Appellant present through counsel. Preliminary arguments heard. Record perused.

Points raised need consideration. The appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of reply/comments. To come up for reply/comments on 16.08.2022 before S.B at Camp Court, Abbottabad.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Camp Court, A/Abad

O TOTAL

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of			
		•	•
ase No		312 /2022	<u>.</u>

	Case No	312 /2022
S.Ņo.	Date of order	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
:	proceedings	The state of the s
1	2	3
1-	04/03/2022	The appeal of Mst. Saima Naz presented today by Mr. FazlUllah Khan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the
	· ·	Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
	•	REGISTRAR
. 2-		This case is entrusted to touring S. Bench at Abbottabad for
		preliminary hearing to be put there on 1994
		CHAIRMAN
	•	
	19.04.2022	Nemo for appellant.
:*		Notice be issued to appellant/counsel for 15.06.2022 preliminary hearing before S.B at Camp Court, Abbottabad.
		(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) Camp Court, A/Abad
,		
		ुंदे रे

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAI CHECK LIST

1 (
Met.	Sama Naz	
\$ P		
	Annellant	

Versus

Crn# 4 KP.K
Respondents

	AppellantRespondents		
<u>S</u>	CONTENTS	YES	<u>N</u>
NO		. ,	<u> </u>
1.	This petition has been presented by: Advocate Court	7	<u> </u>
2.	Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponent have signed the requisite documents?	7.	<u>-</u>
3.	Whether appeal is within time?	- V	
4.	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned?	1	 -
5.	Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct?	1	ļ ·
6.	Whether affidavit is appended?	1 7	
7.	Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner?	1	∔ .
8.	Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged?	1	+.
9.	Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished?	1 1	-
10.	Whether annexures are legible?	1 1	╣.
11.	Whether annexures are attested?	 	+
12.	Whether copies of annexures are readable/clear?	1	
13.	Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG?	1 1	
14.	Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by	1 1	
	petitioner/appellant/respondents?	-\	+
15.	Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct?	1 1	+
16.	Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting?	×	_
17.	Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal?	17	+
18.	Whether case relate to this court?	1 7	
19	Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?	1	_
20	Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover?	1 7	_
21		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
22		1	_
23	. Whether index is correct?	1	_
24	Whother Security and Process Fee deposited? On		,
25	Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974 Rule 11, notice alon	ig 1	
	with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On	-	
26	6. Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On	\ <u>\</u>	
2	7. Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite party? C	On	
- 1			

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfill Name:-

Signature:- H Sm

Dated:- 1 3 2022

VIIC TV Composing Canter, Peshower High Court, Peshown Pioneer of legal drafting of computing Cell No: - 4923028318600/+923119149544/+923159737151 Email: <u>phe.petcomposing@gmail.com</u>

وهنك أيارا يتوجو الديد أ

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 3/2 /2022

Mst. Saima Naz Ex.PST, daughter of Khuda Dad wife of Abdul Jabbar, resident of Sanda Saray cum Arghashori, Tehsil & District Battagram.

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar & others.

...RESPONDENT

SERVICE APPEAL

INDEX

S. #	Description	Page No.	Annexure
1.	Memo of Appeal	1 to 8	
2.	Copy of impugned notification dated 09.0.2021	9	"A"
3.	Copy of departmental appeal	10	"B"
4.	Wakalatnama	11	

...APPELLANT

Through

Dated: // 3 /2022

(HAMAYUN KHAN) &

(FAZLULLAH KHAN)

Advoçates High Court, Abbottabad

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 312 /2022

Mst. Saima Naz Ex.PST, daughter of Khuda Dad wife of Abdul Jabbar, resident of Sanda Saray cum Arghashori, Tehsil & District Battagram.

...APPELLANT

Kbyber Palshtukhwa Service Tribunal

VERSUS

Diary No. 380

Dated 04/03/2022

1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar.

2. Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

3. District Education Officer (Female) District Battagram.

...RESPONDENTS

Registran 04/03/2022

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 KPK TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 READ WITH **AMENDED** AGAINST THE **IMPUGNED** NOTIFICATION DATED 09.10.2021 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO. 3, WHEREBY RESPONDENT NO. 3 IMPOSED MAJOR PENALTY OF REMOVAL FROM SERVICE UPON APPELLANT WHICH IS ILLEGAL, AGAINST THE LAW AGAINST THE FACTS HENCE INEFFECTIVE UPON THE RIGHTS THE APPELLANT AND LIABLE TO BE SET-ASIDE.

PRAYER:- ON ACCEPTANCE OF THE INSTANT APPEAL, IMPUGNED NOTIFICATION DATED 09.10.2021 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO. 3 MAY KINDLY BE DECLARED NULL AND VOID AND APPELLANT BE REINSTATED INTO SERVICE ALONGWITH ALL BACK BENEFITS. ANY OTHER RELIEF WITH THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY DEEM FIT AND PROPER INTHE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE MAY ALSO BE GRANTED TO THE APPELLANT.

Respectfully Sheweth;-

The appellant beg to solicit through this service appeal on the following legal and factual grounds;-

- That appellant hail from District Battagram in year
 2011 applied for appointment against the post of PST.
- 2. That thereafter completion of all codal formalities respondent No. 3 issued appointment order of the appellant as PST Teacher.

- 3. That after joining duty, appellant performed her duty with full devotion, dedication and liabilities and no compliant was ever found against her.
- 4. That on 09.10.2021, respondent No. 3 issued so-called impugned notification, without any justification and lawful authority on the basis of self made allegation. Copy of impugned notification dated 09.0.2021 is annexed as Annexure "A".
- 5. That on 07.11.2021, appellant filed departmental appeal before the respondent No. 2 against the impugned notification dated 09.10.2021, but till dated respondent No. 2 not passed any order and similarly not given any response on the service appeal. Copy of departmental appeal is annexed as Annexure "B". Hence present appeal on the following legal grounds;-

GROUNDS;-

a. That, the removal from service notification dated 09.10.2021 is illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority, perverse, and

against the constitutional guaranteed rights of the appellant hence, untenable in the eye of law and his liable to be set-aside.

- b. That when law prescribed something which is to be in a particular. That must be in that manner and not otherwise. Hence the respondents were bound to follow the law which amount to misconduct on the part of respondents. Hence impugned order is liable to be set-aside and appellant be reinstated alongwith all back benefit as per law.
- c. That, neither any charge sheet was served upon the appellant nor she was associated with any enquiry hence, the termination/removal notification is based on political influence, therefore liable to be set-aside.
- d. That respondent No. 3 intentionally not delivered impugned notification to the appellant for redressing of her grievance and lastly on 04.11.2021 respondents given the said impugned notification to the appellant

after many requests which shows the malafide of the respondents.

- e. That, the appellant was condemned unheard and she did not given opportunity for personal hearing to bring the real and true facts on the screen.
- f. That even otherwise the impugned notification dated 09.10.2021 is liable to be set-aside on the grounds that no rights of defence or personal right of hearing which was mandatory provision of law was given to the appellant before being proceeded against her.
- g. That, impugned order was passed against the appellant with malafide, against law as void and without jurisdiction.
- h. That the whole disciplinary proceedings initiated against the appellant have been done in contravention to the rules, regulation and law and therefore the whole proceedings

are liable to be set-aside appellant be reinstated to her original post.

- i. That respondents violated the basic principle of natural justice and rule and procedure prescribed in E&D rules, hence impugned notification is liable to be set-aside.
- j. That respondents issued impugned notification against the well principles procedures prescribed guidelines by the superior courts time by time for the governments departments but respondents ignored all these rules and principles.
- k. That the respondents without any reasons on the part of appellant imposed major penalty of removal from service and no opportunity of personal hearing was given to the appellant, and similarly without charge sheet and statement of allegation, hence condemned unheard.

- 1. That the addresses of the parties have been correctly given in the heading of the appeal.
- m. That other points would be argue at the time of argument with the kind permission this Honourable Tribunal.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant appeal, impugned notification dated 09.10.2021 issued by respondent no. 3 may kindly be declared null and void and appellant be reinstated into service alongwith all back benefits. any other relief with this honourable court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case may also be granted to the appellant.

Through

H THE

(HAMAYUN KHAN)

(FAZLULLAH KHAN)

Advocates High Court, Abbottabad

VERIFICATION;-

Verified on oath that the contents of forgoing appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein from this Honourable Court.

APPELEANT

PPELLANT

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

/2022	 No	Appeal
	 	1.6

Mst. Saima Naz Ex.PST, daughter of Khuda Dad wife of Abdul Jabbar, resident of Sanda Saray cum Arghashori, Tehsil & District Battagram.
...APPELLANT

VERSUS

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar & others.

... RESPONDENT

SERVICE APPEAL

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mst. Saima Naz Ex.PST, daughter of Khuda Dad wife of Abdul Jabhar, resident of Sanda Saray cum Arghashori, Tehsil & District Battagram. do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of foregoing appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Court.

CC/C/LED TO THE CONTROL OF THE CONTR

DEPONENT



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (F) DISTRICT BATTAGRAM

ANNEXURE "A"



File No: 3/03-10

Dated: 4 /10/2021

Notification for Major Penalty of Removal from service

1. Whereas, (SEEMA NAZ, PST, GGPS SANDASARE QASIM KHAN(EmisCode:28576)) was proceeded against under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011 for the charges of willful absence from duty w.e.f from 17-03-2021 till date.

2. And whereas, a show cause notice was served upon her vide No. 1794-99 dated 12-04-2021, vide No.1580-83 Dated 30/06/2021 and Vide No.2294 dated 23/09/2021 for will full absence from duty within the meaning of Rule-3 (d) and Rule-4 (b) (iii).

3. And whereas, she had also been reported absent previously on 07/08/2021, 12/07/2021, 08/06/2021, 27/05/2021 and 09/04/2021 for which you had already been penalized.

4. And whereas, the Competent Authority after having considered the charges, evidence on record, her track record pertaining to her willful absence on various occasions, her reply dated NILL for the personal hearing granted to her vide No. 2294 dated 28/09/2021 is of the view that the charges mentioned in the show cause have been proved against (SEEMA NAZ PST, GGPS SANDASARE QASIM KHAN(EmisCode:28576)).

5. And whereas, inquiry officer ASDEO battamori mst. Tahira is also reported you willful absence from her duty

6. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred under (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011 the Competent Authority is pleased to impose the major penalty of "Removal from service" under the (E&D) Rule 2011 sub Rule-4 (b) (iii) upon (SEEMA NAZ, PST, GGPS SANDASARE QASIM KHAN(EmisCode:28576)) with immediate effect.

Note: if payment in shape of salary of the absent period released to her should be deposit in government treasury through challan form.

District Education Officer (F)
Battagram

Even No & Date.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

- /1 Director, Elementary & Secondary Education Department, G T Road, Peshawar
- 2 Deputy Commissioner Battagram
- 3 District Monitoring Officer Battagram
- 4 District account office Battagram.
- 5 Principal/Headmaster/Headmistress/DDEO/SDEO concerned for necessary action
- 6 SEEMA NAZ, PST, GGPS SANDASARE QASIM KHAN(EmisCode:28576), BATTAGRAM, BATTAGRAM

7 Master File

District Education Office (F)

Battagram

Merico

ANNEWVRE B. 10

200 - 20 العلى الديونميين مر عالا سروى معالم مؤد با ندر ارش معرب من مله فيمنو تولى كى ما مره عدلع سفراي م رم غرب گرانه می تعلق رکفی سے dicher cing in 2011 Il vine sit ع سر مامد عارت و حرر موں مع دمی مُرالفن منف ی سرای م مریق رس اور مل اور و دس رونتر ۵ و مصابط دسرای را ن مینگ در ارتیان کر : معتوم کی ا رے روا دان دفیر تھے کے میں گئی کوئٹنگ کے تابع کا کوئٹنگ کے تابع میں مر ما مديم عن دين فرالكن ادائري دين. مع رطرف کیا . اور متر کوره آرد و رس ما مکد کو بنیں دے رہے تھے و كر عضى الله 16 كور مركود والبرى المودد. وى مذكوره أرد رسوار عمل به مور ما مكر مائة ما الفاقى ير مبنى مارى ملا به ادر ماند باز دروزی کا غطافی محروم و بید ا المامن المهوى بر ت فيه ورع الرعال كرن ها كورون المعالى من المعالى كرن المعالى معاة ما غذ كاز دفتر فواد تعم عدالي ركندستولر وافعى ارعنو و كالمعالم

کورٹ فیس

وكالت نامير

BEI	FORE THE	K.P.K	SERVICE T	R'BUNAL	بعدالت
Mst-	SAIMA	NAZ.	<u></u> بنام	ent of KPK	عنوان:
			ellant		منجانب:
		Se	nie Appe	Lal	نوعيت مقدمه.

مقدمہ مندرجہ میں اپی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب وہی کل کاروائی متعلقہ آل مقام مقدمہ مندرجہ میں اپی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب وہی کل کاروائی متعلقہ آل مقام کووکیل مقرر کر کے اقر ارکر تاہوں کہ صاحب موصوف کومقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کائل اختیار ہوگا نیز و کیل صاحب موصوف کوکر نے راضی نامہ وتقر رائالت و فیصلہ برطف ودینے اقبال دعوی اور بصورت دیگر وگرگی کر انے اجراء وصولی چیک روپیدوعرضی دعوی کی تصدیق اور اس پردستخط کر نے کا اختیار ہوگا اور بصورت مقدمہ کورکی کل یا کئی بروی کاروائی کے لئے کی اور وکیل یا عتار صاحب قانونی کو این ہمراہ اپنی جبائے تقر رکا اختیار بھی ہوگا ورصاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیار ہوگا ۔ اور اس کا مستخی و کی منظور و قبول ہوگا۔ دور ان مقدمہ جوخر چہ وہر جاندالتو ائے مقدمہ کے سب ہوگا اس کے ساختہ پرداختہ جھے کومنظور و قبول ہوگا۔ دور ان مقدمہ جوخر چہ وہر جاندالتو ائے مقدمہ کے سب ہوگا اس کے مستخی و کیل صاحب ہوں گے۔ نیز بقایار فروں کر نے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا ۔ آگر کوئی پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا صدے بہر ہوتو و کیل صاحب موصوف یا بند ہول کے لیے دور کا کبھی صاحب موصوف کو اختیار ہوگا۔ استجارت نالش بصیغہ مفلس کے دائر کرنے اور اس کی پیروی کا بھی صاحب موصوف کو اختیار ہوگا۔ استجارت نالش بصیغہ مفلس کے دائر کرنے اور اس کی پیروی کا بھی صاحب موصوف کو اختیار ہوگا۔ لہذا و کالت نامہ تحریر کیا تا کہ سندر ہے۔

H3/2022 100 Merpadhy

بمقام