6^{th} September, 2022 Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Written reply/comments not submitted. Learned AAG seeks time to contact the respondents for reply/comments. Last opportunity granted. To come up for written reply/preliminary hearing on 18.10.2022 before S.B.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman 24.02.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 2505.2022 for the same as before.

Reader.

25.05.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment on the ground that he has not prepared the brief. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing on 04.07.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

4th July, 2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present.

Let per-admission notice be issued to the respondents for reply/comments. To come up for preliminary hearing on 06.09.2022 before S.B.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of				
		of person	* * * * * *	
	Carlo Santa			
•		1/2		. *
o No -	10	1 🔿		/2021

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	28/10/2021	The appeal of Mr. Shabbir Ahmad presented today by Roeeda Khan
		Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the
		Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
		REGISTRAR
2-		This case is entrusted to S. Bench at Peshawar for preliminary
		hearing to be put there on $15h2(21)$.
		CHAIRMAN
		CHARMAN
	:	
1	5.12.2021	Junior to counsel for the appellant present.
1	5.12.2021	
		Former requests for adjournment on the ground that senior
		unsel is busy before the august Peshawar High Court.
		journed. To come up for preliminary hearing on 24.02.2022
	be	fore S.B.
		(MIAN MUHAMMAD)
		MEMBER (E)

BEFORE THE HONBLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re S.A No. 7693 /2021

Shabbir Ahmad

VERSUS

Police Department Govt of KPK

INDEX

S#	Description of Documents	Annexure		Pages
	* ·	·		
1.	Grounds of Petition.		-	15
2.	Affidavit.	,		6
3.	Addresses of parties			7
4.	Application for Condonation of			8-9 5
	Delay		3	10
5.	Copy of transferred posted order	"A"		11912
6.	Copy of medical prescription	"B"		13,
7.	Copy of impugned order,	"C" D" &		14_
	departmental appeal and	"E"		110
	rejection order			10
8.	Copy of revision petition and	"F & G"	·.'	
	rejection order			ļ
9.	Wakalatnam.a	<u> </u>	b	

APPELLANT

Through

Roeeda Khan

Advocate, High Court

Peshawar.

Dated: 27/10/2021

BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re S.A No. ______/2021

Shabbir Ahmad Ex Traffic Warden No. LHC 261 at District Peshawar.

Appellant

VERSUS

- 1. Senior Superintendent of Police Traffic Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer Mardan
- 3. Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.
- 4. District Police Officer Nowskera.

Respondents

APPEAL U/S-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST WHEREBY 14-03-2016, DATED AWARDED HAS APPELLANT PUNISHMENT OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE AND AGAINST WHICH THE APPELLANT FILED DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL WITHIN ONE MONTH COMMUNICATION DATED ONORDER WHICH HAS BEEN REJECTED ON 03/02/2017 ON NO GOOD GROUNDS.

PRAYER:-

ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL
THE IMPUGNED ORDERS DATED

14/03/2016, 03/02/2017 & 08.05.2017 MAY ASIDE AND KINDLY BE SET MAY KINDLY APPELLANT REINSTATED IN SERVICE ALONG WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS. ANY OTHER THIS AUGUST WHICH REMEDY TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT THAT MAY ALSO BE ONWARD TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT THAT MAY ALSO BE GRANTED IN FAVOUR APPELLANT.

Respectfully Sheweth,

- 1. That the Appellant was appointed as Constable on 2008 at District Nowshera.
- 2. That the appellant performed his duty regularly and with full devotion and no complaint whatsoever has been made against the appellant
- 3. That the appellant has been transferred and posted to Traffic Warden from District Nowshera to District Peshawar on 31.03.2015. (Copy of transferred posted order is attached as annexure "A").
- 4. That while performing his duty with respondent department, the appellant become ill and due to several illness the appellant was unable to perform his duty with respondent department. (Copy of medical prescription is attached as annexure "B").

- 5. That the appellant properly submitted an application for leave to respondent department due to the reason mentioned in Para 3.
- 6. That the appellant has been dismissed from service by the respondent department on the ground of the said absentee 14.03.2016 but the said impugned order has been communicated to the appellant on 27.05.2016 against which the appellant submitted departmental appeal within one communication the from impugned order on 25.06.2016 which has month been rejected on 03.02.2017. (Copy of dismissal order, departmental appeal and rejection order are attached as annexure "C", D" & "E").
 - 7. That the said rejection order 03.02.2017 has been communicated to the appellant on 06.03.2017 and the appellant submitted revision petition within one month from the date of communication of the rejection dated 03.02.2017 which has been rejected on 08.05.2017 and the said rejection order has been communicated to the appellant at the end of October 2021. (Ccpy of revision petition and rejection order are attached as annexure "F & G").
 - 8. That feeling aggrieved the Appellant prefers the instant service appeal before this Hon'ble Tribunal on the following grounds inter alia:

GROUNDS:-

- A. That the impugned order 14/03/2016 and rejection orders dated 03/02/2017 and 08.05.2017 are void and ab-initio order because it has been passed without fulfilling codal formalities in this respect the appellant relied upon a judgment reported on 2007 SCMR Page 834.
- B. That no showcause notice has been issued or served to the appellant before imposing major penalty.
- C. That the impugned order is also void because no regular or departmental inquiry was conducted against the appellant which is mandatory before imposing the major penalty and no opportunity of personal hearing and defense has been provided to the appellant relied upon a judgment reported on 2003 PLC (CS) Page 365 on 2021 PLC (CS) page 235 as well as judgment of this Tribunal in service appeal No. 1181/2018 decided on 17.09.2021.
 - D. It is a well settled principle of law no one can be condemned unheard because it is against the natural justice of law in this respect the appellant relied upon a judgment reported on 2008 SCMR page: 678.
 - E. That no opportunity of cross examination has been provided to the appellant. In this respect the appellant relied upon a judgment reported on 2016 SCMR Page 108.
 - F. That the impugned order is also void because it has been passed from the retrospective effect as well as passed by incompetent authority.

- G. That the punishment has been awarded to the appellant comes under the definition of harsh one relied to upon a judgment reported on 2008 is SCMR page: 214 as well as judgment of this Hon'ble Trib and in Service Appeal No. 627/2017 decided on 13.10.2020.
- H. That any other ground not raised here may graciously be allowed to be raised at the time full of arguments on the instant service appeal.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that or acceptance of this appeal the impugned orders dated 14/03/2016, 03/02/2017 & 08.05.2017 may kindly be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated in service along with all back benefits, any other remedy which this august tribunal deems fit that may also be granted in favour appellant.

Any other relief not specifically asked for may also graciously be extended in favour of the Appellant in the circumstances of the case.

APPELLANT

Through

Roeed Khan

Advocate, High Court Peshawar.

Dated: 27/10/2021

NOTE:-

As per information furnished by my crient, no such like appeal for the same peritioner, upon the same subject matter has earlier been filed prior to the instant one, before this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Advocate.

BEFORE THE HONBLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re S.A No. _____/20201

Shabbir Ahmad

VERSUS

Police Department Govt of KPK

AFFIDAVIT

I, Shabbir Ahmad Ex Traffic Warden No. LHC 261 at District Peshawar. do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the instant appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed or withheld from this Hon'ble Court.

DEPONENT

IDENTIFIED BY:

Roeeda Khan Advocate High Court Peshawar.

BEFORE THE HONBLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re S.A No. _

Shabbir Ahmad

VERSUS

Police Department Govt of KPK

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

PETITIONER.

Shabbir Ahmad Ex Traffic Warden No. LHC 261 at District Peshawar.

ADDRESSES OF RESPONDENTS

Dated: 27/10/2021

- 1. Senior Superintendent of Police Traffic Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer Mardan
- 3. Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.

4. L'istrict Police Officer Nowshera. whatevel

APPELLANT

Through

Roeeda Khan Advocate, High Court

Peshawar.

BEFORE THE HONBLE SERVICE TRIE UNAL PESHAWAR

	•
In Re S.A No	/20201
7.12 2.00	

Shabbir Ahmad

VERSUS

Police Department Govt of KPK

APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY (IF ANY)

Respectfully Sheweth,

Petitioner submits as under:

- 1. That the above mentioned appeal is filing before this Hon'ble Tribunal in which no date is fixed for hearing so far.
- 2. That the appellant has been dismissed from service by the respondent department on the ground of absentee on 14.03.2016 but bas order said impugned the appellant the communicated 27.05.2016 against which the appellant submitted departmental appeal within one communication $_{
 m the}$ from month impugned order on 25.06.2016 which has been rejected on 03.02.2017.
 - 3 That the said rejection order 03.02.2017 has been communicated to the appellant on

06.03.2017 and the appellant submitted revision petition within one month from the date communication of the rejection dated 03.02.2017 which has been rejected on 08.05.2017 and the said rejection order has been communicated to the appellant at the end of October 2021.

GROUNDS:

- A.That the impugned order is void and illegal and no limitation run against the void orders because the impugned order has been passed without fulfilling the codal formalities
 - B.That there are number of precedents of the Supreme Court of Pakistan which provides that the cases shall be decided on merits rather than technicalities.
 - C.That there are many judgment of the superior court as well as specific provision of service law that limitation has been counted from the date of communication/knowledge.
 - D.That the impugned order is also void because it has been passed from the retrospective effect as well as passed by incompetent authority.

(5)

It is, therefore, requested that the limitation period (if any) may kindly be condone in the interest of justice.

APPELLANT

Through

. .

Dated: 27/10/2021

Roseda Khan

Advocate, High Court

Peshawar.



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA Central Police Office, ?eshawar

The following upper and lower subordinates of different distracts Police noted against each of their names are hereby transferred and postedito Traffic Warden Capital City Peshawar (being selected by selected committee) with

	to offort	
	te effect. NAME RANK & NO	DISTRICT
S/NO		Mardan
1.	SI Ajmal No. 324	Charsadda V
7.	ASI Gohar Ali No. 1077	Mardan
, 3.	ASI Wajid Ali No. 811	Peshawar
4.	HC Bakht Munir No. 1122	Mardan
· 5.	HC Rehman Gul No. 1022	Peshawar
6.	HC Abid Khan No. 3917	Mardan
7.	HC Ihsanullah No. 311	
8.	HC Muhammad Kabir No. 651	Peshawar
	HC Zahid Ali No. 2988	Peshawar
9.	What was a second No. 324	Charsadda
10.	Liberty No. Cd2	Charsadda
11.	1 (han No. 309	Mardan
12.	HC Wakeet Marris 2639	Peshawar
13.	HC Aman Gul No. 2639	Chitral
14		Chitral
15	. HC Naseer Ahmad No. 251	Peshawar
16	LHC Shahzad Khan No. 612	Peshawar (4)
17	11-5 No. 2657	
18	1283	Charsadda V
19	1 3 5 No. 7508	Pest avrar Hangu
_	No. 764	
	1368	.Charsadda 🗸
		FRP
. 2	2. FC Habib Ullah No. 1170	Mardan
2	23. FC Muslim Khan No. 2107	Peshawar
1	24. FC Wagar Ahmad No. 4811	Peshawar
	25. FC Zahid Muharnmad No. 2649	FRP Range
· _	26. FC Fazal Qadus No. 2963	I IV You's
1		

office of the DRO Nowshorn

No 5945-48/Be dt - 08/4/ for

Copy for n/oefice

1 DAO Moushorn

1 DAO Moushorn

1

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	A Company of the Comp	4
	FC Khalid Jan No. 893	FRP/HQ G
.8.	FC.M. Ali shah No. 1141	FRP /HQ
79:	FC Shehzad No. 2548	Peshawar
30.	FC Ismail No. 2025	FRP/HQ
31.	FC Murad No. 936 FC Ismail Khan No. 1034	CCP
3.	FC Maroof Jan No. 1402/2477	CCP
34.	FC Adnan Gul No. 717	CCP
.15.	FC Abid Khan No. 5311.	Nowshera
i5.	FC Shehzad Uilah No. 149 FC Shabir Ahmad No. 85	Nowshera
37.	11 La No 661	CCP
39.	FC Idrees No. 4408	CCP .
40.	FC Musawer No. 1826	FRP
41.	FC Zubair Ullah No. 32	ERP
42.	FC Muhammad-Adil No. 3250 FC Sohail No. 2927	FRP
43.	rod	FRP

For Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar
ar the 3/1/03/2015

65/E-IV, dated Peshawar the

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action

1. Addl: IGP/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtun khwa Peshawar.

2. Addi: IGP/Commandant FRP Khyper Pakatunkhwa Peshawar

- 3. Deputy Inspector General of Police HOrs: Khyber Pakhlunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. Capital City Police officer, Peshawar.
- 5. Regional Police Officers Mardan, Malakand & Kohat.
- 5. Deputy Inspector General of Police Traffic Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- 7. District Police Officers Charsadda, Mardan, Chitral, Hanguig Nowshera.

MIAN RASHID HUSSAIN SHAHEED MEN ORIAL HOSPITAL PABBI gr. SSIND

This is an order on the departmental enquiry initiated against Constable Shabbir Ahmad No.261-T/85-NSR for absenting himself from duty, with effect from 05.11.2015 till date without leave/permission of the competent authority. He was issued charge sheet and statement of allegations and DSP/Caritt Mraffic Mr. Johan Shah was norninated as enquiry officer to conduct formal departmental proceedings and submit his report in this regard. Charge Sheet along with Statement of Allegation was served upon him on 11.02.2016 who submitted his written reply stating therein . that he is seriously ill due to which absented himself from duty.

The Enquiry Officer summoned the accused constable time and again-on his cell No.0336-8841741 to attend the enquiry proceedings who always promised that he will attend the proceedings but failed. The enquiry officer therefore, recommended him for major punishment as he proves himself as unwilling worker for not attending the enquiry proceedings and bothering to report his arrival for duty

On 29.02.2016 the accused constable was called for personal hearing. who appeared before the undersigned but failed to produce together easing in support of his prolonged and continuous absence. On the day of personal hearing, the accused constable was directed to report his arrival for duty but inspite of going to Roznamcha for reporting his arrival and resuming his duty, he straight away went to his home. He was issued final show cause notice for his continuous and prolonged absence which he received on the day of personal hearing (29.02.2016) but he again failed to submit his written reply within the stipulated period which shows that the accused constable is not interested to perform his duties.

Keeping in view the recommendations of the Enquiry Officer as well as his continuous and prolonged absence for about 04-months & 10-days, constable Shabbir Ahmad No.261-T/85-NSR is awarded major purusament of dismissa from service under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 from absence i.e 05. 1.2015.

Order announced.

· Senior Superintendent o

34-28/PA, Dated Peshawar the 14/3/2016. Copies for information and necessary action to:

The District Police Officer, Nowshera.

DSP/Hyrs. Traffic, Peshawar.

The D.I.G

Morent.

Subject: Restoration of Service having walld, legal reasons against o No. 84/88/PA dated Penhawar 14-03-2016 dismissal order.

Respected Sir,

- 1) That, LHC Traffic Warden Shabbir Ahmad Belt No. 251-T/85/NSR has b dismissed under above quoted order.
- 2) That, dismissal from service has been ordered without keeping in view the certain facts as:
 - a) That, applicant/LHC had not only seriously ill but injured internally bodily, but inquiry officer not paid attention to the serious hody defect, at all.
 - b) That, LHC has reported to inquiry officer and stated valid reasons but he had not considered the reasons and got it light malaridely.
 - c) That, due to internal bodily injuries LHC was unable to move or moment of body, so a bsentee automatically prolonged it was not intentional action.
 - d) That, the absentee was not intentional entirely but due to injuries internal bodily at great extent due to which applicant, remained, non-sense, so, did not made co ttact or physical approach.
 - e) That, on restoration in sense, the authorities has been processed. essentialities of dismissal from service so, applicant remanded un-heard so, genuinally of the occurrence proven ill-commenced/mis-understood.

Therefore, humbly requested that keeping in view the facts, compulsions, applicant may be please be restored on humanilarian

Dated :- 25th July, 2016.

The applicant Mu Shabbir Ahma 1014 261

Pesl iawar Traffic Warden Teshiwar.

CVIC# 1720

Mobile No 083

This order will dispose-off the appeal preferred by Ex-LHC Shalibir Ahmad f Nowshera District Police against the order of SSP Traffic, Peshawar, wherein he was as unishment of dismissal-from service vide endorsement No. 184-88/PA dated 14.03.2016.

Brief facts of the case are that departmental enquiry initiated against E Shabbir Ahmad No, 261/17/85 N. R. for absenting from duty with offect from 15.11/2015 till date without leave/permission of the competent authority. He was issued thange sheet and statement and DSP Center Traffic Mr. Johan Shan wa STEER SECOND HILLS FOR HELD summended him for major punishment as he dite or part pro proves himself as unwilling worker for not attending the enquiry proceedings and bothering to report his to rival for duty. On 29.02.2016 the accused Constable was called for personal hearing who appeared before the SP. Traffic, Peshawar but failed to produce cogent reason in support of his prolonged and continuous absence. On the day of personal hearing the accused Constable was directed to report his arrival for duty but in spile of soing to Roznamcha for reporting his arrival and resuming his duty, he straight away went to his home. He was issued Final Show Cause Novice for his continuous and prolonged absence which he received on the day of personal hearing (29.02.2016 but he again failed to submit his written reply within the stipulated period which shows that the accused Constable is not interested to perform his duties. Therefore recommendations of enquiry Of icer as well as his continuou; and prolonged absence for about 04 Months & 10 cays awarded him major purishment

On perusal of record the undersigned, find no grounds to interfere the order persed by of dismissal from service by SSP, Traffic, Peshawar. the SSP Truffic, Pesliawar. Therefore the appeal is rejected being time barrece.

(IJAZ AHMAD)PSP Regional Police Officer.

Dated Mardan the 63/02-201. No. 964-66 res,

- 1. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar for information w/r to his office Memo: No. 158/CRC.dated
- 3. District I olice Officer, Nowshera for information and necessary action wir to his office Memo: No. 2. SSP Traffic, Peshawar for information. 6413/PA dated 22.09.2016. The Service Record is returned her with.

INSPECTOR LEGAL, SWARI

367.841.741

بخدمت جناب GP صاحب خير بخونخواه

جناب عالى!

استدها ہے کہ سائل 2008ء میں مجملہ پولیس شلع نوشمرہ میں بھرتی ہوا۔ سائل کو 04/11/2015 میں محکہ ہے بوجہ غیر حاضری مرخاست کیا گیا جس کی اجل من سائل نے کر کے لیکن بحال نہ ہوسکا سائل ایک غریب خاندان سے تعلق رکھتا ہے۔ جب کہ سائل گھر میں واحد نفیل ہے اور توکری ہے مرخاست ہونے پر سائل : جت زیا وہ مشکلات کا شکار ہوکر بہت زیا وہ وہ نی دیاؤ کا شکار ہے۔

بر راجہ درخواست استدعاکی جاتی ہے کہ میرے جملہ محکمانہ کا غذات منگوا کر از سر نونظر تانی کی جاتے سائل تا حیات دعا گور ہے گا۔

العارض

مورخد 2019-18-18 آبِ گاتانی فرمان شیراحمد بلط نمیر 261/LHC ولد مسلم خان سکند د میرک سیاک اتفاق یسی مومائل نمبر 8841741 - 8336

This order is hereby passed to dispose of departmental appeal under Rule 11 Khybec Pakhtunkhiva Pol ce Rule-1975 submitted by 13x ICCShi Bhir Ahmad No. 264-11/85-05 The appellant was dismitted from service well 05 (1.2015 by SSP, draftic Peshaviur Endst: No. 184-88:PA, de ted: 14:03:2016 on the charge of absence from duty for 04 morths and the

His appeal was rejected by Regional Police Officer, Mardan vide order Endst. No. 95:-66/ES, dated 03:02:2017.

Meeting of Appellate Board was held on 20.04.2017 wherein petitioner was heard in person. During hearing petitioner contended that his absence was not deliberate but he was ill. He promised medical certific ites.

Percial of record reveals that pelitioner was dismissed from service on charges of willful and deliberate absence from July. He failed to advance any plausible explanation behind his absence besides us service record contains 08 bad entries. The petition is also time barred. Therefore, the Board decided that his petition is hereby rejected.

This order is issued with the approval by the Competent Authority.

MASOOD HUMAN XUATIL AIG/Eshblishment, For Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. .. Peshawar.

No. S/ 2668-75 /17.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

- l. Regional Police Officer, Mardan
- Senior Supe intendent of Police, Traffic, Peshawar.
- PSO to IGP/Khyber Pakhtilinkhwa, CPO Peshawar.
- 4. PA ic Addl: IGP/HQrs: Khyber Pakhitinkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. P.A to DIGATORS, Khyber Pakhtunkliwa, Peshawar.
- 6. PA to AIGIT egal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- Office Supdi: E-IV-CI O Peshawar.
- Central Registry Cell, CPO.

elle catar of man d'un elle.

is pull -: 15.2021

ob d

ob pull of the part of the p

مقدمه دعوي 7.

باعت تحريرة نكه

مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف ہے واسطے پیروی وجواب دئی وکل کاروائی متعلقہ مستی مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف ہے واسطے پیروی وجواب دئی وکل کاروائی متعلقہ مسلوب کے ایک و لدھ ہے۔ آن مقام مسلوب کی ایک ایک کے ایک ایک کاروائی متعلقہ میں ایک کاروائی میں ایک کاروائی متعلقہ میں متعلقہ میں ایک کاروائی میں ایک کاروائی میں کاروائی میں ایک کاروائی میں کی کاروائی میں کاروائی کاروائی میں کاروائی میں کاروائی کی کاروائی میں کاروائی کاروائی کاروائی کی کاروائی کاروائی کاروائی کاروائی کاروائی کاروائی کاروائی کی کاروائی مقرر کر کے اقر ارکیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کومقد مہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وکیل صاحب کوراضی نامه کرنے وتقر رثالث وفیصله پرحلف دیئے جواب دہی اورا قبال دعویٰ اور بصورت ڈگری کرنے اجراءاور وصولی چیک وروپیارعرضی دعویٰ اور درخواست ہرتم کی تصدیق زرایں پردستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیزصورت عدم پیروی یاڈگری یکطرفہ یا پیل کی برامدگی اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل نگرانی ونظر تانی وپیروی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کوایئے ہم اِہ یا این بجائے تقرر کا ختیار ہوگا۔اورصاحب مقرر شدہ کوبھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ بااختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اوراس کاساختہ پر داختہ منظور وقبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چہ ہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے وہوگا کوئی تاریخ بیش مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حدسے باہر ہوتو دکیل صاحب یا بند ہوں گے۔ کہ پیروی مذکور کریں۔للہذاو کالت نامہ لکھدیا کہ سندر ہے۔

·2021) M OI

27

المرقوم

پوک بستة من مايشاه رسن اون 2220193