# BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Service	<b>Appeal</b>	No.	938/	2022
---------	---------------	-----	------	------

Amir Muhammad Constable No. 1513 Police Lines, Mardan	Pes.
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Appellant

### **VERSUS**

The Inspector General of Police , Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others......Respondents

### **INDEX**

S. No.	Description of Documents	Annexure	Pages.
1.	Written Reply.		1-3
2.	Affidavit.	<b></b>	4
3.	Copies of Bad Entries	Α	5-8
4.	Copies of Charge Sheet with statement of allegations, Enquiry & Final Show Cause Notice	В & С	9-17
5.	Copy of Authority Letter.		18

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER Khyher Propin PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

# Service Appeal No. 938/2022

Piery No. 1774 Amir Muhammad Constable No. 1513 Police Lines, Mardan

28/16/22

#### **VERSUS**

The Inspector General of Police , Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others......Respondents

# Para-wise comments on behalf of respondents:-

# Respectfully Sheweth,

# PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the appellant has not approached this Hon'ble Tribunal with clean hands.
- 2. That the appellant has concealed the actual facts from this Hon'ble
- 3. That the appellant has got no cause of action or locus standi to file the instant appeal.
- 4. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant Service Appeal.
- 5. That the appeal is unjustifiable, baseless, false, flawless and vexatious and the same is liable to be dismissed with special compensatory cost in favour of respondents.
- 6. That the appeal is barred by law & limitation.

### **REPLY ON FACTS**

- 1. Para to the extent of enlistment and serving in Police Department of appellant pertains to record needs no comments.
- 2. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is not plausible because every Police Officer is under obligation to perform his duty upto the entire satisfaction of his superiors. Moreover, the perusal of service record of the appellant revealed that due to his lethargic attitude his entire service record is tainted with bad entries. Besides, non receipt of complaint against the appellant does not mean a clean chit for the future wrong deeds (Copy of list of bad entries is attached as Annexure "A").
- 3. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is not plausible, because being a member of discipline force he was supposed to inform his seniors about his mother illness or submit application for leave but he failed to do so and remained absent from duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority.
- 4. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is totally devoid of merit because he has been properly proceeded against departmentally by

was entrusted to the then DSP City Mardan for probing into the matter. The enquiry officer during the course of enquiry provided full-fledged opportunity to the appellant to produce evidence/grounds in his defense. However, after fulfillment of all legal and codal formalities, the Enquiry Officer submitted his finding report to the competent authority. In light of enquiry proceedings, he was issued Final Show Cause Notice to which his reply was received and found unsatisfactory. Besides, the appellant was summoned & heard in Orderly Room on 17.09.2007, but this time too he failed to justify his innocence, therefore he was awarded minor punishment of stoppage of his two increments with cumulative effect, which does commensurate with the gravity of misconduct of the appellant (Copies of charge sheet with statement of allegations and Final Show Cause Notice are attached as annexure "B & C").

- 5. Correct to the extent that the appellant preferred departmental appeal which was decided on merit because the appellant was provided full-fledged opportunity of defending himself before the appellate authority but he bitterly failed to produce any cogent reasons in his defense. Therefore, the same was rejected and filed, being badly time barred for 14 years.
- 6. That appeal of the appellant is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds amongst the others.

## **REPLY ON GROUNDS:**

- A. Incorrect. Order passed by the competent authority as well as appellate authority are legal, lawful and according to norms of natural justice hence, liable to be maintained.
- B. Incorrect the appellant has been treated in accordance with law, rules, policy and the respondents did not violate any Article of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan.
- C. Incorrect. The respondent department has no grudges against the appellant, therefore, stance of the appellant is devoid of legal footing.
- D. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is not plausible, because being a member of disciplined force he was supposed to inform his seniors about his mother's illness or submit application for leave but he failed to do so and remained absent from duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority. The order is liable to be maintainable into the eye of law.
- E. Incorrect. The impugned Order did not violate any principle of natural justice, hence, liable to be maintained.

- F. Incorrect. Stance taken by the appellant is not plausible, because being a member of disciplined force he was supposed to inform his seniors about his mother's illness or submit application for leave but he failed to do so and remained absent from duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority. However, after conducting proper enquiry and fulfillment of all legal and codal formalities, the said order was passed.
- G. Incorrect. Para already explained needs no comments.
- H. That the respondents also seek permission of this Honorable Tribunal to raise additional grounds at the time of arguments.

# PRAYER:-

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of above submissions, appeal of the appellant may very kindly be dismissed being a badly time-barred and devoid of merits.

Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

(Respondent No. 01)

Regional Police Officer, Mardan

(Respondent No. 02)

District Police Officer,

(Respondent No. 03)

RUNZ He is hereby amaled à minor punishmet of Census: and Worned to be cireful in, Suture, exercise of -- power verted in me under RPK remoil & som souries, (special power) 08 linduce 2000. OB No: 1119 8-3-011 DPO/MA (E) days leave wordy and Wavened he carfee in future by DPO, Nordon letter 1/2 dt 8-10-242. 1.6

# ME LEAVE - SSENGE - REAKS IN SERVICE

(3)

All periods not cont ), ig "approved service" to be chiered in red ink.

1. 第二年							}	3		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DA'	re				y xr	ENT	No. of Discrict Order	escription of leave it a, printel, sick leave, or larlangin of translature of approv	리 의 도쿄 een co. Ud Servico
	- -		To -		Years		Days		All entries to be initialised. Let dent of Ponce	y Sopeantel.
	9	/-:	06	70	1c -	\$	2 day		Coase who Vir	er en verkanski, sj.
	j	3 -	中	C.	1-3	· •	4 days	3///06		
		,						12/3/10	· _ ·	
	AMAN 4	-					O de	OBNO 34	Center with	
	A							8.1.07		
			· .		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		(U)	673	Come alopay	San January .
			•				(14)	10/4/09.		OF July
	-				ا بر بدو انتخا	Tr.		10/4/09	(),=	DRITHER
					65	8	(16.0)	1172 ···	- 0	
	r		.,	The state with Advisories .			(3)	29/255		€.
٠			,	T DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANE OF T	Total		(22)	25/11/09	Ellean	. Wellan-
					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		(13)	21/13	Lean 2	
	er me de en	Ment of the second	1	abite expect along apont to the period	T THE COMP MINNEY			418 62-10	E/Leans	17 64
			ł :		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Crash	

GS&F7 NWFP--578 58---25.000--24.7.84 (11)

Marie DRAM (35) 4340 M/Love DPOMZ 1612 Loave who Pry Dashmi (26) day Epiecowo. OBNO. 195 al 5-4-17. soft dolle to Codays Low pay vide 1 26 KX NO 16559-64/FF Date Ch. 11. 2.19 X TAME (2.01.2020 to 02.04.2020 (90) drys. no direct dence on full pay tide ENCONC: 818-7-4/EF Dated &17-01 CON

#### **DISCIPLINARY AC**

I Akhtar Hayat Khan, District Police Officer, Macan as competent authority am of the opinion that Constable Amir Muhammad No. 15 3 while posted to Police Lines has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as y committed the following acts omission within the meaning of Section 5 of the NW ? Removal From Service (Special Power) Ordinance 2000.

#### STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

It is alleged that Constable Amir Muhammac 10. 1513 while posted to Police Lines remained absent for the following periods withc in information or prior permission from the competent authority.

- 1. i0.04,2007 to 12.04,2007
- 2. 16,04,2007 to 19,04,2007
- 3. 14.05.2007 to 17.05.2007
- 4. 23.05.2007 to 31.05.2007

This amounts to grave misconduct on his part - 1 warranting to departmental action against him.

For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of th reference to the above allegations, an enquiry committee consisting on 1r. Muhammad Quraish Khan DSP/City Mardan is constituted under section 5 of the out the enquiry for the purpose to bring real facts to the light.

aid officer with dinance to carry

The enquiry officer shall in accordance with the provision of the Ordinance provide reasonable opportunity of the hearing to the officer, : ord its findings within thirty days of the receipt of this order, recommendation as to positionent or other appropriate action against the official.

Constable Amir Muhammad No. 1513 is cricted to appear before the enquiry officer on the date, time and place fixed :y the enquiry officer/committee.

No. 33 \_\_\_/PA.

Dated 16\_16\_12007

Copies for inf

Copies for information and necessary action to t :

Mr. Muhammad Quraish Khan DSP/City, Mardan.

2. Constable Amir Muhammad No. 1513 Police Lines.

(Akhtar : yat Khan) PSP Districe Vice Officer, Virdan

(1/2/2/20/8)









# **CHARGE SHEET**

competent authority hereby charge you Constable wir Muhammad No. 1513 as follows.

I, AKHTAR HAYAT KHAN District Police Officer, Mardan as

That you while posted at Police Lines Mardan has committed the following irregularities:-

# STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

It is alleged that you Constable unir Muhammad No. 1513 while posted to Police Lines remained absent for the following periods without any information or prior permission from the competent authority.

- 1. 10.04.2007 to 12.04.2007
- 2. 16.04.2007 to 19.04.2007
- 3. 14.05.2007 to 17.05.2007
- 4. 23.05.2007 to 31.05.2007

This amounts to grave misconduction your part and warranting to departmental action against you.

- By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty consistended under section 3 of Ι. the NWFP Removal From service (Special power) ordinance 2000 and has rendered your self liable to all or any of the pena:ties as specified in section 3 of the Ordinance ibid. 2.
- You are therefore, directed to submit your writte telefence within seven days of the receipt of this charge sheet to the enquiry off ctr/committee as the case may
- Your written defence if any should reach to the ered iry officer/committee within 3. the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put-in and in that case an ex-parte action shall follow against you.
- Intimate whether you desired to be heard in persons
- A statement of allegations is enclosed. 5.

( (khtar Hayat Khan) PSP District Police Officer, Mardan

period be considered as long leave

PERSON OF THE ROLL BY SUBJECT OF THE WORLD IN THE AMERICA. -:toefqng Cuty, Date Marden the, 53-6, 120. The District of Police Of Licery, : OT Qf fA in • **u**ep.-The Dysur Aintendent of Police, ₹шож∄

· MACHAM

ANNAL Seek sollto amov of Males Wibnik

Brolosed Lease find herewith the celland Tous 16.0 will be teb

Hoo 1310 Waith pro and to droppy gainfult Adimenance fill on mental enquiry file in re pect of constable Amir Muhama

\*e: ald Leauned bain anow rot

Encl: (56)

· wapuexowem

orty, Mardan. I sauperintendent of Police,

Ulleran

Sa's, Marken of department of for Marken for fl Engular afferns of department for fl Muhamman as submitted por fl

on rears he is serving as a constable in Police Tol term Clara

he is taking care of his mother. His mother is of extremely of April and May. The reason is that his mother is ill and Lines, Warden. He remained absent for O3 days in the month Department. Presently he is permanne his duties in Police

After going through the statement of the . to negreme emertxe of sub tud Lanoitnetni ton ei times and lastly she had under gons surgery. So his absentee mother. His mother was admitted to the hospital for many eid to exac There is no one except wim to take care of his

a certificate of attendance for 04 contha and his absentee. compassionate view. In addition, to constable should atmits support of his absence ples. The Priviry Officer suggest no anoitquoserq leo ibe; enoired bettindue ead en enewon earlor and thus he has violated the rules and regulations. constable he remained absent with it prior approval of his

ENCOI : OBELOZB

# .eeseld bettimdu?

After going through the statement of the constable he remained absent without prior approval of his senior sand thus he has violated the rules and regulations. The rules and prescriptions in support of his absence plea. The English Officer auggest compassionate view. In addition, the constable should furnish a certificate of attendance for OA months and his absences period be considered as long leave.

Tor the aforesaid allocation the District For the Strict of the Strict of the Strict of Strict and Strict of Strict

7. From 10.04.2007 to 12.04.2007

2. 16.04.2007 to 19.04.2007

3. 16.05.2007 to 31.05.2007

4. 17.05.2007 to 31.05.2007

This is the first trained to a department: Interport the test of the following the first trained and the following the first south first first trained absent the first trained and duty without the period given of neutral duty without the period given in the period given in the first trained and the first trained are the first trained and the first trained are the first tr

· FNTTNTE





# FINAL SHOW CAUSE

I, Akhtar Hayat, District Police Offi 1. Mardan as competent authority under the NWFP Removal From Service (Special 1 - /er) Ordinance 2000 do hereby serve you Constable Amir Muh. 1900 No. 1513 while posted at Police Lines, as follows:

i) That consequent upon the completion of enc you by the enquiry Committee/Officer for whi a opportunity of hearing vide office communicat 16.06.2007

y conducted against vou were given i. No. <u>33/PA</u> dated

ii) On going through the findings and recomme Officer/Committee, the material on record and including your defence before the said committ-I am satisfied that you have committed the folloging acts/omission specified in Section 3 of the said Ordinance.

Litions of the enquiry 1 or connected papers

It is alleged that you Constable Amir Muhamma 110. 1513 while posted at Police Lines Mardan remained absent for the following perio information or prior permission from the competent authority.

- 1. 10.04.2007 to 12.04.2007.
- 2. 16.04.2007 to 19.04.2007.
- 3. 14.05.2007 to 17.05.2007.
- 4. 23.05.2007 to 31.05.2007.

This amounts to grave misconduct on your part ar varranting to departmental action against you.

- 1. As a result thereof I, AKHTAR HAYAT, District it lice Officer. Mardan as competent authority has tentatively dec  $\cdot$   $\epsilon d$  to impose upon you, the penalty of Major/Minor Punishment une r Section 3 of the said
- 2. You are therefore, required to show Cause as to whethe aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you, also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person. 3.
- If no reply to this notice is received within fifteen degree of its delivery in the normal course of circumstances, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case an ex-parte action shall be taken against 4.
- The copy of the findings of the Enquiry Officer/Con rettee is enclosed.

(Akhtar Tiyat Khan (PSP) Distr. : Police Officer,

Vardan,

No. \_\_\_\_/PA,
Dated 2// \biggred /2007

うからん 10/2/1/201 Es « Ling Miss in les in lusting en Mars (6) 6) 100 [10] 600 25/m Josép 360 5-25-01/09/21/55

# ORDER

This order will dispose-off a departmer if enquiry, under NWFP. Removal From Services (Special Power) Ordinance 2000, Amir Muhammad No. 1513, under the allegations that he we'le posted at Police Lines Mardan, proceeded against departmentally under the above qu d rules through Mr. Muhammad Quraish Khan DSP City Mardan on accords of his absence for the following periods:-

iated against Constable

- 1. 10.04.2007 to 12.04.2007.
- 2. 16.04.2007 to 19.04.2007.

16 days

- 3. 14.05.2007 to 17.05.2007.
- 4. 23.05.2007 to 31.05.2007.

The enquiry officer completed the enque, and concluded that the defaulter Constable has submitted various prescriptions of his nother's illness in support of his absence's plea, so the E.O suggested a compassionate v win this regard.

The defaulter Constable was served wit issued vide this office Endst: No. 25/PA dated 21.08.200° received and considered but found not satisfactory, therefore, it was heard in person on 17.09.2007 in O.R. but he did not highlight any plausible/cog rf reasons in his defence. therefore, his two increments are hereby forfeited with a sumulative effect, while keeping in view his family back ground, the absence's perical counted as earned leave and his pay released.

final show cause notice o which his reply was

ORDER ANNOUNCED

O.B. No. 15/8

Dated 20 / S. /2007

(. \ htar Hayat Khan (PS District Police Officer

No. 533-33 / PA

Dated 22 / \$ /2007.

Copy for information and necessary action to:-

The DSP Hqrs: Mardan

The Pay Officer (DPO) Mardan.

The Estt: Clerk (DPO) Mardan.

The OHC (DPO) Mardan with (63) Enclosures

de vill

#### ORDER.

This order will dispose-off the depart: Constable Amir Muhammad No. 1513 of Mardan Dist District Police Officer, Mardan, whereby he was awarded of two increments with cumulative vide OB: No. 1518 d was proceeded against departmentally on the allegation Lines. Mardan willfully and deliberately absented hims following periods:-

- 10.04.2007 to 12.04.2007.
- 16.04.2007 to 19.04.2007. 2
- 14.05.2007 to 17.05.2007. ٠,
- 23.05.2007 to 31.05.2007. 4.

Proper departmental enquiry proceeding: vere initiated against him. He was issued Charge Sheet alongwith Statement of Allegations and then Sub Divisional Police Officer, (SDPO) City, Mardan was nominated Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer after fulfilling codal formalities, submitted his fin Higs wherein he highlighted that the delinquent Officer has remained absent without process and thus has violated the rules. However, suggested to tamedical prescriptions produced by the delinquent Offic

reply, perused by the then District Police Officer, Mardel and found unsatisfactory

The delinquent Officer was heard in Cat erly Room by the then District Police Officer, Mardan on 17.09.2007, wherein he fa. H to produce any cogent reason in his defense. Therefore, he was awarded minor junishment of forfeiture of two increments with cumulative effect absence vide OB: 1: > 1518 dated 22.09.2007.

appellant preferred the instant appeal. He was summoned and heard in person in Orderly Room held in this office on 30.05.2022.

From the perusal of the enquiry file an service record of the appellant, it has been found that the allegations of misconduct against the appellant have been proved beyond any shadow of doubt. As the peruset of his service record revealed that he remained absent on many occasions. It is worthwille that the appellant approached

intal appeal preferred by Police, against the order of inor punishment of forfeiture d 22.09.2007. The appellant nat he while posted at Police from his lawful duty for the

He was served with Final Show Cause which he submitted his

Feeling aggrieved from the order of [ : rict Police Officer, Mardan, the

Contraction of the second

0

this forum with the delay c 14 years and 06 months without advancing any cogent reason, hence, badly time arred. Therefore, order passed by the competent authority ones not warrant any interse ence and I find it a case of habitual absentee.

Based on the above, I, Yaseen Farooq, PSP Regional Police Officer.

Mardan, being the appellate authority, finds no substance in the appeal, therefore, the eing badly time barred for 14 years and 06 months.

Order Anno need.

Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

No. 388 /ES, Dated Mardan the 67 / 06 /2022.

Copy forw: ded to District Police Officer, Mardan for information and necessary w/r to his of a Memo: No. 86/LB dated 12.04.2022. His Service Record is returned herewith.

(\*\*\*\*\*)

607/18

eal on Record

DSPIL.

# BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 938/2022

Sci vide rippedia						
Amir Muhammad Constable No. 1513 Police Lines, MardanAppellant						
VERSUS						
The Inspector General of Police , Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and othersRespondents						

# **AUTHORITY LETTER.**

Mr. Atta-ur-Rehman Inspector Legal, (Police) Mardan is hereby authorized to appear before the Honourable Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar in the above captioned service appeal on behalf of the respondents. He is also authorized to submit all required documents and replies etc. as representative of the respondents through the Addl: Advocate General/Govt. Pleader, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

(Respondent No. 01)

Regional Police Officer, Mardan

(Respondent No. 02)

District Police officer,

(Respondent No. 03)

# BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 938/2022					
Amir Muhammad Constable No. 1513 Police Lines, Mardan					
VERSUS					
The Inspector General of Police , Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawa others	r and dents				

# **COUNTER AFFIDAVIT**

We, the respondents do hereby declare and solemnly affirm on oath that the contents of the Para-wise comments in the service appeal cited as subject are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

Inspector General of Rolice Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

(Respondent No. 01)

Regional Police Officer, Mardan

(Respondent No. 02)

District Police Officer Mardan

(Respondent No. 03)