Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

ourt of	
Case No	1530/2022_

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	25/10/2022	The appeal of Mr. Farzand Ali resubmitted today by Mr. Mansoor Salam Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary
-		hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on
		Notices be issued to appellant and his counsel for the date fixed.
		By the order of Chairman
		REGISTRAR 04

The appeal of Mr. Farzand Ali Ex-Assistant Sub-Inspector of Police post main Gate Judiciary Complex Lakki Marwat received today i.e. on 14.10.2022 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- Appeal has not been flagged/marked with annexures marks.
- 2- Annexures of the appeal may be attested.
- 3- Copy of reply to charge sheet mentioned in the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 4- Copy of show cause notice and its reply is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 5- Copy of order dated 12.**§**.2022 is illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.
- 6- Four more copies/sets of the appeal along with annexures i.e. complete in all respect may also be submitted with the appeal.

No. 2835 /S.T.
Dt. 17/10 /2022

REGISTRAR
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

Mr.Mansoor Salam Adv. Pesh.

Objection 1 has removed & Appeal has flagged properly.
Objection 2 has also removed & Sunexures has altested.
Objection 3 has removed & Copy of reply of charge sheet has attached.
Ossection 9 copy of reply of show cause Notice has been objection 9 copy of reply of show cause Notice has been placed and attached with memo of appeal.

Placed the instant appeal for heaving before the instant appeal for heaving before

Hon'ble Tribunal S.B.

Note: Copy of the showcause Notice date 19.07.2022 on Page: 69, is not available to be annexed with memo of main appeal.

Talam
MANSOUR SALAM
Advocate Dallo Khel

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE	APPEAL NO	/2022
---------	-----------	-------

Farzand Ali Ex-ASI

V/S

PoliceDeptt:

INDEX

S. No.	Documents	Annexure	P. No.
01.	Memo of appeal		1-4
02.	Affidavit		5
03.	Copies of Charge Sheet, Statement of Allegations & Reply	A,B&C	6.7.8
04.	Copy of Final Show Cause Notice	D, D- 1	, 10
05.	Copy of Impugned Order of DPO dated 01.08.2022	Е	11
06.	Copy of Departmental Appeal	F	12
07.	Copy of the Impugned Rejection Order of RPO dated 12.09.2022	G	13
07.	Wakalat Nama		

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

(MANSOOR SALAM) Jalam

ADVOCATE HIGHCOURT PESHAWAR

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO._____/2022

Farzand Ali Ex-Assistant Sub Inspector No.295 Police Post main Gate Judicial Complex, Lakki Marwat.

(APPELLAN)

VERSUS

- 1. The Regional Police Officer, Bannu Region.
- 2. The District Police Officer, Lakki Marwat.

(RESPONDENS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT, AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 01.08.2022, WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS DISMISSED FROM SERVICE, AND AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 12.09.2022, WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WAS REJECTED WITH NO GOOD GROUNDS.

PRAYER:

THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE ORDER DATED 01.08.2022 AND 12.09.2022 MAY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY BE REINSTATED AND **BACK** ALL WITH SERVICE HIS INTO CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND PROPER MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED;

FACTS:

- 1. That the appellant has appointed in the respondent department in the year 1996 and was performing his duty with great devotion and honesty, whatsoever, assigned to him and no complaint or adverse remarks has been filed or passed against him regarding his performance.
- 2. That the appellant was posted as Assistant Sub Inspector at Police post main gate judicial complex Lakki Marwat, where an incident of murder took place inside judicial complex Lakki Marwat vide FIR No. 206 dated 25.06.2022 u/s 302, 324, 34 PPC PS Gazni Khel, and for that the appellant has been held liable for gross

(2)

- misconduct, inefficiency and negligence for not proper body search of the accused/murderer.
- 3. That appellant was not present at that day when the aforementioned incident took place in judicial complex; as the appellant was on short leave i.e. **Shabashi**. Appellant handed over the charge to Naib Incharge on 24.06.2022 round about 06:00 PM, factually the appellant's wife was sick & appellant was in doctor clinic when the incident took place on 25.06.2022.
- 4. That on the same date of incident, the appellant was issued a charged sheet and statements of allegations vide dated 25.06.2022 wherein appellant was held liable for misconduct, inefficiency and lethargic conduct, which was duly replied within specified time of 07 days by the appellant denying all the charges and allegations that has been leveled against the appellant. (Copies of Charge Sheet & Statement of allegations & reply are Annexure-A, B&C).
- 5. That one sided departmental inquiry was conducted by the inquiry officer against the appellant and as a result appellant has been held responsible for committing the act of gross misconduct, negligence & inefficiency, by which the appellant has been issued a final Show Cause Notice, vide dated 19.07.2022. (Copy of Final Show Cause Notice as Annexure-D)
- 6. That after issuing final show cause notice, the appellant has been dismissed from service vide impugned order dated 01.08.2022, without affording an opportunity of personal hearing and condemned unheard by violating natural justice. (Copy of Impugned Order dated 01.08.2022 as Annexure-E)
- 7. That feeling aggrieved from impugned order of District Police Officer Lakki Marwat. The appellant filed departmental appeal vide dated 15.08.2022 to the office of Regional Police Officer in Bannu, against the dismissal order dated 01.08.2022. (Copy of Departmental Appeal is attached as Annexure-G)
- 8. That the departmental appeal of the appellant was rejected on 12.09.2022, however the rejection order dated 12.09.2022 does not communicated to the appellant in person, more so on 16.09.2022 the appellant went to the office of respondent No.2 to knew about the fate of his departmental appeal on which the officials of the office of respondent No.2 inform the appellant that his departmental appeal has been rejected on 12.09.2022, but office

- received the rejection order on 14.09.2022 bearing dairy No.4553 and handed over rejection order to the appellant on 16.09.2022.
- 9. That the appellant has no other remedy except to file the instant service appeal in this Hon'ble Tribunal on the following grounds amongst others.

GROUNDS:

- A. That the impugned orders dated 01.08.2022, 12.09.2029 and are against the law, facts, norms of justice and material on record, therefore, not tenable and liable to be set aside.
- B. That inquiry conducted against the appellant was not according to the prescribed procedure as neither the appellant was properly heard nor the CCTV cameras visuals as evidence were associated with the inquiry proceeding, which is sheer violation of law and rules and as such the impugned orders are liable to be set aside on this ground alone.
- C. That no opportunity of defense was provided to the appellant during inquiry proceeding, which is violation of Article-10A of the Constitution of Pakistan.
- D. That the inquiry office wholly depend on the discussion of the DSP concerned and IO of the case, because neither a lady constable was associated in inquiry proceedings nor the visuals of CCTV cameras has been made part of the inquiry proceedings, more so the doctor prescription of appellant's wife was also presented, but that too was ignored by IO, which is gross violation of facts and collecting evidences.
- E. That the appellant was posted at main gate judicial complex Lakki Marwat, for body search of the public, litigants etc entering via the main gate but as mention in above Para appellant was not present and was on short leave i.e. Shabashi however the inquiry officer conducted inquiry in violation of procedure given in KP Police E&D Rules 1975:
- F. That it is pertinent to mention here that appellant in his departmental appeal and in reply of charge sheets has brought the attention of officers for the reasons of security laps but neither attention has been given nor even considered, and appellant has straight away dismissed from service.

- G. That it is cherished principle of law that where law required the things to be done in particular manner, the same is to be done in that manner and not otherwise.
 - H. That the law and courts of the country have always encouraged and preferred that rules are to be followed and have deplored, discoursed and depreciated any violation or variation therein.
 - I. That no witnesses examined on oath which was obligatory for inquiry officer who has to perform quasi-judicial function and comply with legal procedure. Departmental inquiry mostly resting on accused/murderer statements before police.
 - J. That it is settled principle of law that no person could be condemned unheard but in the said case the appellant has been condemned unheard and no prior chance of defense or hearing was provided to the appellant while dismissed from service.
 - K. That from all prospective, the impugned order is wrong and void and is liable to be set aside and the appellant has been reinstated into his service with all back and consequential benefits.
 - L. That the appellant seeks permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal to the time of grounds and proofs at others advance hearing/arguments.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

Farzand Ali Ex-ASI

THROUGH:

(MANSOOR SALAM)

ADVOCATE HIGHCOURT **PESHAWAR**

CERTIFICATE:

It is certified that no other similar service appeal between the parties has been filed earlier.

DEPORF

3

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

	ADDEAL NO	/2022
SERVICE	APPEAL NO	

Farzand Ali

V/S

Police Deptt:

AFFIDAVIT

I, Farzand Ali Ex-ASI No.205 Police Post main Gate Judicial Complex, Lakki Marwat (Appellant) do hereby affirm and declare that the contents of this service appeal are true and correct and nothing has been concealed from this august Court.

DEPONENT

Farzand Ali (APPELLANT)

CNIC:11201-0407648-3

Cell# 0348-9331910

CHARGE SHEET UNDER NWFP POLICE RULES 1975.

I, Zia ud Din Ahmed PSP, District Police Officer. Lakki Marwat as competent authority hereby charge you ASI Farzand Ali while posted as I/C Judicial Complex Lakki Marwat as follow:-

- 1. "That on 25.06.2022 your negligence and lose supervision an incident of murder took place in Judicial Complex Lakki Marwat vide FIR No.206 dated 25.06.2022 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Ghazni Khel.
- 2. This speaks your gross misconduct, inefficiency and lethargic conduct on his part and liable to be punished under Police Rule-1975.
- 3. By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty or misconduct under section 02 (iii) of the KPK Police Rules 1975 and has rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties as specified in section 04 (i) and & b of the said rules.
- 4. You are therefore directed to submit your written defense within seven days (7) of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer.
- 5. Your written defense if any, should reach to the enquiry officer within the specified period. failing which, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put-in and in that case, an ex-parte action shall follow against you.
- 6. Intimate whether you desired to be heard in persons.

No. 488 / Dated Lakki Marwat the 35/56/ 2022

District Police Officer Lakki Marwat

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, LAKKI MARWAT.

No. 479 1PA Dated: 25/06/2022.

DISCIPLINARY ACTION UNDER KPK POLICE RULES - 1975.

I, Zia ud Din Ahmed PSP, District Police Officer. Lakki Marwat as competent authority aim of the opinion that ASI Farzand Ali while posted as I/C security at Judicial Complex Lakki Marwat has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he committed the following acts/commission which fall within the meaning of Section-02 (iii) of KPK Police Rules 1975.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS.

- "That on 25.06.2022 his negligence and lose supervision an incident of murder took place in Judicial Complex Lakki Marwat vide FIR No.206 dated 25.06.2022 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Ghazni Khel.
- 2. This speaks his gross misconduct, inefficiency and lethargic conduct on his part and liable to be punished under Police Rule-1975.

For the purpose of securitizing the conduct of the said official with reference to the above allegations Khan Pis appointed as Enquiry Officer for further thorough probe into the matter.

The Enquiry Officer shall conduct proceedings in accordance with provision of Police Rules 1975 and shall provide reasonable opportunity of defense and hearing to the accused official, record its finding and make within twenty five (25) days of the receipt of this order, recommendation as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused officer.

The accused officer shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

District Police Officer Lakki Marwat

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, LAKKI MARWAT.

No. 479-80/SRC, dated Lakki Marwat the 25/06/2022.

Copy of above is submitted to the:

3. 1820 Chain PST for initiating proceedings against the accused officer under Police Rules 1975.

4. ASI Farzand Ali with the directions to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date time and place fixed by the enquiry officer for the purpose of enquiry proceedings.

7

ULID ار الروون بران مر الزيما در مان مع مين دخارج سيور في هوري مراس من افتات بون ، ورجه مله کوعمر دید کوف وی ر زود را را ما الما المادر المرفي دندر وصر وزائم كنيل كم يكورني روار وسيرا المراش عن دونی امازت کر گوفر جدا کی ادر کنوری JAID LIHC MI JU CIL SING الله ي لو براسون دالفري عدر كراسا لله الحال الحرالي ورص عدر الحد را الحد رج سمع الله عالم المعلى رى مرجود في كليل على قبل كا وقوم روز نيوا مي خوري طور مر رار جوزات المبيل مي بولس المسران فوقور والماتي المن الله والمراقي ال زمر درارى المن طرفي من رافيام ری . وقرم کا در نرش هرجور کھا. دردن می میری دیوتی کھی مراز رها تعا ۱ مرا د فرق الم فرون ما بران الما المراز ما الما الما فرون الما الما فرون الما الما فرون الما الما فرون الما الما المراز ما وقرم معرى عنت اور لوزيان كوجري وناش اول به حري الله الله الما المرفقول المربيل المرفقول المربيل أو سر جند كاروالها كارون رقيم فرما كا حراس Lygu Cuiptoin ورزرعلی ایم حصنه دولیس مرفز ما درت

مجاله شورما زمر خورمن بهون که دیدا المالي ، ويها سي فارثوث من رب د كابول و معالی میں موجور س کا ارزی الماریوی کا علاج الطان میں جودات کی الماری کا الماری الحارج کا زیا کا العارت مع کوفرد ترا بوائی کیون بودر دی کے دفیتر مد بور کوورس احتران در سال میں تع در مدالیہ حواس خو مران بالرمد في مائنا احسان بالم كالومين معيادها. برين ひしかいかかいいいかいいかい من المر المان موجر من روما سر سراي . كون وقوي م اور سی و اس سال سوری الحارج کی دوئے = وقع کے خلین میں سے ان کے ساکھ ر ما در در الرصوف المران ما ال من المران ما در در المعلوف المران ما ال من المران ما المن مرفع من قول من المران ما المن وقوم من قول من في من والماء بما رابع والماء و 2 3 2 53 NI WE IN (White () M (o letw) 1/2) بر المر المولاد المولاد المر المولاد المركز Lingtes Cuip Cois (in) 184 (July)





nail develokal preparations

ORDER

My this order will dispose off the departmental enquiry proceedings against ASI Farzand Ali while posted as I/C Security Judicial Complex Lakki Marwal was found to indulge in the following omission/commission as detail below:-

- "That on 25.06.2022 his negligence and lose supervision an incident of murder took place in Judicial Complex Lakki Marwat vide FIR No.206 dated 25.06.2022 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Ghozni Khel.
- This speaks his gross misconduct, inefficiency and lethargic conduct on his part and liable to be punished under Police Rule-1975.
- In this regard, he was charge sheeted and enquiry was marked to DSP/Hqrs: Lakki Marwat for thorough probe into the allegations. The enquiry officer after conducting proper departmental enquiry submitted finding report stated therein that the officer concerned was absented from duty on the day of occurrence without any permission of the competent authority and failure to report for duty the next day also reflects that he had prior knowledge of the occurrence of the incident, hence found guilty of the misconduct. He was served with Final Show Cause Notice to this effect. His reply to the Final Show Cause notice was perused which was also found un-satisfactory. He was also called in Orderly Rount for personnel hearing on 29.07.2022, where he offered no cogent reason to prove his innocent.
- Therefore I Zio-ud-Din Ahmed PSP, District Police Officer, Lakki Marwat exercise of the power vested in me under KPK Police Rules-1975, hereby impase upon him major punishment of "Dismissal from service" with immediate effect. He is directed to deposit all the Govt: articles allotted to him to the concerned brunches.

OB No. 355

Dated: 01 /08/2022.

District/holice Officer

9834-37 Dated Lakki Murwat the

01/08 /2022.

Copy of above is submitted for favour of information to:-

1. The Regional Police Officer, Bunnu Region, Bannu.

no OHC & Lines Officer for necessary action.

بخدمت جناب ریجنل پولیس آفیسرصاحب بنوں ریجن بنوں ایک برائے بحالی سروس از تاریخ برخائی م

(2)

عنوان

جناب عالى!

مود با ندالتماس ہے کہ سائل کو جناب DPO صاحب کی مردت نے بحوالہ OB نمبر 355 مورجہ 01.08.2022 من سائل کواس الزام میں ملازمت سے برخاست کیا ہے کہ آپ کی غفلت اورلوز کمانڈ کی وجہ سے جودیشل کمپلیکس کی مردت میں قبل کا وقو عدونما ہوا۔

عالیجاہ! اسلم بین کن سائل معروض ہوں کہ کن سائل بھکم افران بالانحسٹیت انچارج سکیج رقی جوڈیٹ کیمپلیک میں ڈیوٹی سرانجام دے دہاتھا۔ مورخہ 24.06.2022 کو بوقت عمر ویلہ من سائل کو گھر سے فون کال آیا کہ جلدی گھر پنچوں ایر جنسی ہے۔ من سائل گھر کا واحد مربراہ ہواور نیچ بہت جھوٹے ہیں جبکہ والدصا حب کانی ضعیف ہائل کو خود گھر جانا بہت ضروری تھا۔ چونکہ دفتری ٹائم تھ ہوچا تھا اور افران بالا سے تحریری اجازت لیے من کافی ٹائم لگ جا تا اس لیے سائل نے جوڈیٹ کمپلیک کے سکیورٹی ایڈون سے زبانی اجازت لیے میں کافی ٹائم لگ جا تا اس لیے سائل نے جوڈیٹ کمپلیک کے سکیورٹی ایڈون سے زبانی اجازت لیے میں کہ بالا سے تحریری اجازت ہوئی سے اللہ کو اپنا چاری ہوئی کہ بوجا ایم کی جوٹی کی معلوم ہوا کہ سائل کی بیوی تخت بیار ہے اور حالت کا فی خراب ہے۔ من سائل آور آڈیو ٹی پول کو بغرض علاج شہراز خیل لے جا کر پرائیویٹ ڈاکٹر سے علاج کرا کر گھر والیس پنچایا کہ دقوعہ بذاکی اطلاع موصول ہوکر سائل فوراڈیو ٹی پرواپس آیا۔ اس بابت تقلمہ ہمراہ لف ہے جبکہ جوڈیٹل کہلیکس کی CCTV کیراہ جات بھی ملاحظہ کر سے ہیں۔

عالیجاہ! من سائل نے اپنے 26 سال میں افسران بالاکو کی بھی تم کے شکایت کا موقع نہیں دیا ہے۔اور ماضی بے داغ اور صاف تحراب۔ اس سلسلے میں سائل کے سروس ریکارڈ کو چیک کیا جا سکتا ہے۔

لبداسائل کی 26سالہ سردی، چھوٹے بچوں، یماریوی اور بوڑھے باپ کو مد نظرر کھتے ہوئے سائل کے برخاتگی ارڈرکوختم کر کے سائل کوسروس پردوبارہ بحال کیا جائے۔ سائل اس بارمخاط رہے گا اور افسر ان بالاکو کمی بھی تتم کے شکایت کا موقع نہیں دے گا۔

عین نوازش ہوگی

مودفته:10.08.2022

العارض

Frank State Contract

سأل EX-ASI فرز على شلع كلى مروت

All No.395 of District Police Lakki Marwin, wherein the state of the s major punishment of "Dismissal from Service", impared upon his by 190 1341 Mirrar Wice Ols No.355 dated 01 08,2022 on the following allignious.

- That on 35.00,2022 his negligeries and lose supervision an incident of increasion place in Judicial Complex Cately Marvat vide FIR Machine direct 25.06.2022 and 302200 PPC PS Cheen King
- This speaks the group interesting methodogy and lember to have on his sec. liable to a punished under Police (tale 1975

liable to as punished under Police folia 1975

Consolenta, tervice Decaye and country papers were received from DPO Lakki Manya Pido his office letter No. 0278/EC dates 19.08.2022 The DPO take Maryar has superior that the appellant was charge shaped and enquery papers were marched to DSP/HQ Laires marvar for thorough probe into the allegations. The enquiry officer after conducting proper departmental augusty submitted and not forgott, staping the continue of their sonderned mice then and on the one of occurrence without mix bearing on of the escapeur. subsording and miles to be port for the spect day also to reffects that he had prive to of the penyreput bridge annual ments that some the of the penys of the acreed with their story of the source of the Land. He teply to the finishess the second

was found around the core Canada at a facility was latered in Orderly Room hald by Dec-Lands. Where he offered 30 copen reason to move his innocent Therefore he was awarded major purchanent of IDisnissal stonesson - have 0.8 No.355 dated 01.08.2022 The appellant was atto heard in Thouses in ordered room bold in RPA Office Bland in 01-09-2022 in connection with the transmissional Housever his plea has not been found convincing.

The raise to perform such as important duty and consequently a lingary was killed the from of the court of ASI so IL Laker, His conduct/delinquency has not only emberassed the sance pollegist extract a ball name tout their liss resulted in the loss of a praction singtransition to the state of the

Street Ashing Anway PSP Regional Police Officer Standinger subset of the powers wested in me tipler Khyber Pakillunkhwa Police Rijes amelication of the property and one the order of the Lakki Marwar vide of OB No. 355 dien **第2100号[1876] 第100**

Bandu Region

BETTER COPY OF THE PAGE NO. 13

ORDER

This order will dispose of department appeal, preferred by Ex-IHC/ASI Farzand Ali No. 295 of district Police Lakki Marwat, wherein he has prayed for setting aside the order of major punishment of "Dismissal from Service", imposed upon him by DPO Lakki Marwat vide OB No. 355 dated 01.08.2022 on the following allegations.

- ➤ That on 258.06.2022 his negligence and lose supervision an incident of murder took place in Judicial Complex Lakki Marwat vide FIR No. 206 dated 25.06.2022 u/s 302/324/34 PPC PS Ghazni Khel.
- This speaks his gross misconduct, inefficiency and leading ----- liable to be punished under Police Rule-1975.

Comments, service record and enquiry papers were received from DPO Lakki Marwat vide his office letter No. 10278/EC, dated 19.08.2022. the DPO Lakki Marwat has reported that the appellant was charge sheeted and enquiry papers were marked to DSOP/HQ; Lakki Marwat for thorough probe into the allegations. The enquiry officer after conducting proper departmental enquiry submitted findings/report stating therein that the officer concerned had absented from duty on the day of occurrence without any permission of the department authority and failed to report for duty. The next day also it refelects that he had prior ------ of the occurrence of the incident, hence found guilty of the

Served with Final Show Cause Notice by DPO-Lakki. His reply to the Final Show Cause Notice was found unsatisfactory. On 29.07.2022 he was heard in Orderly Room held by DPO-Lakki, where he offered a cogent reason to prove his innocent. Therefore, he was awarded major punishment of "Dismissal from serviced" vide O.B No. 355 dated 01.08.2022. the appellant was also heard in person in orderly room held in PRO Office Bannu on 01.09.2022 in connection with the instant appeal. However, his plea has not been found convincing.

He failed to perform such an important duty and consequently a litigant was killed in front of the court of ASJ No. II Lakki. His conduct/delinquency has not only embarrassed the district and earned a bad name for it but also resulted in the loss of a precious life attitude towards duty has thus been found to be extremely counsel.

Therefore, I sayed Ashfaq Anwar, PSP, Regional Police Officer, Bannu Region Bannu in exercise of the powers vested in me under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 (amended in 2014) hereby endrose the order of DPO Lakki Marwat vide his OB No. 355 dated 01.08.2022. His appeal is rejected.

ORDER ANNOUNCED
OB No. 284
Dated 12/09/2022

Regional Police Officer Bannu Region, Bannu

Jy.

WAKA LATNAMA

(POWER OF ATTORNEY)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

	(Petitioner)
	(Plaintiff)
Farzand Ali	(Applicant)
	(Appellant)
	(Complainant)
	(Decree Holder)
	(Decree Holder)
VERSUS	
VERSUS Police Deptt:	(Respondent)
rouce Defu:	
	(Defendant)
	(Accused)
	(Judgment Debtor)
I/We Farzand Ali S/o Gul Mir Abdul Khel P/o Tehsil & Distt Lake noted Service Appeal. do hereby app	RO Mix Galam Khel
About vhil Plo Tehail & Dist Lake	Manual in the above
noted Cervice Appeal. do hereby and	point and Constitute
Mansoor Salam, Advocate, High Court, Peshawar, to	appear plead act
compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as m	
above noted matter, without any liability for their default and	
engage/appoint any other Advocate/Counsel at my/our matter	•
I/We authorize the said Advocate(s) to withdraw and receive	
sums and amounts payable or deposited in my/our accour	at in the above noted
matter.	
The said advocate(s) is/are not liable / responsible for an	ny act done in good
intention.	-
10	
Accepted & Attested CLIENT(S)
Jalam	
Mansoor Salam	
&	

Taimoor Khan Advocates, High Court, Cell No: 0333-9730452