19th Sept 2022

Appellant in person present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl: AG alongwith Mr. Shamraiz Khan, ASI (Legal) for respondents present.

Written reply not submitted. Representative of the respondents seeks time submit written reply/comments on the next. Respondents are directed to submit written reply/comments positively. To come up for written reply/comments on 14.11.2022 before S.B at camp court Abbottabad.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman Camp Court Abbottabad 18.07.2022

Appellant alongwith his counsel present. Preliminary arguments heard.

Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the mandatory provisions of Police Rules, 1975 were not complied with during the inquiry proceedings and the appellant was not even provided an opportunity of personal hearing. He next contended that witnesses in the inquiry proceedings were examined at the back of the appellant and he was not provided any opportunity of cross examination. He further argued that fundamental rights of the appellant were violated and he was verbally informed that he has been dismissed from service. He next argued that the appellant even submitted written request to the District Police Officer District Abbottabad for providing him copy of the impugned order as well as other documents but the application of the appellant was received by Guard Command Gate Police Lines namely Muhammad Javed H.C No. 05, who wrote on the back of application that DPO had ordered that the appellant may not be allowed entry in police lines. He next argued that the appellant preferred departmental appeal, which was not responded within the statutory period.

The appeal is though not accompanied by copy of the impugned order of dismissal but the appellant has specifically alleged in his appeal that copy of the impugned order was not provided to him, despite written request. The appeal is supported by duly sworn affidavit.

Points raised need consideration, hence the appeal in hand is admitted to regular hearing subject to all just and legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days, where-after notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments on 19.09.2022 before the S.B at Camp Court Abbottabad.

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J) Camp Court Abbottabad

Appellant Debbsited Security & Process Fee

Form-A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of_____

942/2022 Case No.-_ Order or other proceedings with signature of judge S.No. Date of order proceedings 1 2 3 The appeal of Mr. Gul Afzal resubmitted today by Mr. Muhammad 23/06/2022 1-Aslam Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please. REGISTRAR 14-7-22 This case is entrusted to touring Single Bench at A.Abad for 2preliminary hearing to be put there on 18 - 7 - 22. Notices be issued to appellant and his counsel for the date fixed. **CHAIRMAN**

The Registrar, KPK Service Tribunal, <u>Peshawar.</u>

RE-SUBMISSION OF APPEAL FILE OF APPELLANT GUL Subject:-AFZAL AFTER COMPLITON & REMOVAL OF OBJECTIONS.

Reference: Your letter No.1681/ST dated 13-06-2022.

The following objections were raised vide your letter referred to above have been removed and filed is resubmitted:

- 1. First Objection. Copy of the Charge Sheet has now been placed on file.
- 2. So far as objection regarding non-placing of impugned dismissal order on appeal file is concerned, it is intimated that in para-11 of the appeal it is clearly mentioned that appellant was verbally dismissed on 22-02-2022 and impugned order was not given to him by the DPO Abbottabad despite his repeated requests rather his entrance in Police Lines was banned by DPO and he had to make departmental appeal without impugned order dated 22-02-2022.

3. File is re-submitted please.

Şe

(Muhammad Aslam Tanoli) Advocate High Court District Courts Haripur

Dated: 23-06-2022

To

The appeal of Mr. Gul Afzal Ex-Head Constable no. 340 district police A.Abad received today i.e. on 13.06.2022 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Copy of Charge sheet in respect of appellant mentioned in the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 2- Copy of impugned dismissal order is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 3- Departmental appeal having no date be dated.

<u>1681</u>/s.t, No. __/2022 Dt._13

REGISTRAR SERVICE TRIBUNAL[®] KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Mr. Muhammad Aslam Tanoli Adv. High Court Haripur.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR CHECK LIST

	CONTENTS	YES	NO
3# 1	This Appeal has been presented by: -Gul M290	v .	
<u> </u>	Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponents have signed the		
.2	requisite documents?		
3	Whether appeal is within time?	✓ :	
4	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned?	· · · ·	
5	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?		· · · ·
6	Whether affidavit is appended?	~	<u> </u>
7	Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner?	V	
8	Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?	. / .	·
_ <u></u>	Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject,	×	1
9	furnished?		· · · ·
10	Whether annexures are legible?	 ✓ 	
11	Whether annexures are attested?	✓	<u> </u>
12	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?	. /	· .
13	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG?	. ✓	<u>;</u>
14	Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and		
	signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents?	-	
15	Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct?	✓.	<u>`</u>
16	Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting?	30	1
17	Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal?	V	ļ
18	Whether case relate to this court?	1	
19	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?	× .	
20	Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover?	V	
21	Whether addresses of parties given are complete?		
22	Whether index filed?		
23	Whether index is correct?	Ý .	
24	Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On		
25	Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974		· ·
	Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent	1. A	1 .
	to respondents? On	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
26	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On	·	
	Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite		.
27	party? On	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled. M Astens Tanel' 13/6/2022 0333-5858987

Name:

Signature:

Dated:

<u>ÉEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA</u> <u>SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR</u>

Appeal No....942.2022

Gul Afzal Ex-Head Coñstable No. 340 District Police Abbott bad
(Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

- 1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Paktunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- 3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

SERVICE APPEAL

INDEX				
Description of Document	Ann- exure	Page No.		
Appeal.		01-07		
Copy of FIR 12-12-2021	• "A"	08		
Reptart Charge Sheet / its' Repty	"B"	09-12		
Show Cause Notice dated 07-02-2022	"C"	13-1		
Reply of Show Cause Notice.	."D"	16-16		
Application dated 28-02-2022	"E"	18		
Departmental Appeal 01-03-2022	"F"	19-23		
Wakalatnama				
	Description of DocumentAppeal.Copy of FIR 12-12-2021`Repty of Charge Sheet / Us' ReptyShow Cause Notice dated 07-02-2022Reply of Show Cause Notice.Application dated 28-02-2022Departmental Appeal 01-03-2022	Description of DocumentAnn- exureAppeal		

(Respondents)

Through

M Advocate High Court at Haripur

Dated: 13 -06-2022

BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Appeal No...942. 2023

(Responden<u>ts)</u>

Gul Afzal Ex-Head Constable No. 340 District Police Abbottbad (Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Paktunkhwa, Peshawar.

2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.

3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST ORDER DATED 22-02-2022 OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER ABBOTTABAD WHEREBY APPELLANT HAS BEEN "DISMISSED FROM SERVIE" VERBALLY AND DESPITE WRITTEN REQUEST DATED 28-02-2022 NO DISMISSAL ORDER HAD BEEN ISSUED HOWEVER APPELLANT PREFERRED A DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL DATED 01-03-2022 BEFORE THE RPO HAZARA REGION ABBOTTABAD WHICH WAS NEVER RESPONDED AND AFTER EXPIRY OF STATUTORY PERIOD INSTANT SERVICE APPEAL BEFORE THIS HONORABLE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

PRAYER: ON ACCEPTANCE OF INSTANT SERVICE APPEAL THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 22-02-2022 OF THE RESPONDENT MAY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND APPELLANT BE REINSTATED IN SERVICE FROM THE DATE OF DISMISSAL WITH ALL CONSEQUENTIAL SERVICE BACK BENEFITS.

Respected Sir,

That appellant was inducted in District Police Abbott bad in the year 2001 and since then appellant has been performing hi duties with devotion, dedication

Re-submitted to -day and honesty. Appellant has meritorious service record.

That while appellant posted in Investigation Wing at P.S >3/6/>>> Mangal Abbottabad, one Khalid lodged an FIR No. 649 dated 12-12-2021 U/S-418/420/468/471 PPC read with S- 118-1(c)/119(b) of Police Act 2017 that allegedly an occurrence took place on 23-11-2021 at 01:00 against unknown person, wherein appellant was neither charged nor nominated. (Copy of FIR dated 12-12-2021 is attached as "A").

- 3. That later after 09 days the complainant charged the appellant for offence in his supplementary statement and statement recorded u/s-164 Cr.PC, however in both the statements complainant never stated that he paid any amount to him rather he stated that the alleged amount was aid to "Tahir and Fiaz" and therein has not assigned any role to the appellant. In his statement the complainant stated that he could not identify the police officials and even no identification parade was conducted as per Article-22 of QSO 1984 by the I.O. to authenticate identify of appellant.
- 4. That during the inquiry, the complainant did not appear to substantiate his claim. Similarly one "Noman" while appearing before inquiry officer categorically submitted that he does not know the appellant. Besides one "Babar" disclosed that pictures of police officials were shown to him on the next day. The above is sufficient that appellant has been wrongly and falsely involved in this case and no case has been made against him on these statement.
- 5. That during investigation the complainant has not been made accused despite the fact that he disclosed that he was in sale of illegal gold.

6. That during appellant's police custody nothing was recovered from him and the alleged recovery attributed to him is fabricated and concocted as appellant did not produce any amount to police as he was innocent.

- 7. That at the alleged time of occurrence i.e. 01:00 pm dated 12-11-2021 the appellant was present in the court of learned Additional Session Judge-VI, Abbottabad in connection with FIR No. 486 U/S 380 PPC of Police Station Mangal and thereafter left for investigation in connection with case FIR No. 581 under Section-8/11 Article 457 to village Tannan alongwith police Constable namely Khurshid No. 101 which is situated at a long distance from place of occurrence.
- 8. That though the appellant was arrested in the above mentioned FIR but released on bail by Judicial Magistrate-III Abbottabad case being one of further inquiry under section 497(2) Cr.PC. Appellant is totally innocent and has been falsely involved in the instant case due to personal grudge and with malafide intention just cause him harm in service career.
- 9. That appellant was issued a charge sheet which was duly replied and the allegations leveled therein were flatly denied. (Copy of reply to the Charge Sheet is attached as Annexure-"B").
- 10. That thereafter a final show cause notice was issued which was replied and the allegations mentioned

therein were denied. (Copies of Final Show Case Notice and its reply are attached as Annexure "C&D").

- 11. That though no dismissal order dated 22-02-2022 was provided by the DPO Abbottabad to the appellant application dated 28-02-2022 despite his which application by was received "Javed Guard Commander" and repeated requests yet he preferred a departmental appeal dated 01-03-2022 before the Regional Police Officer, Hazard Region, Abbottabad which was never responded within statutory period. (Copies of application dated 28-02-2022 and departmental appeal dated 1-03-2022 are annexed as "E & F").
- 12. Hence this service appeal inter alia on the following grounds:-

GROUNDS:

- A) That verbal orders dated 22-02-2022 of the DPO Abbottabad is illegal, unlawful, against the facts, departmental rules & regulation, Police E&D Rules 1975 and passed in a cursory, whimsical and arbitrary manner; hence are liable to be set aside.
- B) That no proper departmental inquiry was conducted. Neither any evidence was recorded in his presence nor was he afforded a chance of cross-examination. Copy of enquiry report, if any, was also not given to appellant. Even opportunity of personal hearing was not afforded to him and he was condemned unheard.

That the appellate authority has also failed to abide by the law neither he considered nor replied departmental appeal of the appellant. Thus act of the appellate authority is contrary to the Police E&D Rules 1975 read with section 24-A of General Clause Act 1897 and Article 10A of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.

D) That appellant was wrongly and falsely involved in the criminal case and neither during investigation nor inquiry any thing adverse could be brought on record against the appellant and he has been penalized with major penalty of dismissal from service without any reason, justification and proof.

E) That instant service appeal is well within time and this honorable Service Tribunal has got every jurisdiction to entertain & adjudicate upon the lis.

PRAYER:

C)

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant service appeal order dated 22-02-2022 of the respondents may graciously be set aside with grant of all consequential service back benefits. Any other relief which this Honorable Tribunal deems fit and proper in circumstances of the case may also be granted.

Through:

pellant

(Mohammad Aslam Tanoli) Advocate High Court At Haripur

fiant

Dated 12-06-2022

VERIFICATION

It is verified that the contents of instant Service Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concepted thereof.

Dated / 2-06-2022



BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Gul Afzal Ex-Head Constable No. 340 District Police Abbott bad (Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Paktunkhwa, Peshawar.

2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.

3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that no such Appeal on the subject has ever been

filed in this Honourable Service Tribunal or any other court prior

to the instant one.

ELLAN

Dated:// -06-2022

BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Gul Afzal Ex-Head Constable No. 340 District Police Abbott bad (<u>Appellant)</u>

VERSUS

- 1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Paktunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- 3. District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL

AFFIDAVIT:

I, Gul Afzal appellant do hereby solemnly declare and affirm on oath that the contents of the instant Service Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been suppressed from this Honourable Service Tribunal.

Deponent/Appellant

Dated: 17-06-2022

Identified By:

Mohammad Astam Tanoli

Advocate High Court At Haripur

Dated 1 \$ 06-2022

Appel



ATTESTED

13501-4307 0344 596568 Hmmeac, فارم نمر ٢٢ فارم (١) بدانی اطلاعی را بور می بت جرم قابل دست اعدادی بویس و پورف شده زیرد دست ۱۵۱ محمومه الطاف جداری 267-6-1 تاريخ ويت وتر المحة الم الم عن مت مت مت من 1 - بع 649 -10 رانکی کی تازیخ دوقت و ارترال احلال محرف الموجود الموجة من المارية والمحرف المرابع الموجالة المرابع الموجود المرابع الموجود ال 131-12, 121 - 1 E OC 110 - 2 24/5/ 81 62 31-1 مروس من المرار مع من مرود من ورومان من وري ماسط مرار من مرام مر وي مراس من المريد المرار معلمات رسط من معدمات مراس ورام مراسع مر وي ما من ون معلم الكرسي خال ملاقر من قرم المان مد اللوت ارتداده من خال المان مسلسط قد من وم المان مكذ مان و المرد من قصر الكرد و - 100 الاصلى خال ما يتوالي المرار والمحالية المحالية المالية السعة في المان مكن ما ومراجع المرض ملكرون من 100 من 10 من وفراسة معلا مرجم من 10 من جد من والركز من 100 من من 100 من ما المالي عام حصر المعذ والمعة حدث مرحرف من 100 م حصی میں میں مریب میں معاملہ م معاملہ 5 02 6 94 9 6 9 5 5 1 5 15 rest and a second of the for the second 12112 f-2021

CHARGE SHEE

1). I, Zahoor Babar Afridi (PSP) District Police Officer Abbottabad as competent authority hereby charge you <u>HC Gul Afral No. 345 Investigation Wing a</u> explained in the attached statement of allegations.

You appear to be guilty of misconduct under Police Disciplinary Rule 1975 (amended 2014) and have rendered yourself liable to all or-any of the penaltic specified in the said Police Disciplinary Rules.

2).

5).

6):

11

3). You are therefore, directed to submit your written defense within days on the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer. 4).

Your written defense, if any shall reach the Enquiry Officer with in the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and in that case ex-parte action shall follow against you.

Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person or otherwise A statement of allegations is enclosed.

> (Zation District Police Office Abbottabad

Anneze.

Hudid SAI

A



$\underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{\mathbf{B}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{B}} \frac{$

فالراصيفة والقاهم وموالا رابات بالتعطية بالأفكا ورارا والاعطار المتقا المراق on an ana an **20-11 2021** e o at co∞ntra Association de la transitiva plana disclara. a sensence we construct the state of a state of the sense Balance in the of an out the \$2,5 in the of the method suggest animously 12. presh- so a pand by Stellion but just of converts other convecturous the information drama and pretended that the other moments were stufen property. You by an a factory frameulosity to a the cosh amount "s w2 all of from the supple int without an a real wat deed, neither tor a in legal meripher provided a matter lass the cash of action officers. Upon it corpucation of Mr. R. def S. O. Male stand at him a case vide Fire No. an dated 12 (2-2021) US 41 (24) and 11 US (25) Strongal was regulatered process and others up to converse the solit remained found construct the end with a construction of solutions of a is intered against 5. 357 34 LOUPS Marpar 114 CN 114 (aux 11 - 541)2921 US 3827 34 PPC " Haveland Your toos af all act as ned that he for entire police within this well is in the input of the transformer to such as that another to control number of your part of a particular of the second parts of the

And Andrew State and Antonia antonia

Not require the second of the second states of the

But FARidi) PSP

L'Atrict Polic. Officer Exbbottabad

1. A. S. S. A. Burkey

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left$

(Better Copy)

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

I, Zahoor Babar Afridi (PSP) District Police Officer Abbottabad as Competent Authority of the opinion that your HC Gul Afzal No. 345 Investigating Wing rendered yourself liable to be proceeded against as you committed the following act/omission within the meaning of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014).

STATEMENT OF THE ALLEGATIONS

- 1. You Gul Afzal No.345 while posted in Investigation Wing at PS Mangal Abbottabad, on 23-11-2021 you alongwith 05 accused made a plan of selling of gold ornament weighing 70/75 Tola to Mr. Khalid S/O Muhammad Rafique R/O Balakot in lieu of amount Rs.42,00,000/- out of which Rupees amounting 32,50,000/- was paid by Mr. Khalid but you alongwith other co-accused created a pre-plan drama and pretended that gold ornaments were stolen property. You by using tactics fraudulently took the cash amount Rs.32,00,000/- from the applicant without any agreement deed neither took any legal action nor brought the matter into the notice of senior officers. Upon the application of Mr. Khalid S/O Muhammad Rafique a case vide FIR No. 649 dated 12-12-2021 U/S 419/420/468/471 PPC PS Mangal was registered against you and other 05 co-accused. Prior to this you remained found involved in illegal ativities upon which various cases were registered against you in the district i.e. casevide FIR No. 46 dated 20-01-2011 U/S-347/365/382/ 386/389/34 PPC PS Mirpur & FIR No.46 dated 09-01-2021 U/S-382/34 PPC PS Havelian. Your this illegal act earned bad name for entire police department as well as in the eyes of general public, which is tantamount to grass misconduct on your part being a member of discipline force.
- 2. For the purpose of scrutinizing your conduct with reference to the above allegations, <u>Addl: SP Abbottabad</u> is hereby appointed as Enquiry Officer.
- 3. The inquiry Officer shall in accordance with the provision of this ordinance, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to you, record finding and make within 25 days of the receipt of this order recommendation as to punishment or the appropriate action against you.
- 4. You are hereby directed to attend the proceedings on the due date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

(Zahoor Babar Afridi) PSP District Police Officer Abbottabad

No.// \mathcal{V}/PA , Dated Abbottabad the $|\mathcal{U}|/\mathcal{U}|$ /2021 Copy to:-

Enquiry Officer for initiating proceedings against the defaulter officer under provision of the Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 (amended 2014) and submit findings within stipulated period.

HC Gul Afzal No.435 Investigation Wing Abbottabad (delinquent officer/official).

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL SUPRINTENDENT OF POLICE ABBOTTABAD

neze

In the matter of;

Gul Afzal, HC No.340 District Police Abbottabad, presently Police Lines Abbottabad.

REPLY OF STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

It is respectfully submitted as under;-

I take honor to refer to letter No.472/PA dated 14/12/2021 vide which statement of allegations and charge sheet have been served upon me. The detailed reply of statement of allegations and charge sheet is as under;-

- 1. That I was inducted in District Police Abbottabad in the year 2001 and since then I have been performing my duties with devotion, dedication and honesty. My performance in different station have been appreciated by my superiors in the shape of certificates and rewards. During the whole tenure of my service even a single complaint has not been filed by any quarter against me.
- 2. That one Mr. Khalid lodged a report on 12/12/2021 of an occurrence which has allegedly taken place on 23/11/2021 at 01:00 pm and report thereof has been lodged at 19:30 pm i.e almost after 07 hours, whereas the distance between alleged place of occurrence and police station is about 02 KM which is sufficient to believe that the matter was reported after due deliberation and consultation.

Wedder Que

That accordingly, the FIR No.649 was registered on 12/12/2021 under Section 419/420/468/471 PPC read with Section 118-1(c)/ 119(b) of Police Act, 2017 against unknown persons.

3.

7.

That later on the complainant charged the undersigned for the offence in his supplementary statement and statement recorded under section 164 Cr.PC, however in both the statements the complainant has not stated that he paid the amount to me rather he stated that the alleged amount was paid to Tahir and Fiaz.

5. That even otherwise on 23/11/2021 at the time of alleged occurrence i.e 01:00 pm I was present in the court of learned Additional Session Judge-VI, Abbottabad in connection with case FIR No.486 Under Section 380 PPC of police station Mangal and thereafter i left for investigation in connection with case FIR No.581 under section 8/11 Article 457 to village Tannan along with police Constable namely Khurshid No.101 which is at sufficient distance from the alleged place of occurrence, hence, it is humanly impossible for a person to be present at two different places at the same time.

6. That I am completely innocent and the whole episode has been staged with malafide intention just to drag me in the present fabricated and concocted case with ulterior motives and some personal grudges.

That during my custody with police, nothing was recovered from my person and the alleged recovery attributed to me is fabricated and concocted, I have never produced any amount to the police because I am totally innocent and being low paid government employee I could not save Rs.200000/- during the whole service. Similarly, my mobile phone was in custody of police therefore, the assertion of I.O that I contacted my relative for the amount is nothing but a pack of lie.

That another important aspect of the case is that the complainant stated in his statement under section 164. Cr.PC that he could not identify the police official, if this part of the statement of complainant is considered it would be crystal clear that the whole story has been fabricated by the complainant in connivance with local police because if the complainant charged me / police official by name, why he could not identify the police officials.

8.

- That so far, FIR No. 46 dated 20/01/2011 under section 9. 347/365/382/386/389/34 PPC Police Station Mirpur is concerned, I have been acquitted by the Honorable court being innocent as I was present at Bomb Disposal Unit Lahore in connection with course on the date of alleged occurrence i.e 02/12/2010. Similarly, I have also been acquitted in case registered vide FIR No.48 dated 09/01/2021 Under Section 382/34 PPC Police Station Havelian, being innocent. Therefore, no gross mis-conduct on my part being member of Discipline Force can be attributed to me.
- 10. That I am completely innocent and never committed the offence as alleged in the FIR mentioned in the statement of allegation and charge sheet.

12

11. That in the wake of above I would also humbly submit that a chance of personal hearing be also given to me in the highest interest of justice.

It is therefore, humbly prayed that in the light of foregoing submissions / reply, the statement of allegations and charge sheet may graciously be ordered to withdrawn and I may kindly be exonerated from the charges leveled against me.

Your Obediently GUL AFZĄL HC No.340

District Police, Abbottabad

Ruber .

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, ABBOTTABAD No: 25 /PA, Dated Abbottabad, theの7 /02/2022. <u>FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE</u> (Unit Rule (3) KPK Police Rules, 1975 amended 2014)

That you <u>HC Gul Afzal No. 340</u> rendered yourself liable to be proceeded under Rule 5 (3) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 (amended 2014) for following misconduct;

Ĩ. You HC Gul Afzal No. 340 while posted in Investigation Wing at PS Mangal Abbottabad, on 23-11-2021 you alongwith 05 co-accused made a plan of selling of gold ornament weighing 70/75 Tola to Mr. Khalid S/O Muhammad Rafique R/O Balakot in lieu of amount Rs. 42,00,000/- out of which Rupees amounting 32,50,000/- was paid by Mr. Khalid but you alongwith other co-accused created a pre-plan drama and pretended that the gold ornaments were stolen property. You by using tactics fraudulently took the cash amount Rs. 32,50,000/- from the applicant without any agreement deed neither took any legal action nor brought the matter into the notice of senior officers. Upon the application of Mr. Khalid S/O Muhammad Rafique a case vide FIR No. 649 dated 12-12-2021 U/S 419/ 420/ 468/ 471 PPC PS Mangal was registered against you and others 05 coaccused. Prior to this you remained found involved in illegal activities upon which various cases were registered against you in the district i.c case vide FIR No. 46 dated 20-01-2011 U/S 347/ 365/ 382/ 386/ 389/ 34 PPC PS Mirpur & FIR No. 18 dated 09-01-2021 U/S 382/ 34 PPC PS Havelian. Your this illegal act earned bad name for entire police department as well as in the eyes of general public, which is tantamount to gross misconduct on your part being a member of discipline force:-

- II. During proper departmental enquiry the allegations have been proved against you.
- 2. That by reason of above, as sufficient material is placed before the undersigned therefore it is decided to proceed against you in general Police proceedings without aid of enquiry officer;
- 3. That the misconduct on your part is prejudicial to good order of discipline in the Police force.
- 4. That your retention in the police force will amount to encouragement of inefficient Police officers:
- 5. That by taking cognizance of the matter under enquiry, the undersigned as competent authority under the said rules, proposes stern action against you by awarding one or more of the kind punishments as provided in the Rules.
- 6. You are, therefore, called upon to Final Show Cause as to why you should not be dealt strictly in accordance with the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975(amended 2014) for the misconduct referred to above.
- 7. You should submit reply to this Final Show Cause Notice within 07 days of the receipt of the notice failing which an ex parte action shall be taken against you.
- 8. You are further directed to inform the undersigned that you wish to be heard in person or not.
- 9. Grounds of action are also enclosed with this notice.

et Police Officer ZAbbottabad

Received by_____ Dated___/_/2021 Annez

In the matter of;

Gul Afzal, HC No.340 District Police Abbottabad, presently Police Lines Abbottabad.

REPLY OF FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

It is respectfully submitted as under;-

I take honor to refer to letter No.25/PA dated 07/02/2022 vide which final show cause notice has been served upon me. The detailed reply of final show cause notice is as under;-

- 1. That I was inducted in District Police Abbottabad in the year 2001 and since then I have been performing my duties with devotion, dedication and honesty. My performance in different station have been appreciated by my superiors in the shape of certificates and rewards. During the whole tenure of my service even a single complaint has not been filed by any quarter against me.
- 2. That one Mr. Khalid lodged a report on 12/12/2021 of an occurrence which has allegedly taken place on 23/11/2021 at 01:00 pm and report thereof has been lodged at 19:30 pm i.e almost after 07 hours, whereas the distance between alleged place of occurrence and police station is about 02 KM which is sufficient to believe that the matter was reported after due deliberation and consultation.
- That accordingly, the FIR No.649 was registered on 12/12/2021 under Section 419/420/468/471 PPC read with Section 118-1(c)/119(b) of Police Act, 2017 against unknown persons.
- 4. That later on the complainant charged the undersigned for the offence in his supplementary statement and statement recorded



under section 164 Cr.PC, however in both the statements the complainant has not stated that he paid the amount to me rather he stated that the alleged amount was paid to Tahir and Fiaz.

- 5. That even otherwise on 23/11/2021 at the time of alleged occurrence i.e 01:00 pm I was present in the court of learned Additional Session Judge-VI, Abbottabad in connection with case FIR No.486 Under Section 380 PPC of police station Mangal and thereafter I left for investigation in connection with case FIR No.581 under section 8/11 Article 457 to village Tannan along with police Constable namely Khurshid No.101 which is at sufficient distance from the alleged place of occurrence, hence, it is humanly impossible for a person to be present at two different places at the same time.
 - 6. That I am completely innocent and the whole episode has been staged with malafide intention just to drag me in the present fabricated and concocted case with ulterior motives and some personal grudges.
 - 7. That during my custody with police, nothing was recovered from my person and the alleged recovery attributed to me is fabricated and concocted, I have never produced any amount to the police because I am totally innocent and being low paid government employee I could not save Rs.200000/- during the whole service. Similarly, my mobile phone was in custody of police therefore, the assertion of I.O that I contacted my relative for the amount is nothing but a pack of lie.
 - 8. That another important aspect of the case is that the complainant stated in his statement under section 164 Cr.PC that he could not identify the police official, if this part of the statement of complainant is considered it would be crystal clear that the whole story has been fabricated by the complainant in connivance with local police because if the complainant



charged me / police official by name, why he could not identify the police officials.

9. That during the inquiry, the complainant did not appear to substantiate his claim. Similarly Mr. Noman while appearing before inquiry officer categorically submitted that he does not know the undersigned. Besides above, Mr. Babar disclosed that the pictures of police official were shown to him on the next day. The above would suffice that I am innocent and on the basis of statements no case is made out against me.

It is therefore, humbly prayed that in the light of foregoing submissions / reply, the final show cause notice may graciously be ordered to withdrawn and I may kindly be exonerated from the charges leveled against me.

Your Obediently

GUL AFZAL · HC No.340 District Police, Abbottabad

بخدمت جنابDPOصاحب ملع ايب آباد

17

در خواست بمرادحهم فر مانے مصدقہ نقولات فیصلہ انگوائری، بیانات گواہان انگوار کی وڈس مسل آرڈر برخلاف من سائل گل افضل Ex-340/HC-

جناب عالى ! درخواست ذيل عرض --

-2

Annez E

عنوان:

یہ کہ سائل تھا نہ مانگل میں بطور HC/INV تعینات تھا سائل کے خلاف تھا نہ مانگل میں مقد مہ علت نمبر 649/21 جرم 34 / (d) 119 (c) 1 81/1118/420/468/471/118 تھا نہ مانگل میں بغیر سی قصور وثبوت کے ملوث کیا گیا تھا۔مقد مہ میں سائل ضانت حاضری وخلصی پر ہے۔

ید کہ سائل کے خلاف ایک انگوائری کمیٹی قائم کی گئی انگوائری کمیٹی کی فائنڈ تک پر سائل کو بغیر سی شہادت کے گنہگار ٹھرایا گیا اور جس کی روشنی میں سائل کونو کری ہے مور خد 22/02/2022 کو برخاصت (Dismiss) کر دیا گیا لیکن چونکہ میر ا داخلہ د فاتر OPO پولیس لائن گیٹ ہے جناب نے ہند کیا ہوا ہے نیز نقو لات بابت Dismiss / برطرف ملاز مت کوئی بھی دستاویز ات برطابق قانون انصاف مہیا نہ کر نے بدیں وجہ سائل اعلی اتھارٹی کے سامنے اپیل کرنے کے لیئے متذکرہ بالانقولات حاصل کرنے سے ابھی تک محروم ہے ۔ جس وجہ سے سائل نقو لات کے حصول کے لیے درخواست ہذا کا مختاج ہوا ہے۔

بیرکہ سائل کے حقوق کا سوال ہے۔ اس لیتے سائل کو نقولات کی مصدقہ کا پیاں درکار ہیں۔

كل افضل ولد شير أفضل سكنه كمياله حويليان

موباتل نمبر: 9673183-0321

لہذا استدعا ہے کہ سائل کومصدقہ نفولات انگوائری و بیانات کواہان انگوارٹری و ڈس مسل آرڈ ردینے کا تھم

المرقوم:28/02/2022

صادرفر مایا جادے۔ درخواست مذا کی فل بطور شوت اپنے پاس رکھی جاتی ہے۔

Flore

5366 H Contraction of the second s Joslo The colo Doclo El swite Oda Seul ei Jes in Bis fp, Cul fully la HL Nolo 2 346-5835769 ful

S

BEFORE THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, HAZARA REGION, ABBOTTABAD

Gul Afzal, HC No.340 District Police Abbottabad.

..APPELLANT

VERSUS

District Police Officer, Abbottabad.

...RESPONDENT

Subject: **DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL**

It is respectfully submitted as under;-

I take honor to refer to the subject noted above and to submit as under;-

 I was issued with charge sheet and statement of allegation.
 I submitted reply thereof accordingly. Copy of reply thereof are attached herewith as Annexure "A".

2. That thereafter final show cause notice was issued to me. I also submitted the reply of final show cause notice. Copy of show cause notice and reply thereof is attached as Annexure "B".

3. That despite the fact that during the inquiry nothing could be proved against appellant and the complainant has also not come forward to depose against appellant, the respondent in a slipshod and cursory manner, notwithstanding the law on the subject proceeded to terminate my services on 22.02.2022, however no order in writing has been provided to appellant.

- That the act of respondent in not providing copies of record to me is against the law and constitution, as under Article 19-A of the constitution of Pakistan, the appellant has the right of information and same is further protected under section 10 Right to Information Act, 2013.
- 5. That having no order in hand appellant is left with no option but to file the present departmental appeal without impugned order.
- 6. That I was inducted in District Police Abbottabad in the year 2001 and since then I have been performing my duties with devotion, dedication and honesty. My performance in different station have been appreciated by my superiors in the shape of certificates and rewards. During the whole tenure of my service even a single complaint has not been filed by any quarter against me.
- 7. That one Mr. Khalid lodged a report on 12/12/2021 of an occurrence which has allegedly taken place on 23/11/2021 at 01:00 pm and report thereof has been lodged at 19:30 pm i.e almost after 07 hours, whereas the distance between alleged place of occurrence and police station is about 02 KM which is sufficient to believe that the matter was reported after due deliberation and consultation.
- 8. That upon the report of one Khalid, a bogus and frivolous FIR was lodged against the unknown culprits, wherein neither I was charged nor I was nominated.

Prul 14

4.

That accordingly, the FIR No.649 was registered on 12/12/2021 under Section 419/420/468/471 PPC read with Section 118-1(c)/119(b) of Police Act, 2017 against unknown persons.

9.

- 10. That later on the complainant charged the appellant for the offence in his supplementary statement and statement recorded under section 164 Cr.PC, after a lapse of 09 days however in both the statements the complainant has not stated that he paid the amount to me rather he stated that the alleged amount was paid to Tahir and Fiaz and therein has not assigned any role to the appellant. Further, in the light of dictums laid down by the Apex Courts, supplementary statement has no credit in the eye of law.
- 11. That even otherwise on 23/11/2021 at the time of alleged occurrence i.e 01:00 pm I was present in the court of learned Additional Session Judge-VI, Abbottabad in connection with case FIR No.486 Under Section 380 PPC of police station Mangal and thereafter I left for investigation in connection with case FIR No.581 under section 8/11 Article 457 to village Tannan along with police Constable namely Khurshid No.101 which is at sufficient distance from the alleged place of occurrence, hence, it is humanly impossible for a person to be present at two different places at the same time.
- 12. That I am completely innocent and the whole episode has been staged with malafide intention just to drag me in the present fabricated and concocted case with ulterior motives and some personal grudges.
- 13. That I was arrested in the subject bogus FIR and having my case one of the further inquiry under section 497(2) CrPC, I was released on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate-III, Abbottabad. APACT P

- 14. That the allegations in the subject FIR are yet to be proved against me and it is celebrated principle of law that unless proven guilty, one is presumed to be innocent.
- 15. That trial of the case is yet to commence and if the appellant is dismissed at this stage and later acquitted in the FIR, the appellant would have inconvenience and irreparable loss not only to himself but to his family, repute and Honor.
- 16. That another important aspect of the case is that the complainant stated in his statement under section 164 Cr.PC that he could not identify the police official, and no identification parade under Article 22 of QSO 1984 was conducted by the I.O to authenticate my identity.
- 17. That during my custody with police, nothing was recovered from my person and the alleged recovery attributed to me is fabricated and concocted, I have never produced any amount to the police because I am totally innocent and being low paid government employee I could not save Rs.200000/- during the whole service. Similarly, my mobile phone was in custody of police therefore, the assertion of I.O that I contacted my relative for the amount is nothing but a pack of lie.
- 18. That during the inquiry, the complainant did not appear to substantiate his claim. Similarly Mr. Noman while appearing before inquiry officer categorically submitted that he does not know the undersigned. Besides above, Mr. Babar disclosed that the pictures of police official were shown to him on the next(day. The above would suffice

that I am innocent and on the basis of statements no case is made out against me.

- 19. That during investigation the complainant has not been made an accused, despite the fact that he disclosed himself in sale of illegal gold.
- 20. That order Article 67 of QSO 1984, previous character of accused is always relevant and in my case my entire service record is clean and I have never been involved in such like activities.
- 21. That before the adjudication of court of law upon the matter, passing of dismissal order is not only harsh but against the law, fact and norms of natural justice.

It is therefore, humbly prayed that in the light of foregoing submissions / reply, the final show cause notice may graciously be ordered to withdrawn and I may kindly be exonerated from the charges leveled against me.

Dated: 01 03 /2022

GUL AFZAL

Ex-HC No.340 District Police, Abbottabad

Alledred

1 01303 (DOP JP Apillem Salat. مجامت _ باعث قرمياً تكر 22655 مندرجه الافتوان عن الى طرف يروى وجوابدى مقام في ورام مر المرووكيت بدي شرط دكل متردكيا - كمدش مريش برخود يابذ ديد مخارفاس مديرد عباليت حاضر بوتا متول كارادو يوقت بكاور عاف وكل صاحب موصوف كواطلات ور ركرحاض كردل كاراكركمي يتى يعظيم عاضرت والدوماضرى كاوجت كادجه يعقدم مر فلاف بوكيا توصاحب موصوف ال ع كاطر با ذمدداد مد اوتلے - نیز وکل صاحب موصوف مدر مقام بجبری کے علادہ کی اور جکہ یا بجبری کے مقرر ادقات سے بیلے یا بردر فتطیل خاردی کرتے کے توزید ہوئے۔ اگر مقدمہ مقام پھری کے کسی اور جگہ سامت ہونے با پروز پھری کے اوقات کة كيايا يجيج وفي يرمظير كوكى نتسان بنج توذمدداديا ال كروابط كم معادضا داكر فتحاد تامدوا يل كرف ك بكى صاحب موصوف ذمد داد مداد مداد مداحة بي ماخته يدواخته صاحب كى كرده ذاب تور حور ديول اوكادر ماحب موسوف كوم في دوي اوردو فاست اجراب وكرى دنظر والى ايجل محراف بالتركرف يور محم كى دو تواست يرد مخط تعد ال نے کا بھی احتیار مولک اور کی عکم اؤگری کے اچرا کرنے اور برقم کا دوپیدوسول کرنے اور دسمدیے اور داخل کرنے كايرتم كابيان دين ادرم ونالى دراضى نامدو فيعله يرظاف كرن اقبال دكو كالقيار يوكار اور بصورت ابكل و برآ وكامتخدمه باسترق ذكرك بعطرفه ووقواست عم احماق باذكري قل از فيعله اجراح وتمن عجا ماحب موجوف كو بشرطادا تكى على وجاروى يحار المرائيكا مجاز ومكارا وريسورت مردوت ايل المل كدواسط كمى ودمر ... وكم ايرمز كويجائ المسية بمراه مقرد كري اددائي مشرقانونى كوبجى اس امرش والى انتمادات حاصل يوسلكم يطيح ماحب موصوف كو بورى فيس تاريخ يشى بيل اداند كرول كارتو صاحب موصوف كو بوراا التيار وكاكم مقدم كالجروك ند كري ادداري حالت على يرامطالبه صاحب موصوف و برخلاف يس موكار لهذا مخادنا مدلكه دياب كم سعد ب معمول محادنام فالإجادرا فيح طرح كمح ليااور 13-06-20-22-30 replicity. my hel