




Form- A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Execution Petition No. _____ 94 /2022

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	09.02.2022	<p>The execution petition of Mr. Rehmat Ullah submitted today by Sheikh Iftikhar Ul Haq Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>
2	28.03.2022	<p>This execution petition be put up before touring S. Bench at D.I.Khan on <u>28-03-2022</u>.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p> <p>Counsels are on strike. To come up for further proceedings tomorrow on 29.03.2022 before S.B at camp court, D.I.Khan.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN, Camp Court, D.I.Khan</p>
	29.03.2022	<p>Counsel for the petitioner present.</p> <p>Notice be given to the respondents for the next date. To come up for implementation report on 24.05.2022 before S.B at camp court, D.I.Khan.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CHAIRMAN, Camp Court, D.I.Khan</p>

Late Diary

29th March, 2022

Mr. Muhammad Ramzan, S.I (Legal) on behalf of the respondents put appearance in the late hours and stated that on seeing the cause list he put appearance. Being representative of the respondents he is directed to produce order implementing the judgment of this Tribunal on the date fixed. Adjourned to 24.05.2022 before S.B at camp court, D.I.Khan.



CHAIRMAN,
Camp Court, D.I.Khan


24.05.2022

Counsel for the petitioner present.

Farhaj Sikander District Attorney along with Muhammad Ramzan SI (Legal) for respondents present.

At the very outset implementation report in respect of reinstatement of the petitioner was produced before the Tribunal. Learned counsel for the petitioner requested for withdrawal of the instant execution proceedings in view of order dated 20.05.2022; allowed. Hence, the execution petition stands filed being fully satisfied. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced.
24.05.2022



(Rozina Rehman)
Member (J)
Camp Court, D.I.Khan

**BEFORE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR
CHECK LIST**

Case Title: Rehmat ulhaq vs Govt of K.P.K. etc

S.#	Contents	Yes	No
1.	This appeal has been presented by: _____	/	
2.	Whether Counsel / Appellant / Respondent / Deponent have signed the requisite documents?	/	
3.	Whether Appeal is within time?	/	
4.	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned?	/	
5.	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?	/	
6.	Whether affidavit is appended?	/	
7.	Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent oath commissioner?	/	
8.	Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?	/	
9.	Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished?	/	
10.	Whether annexures are legible?	/	
11.	Whether annexures are attested?	/	
12.	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?	/	
13.	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to A.G.D.A.G?	/	
14.	Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents?	/	
15.	Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct?	/	
16.	Whether appeal contains cuttings/overwriting?	/	
17.	Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal?	/	
18.	Whether case relate to this Court?	/	
19.	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?	/	
20.	Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover?	/	
21.	Whether addresses of parties given are complete?	/	
22.	Whether index filed?	/	
23.	Whether index is correct?	/	
24.	Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? on _____	/	
25.	Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? on _____	/	
26.	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? on _____	/	
27.	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite party? on _____	/	

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled.

Name: Rehmat ulhaq

Signature: Through Counsel

Dated: 4.2.2022

Sheikh Ghaffar ul Haq

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL CAMP COURT DERA ISMAIL KHAN**

Implementation Petition No. 94 of 2022

In Service Appeal No. 641 /2018

Decided on 28/10/2021

Rehmatullah

Versus

Govt. of KPK etc

INDEX

Sr. #	Particulars of Documents	Annexure	Page
1.	Grounds of implementation Petition along with affidavit	--	1-4
2.	Copies of the grounds of appeal and order dated 28/10/2021 along with application and correspondence	A, B & C	5-14
3.	Vakalat Nama	--	- 15 -

Date: 31 /01/2022

Yours Humble Petitioner

Rehmatullah

Through Counsel

Sheikh Iftikhar ul Haq
Advocate High Court

03459785920


①

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL CAMP COURT DERA ISMAIL KHAN**

Implementation Petition No. 94 of 2022

In Service Appeal No: 641 /2018

Decided on 28/10/2021



Rehmatullah son of Amanullah Khan caste Kundi r/o
village Nandoor P.O Pai, Tehsil & District Tank.

Cell#0334-9416856

..... **Petitioner**

VERSUS

1. The Provincial Police Officer/Inspector General Of Police
Khybar Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Regional Police
Officer, Region Dera Ismail Khan.
3. District Police Officer Tank.

..... **Respondents**

**IMPLEMENTATION PETITION UNDER KHYBER PAKHUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 READ WITH KPK SERVICE
TRIBUNAL SERVICE TRIBUNAL RULES 1974 AS AMENDED
FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN
SERVICE APPEAL NO. 641/2018 DECIDED ON 28/10/2021
BY THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL.**

2

Respectfully Sheweth:-

The Petitioner most respectfully submits as under:-

1. That the appellant was appointed as constable on 29/09/2007 and served the department with great zeal and zest.
2. That during performance of duty the petitioner was malafidely charged in FIR dated 26/10/2010 u/s 302 PPC registered at Police Station SMA Tank.
3. That the petitioner was dismissed from service on 28/05/2011 and was acquitted from the criminal case vide order dated 20/06/2016.
4. That after the acquittal the appellant filed a departmental appeal which was rejected on 31/05/2017, then filed revision petition which was also rejected on 15/03/2017. Later on the service appeal No. 641/2018 was submitted on 12/04/2018, which was accepted by this Honourable Tribunal on 28/10/2021. The appellant then submitted various applications for implementation of order dated 28/10/2021 which were forwarded accordingly. Copies of the grounds of appeal and order dated 28/10/2021 along with application and correspondence are annexed as **Annexure-A, B & C**.
5. That now the respondents are not implementing the order dated 28/10/2021, hence, the instant implementation petition is being filed before this Honourable Tribunal.

GROUND

- A. That the acts and omissions of the respondents authorities to not obeyed/implement the order of this honourable tribunal in its true letter and spirit are clear cut violation of law, statutes and constitution.
- B. That lame excuses on behalf of respondents/authorities are not maintainable and respondents are required to implement the judgment of this honourable Tribunal in its true letter and spirit.

3

C. That the Counsel for the Petitioner may kindly be allowed to raise further legal grounds during the course of arguments.

It is therefore, humbly requested that the respondents be directed to fully implement the judgment/order of this honourable tribunal dated 28/10/2021.

Date: 31/01/2022

Yours Humble Petitioner


Rehmatullah

Through Counsel


Sheikh Iftikhar ul Haq
Advocate High Court

4

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL CAMP COURT DERA ISMAIL KHAN**

Implementation Petition No. _____ of 2022

In Service Appeal No. 641 /2018

Decided on 28/10/2021

Rehmatullah

Versus

Govt. of KPK etc

AFFIDAVIT


I, **Rehmatullah** son of Amanullah Khan caste Kundi r/o village Nandoor P.O Pai, Tehsil & District Tank, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that contents of above Petition are true & correct to the best of my knowledge and that nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Court.

Dated: ____/01/2022

Identified by:


**Sheikh Iftikhar ul Haq
Advocate High Court**


DEPONENT



5

1

Annex A



BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 641/2018

550
Date: 12-4-2018

Rehmat Ullah S/o Aman Ullah Khan Caste Kundi R/o Gulshan Colony, Tehsil & District Tank.

.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. Provincial Police Officer / Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Deputy Inspector General of Police / Regional Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan.
3. District Police Officer, Tank.

.....(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974.

PRAYER:-

ON ACCEPTANCE OF INSTANT APPEAL THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL BE PLEASED TO SET ASIDE THE DISMISSAL ORDER DATED 28/05/2011 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO. 3 AND APPELLATE ORDER DATED 15/03/2018, 31/05/2017 VIDE WHICH THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WAS REJECTED / FILED PASSED BY THE RESPONDENTS. AND THE APPELLANT BE REINSTATED AS CONSTABLE IN THE INCUMBENCY OF RESPONDENTS AUTHORITY WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS.

Filed to-day
Registrar
12/4/18

Re-submitted to -day
and filed
Registrar
14/5/18

ATTESTED


Registrar
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

Respectfully Sheweth:-

Brief facts giving rise to instant appeal are as under:-

⑥ ②

- 1- That appellant was appointed as constable on 29/09/2007 in the Police Department Tank.
- 2- That the appellant performed this with full satisfaction of superior, and there is stigma and dents in their services.
- 3- That on 26/10/2010, the appellant falsely implicated in the murder case at the result of which the appellant was dismissed from service on 28/05/2011.
- 4- That the appellant was later on acquitted on the basis of compromise from the murder case on 20/06/2016. Copy of the documents in this regard are enclosed as **Annexure "A, B & C"**.
- 5- That the appellant then submitted departmental appeal which was dismissed on 31/05/2017 and later on Revision was also dismissed on 15/03/2018. Copy of departmental appeal, orders dated 31/05/2017 and 15/03/2018 are enclosed as **Annexure "D, E & F"**. It is also pertinent to mention here that separate petition for condonation of delay is filed with the instant appeal by the Appellant, although the instant is well within time from the final order of the appellate authority. Moreover, if any condonation has been occurred that will be condoned in the attendant circumstances.
- 6- That the appellant feeling aggrieved from the impugned orders of the respondents authority, hence the instant service appeal on the following grounds:-



GROUND:-

- a) That the impugned orders are against law, facts and circumstances of the case as the appellant never remained absent from duties.
- b) That the impugned orders are against principle of law and service rules and establishment Code.
- c) That the respondents authority never conducted inquiry against the appellants and the impugned

ATTESTED
EX. M. N. P.
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

7

3

order has been passed in slip shed manner and never sustainable in the eyes of law.

d) That the appellant was never personally heard while passing the impugned order of dismissal of the appellant.

e) That every acquittal from the Honourable Court and criminal case is considered to be Honourable Acquittal and in the light of judgment of Superior Courts the employee has been reinstated after the acquittal from the Trial Court or appellate Court.

f) That the Counsel of the Appellant may very graciously be allowed to add further grounds during the course of arguments.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the instant appeal may be accepted as prayed for.

Your Humble Appellant

Rehmat Ullah
Through Counsel

Dated: 10/04/2018

Shaikh Iftikhar Ul Haq
Advocate High Court,
Dera Ismail Khan.

AFFIDAVIT

I, **Rehmat Ullah** S/o Aman Ullah Khan Caste Kundi R/o Gulshan Colony, Tehsil & District Tank, the appellant; do hereby solemnly affirm declared on oath that contents of the above **Appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

ATTESTED

EXAMINED
Khayber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

ABDUL MAJEED KHAN LAGARI
Abdul Majid
Oath Commissioner D. I Khan
Date: 10/04/18

DEPONENT

8

Ann = "B"

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR
AT CAMP COURT D.I.KHAN**

Service Appeal No. 641/2018

Date of Institution ... 12.04.2018

Date of Decision ... 28.10.2021



Rehmat Ullah S/o Aman Ullah Khan Caste Kundi R/o Gulshan Colony, Tehsil & District Tank. ... (Appellant)

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer/Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and two others. ... (Respondents)

Mr. Shaikh Iftikhar Ul-Haq,
Advocate

... For Appellant

Mr. Muhammad Rasheed,
Deputy District Attorney

... For Respondents

ROZINA REHMAN ...
ATIQU-UR-REHMAN WAZIR ...

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT

ATIQU-UR-REHMAN WAZIR MEMBER (E):-

Brief facts of the

case are that the appellant joined police department as constable on 29-09-2007. During the course of his career, an FIR was lodged against the appellant U/S 302 PPC Dated 26-10-2010. The appellant was proceeded against ex-parte on the charges of his involvement in criminal case and was ultimately dismissed from service vide order dated 28-05-2011. The appellant was acquitted of the criminal charges vide judgment dated 20-06-2016. After his acquittal, the appellant filed departmental appeal, which was rejected vide order dated 31-05-2017. The appellant filed revision petition, which was also rejected vide order dated 15-03-2017, hence the instant service appeal with prayers that the impugned orders

ATTIESTED

[Signature]
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

dated 28-05-2011, 31-05-2017 and 15-03-2018 may be set aside and the appellant may be re-instated in service with all back benefits.

02. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the impugned orders are against law, facts and circumstances of the case as the appellant never remained absent from duty; that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law, as no inquiry was conducted against the appellant and the impugned order has been passed in slip shod manner, which is not sustainable in the eye of law; that ex-parte action was initiated against the appellant and the appellant was kept deprived of personal hearing; that the appellant was acquitted of the same charges by the court of law, upon which the appellant was dismissed from service; that there remains no ground with the respondents to dismiss the appellant as the appellant had already been acquitted of the charges.

03. Learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents has contended that the appellant was charged in a murder case and FIR to this effect was lodged against the appellant U/S 302 Dated 26-10-2010; that after registration of FIR against him, the appellant went in hiding and did not join disciplinary proceedings; that the appellant was proceeded against ex-parte and all the codal formalities were fulfilled; that charge sheet/statement of allegations were sent at his home address and an inquiry was also conducted against the appellant, but the appellant did not turn up, hence he was proceeded ex-parte and was awarded with major punishment of dismissal from service vide order dated 28-05-2011; that the appellant was acquitted of the charges due to compromise between the parties vide judgment dated 20-06-2016.

04. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

05. Record reveals that the appellant was charged in a murder case and was departmentally proceeded against on the charges of his involvement in criminal case. The appellant was ultimately dismissed from service on the same charges. In

ATTESTED

05.

Signature
 Deputy District Attorney
 Service with Honors

10

a situation, principle of natural justice demands that respondents must have waited for decision of a criminal court, which is also supported by section 194-A of CSR. It is also settled law that dismissal of civil servant from service due to pendency of criminal case against him would be bad unless such official was found guilty by competent court of law. Contents of FIR would remain unsubstantiated allegations, and based on the same, maximum penalty could not be imposed upon a civil servant. Reliance is placed on PLJ 2015 Tr.C. (Services) 197, PLJ 2015 Tr.C. (Services) 208 and PLJ 2015 Tr.C. (Services) 152. As is evident from their comments, the respondents proceeded the appellant in haste and did not afford appropriate opportunity of defense as was required under the provisions of law and rules, rather conducted ex-parte proceedings only to the extent of fulfillment of codal formalities, hence the appellant was condemned unheard. In case of imposing major penalty, principle of natural justice requires that a regular inquiry be conducted in matter and opportunity of defense may be provided to civil servant proceeded against. Moreover, if a civil servant is dismissed from service on account of his involvement in criminal case, then he would have been well within his right to claim re-instatement in service after acquittal from that case. Reliance is placed on 2017 PLC (CS) 1076. In 2012 PLC (CS) 502, it has been held that if a person is acquitted of a charge, the presumption would be that he was innocent. Moreover, after acquittal of the appellant in the criminal case, there was no material available with the authorities to take action and impose major penalty. Reliance is placed on 2003 SCMR 207 and 2002 SCMR 57, 1993 PLC (CS) 460.

06. The appellant however was acquitted of the criminal charges vide judgment dated 20-06-2016, thereafter he filed departmental appeal, which cannot be termed as barred by time, as the Supreme Court of Pakistan in its judgment reported as PLD 2010 SC 695 has held that it would have been a futile attempt on part of civil servant to challenge his removal from service before earning acquittal in the relevant criminal case. Moreover, it is a well settled legal proposition that decision of cases on merit is always encouraged instead of non-suiting litigants on

ATTESTED


[Signature]
 Director
 Service Commission
 Islamabad




technical reason including ground of limitation. Reliance is placed on 2004 PLC (CS) 1014 and 1999 SCMR 880.


07. In view of the foregoing discussion, the instant appeal is accepted. The appellant is re-instated in service. The intervening period is treated as leave without pay. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to record room.

ANNOUNCED
28.10.2021


(ROZINA REHMAN)
MEMBER (J)
CAMP COURT, D.I.KHAN.


(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)
MEMBER (E)
CAMP COURT, D.I.KHAN

Certified to be true copy


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

Date of Presentation of Application 24-01-2022
Number of Words 3600
Copying Fee 38/-
Urgent 4/-
Total 42/-
Name of Copyist _____
Date of Completion of Copy 24-01-2022
Date of Delivery of Copy 24-01-2022

گذشتہ فیفا D.P.O. سے منسلک

درخواست بردار اوقات سے 28-10-21

عدالت (D.P.O. Camp Court) میں عمل درآمد کرتے ہیں

میں کو رہیں اور سب ملازمت میں کال کرانے کے وقت

فیفا

گزارش ہے کہ میں نے درخواستیں دیکھی ہیں

جو کہ سے 28-10-21 کو سب کو اپنا سب ملازمت سے منقطع رہا ہے

سب میں سے مذکورہ عدالت سے اطلاع دیا گیا ہے

28-10-21 کو سب کو ملازمت میں کال کرانے کے وقت ان کے ساتھ

صدارت کے تحت میں سب میں ایک ہی وقت میں کال کرانے میں

میں نے دیکھی ہے کہ میں نے عدالت میں کال کرانے میں کال

کے اوقات صاف نہیں ہیں - 16-11-21

میں نے دیکھی ہے کہ میں نے عدالت میں کال کرانے میں کال

Attached to be Ram

Ram

13

FROM: - The District Police Officer,
Tank.

TO :- The Provincial Police Officer,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.


No. 4158 dated Tank the 19/11 /2021.

SUBJECT: - JUDGEMENT IN APPEAL NO.641/2018 EX-CNSTABLE REHMATULLAH
NO662

MEMO:

It is submitted that in the subject case Service Tribunal Court Peshawar decided the case in favor of appellant on 28.10.2021. An application has been submitted to the concerned court on same date for the provision of Judgment copy.

On 16.11.2021, judgment of the case received to this office which is enclosed herewith submitted for further legal action / opinion, please.



District Police Officer,
Tank.

No. 4159

Copy of the above is submitted to the Regional Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan Range for favor of information, please.


District Police Officer,
Tank.

Attended to be true
copy


Ralant

To

14


The provincial police officer,
KPK Peshawar.

No. 4158 dt. 19/11/2021.
end-⑥

NO. 26649/CG

21-11-2021

Attempted to be
true
copy
Rajinder


SH. IFTIKHAR UL HAQ
 Advocate High Court
 N.C. 12201-0316740 9
 S.No 1365
 Issuing Authority

وکالت نامہ (15)



Camp cont D. I. Khan

Before Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal
 Petitioner Rahmatullah
 Rahmatullah vs Govt of K.P.K. etc
 Implementation Petition

دعویٰ یا جرم

تفصیل دعویٰ یا جرم

باعث تحریر آنکہ

D. I. Khan
 Shoukht Khan v. The Bench High Court

مقدمہ مندرجہ بالا نمونہ میں اپنی طرف واسطے بیرونی وجہاً دہی برائے پیشی یا تفسیر مقدمہ جہاں
 کو حسب ذیل شرائط پر وکیل مقرر کیا ہے کہ میں پیشی پر خود یا بڑا بندر یا برو عدالت حاضر ہوتا رہوں گا اور ہر وقت پکارے جانے مقدمہ وکیل صاحب
 موصوف کو اطلاع دے کر حاضر عدالت کروں گا اگر پیشی پر مظہر حاضر نہ ہو اور مقدمہ میری غیر حاضری کی وجہ سے کسی طور میرے خلاف ہو گیا تو صاحب
 موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام پکھری کے علاوہ یا پکھری کے اوقات سے پہلے یا پچھے یا بروز تعطیل
 بیرونی کرنے کے ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے اور مقدمہ صدر پکھری کے علاوہ اور جگہ سماعت ہونے یا بروز تعطیل یا پکھری کے اوقات کے آگے یا پچھے پیش ہونے
 پر مظہر کوئی تھیماں پہنچے تو اس کے ذمہ دار یا اسکے واسطے کسی معاوضہ کے ادا کرنے یا سخت نہ واپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے مجھ
 کو کل ساختہ پر وادخت صاحب موصوف میں کردہ ذات خود منظور قبول ہو گا اور صاحب موصوف کو عرض دعویٰ یا جواب دعویٰ یا درخواست اجراء اسے ذمہ
 نظر ثانی اپیل گمرانی و ہر قسم درخواست ہر قسم کے بیان دینے اور پر مائی یا راضی نامہ و فیصلہ بر حلف کرنے اقبال دعویٰ کا بھی اختیار ہو گا اور یہ ذمہ مقرر ہونے
 تاریخ پیشی مقدمہ مزکورہ بیرون از پکھری صدر بیرونی مقدمہ مزکورہ نظر ثانی اپیل و گمرانی و برآمدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ذمہ کی طرف یا درخواست تم اقامتی یا قرتی
 یا گرفتاری قبل از فیصلہ اجراء ذمہ کی بھی صاحب موصوف کو بشرط ادا چکی علیحدہ مختصراً ہی کا اختیار ہو گا اور تمام ساختہ پروادخت صاحب موصوف میں کردہ
 از خود منظور و قبول ہو گا اور بصورت ضرورت صاحب موصوف کو یہ بھی اختیار ہو کہ مقدمہ مزکورہ یا اس کے کسی جزو کی کارروائی یا بصورت درخواست نظر ثانی
 اپیل گمرانی یا دیگر معاملہ و قدمہ مذکورہ کسی دوسرے وکیل یا ہر سٹر کو اپنے بجائے یا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں اور ایسے مشیر قانون کو بھی ہر امر میں دہی اور دیسے
 اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے جیسے صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں اور دوران مقدمہ میں جو کچھ بر جانہ التوا پڑے گا وہ صاحب موصوف کا حق ہو گا مگر
 صاحب موصوف کو بیرونی فیس تاریخ پیشی سے پہلے ادا نہ کروں گا تو صاحب موصوف کو پروا اختیار ہو گا کہ مقدمہ کی بیرونی نہ کریں اور ایسی صورت
 میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کسی قسم کا صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا

لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھ دیا ہے تاکہ مندرجہ
 مورخہ 31 مارچ 2022

مضمون وکالت نامہ من لیا ہے اور اچھی طرح سمجھ لیا ہے اور منظور ہے

Attached
 Accepted
 Sh. Iftikhar ul Haq Rahmatullah



**OFFICE OF THE
DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,
TANK**

No. 1113 /

dated

Tank

the 20/5/2022.

ORDER

In the light of judgment dated 28.10.2021 passed by Honorable Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal in petition No. 94 of 2022 in Service Appeal No, 641/2018 ; and approval of Inspector General of Police, KP Peshawar vide letter No. 2444/Legal dated 18.05.2022, Ex-Constable Rehmat Ullah s/o Aman Ullah khan caste Kundi r/o Village Nandoor, P/O Pai, Tehsil and District, Tank is hereby re-instated in service as Constable (PBS-07) conditionally and provisionally subject to outcome of CPLA with immediate effect.


**District Police Officer,
Tank**

Copy 1114-22

- Copy of above is submitted for information to the:-
1. Inspector General of Police Officer, KPK Peshawar w/r to his office letter No. 2444/Legal dated 18.05.2022.
 2. Honorable Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal.
 3. Regional Police Officer, D.I.Khan Region.
 4. District Account Office/ Tank
 5. All concerned DPO, office / Tank
 6. Ex-Constable Rehmat Ullah of district Tank police Khan s/o of Aman Ullah Caste Kundi r/o Village Nandoor, p/o Pai, Tehsil and District, Tank.


**District Police Officer,
Tank**

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Central Police Office, Peshawar.

1197
26/5/22

No. 2444

/Legal


dated the 18/5/2022.

To: The District Police Officer,
Tank.

Subject: IMPLEMENTATION EXECUTION PETITION NO. 94 of 2022 IN
SERVICE APPEAL NO. 641/2018 TITLED REHMATULLAH

Memo: Please refer to your office Letter No. 752, dated 15.04.2022, on the
subject cited above.

The Competent Authority has directed to implement the judgment
dated 28.10.2021, of Honorable Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal conditionally and
provisionally subject to outcome of CPLA.


SP COURTS & LITIGATION
For Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
17.05.2022

SP Courts Legal

for me

[Signature]

District Police Officer
Tank

20/5

Scanned with CamScanner

FROM: The District Police Officer,
Tank.

TO: The Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

NO. 75, dated Tank the 15 /2022.

SUBJECT:- IMPLEMENTATION EXECUTION NO. 94 OF 2022 SERVICE APPEAL NO. 641/2018

MEMO:

It is submitted that Service Appeal No. 641/2018 lodged by Ex-Constable Rehmatullah and accepted by Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal vide judgment dated 28.10.2021 in which directed the respondent to reinstate the appellant. copy of judgment is attached.

The department has lodged CPLA against the impugned judgment before August Supreme Court of Pakistan and now the appellant has lodged petition for implementation of the judgment. The honorable Service Tribunal vide Order dated 29.03.2022 has directed to produce implementation order on next date i.e. 24.05.2022. copy enclosed.

In view of above it is requested that necessary approval for reinstatement of appellant as constable subject to the outcome of CPLA may kindly be accorded in the best interest of department, please.

District Police Officer,
Tank.

No. 75

Copy of the above is submitted to the Regional Police Officer, Dera Ismail Khan Range for favor of information please.

District Police Officer,
Tank

پولیس

نقل روزنامہ

صورت

عدداً ماضی و بعدی ۴۵۴ / ۲۲ / ۲۳ قسماً ۱۲۱۵ بجہ درجہ اول کوالہ افس

اددوں ۱۱۱۳ / ۲۲ / ۲۵ بجہ درجہ اول کوالہ افس

تہذیباً سیکرٹری درجہ اول کوالہ افس

سندھ و بلوچستان سروسز میں بطور

درجہ اول کوالہ افس ۹۶ / ۲۲ / ۲۵ بجہ درجہ اول کوالہ افس

۱۸ / ۲۲ / ۲۵ بجہ درجہ اول کوالہ افس

کوالہ افس ۲۲۴ / ۲۲ / ۲۵ بجہ درجہ اول کوالہ افس

درجہ اول کوالہ افس ماضی و بعدی درجہ اول کوالہ افس

حنا - عالی

نقل علی بابا افس

رضیت اللہ بیٹ لٹر ۳۱۲

۲۵

۲۵

۲۳.۵.۲۲