22.08.2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Arguments on restoration application heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the execution petition was dismissed in default on 19th July, 2022. The petitioner was neither intimated through notice nor informed telephonically about the date of hearing, therefore, the petitioner and his counsel could not appear before the Tribunal on the date fixed. The petitioner submitted resotration application on 29.07.2022 which is well within time. Application for restoration is allowed and the execution petition in question is restored to its original number. Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings on 06.10.2022 before S.B at Camp Court, Abbottabad.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

Form-A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

• •	
Court of	
. I stine No	43 6/2029
Restoration Application No.	430,20-0

	Restoratio	n Application No. 43472023
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	29.07.2022	The application for restoration of execution petition no. 327/2022 presented today by Syed Waqas Naqvi Advocate, may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for
		proper order please. This restoration application is entrusted to S. Bench to be put up there on 22-8-22 REGISTRAR

REGISTRAR

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, CHECK LIST

TITLE: Fazel James V/S 970 VT CONTENTS his Appeal has been presented by: Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponents have signed the equisite documents? Whether appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether affidavit is appended? Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible? Whether annexures are legible?	YES	NO
This Appeal has been presented by: Whether Counsel/Appellant/Respondent/Deponents have signed the equisite documents? Whether appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether affidavit is appended? Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?	/ / / / / / / /	
Whether appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether affidavit is appended? Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?	/ / / / / / /	
Whether appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether affidavit is appended? Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?	/ / / / / / /	
Vhether appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether affidavit is appended? Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?	/ / / / /	
Whether appeal is within time? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed mentioned? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether affidavit is appended? Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether the enactment under which the appeal is filed is correct? Whether affidavit is appended? Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
Whether the enactment under which the epperature of which the enactment under which the epperature of whether affidavit is appended? Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?	×	
Whether affidavit is appended: Whether affidavit is duly attested by competent Oath Commissioner? Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?	×	
Whether affidavit is duly attested by competer Whether appeal/annexures are properly paged? Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?	×	
Whether appeal/annexures are properly pegalon the subject, Whether certificate regarding filing any earlier appeal on the subject, furnished? Whether annexures are legible?		1
Whether certificate regarding thing and formula the furnished? Whether annexures are legible?		1
furnished? Whether annexures are legible?		
Whether annexures are legibler		
verteen d <u>e la company de la</u>	1	
	✓	
Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG?		
THE DALKOT OF ATTRICTED UP LICE COMPANY OF STATE	~ ·	
signed by netitioner/appellant/respondents?		
At POTATION (ASES MIVOR V.	ж	1
Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting?	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appear.	· /	
Whether list or delate to this court?		
Whether requisite number of spare copies attached?		
	1	
Whether addresses of parties given are complete?	 	
Whether index filed?		
1 - 1 - COTTOCT (
Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On		-
Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974	+	
whether it view of tay of appeal and annexures has been sen		
to respondents? On		
to respondents. or comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On		
whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite		
party? On		
	Whether copies of annextres are readucted. Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? Whether case relate to this court? Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? Whether addresses of parties given are complete? Whether index filed? Whether index is correct? Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974. Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sen to respondents? On Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite	Whether copies of annextres are readous? Whether copy of appeal is delivered to AG/DAG? Whether Power of Attorney of the Counsel engaged is attested and signed by petitioner/appellant/respondents? Whether numbers of referred cases given are correct? Whether appeal contains cutting/overwriting? Whether list of books has been provided at the end of the appeal? Whether case relate to this court? Whether requisite number of spare copies attached? Whether complete spare copy is filed in separate file cover? Whether addresses of parties given are complete? Whether index filed? Whether index is correct? Whether Security and Process Fee deposited? On Whether in view of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974 Rule 11, notice along with copy of appeal and annexures has been sent to respondents? On Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder submitted? On Whether copies of comments/reply/rejoinder provided to opposite'

It is certified that formalities/documentation as required in the above table have been fulfilled.

Name: Sped Magas Nagyi ADV He
Signature: Wagn Nagy
Dated: 29/03/2022

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

PANO. 436 12022

Fazal Wahab S/o Rahimullah R/o Rashang, Tehsil & Allai District Battagram.

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education KPK, Peshawar and others

... RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION

INDEX

S.No.	Description of Document	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Application alongwith affidavit	-	1-2
2.	Copy of order dated 19.07.2022	"A"	3-4
3.	Wakalatnama		5

...PETITIONER

Through Counsel:

Dated:-29/07-/2022

(SYED WAQAS NAQVI)
Advocate High Court, Abbottabad.
Contact No.0345-9550055

Syed Waqas Naqvi
Advocate High Court
Office 18, Ayub Tanoli Lawyer's
Pleza, Kutchery, Abbottahad

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PARENTURENT PARENTU

P.M. No. 436 Bated 29/07/20)

Fazal Wahab S/o Rahimullah R/o Rashang, Tehsil & Allai District Battagram.

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

- 1) Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education KPK, Peshawar.
- 2) Director Elementary & Secondary Education KPK, Peshawar.
- 3) District Education Officer (Male) Battagram.

...RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF TITLED PETITION WHICH WAS DISMISSED FOR NON-PROSECUTION VIDE ORDER DATED 19.07.2022 BY THIS HON'BLE TRIBUNAL.

Respectfully Sheweth;

- 1. That, the captioned petition was pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- That the petitioner was neither intimated through notice nor informed telephonically about the date of hearing so the petitioner and counsel could not appear before this Hon'ble Tribunal the date fixed. So the captioned petition was dismissed in default. (Copy of order dated 19.07.2022 is annexed as Annexure "A")

- 3. That, non-appearance of applicant and his counsel before this Hon'ble Court was not deliberate but entirely due to misconception.
- 4. That, precious rights of the petitioner is involved and due to the order of dismissal, the petitioner is facing irreparable loss, as the law also demands that cases should be decided on merits.
- **5.** That, instant application is well within time.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application, the titled Petition may graciously be ordered to be restored and applicant be allowed to proceed in the matter in accordance with law.

...PETITIONER

Through Counsel:

Dated: - 12022

(SYED WAQAS NAQVI)
Advocate High Court, Abbottabad.
Contact No.0345-9550055

Syed Waqas Naqvi Advocate High Court Office 38, Ayub Tanoil Lawyer's

AFFIDAVIT:

I, Fazal Wahab S/o Rahimullah R/o Rashang, Tehsil & Allai District Battagram, *petitioner*, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on Oath that the contents of instant *application* are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

...PETITIONER

28 7 22

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBERPAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR

E. Petition No. 327/2022 E.P. No. _/2022

azal Wahab S/o Rahimullah R/o Rashang, Tehsil & Alai, District Range of

Battagram.

1

mary 146

.....PETITIONER

Versus

- 1. Govt. of KPK through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education KPK, Peshawar.
- 2. Director Elementary & Secondary Education KPK, Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer (Male) Battagram

....RESPONDENTS

PETITION UNDER SECTION 7 OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974
FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION/EXECUTION OF ORDER DATED
12/04/2018 PASSED BY THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL
IN SERVICE APPEAL NO 572/2017.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:.

1. That the petitioner was appointed as Primary School Teacher (PST) on 06-07-2008 in education department at District Battagram.

(Copy of the Appointment letter and Service book of the petitioner is annexed as Annexure "A" & "A1"

2. That after serving in the department for more than seven long years, petitioner was served with a show cause notice dated 09-08-2016 by the District Education Officer (Male) Battagram on the ground that his appointment order is fake and bogus, petitioner replied to the show cause notice dated 09-08-2016.



4

19th July 2022 1. None for the petitioner present. Mr. Khattak, District Attorney for respondents present.

- 2. On 14.06.2022 the petitioner and his counsel had noted the case, but today nobody has turned up on behalf of the petitioner, therefore, this execution petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. Consign.
- 3. Pronounced in open court in Camp Court Abbottabad and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal on this 19th day of July, 2022.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) '
Chairman
Camp Court Abbottabad

Certified to be ture copy

Khyber Lahankhwa Service aribunal. Peshawar

Seattle 1	was connection.
No the second	
.≰ po voca nima	733.22
1	
1	Wilderson Co. Co.
	TO THE STREET OF
Dan.	of Copy
Die of Deliner, of	
To of Presentation	of Application 29-7-22
Number of Words -	
Copying Fee/C	
Urgent	
Total	
Name of Copylest	
Date of Complection	
Date of Delivery of C	3py 28-7-21

وكالرهاثا

			. سروس نربید مل ایشاه	ندالت صلا
	<u> </u>		منطوطا	
			پستر.	غانب:
			روایت سربزی	

باعث تحريرآ نك

مقدمہ مندرجہ میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دہی کل کاروائی متعلقہ آل مقام مه و ما الحدى الحد كسل حمان كر علم الم في الراب ف کوکرنے راضی نامہ وتقر رثالث و فیصلہ برحلف ودینے اقبال دعویٰ اور بصورت دیگرڈ گری کرانے اجراء وصولی چیک روییہ وعرضی دعویٰ کی تصدیق اوراس پر دستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ ذکور کی کل پاکسی جزوی کاروائی کے لئے کسی اوروکیل یا مختارصا حب قانونی کوایے ہمراہ اپنی بجائے تقرر کا اختیار بھی ہوگاور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات ہوں گے اور اس کا ساخته پرداخته مجھ کومنظور وقبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ جوخر چہ وہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب ہوگا اس کے مستحق وکیل صاحب ہوں گے۔ نیز بقایار قم وصول کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اگر کوئی پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا ماہر ہوتو دکیل صاحب موصوف یا بند ہوں گے کہ پیروی مقدمہ ندکورہ کریں اورا گرمختار مقرر کر دہ میں کوئی جز وبقایا ہوتو وکیل صاحب موصوف مقدمہ کی پیروی کے یابند نہ ہوں گے۔ نیز درخواست بمراد استجارت نالش بصیغہ مفلسی کے دائر کرنے اوراس کی بیروی کا بھی صاحب موصوف کواختیار ہوگا۔ لہذاوکالت نامة تحریو کیا تا کہ سندر ہے۔

بمقام:

وقاص فوٹوسٹیٹ کچبری (ایبٹ آباد)