


Form- A  
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of \_\_\_\_\_

Execution Petition No. 630 /2022

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	21.10.2022	<p>The execution petition of Mr. Hazrat Ali submitted today by Mr.Taimur Ali Khan Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar on _____. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The respondents be issued notices to submit compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.</p> <p>By the order of Chairman  REGISTRAR</p>

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL  
PESHAWAR**

Execution Petition No. 630 /2022  
In Service Appeal No.591/2019

Hazrat Ali

V/S

Chief Secretary & others

**INDEX**

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
01	Memo of Execution Petition	-----	01-03
02	Copy of memo of appeal	A	04-08
03	Copy of judgment dated 09.12.2021	B	09-14
	Copy of application	C	15
04	Vakalat Nama	-----	06

THROUGH:

**PETITIONER**



**(TAIMUR ALI KHAN)  
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT  
PESHAWAR**

CELL: 03339390916

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,  
PESHAWAR.**

Execution Petition No. 630 /2022  
In Service Appeal No.591/2019

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal

No. 1670

Date 21-10-2022

Hazrat Ali Librarian (BPS-16),  
RPDC (Then GCPE), Karak.

**PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

1. The Chief Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
2. The Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, (Elementary & Secondary Education) Department, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
3. The Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Finance Department, Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
4. The Director (Elementary & Secondary Education) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

**RESPONDENTS**

.....  
**EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE  
RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE  
JUDGMENT DATED 16.06.2021 OF THIS  
HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND  
SPIRIT.**  
.....

**RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:**

1. That the petitioner has filed service appeal No.591/2019 in this Honorable Tribunal against the order dated 24.12.2018, whereby the appellant was regularized and posted/adjusted against the post of Librarian (BPS-16) with immediate effect instead of, from the date of appointment i.e 19.07.2002, when his colleagues were regularized and adjusted against the post of Librarian (BPS-16). **(Copy of memo of appeal is attached as Annexure-A)**

2. The said appeal was heard and decided by the Honorable Service Tribunal on 16.06.2021. The Honorable Service Tribunal allowed the appeal of the petitioner and the respondents were directed to modify the impugned notification by considering the regularization of the service of the petitioner on the post of librarian with effect from the date of his appointment i.e 19.07.2002, the petitioner was hold entitle to all due back benefits by the honorable Tribunal in its judgment dated 16.06.2021. **(Copy of judgment dated 16.06.2021 is attached as Annexure-B)**
3. That the petitioner has also filed application on 28.08.2021 to respondent No.2 for implementation of judgment dated 16.06.2021, but no action has been taken by the respondent No.2 on his application. **(Copy of application is attached as Annexure-C)**
4. That the Honorable Tribunal allowed the appeal of the petitioner in its judgment dated 16.06.2021, but after the lapse of about more than one year the respondents has not implemented the judgment dated 16.06.2021 of this Honorable Tribunal.
5. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the respondents after passing the judgment of this Honourable Service Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
6. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the respondents are legally bound to obey the judgment dated 16.06.2021 of this Honorable Service Tribunal in letter and spirit.
7. That the petitioner has having no other remedy except to file this execution petition for implementation of judgment dated 16.06.2021 of this Honorable Tribunal in letter and spirit.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the judgment dated 16.06.2021 of this Honorable Service Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Service Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favour of petitioner.



**PETITIONER**

Hazrat Ali

**THROUGH:**




**(TAIMUR ALI KHAN)**  
**ADVOCATE HIGH COURT**  
**PESHAWAR**

**AFFIDAVIT**

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the execution petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



**DEPONENT**



A 4  
=

**BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_/2019

Hazrat Ali, Librarian (BPS-16)  
GCPE, Karak.

.....APPELLANT

**VERSUS**

1. The Chief Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
2. The Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Education (E&SE) Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
3. The Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Finance Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
4. The Director Education, (E&SE), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,  
Peshawar.

.....RESPONDENTS

**APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 24.12.2018, WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS REGULARIZED AND POSTED/ADJUSTED AGAINST THE POST OF LIBRARIAN (BPS-16) WITH IMMEDIATE EFFECT INSTEAD OF, FROM THE DATE OF APPOINTMENT I.E 19.07.2002, WHEN HIS COLLEAGUES WERE REGULARIZED AND ADJUSTED AGAINST THE POST OF LIBRARIAN (BPS-16) AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ANY ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF 90 DAYS.**

**PRAYER:**

**THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 24.12.2019 MAY KINDLY BE MODIFIED**

5

TO EXTENT OF REGULARIZATION OF THE APPELLANT ON THE POST OF LIBRARIAN (BPS-16) WITH EFFECT FROM THE DATE OF APPOINTMENT I.E 19.07.2002 WHEN HIS COLLEAGUES WERE REGULARIZED ON THE SAID POST AND THE RESPONDENTS MAY FURTHER BE DIRECTED TO EXTEND ALL THE BENEFITS, FROM DUE DATE, OF THE SAID POST ALREADY GRANTED TO HIS COLLEAGUES. ANY OTHER REMEDY, WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT, MAY ALSO, BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

1. That initially the appellant joined the education department as Technology Teacher in BPS-7 vide order dated 27.3.2000. The appellant at that time was having the qualification of B.Sc and MLIS, and have also done CT and B.Ed. The appointment order and qualifications are recorded in the Service Book, **(Copy of service book is attached as Annexure-A.**
2. That in the meanwhile, the Public Service Commission advertised 31 male Librarian posts for which the appellant also applied and was successful. The appellant's name was recommended by the Public Service Commission to the Education Department on 27.7.2000 with the direction to appear before the Standing Medical Board. **(Copies of Advertisement, Recommendation and Appear before the Standing Medical Board are attached as Annexure-B,C & D)**
3. That although the whole process was carried out for Librarian posts, but when the appointment order dated 19.07.2002 was issued, the appellant along-with other candidates were appointed against the SET (BPS-16) posts on contract basis. **(Copy of Order dated 19.07.2002 is attached as Annexure-E)**
4. That some colleagues of the appellant has approached the Honourable Peshawar High Court Peshawar claiming appointment on regular basis and against the post for they have competed and were selected, their Writ was accordingly

68

allowed on 19.05.2005 and they were regularized against the post of SET. The colleagues of the appellant again approached the august Peshawar High Court Peshawar in COC No.77/2005 with the prayer that they should be regularized against the post of Librarian (BPS-16). On the direction of Peshawar High Court the respondent department regularized the colleagues of the appellant against the post of Librarian (BPS-16) vide order dated 15.12.005, but with immediate effect. They again approached the Honourable High Court Peshawar for their regularization against the post of Librarian (BPS-16) with effect from the date of their respective appointment and again on the direction of the High Court vide corrigendum dated 12.06.2006 the colleagues of the appellant were regularized on the post of Librarian (BPS-16) with effect from the date of their first appointment. **(Copies of the judgment dated 19.05.2005, order dated 15.12.2005 and corrigendum dated 12.06.2006 are attached as Annexure-F,G&H)**

5. That the appellant and other official who are selected along with the appellant and later on regularized are on the same footing and also similarly placed person but despite that the appellant has been kept deprive from the benefit of regularization, up-gradation and other benefits for no good grounds. The appellant has left no stone unturned for his life rather the respondent department has tuned deaf ear to the genuine request of the appellant, therefore, finally the appellant filed departmental appeal for his rights and waited for 90 days but the same appeal went un-responded despite of lapse of statutory period of 90 days and after the lapse of statutory period, the appellant filed service appeal No.1299/2013 in this august Service Tribunal which was decide 02.01.2018 and the august Service Tribunal remanded back the appeal to the respondents to decide the departmental appeal of the appellant within a period of one month strictly on merit. **(Copy of judgment dated 02.01.2018 is attached as Annexure-I)**
6. That the respondent department did not decide the departmental appeal of the appellant within the stipulated period of one month, therefore the appellant filed execution petition No. 249/2018 in this august Service Tribunal for implementation of judgment dated 02.01.2018 and during the proceeding of execution petition, the respondent department submitted the



7

notification dated 24.12.2018, whereby the appellant was regularized and posted/adjusted against the post of Librarian (BPS-16), but with immediate effect, against which the appellant filed departmental appeal on 14.01.2019, which was not responded within the statutory period of ninety days. **(Copies of execution petition No.249/2018 and notification dated 24.12.2018 and departmental appeal are attached as Annexure-J,K&L)**

7. That now the appellant comes to this august service Tribunal for redressal of his grievance on the following grounds amongst others.

**GROUND:**

- A. That not taking action on the departmental appeal of the appellant and order dated 24.12.2018 to the extent of regularization of the appellant on the post of Librarian (BPS-16) with immediate effect is against the law, facts, norms of justice, material on record and discriminatory therefore not tenable and liable to be modified to the extent of regularization of the appellant against the post of Librarian (BPS-16) from the date of appointment i.e 19.07.2002.
- B. That all the colleagues of the appellant who were appointed along with the appellant in the same order were regularized against the post of Librarian (BPS-16) from the date of their initial appointment and under the principle of consistency the appellant is also entitled for the same benefits.
- C. That the appellant is discriminated as all the colleagues who were appointed along with the appellant in the same order were regularized against the post of Librarian (BPS-16) from the date of their initial appointment but the same benefits were not extended to the appellant, which is a clear violation of Article-25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan.
- D. That the colleagues of the appellant were regularized against the post of Librarian (BPS-16) from the date of appointment on the direction of Honourable Peshawar High Court and the appellant being similarly placed is also entitled for the same

8

relief under the natural justice and Supreme Court judgment report as 2009 SCMR page-1.


- E. That the department advertised the Librarian post and the appellant also applied for the said post of Librarian and was selected on the said post and the department was legally bound to regularized the appellant on the same post from the date of appointment as his colleagues were already regularized on the post of Librarian from the date appointment.
- F. That the appellant has been treated in accordance with the law and rules and has been deprived from his legal right of regularization from the date of appointment.
- G. That inaction of the respondent department is not tenable in the eyes of law, to keep the appellant deprive from regularization from the date of appointment.
- H. That appellant seeks permission to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal may kindly be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

Hazrat Ali

THROUGH:

  
TAIMUR ALI KHAN  
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT  
&

ASAD MAHMOOD  
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

26/07/2021

B 9

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 591 /2019

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 721

Dated 07/5/2019


Hazrat Ali, Librarian (BPS-16)  
GCPE, Karak.

.....APPELLANT

VERSUS

1. The Chief Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
2. The Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Education (E&SI) Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
3. The Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Finance Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
4. The Director Education, (E&SE), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

.....RESPONDENTS



Filed to day  
7/5/2019

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 24.12.2018, WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS REGULARIZED AND POSTED/ADJUSTED AGAINST THE POST OF LIBRARIAN (BPS-16) WITH IMMEDIATE EFFECT INSTEAD OF, FROM THE DATE OF APPOINTMENT I.E 19.07.2002, WHEN HIS COLLEAGUES WERE REGULARIZED AND ADJUSTED AGAINST THE POST OF LIBRARIAN (BPS-16) AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ANY ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF 90 DAYS:

PRAYER:

THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 24.12.2018 MAY KINDLY BE MODIFIED

APPROVED  
  
APPELLANT

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

**Service Appeal No. 591/2019**

Date of Institution ... 07.05.2019

Date of Decision ... 16.06.2021

Hazrat Ali, Librarian (BPS-16)  
GCPE, Karak. ... (Appellant)

**VERSUS**


The Chief Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil  
Secretariat, Peshawar and three others. ... (Respondents)

Mr. TAIMUR ALI KHAN,  
Advocate --- For appellant.

MR. JAVED ULLAH,  
Assistant Advocate General --- For respondents.


MR. SALAH-UD-DIN --- MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
MR. ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR --- MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

**JUDGEMENT:**




**SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:-** The appellant has filed the instant Service Appeal against the order dated 24.12.2018, whereby the appellant was regularized and posted/adjusted against the post of Librarian (BPS-16) with immediate effect instead from the date of his appointment i.e 19.07.2002 as well as against not taking any action upon the departmental appeal of the appellant within the statutory period of ninety days.

2. Precise facts gleaned from the record are that initially the appellant joined the Education Department as Technology Teacher (BPS-07) vide order dated 27.03.2000. In the meanwhile, Public Service Commission advertised 31 posts of Librarian (Male) for

ATTESTED  
  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Services Tribunal  
Peshawar

which the appellant also applied and remained successful, therefore, his name was recommended by Public Service Commission to Education Department vide order dated 27.07.2000 and the appellant was directed to appear before the Standing Medical Board for medical examination. The whole process was carried out for the posts of Librarian but when the appointment order dated 19.07.2002 was issued, the appellant alongwith other candidates were shown to have been appointed against SET (BPS-16) posts on contract basis. Some of the candidates approached Worthy Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, claiming their appointment on regular basis and against the posts for which they had competed and were selected. The Writ Petition was allowed vide judgment dated 19.05.2005 and they were regularized against the post of SET. They again submitted Contempt of Court Petition in the Worthy Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, praying that they may be regularized against the posts of Librarian. Consequently, they were regularized against the posts of Librarian vide order dated 15.12.2005 but with immediate effect. They again approached august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, for their regularization against the posts of Librarian with effect from the date of their respective appointment and upon the direction of august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, corrigendum dated 12.06.2006 was issued by the respondents, whereby they were regularized on the posts of Librarian with effect from the date of their first appointment. The appellant being standing on the same footing was also entitled to the benefits of regularization, up-gradation etc but the department did not grant the same to the appellant, therefore, he filed departmental appeal, which went un-responded within the statutory period of ninety days. The appellant thus filed Service Appeal No. 1295/2013 before this Tribunal, which was disposed of vide order dated 01.01.2018 by directing the respondents to decide the departmental appeal of the appellant within a period of one month. The respondents did not decide the departmental appeal of the appellant, therefore, Execution Petition No. 249/2018 was filed by the appellant before this Tribunal. During the proceedings on the Execution Petition, the respondents produced Notification dated 24.12.2018, whereby the appellant was regularized/adjusted against the post of Librarian out with immediate effect. The appellant



challenged the Notification dated 24.12.2018 through filing of departmental appeal, however the same was not responded within the statutory period of ninety days, hence the instant appeal.

3. Respondents submitted their comments, wherein they negated the stance of the appellant and have alleged that the appellant is not entitled to the desire relief

4. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that as the colleagues of the appellant have already been regularized on the posts of Librarian with effect from the date of their appointment, therefore, the appellant was also entitled to the same benefits; that the appellant is entitled to regularization of his service and other benefits with effect from the date of his appointment, therefore, the impugned Notification dated 24.12.2018 is required to be modified by declaring the regularization of the appellant on the post of Librarian from the date of his appointment alongwith all benefits; that the respondents have violated Article-25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan by not treating the appellant at par with his other colleagues. Reliance was placed on 2009 SCMR 1 and 1996 SCMR 1185

5. On the other hand, learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents, while controverting the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant, has contended that the Notification dated 24.12.2018 is in accordance with law; that the service of the appellant has rightly been regularized with immediate effect and the appellant is legally not entitled to challenge the same.

6. Arguments heard and record perused.

7. A perusal of the record show that it is an admitted fact that the appellant alongwith others had applied for 31 posts of Librarian, advertised through Public Service Commission and the appellant alongwith others stood successful. The whole process was conducted for the posts of Librarian, however when the appointment letter dated 19.07.2002 was issued, it transpired that instead of Librarian, the appellant alongwith others were shown to have been appointed against SET posts on contract basis. Some of the appointees approached august

RECEIVED  
19/08/2018  
Public Service Commission  
Islamabad

Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, through filing of Writ Petition, claiming that they shall be considered to have been appointed on regular basis, being recommendees of NWFP Public Service Commission. The Writ Petition was allowed vide judgment dated 19.05.2005 and they were regularized against the post of SET. They again approached worthy Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, through filing of C.M No. 77/2005 in Writ Petition No. 1291/2004, praying that they may be regularized against the posts of Librarian. Resultantly, they were regularized against the posts of Librarian vide order dated 15.12.2005 but with immediate effect, however corrigendum dated 12.06.2006 was later on issued by the department, whereby they were regularized on the posts of Librarian with effect from the date of their first appointment. August Supreme Court of Pakistan in its judgment reported as 1196 SCMR 1185 has held as below:-

*"we may observe that if the Tribunal or this court decides a point of law relating to the terms of service of a civil servant which covers not only the case of the civil servant who litigated, but also of other civil servants, who may have not taken any legal proceedings, in such a case, the dictates of justice and rule of good governance demand that the benefits of the above judgment be extended to other civil servants, who may not be parties to the above litigation instead of compelling them to approach the Tribunals or any other legal forum".*


8. In light of judgment of august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, rendered in Writ Petition No. 1291 of 2004 decided on 19.05.2005 as well as order passed in C.M No. 77/2005 in Writ Petition No. 1291 of 2004, the services of some of the colleagues of the appellant, who were appointed alongwith the appellant on the same date vide same appointment letter dated 19.07.2002, were regularized with effect from the date of their appointment. In light of dicta of august Supreme Court of Pakistan rendered in 1996 SCMR 1185 as well as 2009 SCMR 01, the appellant was also entitled to the regularization of his service from the date of his appointment i.e 19.07.2002. The respondents were required to have treated the appellant at par with his colleagues by extending him the same benefits as were extended to his colleagues in light of judgment of august Peshawar High Court,

APPROVED  
  
 Director, Public Service Commission  
 Peshawar


Peshawar, but the same was not done without any justifiable reasons. The conduct of the respondents is not worth appreciation as they have un-necessarily compelled the appellant to resort to this Tribunal for seeking his remedy.


09. In view of the above discussion, the appeal in hand is allowed and the respondents are directed to modify the impugned Notification by considering the regularization of the service of the appellant on the post of Librarian with effect from the date of his appointment i.e 19.07.2002. The appellant shall be entitled to all due back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

**ANNOUNCED**  
**16.06.2021**



**(SALAH-UD-DIN)**  
**MEMBER (JUDICIAL)**

  
**(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)**  
**MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)**

Certified to be true copy  
  
**EXAMINER**  
**Cyber Pakhtunkhwa**  
**Service Tribunal**  
**Peshawar**

Date of Presentation & Evaluation 26/8/21  
Number of Words 2800  
Copying Fee 30  
Urgent 7-  
Total 37-  
Name of Copy Sh  
Date of Completion (COP) 26/8/21  
Date of Delivery of Copy 26/8/21



15

بخدمت جناب سیکٹری ایجوکیشن خیبر پختونخواہ پشاور

عنوان: درخواست بمراد گریڈ 17 پر تعیناتی

جناب عالی!

مودبانہ گزارش ہے۔ کہ فدوی نے خیبر پختونخواہ میں اپیل نمبر 519/2019 داخل کروایا۔ جسکی روشنی میں عزت ماآب جج صاحبان نے 16/06/2021 کو ایک منصفانہ فیصلہ سنایا۔ جو کہ منسلک ہے۔

فدوی 1995ء میں یونیورسٹی آف پشاور سے لائبریری سائنس میں ماسٹر ہے۔ سرٹیفکیٹ منسلک ہے۔

جناب والا سروس ٹریبونل خیبر پختونخواہ کے فیصلے کی روشنی میں فدوی کو اپنے ساتھیوں کے ہمراہ تاریخ تقرری 19/07/2002 سے لائبریرین کی پوسٹ پر ریکولرائزیشن گریڈ 17 اور سابقہ بقایا جات دینے کے احکامات صادر فرمائیں۔ دُعا گوں رہے گا۔

نوازش ہوگی۔

مورخہ: 26-08-2021

العارض

آپکا فرمانبردار حضرت علی لائبریرین فزیکل ایجوکیشن کالج خیبر پختونخواہ کرک۔

26/08/2021

**VAKALAT NAMA**

NO. \_\_\_\_\_/2021

IN THE COURT OF KP Service Tribunal Peshawar

Hazrat Ali (Appellant)  
(Petitioner)  
(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

Chief Secretary & others (Respondent)  
(Defendant)

I/We, Hazrat Ali

Do hereby appoint and constitute **Taimur Ali Khan, Advocate High Court Peshawar**, to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/Counsel on my/our costs.

I/We authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case at any stage of the proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against me/us.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_/2021

Haz  
(CLIENT)

ACCEPTED

Taimur Ali Khan  
**TAIMUR ALI KHAN**  
Advocate High Court  
BC-10-4240  
CNIC: 17101-7395544-5  
Cell No. 0333-9390916

**OFFICE:**

Room # FR-8, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Bilour Plaza, Peshawar,  
Cantt: Peshawar