


## Form- A

## FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of \_\_\_\_\_

Execution Petition No. 611/2022

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	14.10.2022	<p>The execution petition of Mr. Adnan Sami submitted today by Syed Noman Ali Bukhari Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar on <u>27-10-22</u>. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The respondents be issued notices to submit compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">By the order of Chairman    REGISTRAR</p>

**SCANNED  
KPST  
Peshawar**

27<sup>th</sup> Oct., 2022

Counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Naseerud Din Shah, Asstt. Advocate General for the respondents present.

Copy of order No. 1161-64/PA/SSU, dated 17.02.2022 was presented before the Bench through which the petitioner had been reinstated in service from the date of dismissal. It means that back benefits have been provided to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not satisfied with the same and constantly insisted that the appeal had been accepted as prayed for according to which the impugned order was to be set aside and the petitioner was to be reinstated in service with all back benefits, whereas according to him, the order dated 17.02.2022 mentioned reinstatement of the appellant from the date of dismissal, however, about his previous absence of 04 months, two months were treated as medical leave and two months were treated as leave without pay. Similarly his absence period from active service in his platoon was also treated as leave without pay. He constantly ~~insisted~~ <sup>insisted</sup> that the petitioner was to be reinstated with all benefits of salary and allowances.

As the judgment dated 22.11.2021 was announced by the Division Bench consisting of Mrs. Rozina Rehman, learned Member (Judicial) and Mr. Atiq-ur-Rehman, learned Member (Executive), who is now retired from service, it is, therefore, in the fitness of the matter that execution of the judgment be placed before Mrs. Rozina Rehman, learned Member (Judicial) to determine the extent of back benefits. Case is adjourned to 17.11.2022 for further proceedings.

For orders of Chairman KP, ST.


P 27/10.

(Fareeha Paul)  
Member(E)

17.11.2022

Nemo for the petitioner. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Notice be issued to the petitioner to make sure attendance of the learned counsel on the next date to submit objections on the implementation report. Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings on 21.11.2022 before S.B.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized loop followed by a vertical stroke and a long diagonal line extending to the right. A small asterisk is visible above the vertical stroke.

(Mian Muhammad)  
Member (E)