

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

C.O.C application No. 659/2022

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	01/11/2022	<p>The C.O.C application of Mr. Muhammad Jamil Khan legal heir of Mir Azam Khan submitted today by Mr. Saadullah Khan Marwat Advocate. Original file be requisitioned. It is fixed for hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on _____. Notices be issued to appellant and his counsel.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">By the order of Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">REGISTRAR</p>

AA9

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

COC. No. 659 /2022

Mir Azam Khan

versus


Chief Secretary & Others

I N D E X

S.#	Description of Documents	Annex	Page
1.	Memo of Misc Petition		1-3
2.	Judgment dated 14-10-2021	"A"	4-10
3.	Execution Petition No. 340/21	"B"	11-12
4.	Order dated 30-06-2022	"C"	13
5.	Notification dated 14-06-2022	"D"	14

Applicant

Through


(Saadullah Khan Marwat)
Advocate
21-A Nasir Mension,
Shoba Bazar, Peshawar.
Ph: 0300-5872676

Dated: 31-10-2022

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWARCOC No. 659 /2022

IN

S.A. No. 1312 / 2014

Mir Azam Khan (Late)

Through

Muhammad Jamil Khan Son

R/O Lakki Marwat Appellant

VERSUS

1. Chief Secretary, Govt. of KP,
Peshawar:
2. Secretary, Govt. of KP,
E & SED, Peshawar.
3. Director, E & SED, Peshawar. Respondents

**APPLICATION UNDER ARTICLE 204 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF
PAKISTAN FOR INITIATING CONTEMPT OF COURT
PROCEEDINGS FOR AWARD OF PUNISHMENT TO
RESPONDENTS FOR NOT IMPLEMENTING
JUDGMENT DATED 14-10-2021 OF THE HON'BLE
TRIBUNAL:**

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That on 02-10-2014, father of applicant filed appeal before this hon'ble Tribunal for reinstatement in service with all back benefits.

2. That after thorough probe, the said appeal came up for hearing on 14-10-2021 and then the hon'ble Tribunal was pleased to accepted the same as per para 06 of the judgment:-

"The instant appeal is accepted. The impugned order dated 18-06-2014 is set aside and the appellant is held entitled as reinstated into service, since the appellant died on 11-01-2017 during the course of litigation, hence he stands entitled as normally retired from service on the date of his superannuation i.e. 24-05-2015 with all consequential benefits arising out of his retirement with effect from 24-05-2015 including monthly pension, admissible to him till his death on 11-01-2017 and afterwards to his legal heirs". (Copy as annex "A")

3. That on 23-11-2021, applicant filed Execution Petition No. 340/21 before this hon'ble Tribunal for implementation of the judgment of the hon'ble Tribunal in letter and spirit which came up for hearing on 30-06-2022 and then the hon'ble Tribunal was pleased to pass the following order:-

"Son of the petitioner present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak Additional Advocate General along with Mr. Syed Naseer-ud-Din Shah and Superintendent for respondents present.

Representative of the respondent department submitted Notification dated 14-06-2022 which is placed on file and stated the department has reinstated the petitioner in service and implemented the judgment of this hon'ble Tribunal conditionally subject to the outcome of CPLA In august Supreme Court of Pakistan. In view of the above, the instant Petition is disposed off". (Copies as annex "B", "C" & "D")

4. That concluding para of the judgment of the hon'ble Tribunal is very much clear and till date, no single penny was paid to the applicant (legal heirs) till date.

5. That before submission of Notification dated 14-06-2022, respondent should have honor the ibid judgment in letter and spirit but only produced the said Notification to the hon'ble Tribunal and nothing more else was done by the respondents.
6. That the respondents flouted the judgment of the hon'ble Tribunal with disregard, so committed Contempt of the hon'ble Tribunal.

It is, therefore, most humbly requested that the judgment dated 14-10-2021 of the hon'ble Tribunal be complied with hence forthwith.

OR

In the alternate, respondents be proceeded for contempt of court and they be punished in accordance with Law.

Applicant

Through

Saadullah Khan Marwat


Arbab Saif-ul-Kamal

Amjad Nawaz
Advocates

Dated: 31-10-2022

AFFIDAVIT

I, Muhammad Jamil Khan (Applicant / legal heir), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that contents of COC are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



DEPONENT

CERTIFICATE:

As per Instructions of my client, Implementation Petition 340/21 has earlier been filed by the applicant before this Hon'ble Tribunal for the same cause but not implemented till date.

ADVOCATE

A 4

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1312/2014

Mir Azam Khan-Ex EDO (BS-19),
(E&SE) Lakki Marwat

1245
02-10-2014

(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. Government of KPK through Chief Secretary, KPK Peshawar.
2. Chief Secretary of KPK, Peshawar.
3. Secretary Education (E&SE), Peshawar.
4. Director Education (E&SE), Peshawar.

(Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 READ WITH RULE 19 OF E&D RULES 2011 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 18.6.2014, WHERE BY THE APPELLANT WAS REMOVED FROM THE SERVICE AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL WITH IN STATUTORY PERIOD OF 60 DAYS.

PRAYER:

ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 18.6.2014 MAY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED WITH ALL BACK AND CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS WITH FURTHER PRAYER FOR AWARING ANY OTHER REMEDY NOT SPECIFICALLY PRAYED FOR AND THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

2/10/14

R. SHEWTH:

1. That the appellant joined the Education Department in the year ~~1988~~ 1984 and lastly the appellant was as EDO Lakki Marwat vide order dated 26.12.2011. The predecessor of the appellant namely Abdul Malik was transferred from the post of EDO E&SE Lakki Marwat to GHSS, Khairabad, Mardan as Principal vide order dated 14.12.2011 and the appellant was posted at his place as EDO Lakki Marwat. (Copy of orders is attached as Annexure-A and B)

Submitted to...

2/10/14

ATTESTED

Secretary
KPK Service Tribunal
Peshawar

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1312/2014

Date of Institution ... 02.10.2014

Date of Decision ... 14.10.2021



Mir Azam Khan-Ex-EDO (BS-19), (E&SE) Lakki Marwat.

... (Appellant)

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and three others.

... (Respondents)

MR. ARBAB SAIF UL KAMAL & SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI
Advocate

... For Appellant

MR. JAVED ULLAH,
Assistant Advocate General

... For Respondents

ROZINA REHMAN
ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

W

JUDGMENT


ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR MEMBER (E):- Brief facts of the case are that the appellant joined education department on 28-04-1988. During the course of his last posting as Executive District Officer (EDO), education, the appellant was proceeded against on the issue of alleged illegal recruitment of certain staff and was ultimately removed from service vide order dated 18-06-2014. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant filed departmental appeal dated 14-07-2014, which was not responded to hence the instant service appeal with prayers that the impugned order dated 18-06-2014 may be set aside and the appellant may be re-instated in service with all back benefits with further prayers for awarding any other remedy

ATTESTED
[Signature]
EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

not specifically prayed for, as this August Tribunal deems fit in favor of the appellant.

02. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and was kept ignorant of the proceedings conducted against him, which is against law and norms of natural justice; that no proper inquiry was conducted against the appellant, hence the appellant was deprived of an opportunity to cross-examine witnesses, as none of the statements of witnesses were recorded in presence of the appellant nor any record was examined in his presence and the proceedings, if any, were conducted at the back of the appellant, hence the appellant was kept ignorant of such proceedings; that personal hearing was required to be conducted by the competent authority, but in utter violation of Rule-14 of the E&D Rules, 2011, the appellant was personally heard by secretary establishment, who was not his competent authority; that the appellant has been discriminated as other members of the selection committee, representatives of the administrative departments and other concerned were left free despite the fact that they had also participated in the alleged illegal appointment and they also signed and attended the meeting of selection committee and finalized the recruitment process, whereas the appellant was awarded with major punishment of removal from service; that it is a well settled legal proposition that regular inquiry is must before imposition of major penalty of removal from service, which however was not done in case of the appellant; that the appellant has done nothing illegal and observed all the codal formalities and made appointment on merit basis without accepting political pressure exerted by political figures of the constituency, who had desired to select candidates of their choice, but the appellant did not develop cracks under pressure and continued the process of selection purely on merit, for which he bore the brunt in shape of disciplinary proceedings and ultimate removal from service; that even the Impugned order has not been signed by the competent authority and issued by


Wk

ATTESTED

Secretary
Public Relations
Government of Karnataka

respondent No 3, who was not competent for such action under the law; that the appellant fell victim to political victimization, as nothing wrong was proved against the appellant, nor any such evidence was produced against the appellant to substantiate their claim, hence he was penalized for not adjusting candidates of political figures of the constituency; that during the course of litigation, the appellant died on 11-01-2017 having more than 26 years of service at his credit and he was to retire from service on date of his superannuation i.e. 24-05-2015. The learned counsel added that as per law, his legal heirs are entitled to contest his case. The learned counsel prayed that grave injustice has been done to the appellant and now the appellant is no more but in order to meet the ends of justice, the impugned order dated 18-06-2014 may be set aside and appellant may be considered as re-instated in service and he may be held entitled for normal retirement on the date of his superannuation i.e. 24-05-2015 with all consequential benefits accrued from 24-05-2015 to the legal heirs of the appellant.

W/h

03. Learned Assistant Advocate General appearing on behalf of respondents has contended that it is correct that advertisement pertaining to the recruitment in question was published by predecessor of the appellant and to this effect all necessary formalities have been fulfilled by his predecessor, but the appellant constituted a selection committee headed by him and the recruitments were made by the appellant, which were not found in accordance with law; that the appellant was served with charge sheet in accordance with law, but reply to the charge sheet was found not satisfactory, hence he was further proceeded in accordance with law with no malafide of the respondents; that inquiry was conducted as per law and rule and in light of recommendations of the inquiry report, showcause notice was served upon the appellant, to which he responded, but again he failed to prove his innocence, hence he was awarded with major punishment of removal from service.

ATTESTED

 S. K. SINGH
 Public Relations Officer
 Service Tribunal
 Patna

04. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

05. Record reveals that the appellant was posted as EDO Education vide order dated 26-12-2011, but before assumption of his charge against such post, his predecessor, namely Abdul Malik had advertised certain posts published on 06-10-2011, upon which applications had been received, which were scrutinized and final merit list was prepared, the process of recruitment was almost finalized by his predecessor but in the meanwhile, he was transferred elsewhere and the appellant assumed the charge, but the whole record pertaining to such recruitment remained in custody of one Mir Ajab Khan Office Assistant and it took a bit longer resuming the process of recruitment and ultimately it was upon immense pressure exerted by candidates already shortlisted by his predecessor, the process of recruitment was again resumed. and as per law, departmental selection committee with approval of the administrative department under the chairmanship of the appellant was constituted. The committee so constituted selected 11 candidates out of the candidates already shortlisted by his predecessor against the available 11 sanctioned posts. The appellant was not alone in the process of selection of candidates, but accompanied by three other members representing administrative department, office of DCO and District Education Officer of the concerned district under the direct supervision of administrative department. Upon completion of such recruitment, disciplinary proceedings were initiated against the appellant only, putting little burden on other members of the selection committee or predecessor of the appellant, who had advertised such posts and finalized the recruitment process. Even the alleged illegal appointees were also not touched, which was discriminatory on part of the respondents targeting only the appellant.

Placed on record is a charge sheet/statement of allegations dated 29-07-2013 served upon the appellant, where an inquiry committee have been shown to be constituted for the purpose, but record would suggest that such inquiry was

Wm


ATTESTED
[Signature]
Secretary, Tribunal
Peshawar



dispensed with, without recording any reason, thus the respondents skipped a mandatory step in the disciplinary proceedings, therefore action of authority in awarding major penalty of removal from service, in circumstances, was in sheer violation of principles of natural justice. Reliance is placed on 2011 PLC (CS) 387. The allegations so leveled against the appellant were factual in discourse, which could not be proved without regular inquiry; hence, the action so taken by respondents against the appellant seems to be outcome of malafide on part of the respondents. The respondents were directed repeatedly by this Tribunal to produce inquiry report conducted to this effect, but they failed to provide such report, as no such report was available with them. It otherwise is a well settled legal proposition that regular inquiry is must before imposition of major penalty of removal from service, which however was not done in case of the appellant and the appellant was condemned unheard. Reliance is placed on 2009 PLC (CS) 650.

The Supreme Court of Pakistan in another judgment reported as 2008 SCMR 1369 have held that in case of imposing major penalty, the principles of natural justice required that a regular inquiry was to be conducted in the matter and opportunity of defense and personal hearing was to be provided to the civil servant proceeded against, otherwise civil servant would be condemned unheard and major penalty of dismissal from service would be imposed upon him without adopting the required mandatory procedure, resulting in manifest justice. Record shows that the appellant responded to the charge sheet and vehemently denied allegations of illegal appointment, reiterating the stance that such appointments were made in accordance with law and after observing all the codal formalities. Record also confirms such stance of the appellant, as all the legal formalities like proper advertisement, selection process, test/interview and final selection by the selection committee constituted as per law has been observed and we did not notice any illegality in the process of selection, but such stance of the appellant was not taken into consideration by the respondents. Show cause notice was served upon the appellant with delay of almost 8 months on 07-03-2014; the appellant responded

WITNESSED


 Director
 Service Tribunal
 Peshawar

to the show cause notice asking the respondents to provide copy of the inquiry report as well as other material to enable him to properly respond to the allegations, but since no inquiry was conducted nor any other supporting material were provided to the appellant, nor stance of the appellant was taken into consideration, rather the respondents were bent upon removing the appellant from service at any cost, hence the impugned order was issued on 18-06-2014. We are of the considered opinion that disciplinary proceedings against the appellant were conducted in a haphazard manner, which are replete with deficiencies. The appellant was not treated in accordance with law and the action taken against the appellant was discriminatory, unlawful and based on malafide, which is not tenable in the eye of law, hence is liable to be set at naught.

06. In view of the foregoing discussion, the instant appeal is accepted. The impugned order dated 18-06-2014 is set aside and the appellant is held entitled as re-instated into service. Since the appellant died on 11-01-2017 during the course of litigation, hence he stands entitled as normally retired from service on the date of his superannuation i.e. 24-05-2015 with all consequential benefits arising out of his retirement with effect from 24-05-2015, including monthly pension, admissible to him till his death on 11-01-2017 and afterwards to his legal heirs. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to record room.

ANNOUNCED
14.10.2021

(ROZINA REHMAN)
MEMBER (J)

(ATIQ UR REHMAN WAZIR)
MEMBER (E)

Certified to be true copy
14/10/21
Secretary
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

Date of Presentation of App. 27/10/2021
Number of Pages ... 282
Filing Fee ... 4/-
Stamp ... 34/-
Date of ... 27/10/2021
Date of Delivery of copy ... 27/10/2021

B 11

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Misc Pett: No. _____ /2021

IN

S.A. No. 1312 / 2014

Mir Azam Khan (Late)

Through

Muhammad Jamil Khan Son

R/O Lakki Marwat Appellant

VERSUS

1. Chief Secretary, Govt. of KP,
Peshawar.
2. Secretary, Govt. of KP,
E & SED, Peshawar.
3. Director, E & SED, Peshawar. Respondents

**APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
JUDGMENT DATED 14-10-2021 OF THE HON'BLE
TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR:**

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That on 02-10-2014, father of applicant filed appeal before this hon'ble Tribunal for reinstatement in service with all back benefits.
2. That after thorough probe, the said appeal came up for hearing on 14-10-2021 and then the hon'ble Tribunal was pleased to accept the same as per para 06 of the judgment:-

19


"The instant appeal is accepted. The impugned order dated 18-06-2014 is set aside and the appellant is held entitled as reinstated into service, since the appellant died on 11-01-2017 during the course of litigation, hence he stands entitled as normally retired from service on the date of his superannuation i.e. 24-05-2015 with all consequential benefits arising out of his retirement with effect from 24-05-2015 including monthly pension, admissible to him till his death on 11-01-2017 and afterwards to his legal heirs". (Copy as annex "A")

3. That not only applicant but the Registrar of the hon'ble Tribunal remitted the same to respondents for compliance but so far no favorable action was taken there and then and the judgment of the hon'ble Tribunal was put in a waste box.
4. That the respondents are not complying with the judgment of the hon'ble Tribunal in letter and spirit and flouts the same with disregard, so are liable to be proceeded against the Contempt of Court Law for punishment.


It is, therefore, most humbly requested that the judgment dated 14-10-2021 of the hon'ble Tribunal be complied with hence forthwith.

OR


In the alternate, respondents be proceeded for contempt of court and they be punished in accordance with Law.


Applicant

Through


Saadullah Khan Marwat


Arbab Saif-ul-Kamal


Amjad Nawaz
Advocates

Dated: 23-11-2021


C 13

26.05.2022

None for the petitioner present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. AG for respondents present.

Respondents are directed to appear in person alongwith implementation report on 30.06.2022 before S.B. Original appeal also be requisitioned.

Kalim Arshad Khan
Chairman



30.06.2022

Son of the Petitioner present. Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Syed Naseer Ud Din Shah, Sprintendent for respondents present.

Representative of the respondent department submitted notification dated 14.06.2022 which is placed on file and stated that the department has reinstated the petitioner in service and implemented the judgement of this Tribunal conditionally subject to the outcome of CPLA in august Supreme Court of Pakistan.

In view of the above, instant petition is disposed off. File be returned to record room.

Announced
30.06.2022

Date of Presentation of Application 28-10-22
 Number of Words 800
 Copying Fee 10/-
 Urgent 5/-
 Total 15/-
 Name of Copysist _____
 Date of Completion of Copy 28-10-22
 Date of Delivery of Copy 28-10-22

Certified to be true copy

 CHAIRMAN
 Kyber Pakhtunkhwa
 Service Tribunal,
 Peshawar

TO BE SUBSTITUTED BEARING SAME NUMBER AND DATE



**GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT**

Dated Peshawar the June 14, 2022

NOTIFICATION

NO.SO/(SM)E&SED/4-17/2013/Mr. Mir Azam Khan EX- DEO Lakki Marwat;

WHEREAS Mr. Mir Azam Khan, Ex- District Education Officer (Male) BS-19 (Teaching cadre) Lakki Marwat was proceeded against under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servant (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011.

2. AND WHEREAS the Competent Authority (Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) had imposed major penalty of "Removal from Service" upon Mr. Mir Azam, Ex-District Education Officer Male (BS-19) District Lakki Marwat (Teaching cadre) District Lakki Marwat vide this Department Notification No.SO (SM)E&SED/4-17/2013/ dated 18.06.2014.

3. AND WHEREAS he filed a Service Appeal before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal against the said penalty. The Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 14.10.2021, set aside the impugned order dated 18.06.2014.

4. AND WHEREAS Mr. Muhammad Jamil Khan S/O Late Mir Azam Khan filed an Execution Petition No. 340/2021 in Service Appeal No. 1312/2014 before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal. The Service Tribunal vide its order dated 13.01.2022, has directed to submit conditional reinstatement order of the petitioner subject to the outcome of CPLA.

5. NOW, THEREFORE, In exercise of the powers conferred under section-4 (1) (a) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Govt. Servants (Appointment, Promotion & Transfer) Rules 1989, the Competent Authority (Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) is pleased to conditionally reinstate Mir Azam Khan, Late District Education Officer (Male) BS-19 (Teaching cadre) Lakki Marwat w.e.f 18.06.2014 subject to the outcome of CPLA in the Supreme Court of Pakistan.

**SECRETARY TO GOVT OF KHYBER AKHTUNKHWA
E&SE DEPARTMENT**

Endst: of even No. & Date

Copy forwarded to the:

- 1- Registrar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar.
- 2- Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- Director, Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- District Education Officer (Male), Concerned.
- 5- District Accounts Officer, Concerned.
- 6- PS to Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 7- PS to Secretary, E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 8- Mir Azam Khan, Ex- District Education Officer (Male) BS-19 (Teaching cadre) Lakki Marwat
- 9- Section Officer (Lit-II), E&SE Department,
- 10- Office order file.

**(NAVEED ULLAH SHAH)
SECTION OFFICER (SCHOOLS MALE)**

یعدالت جناب سرسٹریٹ ٹریبیونل صوبہ سندھ پشاور

متجانب ایڈوائسٹ
فنا اعظم خان
بنام
دعویٰ اپیل

bc-11-1689.

COC

Cell. No. 0333-907737.

Id, handpaine 9946@gmail.com

یا سید شمس الدین

©

©

مقررہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دہی وکل کاروائی متعلقہ آن مقام پشاور
کیسٹیم سید احمد خان سرور سے ایڈوائسٹ، ہائی کورٹ کو وکیل مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب
موصوف کو مقدمہ کی وکل کاروائی کا کابل اختیار ہو گا نیز وکیل صاحب کو کرنے والی نامہ و اقرار نامہ و فیصلہ بر خلاف
یہ جو اب دہی اور اقبال دعویٰ اور لہجہ ڈگری کرنے ابراہم اور دعویٰ چیک و روپیہ اور دعویٰ دعویٰ اور درخواست
ہر قسم کی تقدیر اور اس پر دستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہو گا نیز دعویٰ عدم پیروی یا ڈگری کیسٹیم یا اپیل کی برآمدگی
اور سبھی چیزیں دائر کرنے اپیل نگران و نظر ثانی و پیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہو گا اور لہجہ و ضرورت مقدمہ بنا کر
کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا نثار قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنی بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہو گا
اور اس پر مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جو مذکورہ بالا اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پرواختہ منظور
قبول ہو گا و دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ و ہرجانہ التوا مقدمہ کے سبب ہو گا اس کے مستحق وکیل صاحب
موصوف ہوں گے نیز بقایا و خرچہ کی وصولی کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہو گا اگر کوئی تاریخ پیشی متنازعہ روزہ
پر ہو یا مد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب پابند نہ ہوں گے کہ پیروی مذکور کریں۔

لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھ دیا کہ سند ہے۔

الرقوم 22-10-31

التعبید

التعبید

التعبید

11
ارباب نیف انکمال
ایڈوائسٹ

سید شمس الدین خان سرور
ایڈوائسٹ

Muhammad Jamil

محمد نواز
ایڈوائسٹ

محمد نواز

انجمن

انجمن

انجمن

Mohammed S. ...

انجمن ...

انجمن ...

31-10-22

انجمن ...

© ————— ©

انجمن

31, ...

coll. no. 0333-910737

bc-11-1689

انجمن

انجمن

انجمن