E)**

8. That as the respondents did not take any action within one month, therefore the appellant execution petition No.184/2017 for implementation of judgment dated 29.03.2017 and during the pendency of the execution petition the respondents provide the order adted 28.04.2017 on 04.12.2017 whereby the departmental appeal of the appellant was rejected. **(Copies of order dated 28.04.2017 and order sheet dated 04.12.2017 are attached as Annexure-F&G)**
9. That now the appellant wants tofile the present appeal on the following grounds amongst the others:


GROUND:

- A) That the order adted 28.04.2017 and not considering the appellant as confirmed ASI as per order dated 12.09.2009 are against the law, facts, norms of justice, and material on record, therefore, not tenable.
- B) That the appellant has been confirmed ASI with effect from 31.05.2007 as per order dated 12.09.2009 which was passed on the direction of Peshawar High Court, therefore, the said benefits can not be taken away from the appellant.
- C) That the appellant has not been treated according to law and rules, rather he has been dealt in an arbitrary manner by not considering him as confirmed ASI which will badly affect his service career even in the coming promotion.
- D) That the order dated 10.06.2009 and 12.09.2009 are still in filed, therefore, the appellant can not be refused benefits of those orders.
- E) That it was mentioned in the rejection order dated 28.4.2017 of the departmental appeal of the appellant that the name of the appellant was placed at the biottom of D" list bof head Constable on transfer from Bunir to CCPO Peshawar, but as per FRP Standing order No.4 the seniority of constable so transferred shall be determind on the basis of their entry into service. They will not be placed at the

bottom of the list of constables. (Copy of standing order No.4 is attached as annexure-H)

- F) That the appellant has been discriminated because all his colleagues who stood confirmed and regularized on the basis of Peshawar High Court which are still enjoy their ranks whereas the appellant has been given a rank of officiating ASI, which is great injustice to the appellant
- G) That the appellant seeks permission to advance others grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.


It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.



APPELLANT

Maqbool Jehan

THROUGH:



**(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)
ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT**

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN),

&

**SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI
(ADVOCATES, PESHAWAR)**

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR



APPEAL NO. 225 /2018

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 1470

Dated 29-12-2017

Mr. Maqbool Jehan, SI NO.1317/P,
Capital City Police, Peshawar.

.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. The Provincial Police Officer, KPK Peshawar.
2. The Central city Police Officer, Peshawar.
3. The SSP Headquarters, Peshawar.

.....(Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 28.04.2017 RECEIVED BY THE APPELLANT ON 04.12.2017 WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL FOR CONFIRMATION AS ASI W.E.FROM 31.05.2005 AND PROMOTION TO LIST-E W.E.FROM 31.05.2007 AS MENTIONED IN THE ORDER DATED 12.09.2009 PASSED ON THE BASIS OF HONORABLE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT PESHAWAR HAS BEEN REJECTED FOR NO GOOD GROUNDS.

PRAYER:

THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 28.04.2017 MAY BE SET ASIDE AND THE RESPONDENTS MAY BE DIRECTED TO CONSIDER THE APPELLANT AND MENTION HIM AS CONFIRMED ASI W.E.FROM 31.05.2007 AS MENTIONED IN THE ORDER DATED 12.09.2009. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

Filed to-day
29/12/17

Examination to-day
16/2/18

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 225/2018

Date of Institution ... 29.12.2017

Date of Decision ... 24.01.2022



Mr. Maqbool Jehan, SI No. 1317/P Capital City Police, Peshawar.

... (Appellant)

VERSUS

The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others.

... (Respondents)

Taimur Ali Khan,
Advocate

... For Appellant

Muhammad Adeel Butt,
Additional Advocate General

... For respondents

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN ...
ATIQU-UR-REHMAN WAZIR ...


CHAIRMAN
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT

ATIQU-UR-REHMAN WAZIR MEMBER (E):- Brief facts of the case are

that the appellant joined Frontier Reserve Police(FRP) as Constable in the year 1987 and was promoted as Officiating ASI vide order dated 31-05-2005 and confirmed in the rank and promoted to E list with effect from 31-05-2007 vide order dated 12-09-2009. In the meanwhile, the appellant was transferred to Malakand region alongwith other colleagues vide order dated 14-11-2007 and was later on transferred to Peshawar, but name of the appellant is still mentioned in the record as Officiating ASI. Name of the appellant was also not incorporated in the list E of the CCPO Office Peshawar, against which the appellant filed departmental appeal dated 11-01-2013, followed by Service Appeal No. 1010/2013 with prayers to include his name as confirmed ASI as well as inclusion


ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

of his name in promotion list E with effect from 31-05-2007 as mentioned in order dated 12-09-2009 passed on the strength of judgment of Peshawar High Court dated 20-03-2008. This tribunal accepted his stance vide judgment dated 29-03-2017 and remanded his case to the respondents to decide departmental appeal of the appellant. departmental appeal of the appellant was examined by the respondents and was rejected vide order dated 28-04-2017, hence the instant service appeal with prayers that the impugned order dated 28-04-2017 may be set aside and the appellant may be considered as confirmed ASI with effect from 31-05-2007 as mentioned in order dated 12-09-2009.

02. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the order dated 28-04-2017 and not considering the appellant as confirmed ASI as per order dated 12-09-2009 are against law, facts and norms of natural justice, therefore not tenable and liable to be set aside; that the appellant has been confirmed ASI with effect from 31-05-2007 as per order dated 12-09-2009, which was passed on the direction of Peshawar High Court, therefore, the same benefit cannot be taken away from the appellant; that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law, hence his rights secured under the law has badly been violated; that the orders dated 10-06-2009 and 12-09-2009 are still in field, therefore the appellant cannot be refused benefits of such orders; that as mentioned in the rejection order dated 28-04-2017 of the departmental appeal of the appellant that the name of the appellant was placed at the bottom of D list of head constables on transfer from Buner to CCPO Peshawar, but as per FRP Standing order No 4, the seniority of constables so transferred shall be determined on the basis of entry into service and they will not be placed at the bottom of the list of constables; that the appellant has been discriminated as all his colleagues who stood confirmed and regularized on the basis of Peshawar High Court judgment, who still enjoy their ranks, whereas the appellant has been given a rank of officiating ASI, which is grave injustice meted out to the appellant.

ATTESTED



 EXAMINER
 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
 Service Tribunal
 Peshawar

03. Learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents has contended that the appellant had already agitated the same issue before this tribunal in service appeal No 1010/2013, which was decided vide judgment dated 29-03-2017 and his case was remanded to the respondents for deciding his departmental appeal; that the respondents examined his appeal but was found devoid of merit, hence was rejected vide order dated 28-04-2017; that the appellant was received as incharge head constable and not as ASI, hence was correctly fixed in his cadre in accordance with law.

04. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

05. Record reveals that the appellant joined FRP in the year 1987 and had gone through the process of promotion, was promoted as officiating ASI on 31-05-2005, confirmed as ASI on 31-05-2007. Since the FRP was not treated at par with regular police in respect of promotion and other allied issues, hence other colleagues of the appellant filed Writ Petition No. 1615-07/2007, which was decided in their favor, vide judgment dated 20-03-2008. In light of the judgment *ibid*, the provincial police officer vide order dated 10-06-2009 had advised the Commandant FRP that benefits received by officials be kept intact, in case they are repatriated to the districts of their domicile and they should not be deprived of any right as per decision of the High Court. In light of order of provincial police officer, the commandant FRP vide order dated transferred the appellant to regular police and regularized according to Rule-13:18 of Police Rules, 1934 and his services handed over to Peshawar police being promoted as officiating ASI on 31-05-2005 and confirmed as ASI as well as promoted to list E with effect from 31-05-2007 vide order dated 12-09-2009. On arrival to Peshawar police, he was placed as officiating ASI, which was challenged by the appellant in this tribunal vide Service Appeal No. 1010/2013, which was decided by this Tribunal vide judgment dated 29-03-2017, operative part of which is reproduced as under:-

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

"The respondents are in a position to decide the grievance of the appellant in accordance with the judgment of the august High Court Peshawar dated 20-03-2008 and according to their stance taken in the written statement, the same is under consideration in departmental appeal as such we direct that the grievance of the appellant be positively decided by the respondents without further loss of time and within one month, in case they fail to decide the said grievance of the appellant within the specified period of one month then it shall be deemed that they have accepted the stance and entitlement of the appellant and in such eventuality he shall be considered as officiating ASI with effect from 31-05-2005 and confirmed ASI in list E with effect from 31-05-2007."


06. Keeping in view the judgment cited above coupled with the judgment of Peshawar high court dated 20-03-2008, which had emphasized that the benefits already availed in FRP cannot be taken away, in case the appellant is transferred to his home district. Case of the appellant also falls under the category, which is evident from his transfer order dated 12-09-2009 and refusal of the same benefits would amount to negation of their own order dated 10-06-2009 as well as to the orders of Peshawar High Court in judgment dated 20-03-2008. This Tribunal had already admitted stance of the appellant in judgment dated 29-03-2017, whereas the respondents did not produce any solid reason of refusing such benefits to the appellant, hence we are constrained to accept the instant appeal as prayed for. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to record room.

ANNOUNCED
24.01.2022

Certified to be true copy

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar


(AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN)
CHAIRMAN


(ATIQU-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)
MEMBER (E)

Date of Presentation of Application 25/4/22
Name of Applicant 2000
Case No. 22/-
Date of Filing 31/7
Date of Decision 26/-
Date of Delivery of Copy 25/4/22
25/4/22

VAKALAT NAMA

NO. _____/2021.

IN THE COURT OF KP Service Tribunal, Peshawar

Maqbool Jehan

(Appellant)
(Petitioner)
(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

Police Deptt

(Respondent)
(Defendant)

I/We, Maqbool Jehan


Do hereby appoint and constitute **Taimur Ali Khan, Advocate High Court Peshawar**, to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/Counsel on my/our costs.

I/We authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case at any stage of the proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against me/us.

Dated _____/2021


(CLIENT)

ACCEPTED


TAIMUR ALI KHAN
Advocate High Court
BC-10-4240
CNIC: 17101-7395544-5
Cell No. 0333-9390916

OFFICE:
Room # FR-8, 4th Floor,
Bilour Plaza, Peshawar,
Cantt: Peshawar