# Form-A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of			
Evecution Potition No.		60/2022	

	LAC	cution Petition No. <u>60/2022</u>
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	20.01.2022	The execution petition of Mr. Muhammad Abid submitted today by Syed Noman Ali Bukhari Advocate may be entered in the
		relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please.
		REGISTRAR
2-	:	This execution petition be put up before S. Bench at Peshawa
	·	on <u>25/02/2022.</u>
	·	CHATRMAN
	• .	
	25.02.2022	Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 12.05.2022 for the same as before.
		Reader.
	$x_{i}$	

Petitioner present through counsel.

Notice of the instant execution petition be issued to respondents for implementation report. To come up for implementation report on 15.06.2022 before S.B

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

15<sup>th</sup> June, 2022

Petitioner in person present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Implementation report not submitted. Respondents are directed to appear in person along with the implementation report on 21.07.2022 before S.B.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman 21.07.2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Arif Saleem, Stenographer for the respondents present.

- It is evident from previous order sheet dated 15.06.2022 that the 02. respondents were bound to appear in person alongwith implementation report. Perusal of the file reveals that copy of the said order sheet was also sent to the respondents by the office of Registrar Service Tribunal on 06.07.2022. Representative of the respondent department produced copy of order bearing No. 6089-91/SRC, dated 30.06.2022 whereby the 02.12.2021 has been dated Service Tribunal judgement provisionally/conditionally implemented subject to the outcome of CPLA by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. The said judgement of Service Tribunal stands implemented. Consign.
- 03. Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under my hands and seal of the Tribunal this 21<sup>st</sup> of July, 2022

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No.



/2022

In Service Appeal No.3324/2020

Muhammad Abid

VS.

Police Deptt

## INDEX

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Memo of Execution Petition		01-02
2.	Copy of Judgment	- A -	03-07
3.	Vakalat Nama		08

Muhammad Abid

Through:

Syed Noman Ali Bukhari

Advocate, High Court

Date: 20/01/2022 Cell No: 0306-5109438

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

(1	)

Execution Petition No.	60	/2022	
In Service Appeal No.	3324/202	0	Klijber Pakhankho Service Fribunai
			Diary No

Mr. Muhammad Abid No.408, Ex-Driver /Constable District Police, Kohat.

## **PETITIONER**

#### VERSUS

- 1. The Inspector General of Police, KPK, Peshawar.
- 2. The Regional Police Officer, Kohat, Region, Kohat.
- 3. District Police Officer Kohat.

**RESPONDENTS** 

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED: 02.12.2021 OF THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

#### Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the applicant/Petitioner filed Service Appeal No.3324/2020 against the dismissal order.
- 2. That the said appeal was finally heard by the Honorable Tribunal on 02.12.2021. The Honorable Tribunal is kind enough to accept the appeal and reinstate the appellant with all back benefits. (Copy of judgment is attached as Annexure-A).
- 3. That the respondents were totally failed in taking any action regarded the Hon'able Tribunal Judgment dated 02.12.2021.

- 4. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the respondent after passing the judgment of this august Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
- 5. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the respondents are legally bound to pass formal appropriate order.
- 6. That the petitioner has having no other remedy to file this Execution Petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may be directed to obey the judgment dated 02.12.2021of this august Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favor of applicant/appellant.

Muhammad Abid

Through:

Syed Noman Ali Bukhari Advocate High Court.

## AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the above Execution Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPONENT

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Muhammad Abid No-408 Ex-Driver Constable District Police Koh (Appellant)

VERSUS

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF KPK POLICE PESHAWAR. DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KOHAT REGION KO附紅 2

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER KOHAT. 3.

(Respondent)

<u>APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE</u> TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 16-01-2020 VIDE OB-NO 35 IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT NO:-3 WITHOUT ANY AWARD THE MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF PROPER ENQUIRY DIRECTLY WITH IMMEDIATE EFFECT APPELLANT PREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL REPRESENTATION DATED 20-DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE 01-2020 BUT THE SAME WAS NOT ENTERTAIN NOR CONSIDER TILL TO DATE

Respectfully Sheweth,

With great veneration the instant appeal is preferred by the appellant on the following Facts and grounds:-

Facts:

1:-Briefly facts as per impugned order are that on 03-12-2019 complainant of case FIR No-1593 dated 05-12-2019 U/S 365 PPC PS City reported regarding taking of her /his son by a person in Police uniform .

II:-As contemplated from report of SP Investigation Kohat ,the appellant had telephonically contact with charged accused before and after the occurrence which shows professional misconduct (Copy of Impugned order is annexed as annexure A)

That appellant was served with the charge sheet along with statement of allegation and the appellant had properly submitted his reply which was deliberately not consider nor discussed in impugned order as well as issued the Final Show Cause notice and then an ex-partly proceeding were conducted against the appellant. (Copy of charge Sheet with reply and FCN is annexed as annexure B)

That there is nothing is on the record which connect the appellant with the allegation nor proved and the appellant is blessed with impugned punishment which not warranted by law.

That an unjust has been done with the appellant by not giving ample opportunity of cross examination as well as not heard in person nor properly enquired the allegation and ex-partly proceedings conducted against the appellant without rice tribunal

ATRESTED

Peshawar

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNA

Service Appeal No.3324/2020

Date of Institution

Date of Decision

Muhammad Abid No.408 Ex-Driver Constable District Police Kohat.

(Appellant)

#### VERSUS

Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and two others.

(Respondents)

Ashraf Ali Khattak,

Advocate

For appellant.

Muhammad Adeel Butt,

Additional Advocate General

For respondents.

Rozina Rehman

Member (J) Member (E)

Atiq ur Rehman Wazir

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

Rozina Rehman, Member(J): Appellant was Driver Constable in the Police Department. He was dismissed from service on 16.01.2020. It is the legality and validity of this order which has been challenged by him U/S 4 of the Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974.

Brief facts leading to filing of the instant appeal are that complainant of case F.I.R No.1593 dated 15.12.2019 registered at Police Station City Kohat U/S 365 P.P.C reported regarding the kidnapping of his son by a person in Police uniform. The appellant had allegedly telephonic contact with the nominated accused in the above mentioned F.I.R before and after the occurrence. The appellant was also suspected for contact with the accused on the eventful day i.e. before and after the occurrence. He was served with charge sheet

rvice Tribunal Peshawan

alongwith statement of allegations, where-after, he submitted reply and after issuance of the final show cause notice, appellant was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service.

- 3. We have heard Ashraf Ali Khattak and Muhammad Adeel Butt, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.
- Ashraf Ali Khattak Advocate, learned counsel for appellant, inter-alia, contends that no proper inquiry was conducted as none from the general public was examined in support of the charges leveled against the appellant. He contended that the appellant was never informed about the inquiry proceedings and that he was condemned unheard. He contended that Sub Inspector Ayat Ullah was also proceeded against departmentally on the score of charges leveled against the present appellant and that in pursuance of the judgment of this Tribunal, Ayat Ullah Sub Inspector was reinstated in service and minor punishment of stoppage of three increments without cumulative effect was imposed upon him vide order of the District Police Officer, Kohat dated 31.08.2021. Learned counsel submitted that the present appellant was Driver Constable with Sub Inspector Ayat Ullah and that his order of dismissal was set aside, therefore, the present appellant being Driver Constable may also be reinstated in service with all back benefits.
- 5. Conversely, learned AAG submitted that S.H.O Ayat Ullah and his gunners Abdul Wahab and Muhammad Abid were found in contact with the accused who was charged in case F.I.R No.1593 dated 05.12.2019 registered at Police Station City Kohat U/S 365 P.P.C. He contended that after getting C.D.R of the accused, his telephonic

Khyber Pakhinkhwai Kervice Tribunal Pestangan contact with the accused of the aforementioned case was established, therefore, departmental proceedings were initiated against the appellant under the relevant rules and on completion of all codal formalities, he was awarded major punishment.

From the record is evident that one Mst. Fatima Gul reported the matter on 3rd December 2019 that her son was taken away in a motorcar by a person wearing Police uniform. Her report was properly entered and investigation U/S 156 (III) Cr.PC was initiated which culminated in registration of F.I.R No.1593 dated 05.12.2019 U/S 365 P.P.C. Not only the present appellant Abid Ali but also S.H.O Ayat Ullah and his gunner Constable Abdul Wahab were departmentally proceeded against on the allegations of their telephonic contact with the accused of the above mentioned criminal case. Admittedly, complainant party of case F.I.R No.1593 has patched up the matter with the nominated accused Farhad and main accused was discharged vide order of the learned Judicial Magistrate-II dated 10.10.2020. It merits a mention here that the appellant was Driver Constable with S.H.O (S.I. Ayat Ullah) who had been dismissed from service on the same allegation and who filed the service appeal which appeal was partially accepted and case was remanded to the Department to receive reply of the appellant to the final show cause notice within 7 days of the receipt of judgment vide judgment of this Tribunal in Service Appeal No.3325/2020. In pursuance to the directions of this Tribunal, process was initiated and S.H.O submitted his reply to the final show cause notice. He was heard in person and vide order of D.P.O Kohat dated 31.08.2021, he was reinstated into service and minor punishment of stoppage of three increments without cumulative effect was imposed upon him. The present appellant was

ARTESTED

FEATURER

hyber Pakhtoith

Service Tribanan

(6)

Driver Constable with S.H.O Ayat Ullah. He was not charged by the complainant of case F.I.R No.1593 dated 05.12.2019 registered U/S 365 P.P.C. The allegations in respect of contact of the present appellant with the nominated accused Farhad in case F.I.R No.1593 has also got no force as accused Farhad was not charged by the complainant party and accordingly he was discharged by a competent of Law.

For what has gone above, the appeal at hand is accepted. Consequently, the impugned order of imposition of penalty with disciplinary proceedings wherefrom it resulted, are set aside and the appellant is reinstated into service from the date of his dismissal from service with all back benefits. The concerned respondent on receipt of the copy of this judgment shall issue the order of appellant's reinstatement with all back benefits having accrued or accruable from the date of his dismissal from service. With no order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

<u>ANNOUNCED</u>

(Atiq ur Rehman Wazir) Member (E)

Member (J)

Certified to be ture copy

rice Tribunal.

Dete of Delivery of Cury

# VAKALAT NAMA

	NO	'20
IN THE COURT OF	P Service	Tribunal Peshan
Muhamonad	Abid. VERSUS	(Appellant) (Petitioner) (Plaintiff)
Police IAVE, Muhamad	Deptt  Abid	(Respondent (Defendant)
for me/us as my/our Counse	Advocate in the above	ALI BUKHARI Advocate Higse, withdraw or refer to arbitration noted matter, without any liability oint any other Advocate/Counsel of
I/We authorize the said Advo sums and amounts payable of The Advocate/Counsel is all proceedings, if his any fee le	Iso at liborty to leave	aw and receive on my/our behalf a account in the above noted matte my/our case at any stage of th ng against me/us.
Dated/20		(CLIENT)

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI Advocate High Court Peshawar.

Cell: (0306-5109438)



# KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

No: <u>2169-71/ST</u> Dated: <u>06/07</u>/2022

All communications should be addressed to the Registrar KPK Service Tribunal and not any official by name.

Ph:- 091-9212281 Fax:- 091-9213262

To,

- 1 INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KPK, PESHAWAR.
- 2 REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, KOHAT REGION, KOHAT.
- 3 DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, KOHAT.

Subject: PERSONAL APPEARANCE IN EXECUTION PETITION

NO. 60-61/22

I am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of Order dated 15.06.2022 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for strict compliance.

Encl: As Above.

(WASEEM AKHTAR)

REGISTRAR KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

#### ORDER

In compliance with the judgment dated 02.12.2021, passed by Khyber Pakhtunkhwa the Service Tribunal Peshawar in service appeal No.3324/2020 and approval of competent authority vide CPO letter No.3124/ Legal dated 23.06.2022, Ex-Constable Muhammad Abid No.408 is hereby reinstated in service with immediate effect conditionally / provisionally subject to the outcome of CPLA. filed against the impugned judgment before August Supreme Court of Pakistan.

OB NO. 199 /SRC Dated. 30-6- /2022

(MUHAMMAD SULEMAN) PSP District Police Officer, Kohat

## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER KOHAT

No <u>6089- 9/</u>/SRC, dated Kohat the <u>30/6</u>/2022.

Copy of above is submitted to the Regional Police Officer Kohat Region Kohat for favour of information please.

2. Reader / Line Officer / Pay Officer / OHC for necessary action.

3. Official concern to report in Police line Kohat immediately.

(MUHAMMAD SULEMAN) PSP District Police Officer,

Kohat