Nemo for appellant.

Case was called time and again but none appeared on behalf of appellant till rising of the Bench. As such the instant service appeal stands dismissed in default for non-prosecution. No order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced 11.05.2022

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) 18.10.2021

None for the appellant present.

Due to general strike of the Bar, the case is adjourned. Notices be issued to the appellant and his counsel. To come up for preliminary hearing before the S.B on 21.12.2021.

(MIAN MUHAMMAD)

MEMBER (E)

21.12.2021

Junior to counsel for appellant present.

Lawyers are on general strike, therefore, case is adjourned to 16.02.2022 for preliminary hearing before S.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

16.02.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 11.05.2022 for the same as before.

Reader

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET.

Court of			
	315/		
Case No	2426	/2021	

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
		POTTINE 12
1-	04/03/2021	TO The appeal of Mr. Akhunzadar Kamran resubmitted today by Mr.
	Caur* o	Fida Muhammad Yousafzai Advocate may be entered in the Institution
	() (11) (Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
	fire Bir.	January
		REGISTRAR 413 >
M2 -	Date of career	Charge or of the proceedings with senature of judges. This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put
-	7:	up there on 2105/21
•		
	· · · /2074	The animative of the water man result ited today by Wh
,		Fida: (Fullwround) Yourseller Missions may be CHAIRMANIA: Institution
		ke samtouroupto: the Worth anna viorgrover a throplesse.
21.0	5.2021	Due to demise of the Worthy Chairmansthen Tribunal
*, *	defur	ot, therefore, case is adjourned to 02/09.2021 for the same
		efore and the first of the firs
		3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Reader Reader
		The contraction of the contracti
		The state of the s
•	02.09.2021	Nemo for the appellant present.
		Notices be issued to the appellant and his counsel.
		Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings before the S.B. on
		/ /
		10.10.2021
	1	The second of th
		Page 1
		(MIAN MUHAMMAD)
		Ene -
		(MIAN MUHAMMAD)
		(MIAN MUHAMMAD)

The appeal of Mr. Akhunzada Kamran received today i.e. on 26/02/2021 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Address of appellant as well as respondent no.1 is incomplete which may be completed according to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal rules 1974.
- 2- Copy of dismissal order mentioned in the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 3- Copy of departmental appeal dated 1/10/2020 mentioned in para-6 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

No._____/\$.T,

Dt.____/2021

REGISTRAR

SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

Mr. Fida Muhammad Yousafzai Adv. Pesh.

Re-submitteel after complan

BEFORE KHYBER PAKHTUN KHWA, SERVICE TRIABUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No	/2021
AKHUNZADA KAMRAN	Appellant
Versus	
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE (PRISON) KHYBER PAKHTUN
KHWA AND OTHERS	Respondents
INDEX	

S.NO.	PARTICULARS	ANNEX	P. NO.
1	Memo of Appeal + Application for Condensation True Copies of Appointment order		1-5
2	True Copies of Appointment order	A	6
3	True Copy of Medical Certificate	В	1
4	Copy of show cause and reply	C-CA	2-9
5	True Copy of impugned order of dismissal dated: 01-07-2016	D	10
6	True Copies of departmental appeals	E-EA	11-14
7	Wakalat nama		15

Through

Dated:24/02/2021

Fida Muhammad Yousafzai Advocate High Court, Peshawar 202, 2nd Floor, City Gate Plaza, G.T. Road, Peshawar. 0314-9033235

BEFORE KHYBER PAKHTUN KHWA, SERVICE TRIABUNAL, PESHAWAR Khyber Palehtukhwa Service Tribunal

Service Appeal No. 3426 /2021 Diary No. 3241

AKHUNZADA KAMRAN S/O MUHAMMAD RIAZ R/O LABOUR 25/2/2021 COLONY, ROAD NEHER, JEHANZEB STEEL HOUSE, HAKIM ABAD, DISTRICRT NOWSHERA. ______Appellant

Versus

- 1. INSPECTOR GENERAL (PRISON) KHYBER PUKHTON KHWA, PESHAWAR.
- 2. SUPRINTENDENT HEAD QUARTERS PRISON PESHAWAR.
- 3. SUPERINTENDENT PRISON, CENTRAL PRISON, PESHAWAR.
- 4. ACCOUNT OFFICER, DISTRICT PESHAWAR.

Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED

ORDER NO.1620 /P.B/ DATED: 01-07-2016, WHEREBY THE

MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF REMOVAL FROM SERVICE WAS

IMPOSED UPON THE APPELLANT AND AGAINST THE

INACTION OF THE RESPONDENT No. 1 WHO FAILED TO

DECIDE THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT

TILL DATE.

PRAYER IN APPEAL:

ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE IMPUGNED ORDER NO. 1620 /P.B/ DATED: 01-07-2016, VIDE WHICH THE REPRESENTATION OF THE APPELLANT (AGAINST HIS REMOVAL FROM SERVICE) WAS DISMISSED MAY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND RESULTANTLY THE APPELLANT MAY GRACIOUSLY BE REINSTATED IN SERVICE WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS.

Respectfully Sheweth!

The appellant most humbly submits as under:-

1. That the appellant was inducted and was appointed in the prison police service as warder/Constable on 22/01/2015.

(Copy of appointment letter is attached as Annexure "A").

Filedto
Regist

- 2. That the appellant after appointment was working with great zeal and devotion at the prison and served according to the satisfaction of high ups.
- 3. That the appellant was badly injured in a road accident and at the time of road accident, the appellant was also suffering from high temperature on the concerned days. After injuring in accident, the appellant was shifted to the local hospital where the appellant was treated by the medical officer at casualty. As the appellant was suffering from chest infection, so the appellant visited another doctor at the said hospital. The appellant was then examined by Senior Medical Officer at the said hospital, who advised for two week bed rest to the appellant.

(Copy of medical documents are attached as Annexure"B").

4. That the appellant informed the prison authorities about his illness and bed rest, but due to unknown reason, the respondents instead of asking about health of the appellant, issued show cause notice to the appellant who was properly replied by the appellant.

(Copy of show cause notice and Reply is attached as Annexure "C").

5. That dissatisfied with the reply of the show caused notice; the concerned authority passed the impugned order dated: 01-07-2016 in hasty manner without any inquiry and imposed major penalty of Removal From Service upon the appellant.

(Copy of impugned order dated 01.07.2016 is annexed "D").

6. That feeling dissatisfied with the concerned office of the appellant filed departmental appeal on dated 30.07.2016 before the respondent No. 1. But to yet no order was passed despite the appellant time and again visited the concerned office of the respondent No.1. Needless to mention that the appellant submitted two successive

departmental appeals/reminders on 01/10/2020 and 01/11/2020 but without any positive response.

(Copy of departmental appeal is attached as Annexure "E").

7. That feeling aggrieved from the impugned order dated 01.07.2016 of respondent No. 2, the appellant is constrained to file the instant appeal on the following grounds inter alia:

GROUNDS:

- A. Because imposing major penalty of removal from service is corum non judice, illegal, without jurisdiction and without lawful authority, against the principles of natural justice, without any rhymes and reasons, hence liable to set aside.
- B. Because no charge sheet or statement of allegation was served upon the appellant nor any attempt whatsoever is made by the respondents. Which act on the part of respondents is against the law, E & D Rules and policy of the Govt.
- C. Because due to the action and inaction of the respondents, the appellant is still jobless and there is no source of income of the appellant and the appellant is dependent upon his parents and other family members.
- D. Because impugned order are illegal and unjust and in violation of rules and law applicable to the matter.
- E. Because the appellant rendered unblemished services in the prison police force without any criminal history and without any involvement in any kind of illegal activities but still awarded major penalty of removal from service.
- F. Because the order of removal from service upon appellant is against the principle of natural justice, equity and fair play and is a colorful exercise of powers by respondents/department.

- G. Because no inquiry has been conducted against the appellant neither any opportunity of defense or cross-examination of witnesses was afforded to the appellant which is in violation of principle enshrined in law that no one should be condemned unheard (audi alterm partum).
- H. Because the appellant being aggrieved and having no other efficacies remedy except to file the instant appeal for the redressal of his grievances before this Hon'ble Tribunal on the following amongst other grounds.
- I. Because the impugned orders of respondents dated: 01-07-2016 are against law, facts, hence liable to be set-aside.
- J. Because the dispensation/removal of the appellant from his service without adopting proper criteria and codal requirements by the respondents is against the worthy ruling of the Hon'ble Superior Courts of Pakistan and therefore, the same are illegal practice and such practice adversely effects efficiency of incumbents and also reduces their confidence and faith in public, hence the impugned orders referred above are liable to be unhealed on this score also.
- K. Because the appellant is very hardworking and punctual in his duty, therefore, no complaint received by the Respondents against the appellant but the Respondents unlawfully and illegally proceeded against the appellant by ordering his removal from his service, which is against the law and fundamental rights of the appellant.
- L. Because the appellant was condemned unheard, his departmental appeal was not properly adjudicated in the manner as provided by the law. Further no chance of personal hearing was given to the present appellant in order to redress his grievances which show the malafide of the Respondents, hence needs interference of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

- M. Because the present impugned order is illegal, illogical, against facts, without jurisdiction and suffering from material irregularity, hence the same is untenable and liable to be struck down.
- N. The appellant crave for leave of the Hon'ble Tribunal to raise additional grounds at the time of arguments.

IT IS, THEREFORE, MOST RESPECTFULLY PRAYED THAT ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL:

- i. An order of setting aside of impugned orders dated: 01-07-2016
 may kindly be passed.
- ii. The appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with all back benefits.
- <u>iii.</u> Any other relief, though not specifically asked for, deems appropriate to the Hon'ble Tribunal may also be granted.

Appellar

Through:

Fida Muhamihad Yousafzai Advocate High Court, Peshawar.

Dated: 22/02/2021

VERIFICATION:

It is verified that all the contents of the instant appeal are true and correct and nothing has been concealed intentionally from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Deponent

Note: That no such like petition / Appeal on this subject matter has earlier been filed before this Hon'ble Tribunal.

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

CM No	/2021
in	
Service Ap	peal No/2021
Akhunzada	Appellant/Applicant
	VERSUS
Inspector	General of Police (Prison) KP and others Respondents
<u>.</u>	APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY
	
Respectf	ully Sheweth:
1.	That the above mentioned appeal is filing before this Hon'ble
	Tribunal for which no date is yet fixed.
2.	That due to family enmity the applicant/appellant was not able to
۷,	That due to family enmity, the applicant/appellant was not able to file the instant appeal on time.
· ·	me the matant appear on time.
3.	That delay in filing appeal was not intentional nor deliberate but
•	due to the reasons mentioned above.
·	It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of
	this Application, the delay may kindly be condoned, adjudication
,	in appeal to be made on merit.
	Appellant
	Through
	Fide Mulandard Manager

Dated: 22.02.2021

BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

CM No/2021	
in	
Service Appeal No	/2021
Akhunzada Kamran	Appellant/Applicant
	VERSUS
Inspector General of Police (Prison) KP and others Respondents

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Akhunzada Kamran (Appellant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying Application for condonation of delay are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

PUBLIC

DEPONENT



OFFICE OF THE **SLEEBISTPROLSI** HE ADQUARTERS PRISON PERHAMAN No 7:51

M. ANHUNZADA KAMBAN BO MUHAMMAD BIAZ RIO H # 46, SLE 2, Moh. Enally Fullding, Tabul & Claft Nowshere

Subject Memo.

APPOINTMENT AS WARDER BPS-05)

Reference your test/interview !... the subject post.

You are horeby offered the post of temporary Warder in BPC-05 (5400-200-13200), and all Other Usual allowances as admirable under the raise exequel to the following constructs.

You are liable to serve enywhern in the Jaist Judicial Lockups/ Interryment Centers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

- Your appointment is purely temporary and your services can be terminuted at any time without 2. essigning any reason during probationary period
- For all other purposes such as pay, T.A. & medical attendance etc., you will be governed by the 3rules applicable to the government servants of your category
- The terms and conditions of your appointment as Warder (BPS-05) will be those as fald down in the Khyber Pakhturikhwa Prison Rules 1965, Prisons Department (Recruitment: Promotion & Transfer) rules 1980 and all other rules and regulations prescribed for Government Serviints or the rules which may be promulgated by the Government from time to time in this behalf
- You appointment will be subject to your fendical faness and prescribed physical standard 5-
- 6-No TAI DA will be admissible to you on Joining your first appointment.
- 7. You cannot resign from the service immediately but will have to put in writing at least one pronth prior notice or in four thereof, one month pay shall be for gifed from you. (...
- 8-Your appointment is subject to fulfillment of all the conditions laid down in the service nites
- ٥. You will be on probation for a period of two years extendable to one more year
- 10-Your appointment will be subject to verification of your entecedents/ character
- 11-If you report for duty, it will be taken for granted that you have accepted all the above terms and conditions and if you falled to report within 10 days of the recept of this appointment order, it will be presumed that you have declined to accept this offer hence this order of appointment shall stand cancelled/ withdrawn.

You are directed to report to the Superintendent High Security Philips Mardan for duties 12-

> SUPPRINTENDENT HEADQUARTERS/PRISON PESHAWAR

Endorsement No. 73 37 ~ 38 /-

Copy of the above is forwarded to the -

Superintendent High Security Prison Mardon. The above named newly appointed Warder is attached with his jail for all purposes. He may be got medically exemined by the MedicaSuperintendent concerned and a copy of his Medical Examination Contricate may be sent to
this price for record. He SEC or thickle may also be verned may the conserved board within
this matter and the result be communicated by the Heldquarter.

District Accounts Officer Mandan.

SUPERINTENDENT HEADQUARTERS PRISON PESHAWAR

Minny

			, , ,	
D.H.Q. HOS	There			
	PITAL	NOWS	HED	,
OUT.DOO	R PATIENT	S TICKET		1
			4981	
Name U/168	الوراو	L	77.07	<u> </u>
		– Date <u>//</u>	-06-2	018.
		PUD		
	\sqrt{n}	MYL	,	
		14 5	N	
				٠.
	15.1	Hazer	$1 \cup \mathcal{V}$	
		1n.		
	Adu	Compl	ele b	ed
	A A	est to	1 02 W	eeko
	W	e f 13	-6-25	ų.
		. V		
	Benior Wedi	ospital	ATTEST	0

Es S

STAW-CAUSE NOTICE UNDER RULE-5 (1) READ WITH RULE-7 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA GOVERNMENT SERVANTS (EFFICIENCY & DISCIPLINE) RULES 2011.

You, Warder (BPS-05) Akhunzada Kamran attached to Central Prison Peshawar deserted yourself from your allotted duties as well as jail premises without prior permission of the Competent Authority on 14-06-2016 and are still at large, which constitutes gross misconduct.

I, Masud-ur-Rahman, Superintendent Headquarters Prison Peshawar as Competent Authority, am satisfied by the report received through the Superintendent Central Prison Peshawar and there is no need of holding any further inquiry.

Now therefore, you above named Warder are hereby called to show cause within 07 days of the receipt of this notice as to why the penalty of "Removal from Service" may not be imposed upon you for your above cited act of misconduct.

In case your reply does not reach this office within stipulated period, ex-parte action shall-be taken against you.

> SUPERINTENDENT HEADQUARTERS PRISON PESHAWAR

Endorsement No: 1541-43/- dated: 27/06/2016

Copy of the above is forwarded to the: -

The Superintendent Central Prison Peshawar with reference to his report

Head Clerk (Pay Branch) Central Prison Peshawar. Pay of the above named accused Warder may be stoppedy freezed till finalization of the proceedings. 2-Warder Akhunzada Kamran C/o Superintendent Central Prison Peshawar.

> EUPERINTENDENT HEADOUARTERS PRISON PESHAWAR

(4) AMA ملي منوكاز فوير مرباذ زرنی ایم میا کرورور کرار به او ایمان سی و لی مر 3- ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ و شو کا زفوست فوجول ال 20 1- 6-17 (Unit 3 417 19 PM min) De my 10 kg و انتحاما - لسب واندو ها في سائل والدر هويك (دار كالمبرسام و (في يُعني كالميرو) إلى الميد في الميروا و آرام م) ما منبول درا ، I will be of the the thing of the bush فارنی کوی الما الموالي الموتران الموتران الما المحالة الما المحالة المحا



(10) Anu "[OFFICE OF THE

SUPERINTENDENT HEADQUARTERS PRISONS PESHAWAR

/P.B/ Dt: <u>/</u>

<u>ORDER</u>

WHEREAS, the accused Warder Akhunzada Kamran attached to Central Prison Peshawar was proceeded against under Rule-5(1) Read with Rule-7 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011 for the charges of his misconduct as mentioned in Show Cause Notice served upon him vide this Headquarters No. 1541-43 dated 27-06-2016.

AND WHEREAS, he furnished reply on 28-06-2016 alongwith medical certificate dated 13-06-2016 wherein he has been advised 02 weeks bed rest w.e.f 13-06-2014. In his reply he stated that he met an accident enroute, while according to his Medical certificate he was suffering from (PUO) meaning Pyrexia of unknown origin which is fever due to unknown cause. The medial certificate does not state any road accident. There is contradiction between the plea advanced by the accused Warder in his written reply to the Show Cause Notice and the disease mentioned in the medical certificate. Moreover he did not timely inform the Jail Superintendent of the cause of his absence, hence the charge of willful absence stood proved.

NOW THEREFORE, in exercise of powers conferred under Rule-14(5) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules 2011, having considered the charges, evidence on record, the explanation of the accused official, the undersigned being Competent Authority, hereby awards the major penalty of "REMOVAL FROM SERVICE" with immediate effect to the accused wands.

> SUPERINTENDENT HEADQUARTERS PRISON PESHAWAR

Endorsement No: / 621-24

Copy of the above is forwarded to the: -

Inspector General of Prisons Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar please. 1-

Superintendent Central Prison Peshawar. Necessary entry may please be made 2in the Service Book of the official concerned under proper attestation.

Head Clerk (Pay Branch) Central Prison Peshawar. 3-

Official concerned attached to Central Prison Peshavar. 4-

UPERINTENDENT

HEADQUARTERS PRISON PESHAWAR

Scanned with

رسامات السيار مبرل على عام مات ليدا در فواسد عبرار فی انه ایس بر معرف کم سرحا شکی قرره ۱۵۵/۲۰/۱ ال عراولان فيه هذا من الموردارد المنزل عيل لونامون و بول سرا غار دسان تنا. ر ایم نگ از نبزاند که قرره کامو/ جماره کو فرق می برخاندی رق میرا سلان کورن دا تعان اور فلان ما نون کا در ای فرد مون اور این ایکورل عين من إسلان كر فقيور والريدان اور نه وك سنواي كا موفع دماً لل ج. را مرد روبلونت م فلات از روب وج ما وُن چاری سنگ اور Sib es es sit la anser Salement of allegation رزاع من اور ن می ارس ن کوچ رج شی سی سی فریر در او ایمان مخلف منونو) والم يس برا اور ناديا ديكر دساوير) ری می کر در می در این فرط فری که متعلی خانوزی صراع تا بعیم این این فرط فری که متعلی خانوزی صراع تا بعیم در فوا مت جل کام کو بالم چندی أی تنی اور مشوکاز کا جراب میں ای معاملہ کی میں دش ریزی آبون کس سا في عر اسكوسم او غوا نواز با س. عرا الله على منظور في زايل الملائك في ري توكري مع بالي إطاع في رائان بي دن طن 01260/19/ Eight 30/07/16 816 (12) And E-A>

BEFORE THE WORTHY IG PRISONS PESHAWAR.

Through:

Proper Channel.

Departmental appeal against the impugned order bearing OB No.1620 dated 01-07-2016 and subsequent endorsement No. 1621-24 passed by the kind Superintendent of Headquarters prison, Peshawar, whereby the appellant has been removed from service under Rules 5(1) Read with -7 of Khyber Pukhtunkhwa Government Servant (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules-2011 with immediate effect.

PRAYERS IN APPEAL: on acceptance of this appeal the impugned order of Removal referred to above may be set-aside and the appellant may be reinstated in service with all back benefits and all allied allowances in order to meet the ends of justice.

Respected Sir,

- That the appellant has been serving the esteemed department for the last one decade with great zeal, zest, and enthusiasm and since then no adverse remarks whatsoever has ever been assigned to the appellant from any quarter.
- That the appellant meet with Road accident and sustained several injuries and was advised by the Doctors for two week bed rest.
- That the appellant dully informed the concerned authority regarding the incident and requested that as per doctor advised he is unable to perform his duties.
- That despite of the furnishing the said information the concern authority/ Superintendent issued show cause notice which was duly replied by the appellant but surprisingly and to the autmous shocked dismay of the appellant the superintended concern issued impugned order dated 01/07/2016 and removed the appellant from service.
- That the impugned order removal from service is against the law facts and record.

ATTESTED

That the appellant while aggrieved of the impugned order prefers this departmental appeal for setting aside the impugned order of dismissal and seeking reinstatement in service with all back benefits and all allied allowances on the following amongst other grounds enter-alia.

GROUNDS:

- That the impugned order bearing OB No.1620 dated 01-07-2016 and subsequent endorsement No. 1621-24 passed by the kind Superintendent of Headquarters prison, is illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority, without jurisdiction, un-Islamic and against the norms of natural justice, hence liable to be set-aside.
- That the appellant has served the esteemed department throughout the cream of his life having no stigma on his service career but his spotless service has not been taken into consideration at all.
- That no complaint whatsoever has ever been brought against the appellant either by the public or by his colleagues or by his immediate officers and prior to the impugned order no chance of personnel hearing has ever been bestowed upon and it is the demand of natural justice that no should be condemned unheard.
- That the Appellant has been penalized for no fault on his part and his contention has not been given due weight and there is no evidence whatsoever to substantiate the guilt of the Appellant if any.
- That as per Art. 25 of the constitution of Pakistan All citizens are equal before law and entitled to equal protection of law. The concerned authority also removed about 21 warders while some of them reinstate through departmental appeal and rest of them reinstated through service appeal before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, the appellant also want to get benefit on the same relief.
- That there is no Charge sheet nor any statement of allegation nor any chance of personal hearing was provided to the appellant.
- That it is very much astonishing that there are no recommendations made by neither any enquiry officer nor any departmental enquiry was conducted.

ATTESTED

- That the appellant has been serving continuously/ceaselessly for the last one decade till the impugned order was passed while on contrary the appellant has often been pushed in between two fires in lieu of his loyalty, honesty, devotion, dedication, hardworking and punctuality.
- That further submission will be advance at the time of hearing the appellant at the Orderly room.

It is therefore humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the impugned order bearing OB No.1620 dated 01-07-2016 and subsequent endorsement No. 1621-24 passed by the kind Superintendent of Headquarters prison, Peshawar may kindly be set-aside and the appellant may be reinstated in service with all back benefits and all allied allowances in order to meet the ends of justice.

Appellant

Dated: 01.11.2020.

(Akhunzada Kamran)

Mobile#

0333-9004265

ghot.

ATTESTED

Gulded 12 70

(14-A)

INSPECTOR GENERAL (PRISON) KHYBER PAKHTUN KHWA

Trough:

Proper Channel

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL against the impugned order bearing number OB No.1620 dated 01/07/2016 and subsequent endorsement No .1621-24 passed by the kind Superintendent of Headquarter prison, Peshawar. Whereby the appellant has been removed from service under Rules 5(1) Read with -7 of Khyber PukhtonKhwa Government savant (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules 2011 with immediate effect.

<u>PRAYER IN APPEAL:</u> on acceptance of this appeal the impugned order of removal from service be set-aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with all back benefits and all allied allowances.

Respectfully Sir,

- 1. That the appellant has been serving the concern department for the last one year with great zeal, zest, and enthusiasm and since then no adverse remarks whatsoever has been assigned to the appellant.
- 2. That at the relevant days appellant meet with road accident and also face with chest infection due to which the concerned doctor advised for two week bed rest.
- 3. That after the appellant duly informed the concern authority/department regarding his illness and also produced the medical documents as well.
- 4. That despite that the concern authority issued show cause notice which was dully replied but despite the superintendent concern issued impugned order and removes the appellant from service.
- 5. That the removal order from service of the appellant is against the law fact and record.
- 6. That that the appellant served the concern department with great zeal and devotion and the appellant has been penalized for no fault on his part and his contention has not been given due weight and there is no evidence whatsoever to substantiate the guilt of the appellant if any.
- 7. That there is no charge sheet nor any statement of allegation nor any chance of personal hearing was provided to the appellant.
- 8. That it is very much astonishing that there are no recommendations mad by any enquiry officer nether any enquiry was conducted.
- 9. That appellant my be allow for Further submission during the hearing at orderly room.

It is therefore humbly requested that on acceptance of this depart mental appeal the impugned order OB No 1620 dated 01/07/2016 and subsequent order 1621-24 my kindly be set-aside appellant may be re instated with all back benefits.

Dated: 01-10-2020

Affect y

Akhunzada Kamran S/O Muhammad Riaz

R/O Labor colony road, near Jahanzeb Steel House, Hakim Abad, District Nowshera.

رعوى جرم بإعث تحرمرآ نكه مقدمه مدرج عنوان بالامين اين طرف سه واسط پيروي وجواب داي وكل كارواكي متعلقه آن من مرس سرا لها من قرا في وسو) الأرا مقرر کرے اتر ارکیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کومقد مدکی کل کارکولی کا محل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وکیل ساحب کورامنی نامه کرنے وتقرر ثالت ہ فیصلہ برحلف دیسے جواب دہی اورا قبال دعویٰ اور بسورت ومرى كرفي اجراءا ورصولي چيك ورويسيادعوشي دعوى اوردرخواست برسم كي تقديق زرای پردستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم بیروی یا ڈگری بیطرفہ یا بیل کی برامدگی اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل تکرانی ونظر خانی و پیروی کرنے کا اختیار موگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ ندکور ككل ياجزوى كاردائى كے واسطے اور وكيل ما مختار قالونى كواسينے ہمراہ يااسينے بجائے تقرر كا اختيار موکا ۔ اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ ند کورہ یا اختیارات حاصل ہوں مے اوراس کا ساختہ برواخة منظور تبول موكا - دوران مقدمه شل جوخر چدد برجان التوائع مقدمه كے سبب سے و موكا۔ کوئی تاریج بیشی مقام دوره پر مویا صدے باہر موتو وکیل صاحب پایند موں مے کہ بیمکوئ نه کورکریں ۔ لہذا وکالت نام لکھدیا کے سندر ہے۔ مرمم مل کے لئے منظور ہے۔