No one is present for the appellant. Notice be issued to the appellant and his counsel for 31.05.2022 before S.B

CHAIRMAN,

- 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2022 Despite being served no-body put appearance on behalf of the appellant till closing hours of the court.

  Dismissed for want of prosecution. Consign.
  - 3. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal this 31st day of May, 2022.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman 13.10.2021

Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant present.

Former requests for adjournment on the ground that the learned counsel is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing before the S.B on 09.12.2021.

(MIAN MUHAMMAĎ) MEMBER (E)

....09.12.2021

Appellant in person present and requested for adjournment on the ground that his counsel is affected by Dengue Virus. Last chance is given for preliminary arguments where-after the service appeal will be dismissed for non-pursuance. To come up for preliminary hearing on 03.02.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

03.02.2022 The Tribunal is non-functional, therefore, the case is adjourned to 08.04.2022 before S.B for the same.

Reader

# Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	/ 4		
	IDI.I		:
se No	19/41	/2021	

	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	07/07/2021	The appeal of Mr. Inam Badshah resubmitted today by Syed Mudasi Pirzada Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
<u>2</u> -		REGISTRAR.  This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be pu up there on 27/08/21.
e		The second of which applies the second of th
27.(	8.2021	Clerk of counsel for the appellant present an requested for adjournment on the ground that learne counsel for the appellant is not available today Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing befor the S.B on 13.10.2021
		The state of the s
		(SALAH-UD-DIN)
		(SALAH-UD-DIN)  MEMBER (J.)  Member (J.)

The appeal of Mr. Inam Badshah IHC no. 355 District Hangu received today i.e. on 21.06.2021 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

Address of appellant is incomplete which may be completed according to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal rules 1974.

All the annexures of the appeal are illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.

3- Copies of certificates mentioned in para-f of the grounds of appeal are not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

Date of departmental appeal mentioned in the memo of appeal is 25.2.2021 while the date put on the copy of departmental appeal is 22.3.2021 the same may be rectified.

5- One copy/set of the appeal along with annexures i.e. complete in all respect may also be submitted with the appeal.

No. 1958 /S.T.

Dt. 23/06 /2021

REGISTRAR
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

Syed Mudassir Pirzada Adv. Kohat.

Re-Submitted after he moval of office objection-

Service A	ppeal	 2021

## INAM BADSHAH IHC / 355 DISTRICT HANGU POLICE LINE HANGU

(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF KPK POLICE PESHAWAR.
- 2. DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KOHAT REGION KOHAT

(Respondent)

#### **INDEX**

Sr	Description of Documents	Annexure	Page
No			
1	Memo of Appeal		1-5
2	Affidavit	_	6
3	Address of the Parties		7
4	Copy of impugned Order dated 18-02-2021 with ACR Report along with acknowledgement etc	A	8- 4
5	Copy of Departmental Representation dated 22-3-2021.	В	12
	Wakalatnama		

Appellant

Through

Date <u>91161202</u>/

Syed Mudasir Pirzada Advocate HC 0345-9645854

Service Appeal <u>201</u>2021

INAM BADSHAH IHC / 355 DISTRICT HANGU. POLICE LINE HARYGU

(Appellant) Pakhtukhw

VERSUS.

1. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF KPK POLICE PESHAWAR.

Dated 21/6/202

2. DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KOHAT REGION KOHAT

(Respondent)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 18-62-2021 VIDE NO-138/CC IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT NO:-2 WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL JUSTIFICATION OR COGENT REASON AND WITHOUT ISSUING ANY COUNSELING TO THE APPELLANT BLESSED WITH ADVERSE REMARKS IN ACR/PER AND THE APPELLANT PREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL REPRESENTATION FOR EXPUNGTION ON DATED 25-02-2021 AND THE RESPONDENT GIVEN FALSE CONSOLATION THAT REPRESENTATION WILL BE ACCEPTED BUT THE SAME WAS NOT CONSIDER / ENTERTAIN NOR REJECTED TILL TO DATE.

#### Pray:

In view of above submission it is requested, by accepting of instant service appeal the impugned order of Respondents No 2 may please be set a side and the expunge the adverse remarks in ACR/PER for the period mentioned above.

Respectfully Sheweth,

With great veneration the instant appeal is preferred by the appellant on the leaf-of-physing facts and grounds:-

Facts: 21 16 2021

Briefly facts are that the appellant while serving in the department with the entire satisfaction of the respondent above and for the period of 01-04-2019 to 28-11-2019 the respondent No-3 without any complaint or without any lawful justification as well in the absence of counseling / warning blessed with the impugned adverse remarks in ACR/PER as downgraded to C' and the same were communicated to the appellant on dated 25-02-2021 (Copy of impugned order along with complied reports is annexed as annexure A)

That prior to blessing of impugned order by respondent No-2 awarding adverse remarks in ACR/PER the respondent No-2 does not peruse the previous good ACR for different periods in the same year in which it has been mentioned that the appellant performance remains satisfactory.

Magistrar 7

That the respondent No.2 awarding adverse remarks as down graded "C" which was not communicated to the appellant well with in time and on dated 25-02-2021 the appellant were serving under the kind control of DPO Hangu intimated to the appellant that respondent No. 02 had blessed with adverse remarks as downgraded "C" in ACR which speaks that no counseling or warning were ever been issued to the appellant which is against to the service norms.

That there is nothing on record which impales the respondent No-2 to award the adverse remarks in ACR/PER for the period mentioned above and the appellant feeling aggrieved preferred departmental representation before the respondent No-1 on dated 25-02-2021 which were still not consider nor entertain till to date (Copy of departmental representation is annexed as annexure B respectively)

That the appellant had received good performance certificate on different occasions but this aspect has not been considered while awarding impugned adverse remarks in ACR / PER without any lawful justification or without any reason mentioned therein.

That as per rule the respondent no 2 were duty bound to issue warning prior to the issuance of adverse remarks as well as no counseling opportunity has ever been extended towards the appellant which shows the biasness on the part of Respondent No 2.

That the appellant again feeling aggrieved when the Deptt: Representation were not entertained, hence having no alternate remedy except to prefer instant service appeal before the Honourable Tribunal on the following grounds interalia:

#### Grounds:

- a. That the appellant is honest and dedicated and leave no stone unturned to discharge in his assigned duties.
- b. That there is nothing on record which shows that the appellant is an unbecoming officer or the performance is not up to the mark.
- c. That according to the prevailing rules when the competent authority reached to the conclusion for the purpose of awarding remarks in ACR in such like manner it must be communicated to the officer concerned with in time but the same has not been communicated to the appellant.
- d. That there is nothing on record nor any complainant as well as there is no single evidence or any subjudice issue pending against the appellant which signifies that the appellant services were recommended for adverse remarks.
  - e. That the appellant never remains absent from his lawful duty nor have any secret diaries against the conduct of the appellant.

- f. That if the services were not satisfactory then the appellant must be noticed for any disciplinary proceedings and the appellant were duty bound to submit his justification, but there is nothing on record regarding any disciplinary proceedings and the appellant is a responsible officer and in the entire service receives many commendation certificates from superiors
- g. That the appellant has always earned a good name for Department and never ever become a burden on exchequer of the Government but without pursuing the service record directly award the adverse remarks in ACR for the period of 01.04.2019 to 28.11.2019 as downgraded "C" which is liable to be expunged.
- h. That an unjust has been done with the appellant by not given the opportunity of personal hearing to explain the satisfactory plausible justification.
- i. That the appellant is still not understand that what element was consider by the time of giving adverse impugned remarks in ACR of the appellant.
- j. That the biasness is proved on the part of Respondent No. 2 that the impugned adverse remarks were issued for the period of 01.04.2019 to 28.11.2019 and the same were communicated to the appellant on dated 25-02-2021 reason not explained till to date and the same adverse remarks were kept pending and secretly kept which apparent from the report.
- k. That again an unjust has been done with the appellant by not considering / entertaining the Deptt: representation of the appellant as in the light of superior courts guidelines that every representation must be decided with in scribed period with independent mind with a speaking order.
- 1. That by the time of awarding impugned adverse remarks in ACR for the period mentioned above during the kind under control service of the Respondent No 2 no single complaint or inefficiency or any other unsatisfactory services were not ever been tender by appellant which would be verify from the service record of the appellant.

The appellant had numerous good entries in his service record which could be verified form the service record of the appellant.

That the reporting officers vide in their findings not personally heard the present appellant .

m. That the impugned order is not based on sound reasons and same is not sustainable in the eyes of law, the same is based on wrong assumption of facts.

- n. That there is no any departmental enquiry is pending before any forum against the appellant.
- o. That the impugned order is out come of surmises and conjecture.
- p. That the impugned order is suffering from perversity of reasoning, hence liable to be set aside and expunged the adverse remarks.
- q. That the adverse remarks and order of the respondent No 2 is very much harsh in nature.
- r. That as per UDHR 1948 prohibits arbitrary discretion.
- s. That the Honourable Tribunal in same identical situation case's held and set a side the impugned order and directed to expunge the adverse remarks but the appeal in hand is also one of the same fact and not be dealt as every case has own merits and crux.
- t. That some other grounds will be agitated at the time of arguments with the prior permission of the Honorable highness.

#### Pray:

In view of above submission it is requested, by accepting of instant service appeal the impugned order of Respondent No-2 may graciously be set aside with the direction to expunge the adverse remarks in ACR/PER for the period mentioned above for the end of justice or blessed with any other remedy along with all consequential back benefits in the larger interest of appellant.

Dated: 2//6/2021.

(Appellant)

Through

Syed Mudasir Pirzada

Advocate HC.

District Courts Kohat

0345-9645854

# Certificate:-

Certified that no such like appeal has earlier been filed in this Hon able Service tribunal as per instruction of my client

## List of Books

- 1:- Constitution of Pakistan 1973
- 2:- Police Rules
- 3:- Case Law according to need.

Service Appeal		 _	 202	1

## **AFFIDAVIT**

per instruction of my client do here by solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of accompanying service appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this honourable Tribunal

Service Appeal202
-------------------

INAM BADSHAH IHC / 355 DISTRICT HANGU POLICE LINE HANGU.

(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF KPK POLICE PESHAWAR.
- 2. DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KOHAT REGION KOHAT

(Respondent)

## ADDRESS OF THE PARTIES

## APPELLANT:-

INAM BADSHAH IHC / 355 DISTRICT HANGU POLICE LINE HANGU.

#### **RESPONDENTS**

- 1. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF KPK POLICE PESHAWAR.
- 2. DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KOHAT REGION KOHAT

Appellant

Through

Date 2/1 61 2021

Syed Mudasir Pirzada
 Advocate HC
 0345-9645854

мемо:

ulad abovo

Annemie

ONAL POLICE OFFICER KOHAT REGION

The District Police Officer, Hangu.

ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS

Please refer to your fuller No.105/PA, dated 24.01 2020 on the subject

in the Annual Confidential Report on the working of the following first fur me penod as noted against each their names is as under-

S No	Rank & Name	From	To			Stomarks by the
- 1			į	of the	Reporting Officer	Comingation
	[		िंद्	I The Park Control	1 1791 1 Sail M. M. M. M. 12	Oincor 544
. "		.)) <u> </u>		Report		
1	(HC Muhanimad Taltir	22.5:2019	28.11.2019	Ax 12	An raverage typo	I Commission of the contract o
	1 ***			250	Polico Officor.	110000000000000000000000000000000000000
• •	No 314 ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20,525	Y CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Downwadud 10
· 2	B'Commi FaroogNo. 16	01:04:2019	28:11:2019	B	An average type	
١.	$1  imes \mathbb{Z}(p)$	1			Pulice Officer.	
•	1		1.50 30 20 20 0		Ail nvurane type	Downmaried sto
, <u>;</u>	IHC Investor Al No. 10:	10.04:5019-	1 30 mistrial	200	16911000116911	C. Linds
		300		1	12.5	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
ì.	IHC you Ahmed No.92	01/03/2019	28 11 2019	BEST	Anxiavurane, typ	
1.4	Intering Name	(4 - 2)	到了一个经验		Police Officer of	
		A		1	An saverage alvil	CT DOWNUTOURS
1 2 5	IAC · labib-ur-Rehmur	01 04 2018	28,11,2019	∥ <b>B</b>		
/	3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S[]	Polico Officer:	4.7.1 (1) C. T. C. T. C.
ţ .	1,No.331			TA S	An povince na	OH DOWING TOO
ē	IHC thannullah No.412:	1:01.04:5018	1350 01 55	A	Tolico Olicor	of the Party Sales
i						The With Made 2
Ι.	HC Fram Bou Sha	s 1 m (M 2019	28.17.201	B	Ant average Ly	<b>2011年</b>
7.5	After dumin main band			19	Police Officer	
. 1	No.905	دخم ا			an nyoruus iy	DE TOOWNIE THE
1	IHC Mades View No 3	9. 01.04:2015	7 7 25 17 201	Min i		
· \$8.	<b>としつ・</b>	1	• • •		Ennes Officer	
1,		والمنافق والأرا	5 1466 376	o B	Ampaverage	ypo Downgraded
317a	MC Annon No. 12	j - [ 01(04)2015	a 1.50% (50%)		USTEC Officer.	C X
1111				``````` <u>```</u>		
			-	_11	4	

The above remarks may please be conveyed to the office syconcornium define remarks may please be conveyed to the office syconcornium defined be sent not late at an income definition of the defects. Representation it made should be sent not late at an income definition of the defects. on heldala of receipt of this communication.

An acknowledgement as loken of the receipt of the internorance

ic be obtained from his on the attached duplicate copy of this communication and suggest office lior record on his Character Roll Dossic

енись памачамым

## ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REPORT

Annual Conductional Report on the working of Assistant Sub-Inspectors, Sub-Dispersions, and Impectors for the year ending 31st December

### 01,04,2<u>019 to 28.1</u>1.2019

Name, Provincial of Range Ha, Runk MC Inom Budshah No. 355 auni Grade BPS-09 Fother's Name Late Syed Amin Shah Where and on what duties employed Causality DHQ - 01.04.2019 to 13.07 2 of during the past 1 t months. Ridieny Phatrik Nakabandi Dosba -14 07 2019 to 28.11.2019 Class of Suparundant of Polices BreiHoners 01.04.2019 to 13.07.2019 REMARKS BY ; . Regional Police Mary Karatheren Doungraded to [] MR. UMAR HAYAT KHAN

SUB DIVISIONAL POLICE OFFIC HANGU

14.07.2019 to 28.11.2019

Complaint

SHAUKAT/ALI SHAU/ BUKHAWE совивном/провен/овыс /THALL

01.04.2019 to 28.11.2019

ge Parlice Afford

MR. IHSAN ULLAH KHAN (PSP) DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER. HANGU

(1.15) 7.151 アルノソハルタヤーシールはし、人のではは人間 NOV DPO 1 ACR ML & 10:00 -34 76. 21 ではなるとからないないから

> 311/16 At THE CA) مالاعرنارت ر ما رو) ۱۱۱ سلفی علی مر ۱۱۱۰ 92 ノ紅川川地(4) بری ۱۱۱ حب رحن م 331 (٤) المان الله في ١١١٠ عدی ۱۱۸ العام بارشاه بر 355 39 / No, 16, 140 (8) 125 p. / jalo ster 1144 (8).

10 Je + 3/1/11 5 2 / 10 - 1 J.1 8-2 12-01-24

		<u>-</u> -		
		!		is tell
٠ قد	Rank & Maine	Pariod 1	Signaturi Signaturi	jister -
٠.	LiModata Aa No 18	10 07 2019 to 78.11 2010	phu -	24-02-2021
<b>7</b> .	range commercial 42	754 2019 (5 <sup></sup> 23.11 2019		24-02-621
1	Information Renman	υ1.04.2019 το 28.11 2019	( J. )	25-02-021
٠ ;	me medicalliar No. 412	01.04 2019 to 28.11 2019		24-2-021
e <sup>4</sup>	i Crosin Budchah Nu 195	C1.04 2019 to 28.11 2019	- و تنعید یا	24-02-021
ί, '	ofs Nagar Fiam No. 39	31,04 2019 to 28,11,2019		24-02-021
. /	→ (C E.d Manorr No. 125	7 01.04.2019 to <sup>2</sup> 28.11.2019		24-02-2021

P17 Anneare B)

# THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

SUBJECT APEAL FOR EXPUNTION OF ADVERSE REMARKS IN ACR FOR THE PERIOD 01.04.1019 TO 28.11.2019 IN THE IMPUGNED ACRE

Respectfully Sheweth.

With great veneration the instant departmental representation is preferred by

#### Facts:

1. That the appellant trending good services since induction in the department till to

2. That the application has been blessed with impugned adverse remarks in ACR for the period 01.04.2019 to 28.1 1/2019 as downgraded "C" (Copy Annexed as Annexure A).

3. That for the period of 01.04.2019 to 28.11.2019 the appellant has been awarded "C" as downgraded which was not communicated to the appellant and dated 24-02-2021 the appellant through concern quarter at DPO office Hangu intimated about the impugned remarks in ACR without any cogent reason.

4. That the appellant intends to expunged the adverse remarks downgraded "C" in ACR on the following grounders inter alia.

#### Ground:

a. That the appellant is honest and dedicated and leave no stone unturned to discharge in his assigned duties.

b. That there is nothing on record which shows that the appellant is an unbecoming officer or the performance in not up to the mark.

c. That according to the prevailing rules when the competent authority reached to the conclusion for the purpose of awarding in ACR in such like manner it must be communicated to the officer concerned but the same has not been communicated to the appellant.

d. That there is neither nothing on record nor any complainant as well as there is no single evidence or any subjudice issue pending against the appellant which signifies that the appellant services are unsatisfactory.

e. That the appellant never remains absent from his lawful duty nor have any secret dairies against the conduct of the appellant.

f. That if the services were not satisfactory then appellant must be noticed for any disciplinary proceedings and the appellant were duty bound to submit his justification, but there is nothing on record regarding any disciplinary proceedings and the appellant is a responsible officer and in the entire service receives commendation certificates from superiors(Copy of certificate are annexed).



p.Mel. g. That the appellant has always carned a good name for department and ne become a burden on assistant perusing the become a burden on exchequer of the government but without perusing the selected directly award the adversarial period of 01.04.20 record directly award the adverse remarks in ACR for the period of 01.04.2019 to 28.11.2019 as downgraded acres

h. That an unjust has been done with appellant by not given the opportunity of personal hearing to explain the source.

That the appellant is still not understand that what element was consider by the time of giving adverse mustages? hearing to explain the satisfactory plausible justification. of giving adverse impugned remarks in ACR of the appellant.

j. That some other material facts would be agitated at the time of personal hearing if so awarded. awarded.

#### Prav:

It is therefore most hambly prayed that the impugned adverse remarks in ACR of the appellant for period 01 04.2019 to 28.11.2019 may graciously be expunged for the end st justice.

(Appellant)

Name: Inam Budshab IHC 355

District: Hangu

Toad Chalter Hangu 12 03-22-02

