Restoration Application No. 132/2021

Ist June, 2022 Nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner.

Dismissed for non-prosecution. Consign.

3. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal this 1st day of June; 2022.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) - Chairman

Junior of learned counserfor the petitioner present.

Former requests for adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel is not available today. Adjournec. To come up for further proceedings on 07.02.2022 before S.B.

(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (E)

07.02.2022

Due to retirement of the Hon'able Chairman, the case is adjourned to 28.C3.2022 before S.B for the same.

Réader

28.03.2022

None for the petitioner present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak Addi: AG alongwith Mr. Faizan, SO, Faheem, Litigation Assistant for respondents present.

Notices be issued to the appellant and his counsel. Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings on 01.06.2022 before S.B.

(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER(E) 06.10.2021

Mr. Hamad Nasir, junior of learned counsel for the petitioner present. Syed Naseer-ud-Din, Assistant alongwith Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present. Junior of learned counsel for the petitioner sought adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the petitioner is busy in the august Peshawar High Court. Adjourned. To come up for reply and arguments on restoration application on 15.10.2021 before the S.B.

(SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

15.10.2021

Junior of learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Saleem S.O for respondents present.

Junior of learned counsel for the petitioner requested for adjournment as senior for the petitioner is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for reply/arguments on restoration application on 15.12.2021before S.B.

(Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E)

Form-A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	, *****	\$ ³⁰			· t _r	,	 4.1
			12	3			

.No.	Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	
1		3 10 (1) (1) (1) (2)
1	14.07.2020	The application for restoration of Execution Petition No. 66/2017 resubmitted today by Mr. Mukhtiar Ahmad Maneri Advocate, may be entered in the relevant register and put up to
2		the Court for proper order please. REGISTRAR
-		This restoration application is entrusted to S. Bench to be put up there on <u>06/08/21</u> . No fice be given fittle respondents for the date fittle CHAIRMAN
	06.08.2021	Junior to counsel for the appellant present and Mr.
		Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. AG present.
		Fresh notices be issued to respondents. To come up
		for reply and arguments on restoration application on 06.10.2021 before S.B.
		Chairman

***** Ad . Zes te flareuments on Adjourn. esturation coplication on 15.1/1.2021 corner the S.B. (SALAH-UD-DIN) chable, within the control of

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

INDEX

S#	Description of the Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application for restoration	*	1 - 3
2.	Affidavit	*	4
3.	copies of execution petition and order dated 16.06.2021	"A & B"	5-10
4.	Copy of order sheet dated 19.02.2020	С	11
<i>5</i> .	Wakalat Nama	4	12

Through

Petitioner/appellant.

MUKHTAR AHMAD MANERI

Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
Office # 2, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near
Directorate of Health, KP, Warsak Road,
Peshawar.

Ph:091-5200710. Mob: 0333-215-6006. Email: <u>mukhtaradvocate@yahoo.com</u> BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

C. M No. <u>/ 3 / /2021</u>
In
Execution petition No.66/2017
In
Service Appeal No. 1243/2015

Mst. Shahida Perveen R/o Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road, D.I Khan Cantt Near SP FRP Office District D.I Khan

VERSUS

.....Appellant

The Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar through principal Secretary & others

.....Respondents

Application for restoration of the above titled execution petition.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the titled execution petition was fixed before this Hon'ble Tribunal, which was disposed off through order dated 16.06.2021. (copies of execution petition and order dated 16.06.2021 are attached herewith and marked as annexure A & B)
- 2. That this Hon'ble Tribunal through the above mentioned disposal order has disposed off the

execution petition with the observation that the objection raised the by above named petitioner/appellant is misconceived and supported by any provision of the revised leave rule, 1981 to justify that how the sanction of earned leave of 120 days oin fully pay and 324 days on half pay was not valid if days of earned leave more than sanctioned leave available at were petitioner credit. respondents have satisfied the Bench with reference to leave rules, 1981 that the calculation of leave on full pay due and on half pay due has been made under relevant provision of said rules. The objection being baseless is turned down. the execution petition be consigned to the record room, which order is apparently not in continuation of order 19.02.2020, wherein it has categorically Hon'ble Tribunal, that the mentioned by this respondent department directed to submit revised implementation report on 31.03.2020, which was not in accordance with the direction of the judgment so passed. (Copy of order sheet dated 19.02.2020 is attached herewith and marked as annexure **C**)

3. That even the petitioner could not be heard and rather in order in her absence were passed and it is very necessary to hear the petitioner in order to unearth the actual fact of the case and law on the subject as well, because record speak for itself that the judgment of

this Hon'ble Tribunal is to be implemented in its letter and spirit, which was not implemented for the reason that the respondent department has mislead this Hon'ble court, hence this application for restoration of the above titled execution petition.

It is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of this application, the execution petition titled above may kindly be restored to its original position by providing the opportunity to the petitioner in order to convinced this Hon'ble court for implementation of the judgment in letter and spirit in the best interest of justice, equity and the law.

Through

Petitioner/appellant

MUKHTAR AHMAD MA

Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
Office # 2, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near
Directorate of Health, KP, Warsak Road,
Peshawar.

Ph:091-5200710. Mob: 0333-215-6006. Email: <u>mukhtaradvocate@yahoo.com</u>

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

C. M No/2021
In
Execution petition No.66/2017
In
Service Appeal No. 1243/2015
Mst. Shahida Perveen R/o Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road, D.I
Khan Cantt Near SP FRP Office District D.I Khkan
Appellant
VERSUS
The Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar through
principal Secretary & others
Respondents
AFFIDAVIT

I, Mst. Shahida Perveen R/o Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road, D.I Khan Cantt Near SP FRP Office District D.I Khan do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of this accompanying application for restoration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Court.

IDENTIFIED BY

DEPONENT CNIC: 12101-6879401-0 CELL NO. 0303-2180513

Mukhtar Ahmad Maneri Advocate, Supreme Court

Of Pakistan

"A" 5

BEFORE THE HON'BLE CHAIRMAN, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 66 /2017

Diary No. 294

Dated 17-4-1

1. Mst. Shahida Perveen, R/O Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road D.I.Khan Cantt-Near SP FRP Office District D.I.Khan.

PETITIONER

VERSUS

- 1. The Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar through Principal Secretary.
- 2. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary.
- 3. The Secretary Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Elementary & Secondary Education, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 7 OF THE **KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA** SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 READ WITH RULE $27 \cdot OF$ THE **PAKHTUNKHWA** SERVICE TRIBUNAL RULES 1974 FOR INITIATING CONTEMPT OF COURT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE RESPONDENTS FOR DISOBEDIENCE OF THE ORDER/JUDGMENT DATED <u>27-10-2016</u> **PASSED** $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$ THIS **HON'BLE TRIBUNAL IN SERVICE** PPEAL NO.1243/2015.

0.7

Respectfully Sheweth,

.)

Short facts giving rise to the present execution petition are as under:

- 1. That the petitioner was awarded major penalty of removal from service in utter violation of law vide order dated 11/8/2015. She after exhausting departmental remedy, invoked the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Tribunal by way of filing service No.1243/2015 praying therein that the impugned order may graciously be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with full back wages and benefits.
- 2. That this Hon'ble Tribunal vide judgment dated 27/10/2016 accepted the appeal filed by the petitioner and reinstated her in service. However, the respondents were allowed to conduct de-novo inquiry within a period of 2 months. It was further ordered that in case the respondents failed to conduct and conclude the inquiry within the prescribed time then the interregnum period of appellant from removal till reinstatement shall be treated as "leave of the kind due". It would be advantageous to reproduce herein the relevant portion of the judgment for facility of reference:-

"Hence, in these circumstances we! are constrained to accept the instant appeal by setting aside the impugned removal order dated 11/08/2015 and appellate order dated 09.10.2015 and reinstate the appellant into service. The respondents are at liberty to conduct a de-novo inquiry into the matter by providing full opportunity of defence to appellant strictly in accordance with law and rules and thereafter decide the same within a period of two, months after receiving the copy of judgment. In case. respondents fail to conduct

conclude the inquiry within the time specified above then the appellant shall be deemed to have been reinstated in service and the period spent out of service i.e 11/08/2015 till date shall then be treated as leave of the kind due"

(Copy of judgment is appended as Annex-A)

3. That the petitioner after obtaining the certified copy of judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal, requested the respondents for its implementation vide application dated 01/11/2016.

(Copy of application is appended as Annex-B)

ij

- 4. That the respondents were under statutory obligation to have complied with the said judgment in letter and spirit but they partially implemented it by reinstating the petitioner only and violated the remaining portion of judgment to conclude the inquiry within the period of 2 months stipulated by this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- That in case of failure to conclude the inquiry within the prescribed time, the respondents were legally bound to have treated the interregnum period of petitioner from removal till reinstatement as "leave of the kind due". But they failed to do so and flouted the direction made therein.
- 6. That the defiant and adamant conduct of the respondents clearly amounts to willful disobedience of the remaining portion of the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal and therefore requires to be dealt with iron hands by awarding them exemplary punishment under the relevant law. Reliance in this respect can be placed on the judgment of august Supreme Court of Pakistan reported in PLD-2012-SC-923 (citation-ff). The relevant citation of the judgment is as under:-

•.

P L D 2012 Supreme Court 923 (ff) Contempt of court---

----Court order, implementation of---Contempt through disobedience of court order ("disobedience contempt") by executive and its functionaries--- . Effect--Responsibility implementation (of court's ! orders) had been made obligatory, on other organs of the State, primarily the executive-When a functionary of the executive refused to discharge constitutional duty, the court was empowered to punish it for contempt.

In view of the above narrated facts, it is, therefore, humbly prayed that appropriate proceedings may graciously be initiated against the respondents for disobedience of the remaining portion of judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal and they may also be awarded exemplary punishment under the relevant law.

Any other relief deemed proper and just in the circumstances of the case, may also be granted.

Petitioner .

Through

Dated: <u>17-04-2017</u>

.

Rizwanullah

Advocate High Court, Peshawar.

ATTESTE

r mari

SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No	/2017
-----------------------	-------

1. Mst. Shahida Perveen, R/O Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road D.I.Khan Cantt Near SP FRP Office District D.I.Khan

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar through Principal Secretary & others.

RESPONDENTS

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mst. Shahida Perveen, R/O Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road D.I.Khan Cantt Near SP FRP Office District D.I.Khan, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the accompanied execution petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Deponent

ATTESTED

22-04.2021

Due to demise of the Worthy Chairman defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 16.06:20, as before.

Seader Reader

16.06.2021

None for petitioner and Mr. Muhammad Rasheed, DDA alongwith Saleem Khan, S.O for the respondents present.

The implementation report in compliance with the judgment of this Tribunal has already been submitted as reflected in the order dated 19.02.2020 but the matter lingered on because of an objection on behalf of the petitioner with reference to a certificate of the District Accounts Officer to the effect of existence of 452 days earned leave at credit of the petitioner. The objection is misconceived and not supported by any provisions of the Revised Leave Rules, 1981 to justify that how their sanction of earned leave of 120 days on full pay and 324 days on half pay was not valid, if days of earned leave more than the sanctioned leave were available at petitioner's credit. The respondents have satisfied the Bench with reference to Leave Rules, 1981 that the calculation of leave on full pay due and on half pay due has been made under relevant provisions of said rules. The objection being baseless is turned down. The execution petition be consigned to the record room.

KESTED

Khyber hightukhus Son ico Tribunal ATTESTED

EXAMPLE Rhyber Political Service Tribulant Perhamor Chairman

8

19.02,2020

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG for the respondents present.

The service appeal of the petitioner was accepted, she was reinstated in service and the period spent out of service i.e. 11.08.2015 till date (date of judgment i.e. 27.10.2016) shall than was treated as leave of the kind due vide detailed judgment dated 27.10.2016. After the aforesaid judgment, the petitioner submitted implementation application and the respondent-department submitted implementation report dated 22.01.2018 whereby her earned leave w.e.f 11.08.2015 to 08.12.2015 (120 days) on full pay and earned leave w.e.f 09.12.2015 to 27.10.2016 (324 days) were considered on half pay. While learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the District Account Officer has issued a certificate whereby 452 days earned leave have been shown at her credit vide certificate dated 20.10.2017 meaning thereby that the judgment of this Tribunal has not been implemented in letter and spirit, therefore, respondent-department is directed to submit revised. implementation report on 31.03.2020.

MA

(MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI)
MEMBER

31.03-2020.

Due to public holidays on the account of Covid-19, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same before SR on 23.06.2020.

ATTESTED

Reader

Power of Attorney. Suit Application : Appeal Case Execution Writ Petition **Plaintiffs Applicants Appellants** Petitioner D/H VERSUS Defendants Opponents Respondents I/We do hereby appoint Mr. Mukhtar Ahmad Maneri, Advocate Supreme Court

of Pakistan to appear and act for me/us in the above mentioned proceedings and to conduct, prosecute and/or defend and/or compromise the same and any other proceedings that may arise out of or be connected with the same, with full power and authority to sign all necessary pleadings, petitions, applications papers and documents, to pay all proper fees and costs, to file and withdraw all documents and to apply for and receive payment of all moneys that may be or become due and payable to me/us during the course or after the completion or conclusion of the said proceedings, and to settle, compromise or to withdraw the said proceedings.

Received on Accepted. **ADVOCATE ADVOCATE** Mukhtar Ahmad Maneri & Associates Advocates & Legal Consultants

Signature

CNIC # 16202-0997383-9 BC-11-1744

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

C. M No/2021
In
Execution petition No.66/2017
In
Service Appeal No. 1243/2015
Mst. Shahida Perveen R/o Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road, D.I
Khan Cantt Near SP FRP Office District D.I Khan
Appellant
VERSUS ·
The Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar through
principal Secretary & others
Respondents

INDEX ·

S#	Description of the Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application for restoration	*	1-3
2.	Affidavit	*	4
3.	copies of execution petition and order dated 16.06.2021	"A & B"	5-10
4.	Copy of order sheet dated 19.02.2020	С	11
5.	Wakalat Nama		12

Through

Petitioner/appellant.

MUKHTAR AHMAD MANERI

Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
Office # 2, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near
Directorate of Health, KP, Warsak Road,
Peshawar.

Ph:091-5200710. Mob: 0333-215-6006. Email: <u>mukhtaradvocate@yahoo.com</u>

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

C. M No	/2021	
In'	,	
Execution petiti	on No.66/2017	
In		•
Service Appeal 1	No. 1243/2015	
Mst. Shahida P	erveen R/o Bache	elor Hostel, Qasim Road, D.I
Khan Cantt Nea	r SP FRP Office D	ristrict D.I Khan
		Appellant
	VERS	
The Chief Mini	ster, Khyber Pakl	htunkhwa, Peshawar through
principal Secret	ary & others	
•	••••••	Respondents
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{J}}$	pplication for r	estoration of the
al	oove titled execu	ution petition.
•		

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the titled execution petition was fixed before this Hon'ble Tribunal, which was disposed off through order dated 16.06.2021. (copies of execution petition and order dated 16.06.2021 are attached herewith and marked as annexure A & B)
- 2. That this Hon'ble Tribunal through the above mentioned disposal order has disposed off the

execution petition with the observation that the raised objection by the above named petitioner/appellant is misconceived and supported by any provision of the revised leave rule, 1981 to justify that how the sanction of earned leave of 120 days oin fully pay and 324 days on half pay was not valid if days of earned leave more than sanctioned were available at petitioner credit. respondents have satisfied the Bench with reference to leave rules, 1981 that the calculation of leave on full pay due and on half pay due has been made under relevant provision of said rules. The objection being baseless is turned down. the execution petition be consigned to the record room, which order is apparently not in continuation of order 19.02.2020, wherein it has categorically been mentioned by this Hon'ble Tribunal, that the respondent department directed to submit revised implementation report on 31.03.2020, which was not in accordance with the direction of the judgment so passed. (Copy of order sheet dated 19.02.2020 is attached herewith and marked as annexure **C**)

3. That even the petitioner could not be heard and rather in order in her absence were passed and it is very necessary to hear the petitioner in order to unearth the actual fact of the case and law on the subject as well, because record speak for itself that the judgment of

this Hon'ble Tribunal is to be implemented in its letter and spirit, which was not implemented for the reason that the respondent department has mislead this Hon'ble court, hence this application for restoration of the above titled execution petition.

It is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of this application, the execution petition titled above may kindly be restored to its original position by providing the opportunity to the petitioner in order to convinced this Hon'ble court for implementation of the judgment in letter and spirit in the best interest of justice, equity and the law.

Through

Petitioner/appellant

MUKHTAR AHMAD MAMER Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan Office # 2, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near Directorate of Health, KP, Warsak Road, Peshawar.

Ph:091-5200710. Mob: 0333-215-6006. Email: mukhtaradvocate@yahoo.com

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

C. M No	/2021		
In	·	•	
Execution petition	No.66/2017		
In			
Service Appeal No	. 1243/2015	•	
,			•
Mst. Shahida Per	veen R/o Bach	elor Hostel, Q	asim Road, D.I
Khan Cantt Near S	SP FRP Office D	istrict D.I Khl	kan
***************************************		•••••	Appellant
	VERS	US	
The Chief Ministe	er, Khyber Pak	htunkhwa, Pe	shawar through
principal Secretar	y & others		
	•••••		Respondents
	AFFID	ለ ፕ /ፓጥ	

I, Mst. Shahida Perveen R/o Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road, D.I Khan Cantt Near SP FRP Office District D.I Khan do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of this accompanying application for restoration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Court.

IDENTIFIED BY

Mukhtar Ahmad Maneri Advocate, Supreme Court Of Pakistan DEPONENT

CNIC: 12101-6879401-0 CELL NO: 0303-2180513

91

A 5

BEFORE THE HON BLE CHAIRMAN, KILYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution: Petition No.: 66 /2017.

Diary No. 296

Dates ()

L. Mst. Shahida Perveen, R/O Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road D.I.Khan Cantt Near SP FRP Office District D.I.Khan

PETITIONER

VERSUS

- 1. The Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar through Principal.
- 2. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary.
- 3. The Secretary Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Elementary & Secondary Education, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 7 (2) (D) OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 READ WITH RULE 27 OF THE KHYBER PAKETUNKHWA PROVINCE SERVICE TRIBUNAL RULES 1974 FOR INITIATING CONTEMPT OF COURT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST RESPONDENTS FOR DISOBEDIENCE OF ORDER/JUDGMENT DATED 27-10-2016 PASSED \mathbf{BY} THIS HONEBLE TRIBUNAL IN SERVICE APPEAL NO.1243/2015.

Respectfully Sheweth

Short facts giving rise to the present execution petition are as under

That the petitioner was awarded major penalty of removal from service in utter violation of law vide order dated 11/8/2015. She after exhausting departmental remedy, invoked the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Tribunal by way of filing service No.1243/2015 praying therein that the impugned order may graciously be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with full back wages and benefits.

That this Hon'ble Tribunal vide judgment dated 27/10/2016 accepted the appeal filed by the petitioner and reinstated her in service. However, the respondents were allowed to conduct de-novo inquiry within a period of 2 months. It was further ordered that in case the respondents failed to conduct and conclude the inquiry within the prescribed time then the interregnum period of appellant from removal till reinstatement shall be treated as "leave of the kind due". It would be advantageous to reproduce herein the relevant portion of the judgment for facility of reference:-

"Hence, in these circumstances we are constrained to accept the instant appeal by setting aside the impugned removal order dated 11/08/2015 and appellate order dated 09.10.2015 and reinstate the appellant into service. The respondents are at liberty to conduct a de-novo inquiry into the matter by providing full opportunity. of defence appellant strictly in accordance with: law and rules and thereafter decide the same within a period of two months after receiving the copy of gthis, judgment, "In the case is sthe

respondents fail to conduct and

conclude the inquiry within the time specified above then the appellant shall be deemed to have been reinstated in service and the period spent out of service i.e 11/08/2015 till date shall then be treated as leave of the kind due"

· (Copy of judgment is appended as Annex-A)

3. That the petitioner after obtaining the certified copy of judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal, requested the respondents for its implementation vide application dated 01/11/2016.

(Copy of application is appended as Annex-B)

- 4. That the respondents were under statutory obligation to have complied with the said judgment in letter and spirit but they partially implemented it by reinstating the petitioner only and violated the remaining portion of judgment to conclude the inquiry within the period of 2 months stipulated by this Hon'ble Tribunal.
 - That in case of failure to conclude the inquiry within the prescribed time, the respondents were legally bound to have treated the interregnum period of petitioner from removal till reinstatement as "leave; of the kind due". But they failed to do so and flouted the direction made therein.
 - That the defiant and adamant conduct of the respondents clearly amounts to willful disobedience of the remaining portion of the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal and therefore requires to be dealt with iron hands by awarding them exemplary punishment under the relevant law. Reliance in this respect can be placed on the judgment of august Supreme Court of Pakistan reported in PLD-2012-SC-923 (citation-ff). The relevant citation of the judgment is as under:-

P L D 2012 Supreme Court 923 (ff) Contempt of court---

---Court order implementation of---Contempt through disobedience of court order ("disobedience contempt") executive and its functionaries-Effect--Responsibility implementation i (of court's orders) had been made obligatory; on other organs of the State, primarily the executive-When a functionary the executive to discharge constitutional duty, the court was empowered to punish it for contempt.

In view of the above narrated facts, it is, therefore, humbly prayed that appropriate proceedings may graciously be initiated against the respondents for disobedience of the remaining portion of judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal and they may also be awarded exemplary punishment under the relevant law.

Any other relief deemed proper and just in the circumstances of the case, may also be granted.

Petitioner

Through

Rizwanullah

Advocate High Court, Peshawar.

ORE THE HONZBLE CEARMAN KHMBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Execution Petition No.

1. Mst. Shahida Perveen, R/O Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road D.I.Khan Cantt Near SP FRP Office District D.I.Khan

PETITIONER

VERSUS

The Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar through Principals Secretary & others.

RESPONDENTS

AFFIDAVIT

I. Mst. Shahida Perveen, RO Bachelor Hostel, Qasim Road D.I. Khan Canti Near SP FRP Office District D.I Khan, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare, that the contents of the accompanied execution petition are true and correct to the best offmy knowledgesand belief and that nothing has been concealed from this Hon ble Tribunal.

Deponent ATTESTED

Due to demise of the Worthy Chairman defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 16.06.20 as before.

Reader

16.06.2021

None for petitioner and Mr. Muhammad Rasheed, DDA alongwith Saleem Khan, S.O for the respondents present.

The implementation report in compliance judgment of this Tribunal has already been submitted as reflected in the order dated 19:02.2020 but the matter lingered on because of an objection on behalf of the petitioner with reference to a certificate of the District Accounts Officer to the effect of existence of 452 days earned leave at credit of the petitioner. The objection is misconceived and not supported by any provisions of the Revised Leave Rules, 1981 to justify that how the sanction of earned leave of 120 days on full pay and 324 days on half pay was not valid, if days of earned leave more than the sanctioned leave were available at petitioner's credit. The respondents have satisfied the Bench with reference to Leave Rules, 1981 that the calculation of leave on full pay due and on half pay due has been made under relevant provisions of said rules. The objection being baseless is turned down. The execution petition be consigned to the record room.

KESTED

Khyhar Mikhtakha Somice Tribunal

ATTESTED

Example Service The broken Chairman

र्श्व

19.02.2020

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG for the respondents present.

The service appeal of the petitioner was accepted, she was reinstated in service and the period spent out of service i.e 🍍 11.08.2015 till date (date of Judgment i.e 27.10.2016) shall than was treated as leave of the kind due vide detailed judgment dated 27.10.2016. After the aforesaid judgment, the petitioner submitted implementation application and the respondent-department submitted implementation report dated 22.01.2018 whereby her earned leave w.e.f 11.08.2015 to 08.12.2015 (120 days) on full pay and earned leave w.e.f 09.12.2015 to 27.10.2016 (324 days) were considered on half pay. While learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the District Account Officer has issued a certificate whereby 452 days earned leave have been shown at her credit vide certificate dated 20.10.2017 meaning thereby that the judgment of this Tribunal has not been implemented in letter and spirit, therefore, respondent department is directed to submit revised. implementation report on 31.03.2020.

(MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN K

(MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI) MEMBER

31.03-2020.

Due to public halislays on the account ap Covid-19, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same before SR on 2306, 2020.

ATTESTED

Readen

EXAMER Spring Political Spring Political

Power of Attorney. Suit -Application -Appeal Case Execution Writ Petition **Plaintiffs Applicants Appellants** Petitioner D/H VERSUS Defendants. Opponents I/We do hereby appoint Mr. Mukhtar Ahmad Maneri, Advocate Supreme Court

of Pakistan to appear and act for me/us in the above mentioned proceedings and to conduct, prosecute and/or defend and/or compromise the same and any other proceedings that may arise out of or be connected with the same, with full power and authority to sign all necessary pleadings, petitions, applications papers and documents, to pay all proper fees and costs, to file and withdraw all documents and to apply for and receive payment of all moneys that may be or become due and payable to me/us during the course or after the completion or conclusion of the said proceedings, and to settle, compromise or to withdraw the said proceedings.

Signature

than Received on Accepted. **ADVOCATE ADVOCATE** Mukhtar Ahmad Maneri & Associates, Advocates & Legal Consultants

CNIC # 16202-0997383-9 BC-11-1744

Office # 2, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near Directorate of Health, Govt: of KPK, Opposite Super Gas CNG, Warsak Road, Peshawar. Ph: 091-5200710. Mob: 0333-215-6006. Email: mukhtaradvocate@yahoo.com