20th Oct, 2022

None for the petitioner present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Implementation report has not been submitted. Respondents are directed through learned AAG to submit implementation report. Last chance is given to the respondent for submission of implementation report on 26.12.2022 before S.B.

SCANNET KP31 Peshawar

> (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

SCANNED KPS I Peshawar

ourt of	 Peshawa
	B 00-10

S.No.	Date of order	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
	proceedings	
1	2	3
1	13.01.2022	The execution petition of Mst. Huree submitted today by Mr. Tasleer
		Khan Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Cour for proper order please.
		eu eu
	. ,	REGISTRAR
2-	31-5-2022	This execution petition be put up before touring Single Bench at A.Aba
	PM)	on 13-06-2022. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The respondents be issued notices to subm
	3/16/22	compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.
		CHAIRMAN
	13.06.2022	None present for petitioner.
		Notice be issued to petitioner/counsel and th
		respondents for submission of implementation report. T
		come up for implementation report on 18.08.2022 befor
		S.B at Camp Court Abbottabad.
an Kps	NED	
sha	war	
		(Fareeha Paul)
		Member (E) Camp Court A/Abad
•		

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

EPNO: 41/2022

Mst. Huree.....Petitioner

Versus

SCANNED KF: i Peshawar

EXECUTION PETITION

INDEX

S#3	Description of documents	Annexure	Page#
1.	Memo of execution petition alongwith affidavit	-	1-4
2.	Attested copy of judgment	"A"	5-7
3.	Copies of memorandum of appeal and comments of respondents	"B" & "C"	8#18
4.	Copies of the appointment order and de-novo inquiry report	"D" & "E"	19-22
5.	Wakalat Nama		22

Dated 07/01/2022

B) wini

Mst. Huree (Petitioner)

Through: -

M. TASLEEM KHAN KALOCH

Advocate High Court, District Courts, (Mansehra)

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 41 of 2022 In Service Appeal No. 1300/2019



Mst. Huree wife of Ghulam Nabi, PST, GGPS Nimraty Sosak, Tehsil Dassu, District Kohistan Upper......Petitioner

Versus

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Education Peshawar.
- 2) Director elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar.
- 3) District Education Officer (Female)
 District Kohistan Upper at Dassu
 Respondents

EXECUTION PETITION UNDER SECTION 7(2) OF **KHYBER** PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 FOR IMPLEMENTATION AND EXECUTION OF THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 30.09.2021 OF THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL PASSED APPEAL NO. IN SERVICE 1300/2019 IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

Respectfully Sheweth!

1) That, the petitioner had filed a service appeal before this Honourable Tribunal vide Service Appeal No. 1300/2019 which was allowed vide judgment and order dated 30.09.2021 and respondents were directed that appellant be reinstated and for the purpose of back benefit de-novo inquiry to be conducted in accordance with law.

(Attested copy of the judgment is annexed as Annexure "A").

2) That, the petitioner was absent from hr duty, the appellant/ petitioner was transferred GGPS Budar Shah to GGPS Nimraty on dated 02.03.2018 and petitioner got maternity leave w.e.f 04.01.2019 to 17.02.2019 which was mentioned in memorandum of appeal. Upon the above period respondents illegally and malafide declared absent the petitioner and later-on before this Honourable Service Tribunal admitted the grounds of the petitioner/appellant.

(Copy of memorandum of appeal and comments of the respondents are annexed as Annexure "B" & "C").

3) That, the appellant/petitioner submitted the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal to the

respondent No. 3, but before the conducting the free and fair inquiry to the extent of back benefit appointment order was issued on dated 10.12.2021 while partial and prejudice de-novo inquiry was conducted on 17.12.2021 which is objectionable and not according to the judgment of this Honourable Service Tribunal.

(Copies of the appointment order and de-novo inquiry report are annexed as Annexure "D" & "E").

- 4) That, the respondent/defendant No.

 3 is legally bound to obey the judgment of this Honourable Service
 Tribunal in its true sense.
- petitioner appeal was pending before this Honourable Tribunal almost two years and if the free and fair denovo inquiry not conducted for the purpose of back benefits the petitioner will face irreparable loss.
- That, the petitioner belong to the poor family and no source of income except this teaching service, whole big family face miserable condition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondent No. 3 may be directed to implement the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal and be directed to



conduct free and fair de-novo inquiry for the purpose of back benefits.

Dated 07/01/2022

(B) Hom

Mst. Huree

(Petitioner)

Through: -

M. TASLEEM KHAN KALOCH

Advocate High Court, District Courts, (Mansehra)

AFFIDAVIT

I, MST. HUREE WIFE OF GHULAM NABI, PST, GGPS NIMRATY SOSAK, TEHSIL DASSU, DISTRICT KOHISTAN UPPER DO HEREBY SOLEMNLY AFFIRM AND DECLARE ON OATH THAT THE CONTENTS OF FORE-GOING EXECUTION PETITION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF AND NOTHING HAS BEEN CONCEALED OR SUPPRESSED FROM THIS HONOURABLE COURT.

MST. HUREE (DEPONENT)

TESTED

ATESTED

Cate High Court

No vary Public

SC(1081)(HI)/4-16[ISM19/2019]Vol-1

Por Courts Mansens

D1



RE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA ŠERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHA

Appeal No. 1300/2019

Date of Institution

08.10.2019

Date of Decision

30.09.2021

Mst. Huree W/O Ghulam Nabi, PST GGPS Nimraty Sosak Tehsil Dassu District Upper Kohistan.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Education Peshawar and three others.

(Respondents)

Present.

Mr. Tasleem Khan,

Advocate

For appellant.

Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt,

Additional Advocate General.

For respondents.

MR AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN MRS. ROZINA REHMAN,

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER(J)

JUDGMENT

AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN, CHAIRMAN:- Appellant has filed the instant service appeal U/S 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the order dated 11.06.2019, whereby she was awarded major penalty of removal from service.

Brief facts of the case are that appellant was appointed as P.S.T (BPS-12). She was removed from service on 11.06.2019. Feeling aggrieved, she filed departmental appeal on 14.06.2019, which was not responded to, hence, the present service appeal. After admission of appeal for regular hearing, the respondents were put on notice. They after joining the proceedings, filed reply/comments with several objections seeking dismissal of appeal with cost.

We have heard the arguments and perused the record.

ATTESTED



The impugned order is self-speaking that on information about absence of the appellant, an Inquiry Committee was constituted comprising ADEO P&D (Male) and Sir Aman CT GMS Karang. There is no mention in the impugned order that before constitution of the Committee for inquiry into absence of the appellant, any usual notice of absence at home address or notice through publication in the newspaper was given, as per requirement, Section-9 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011. According to the factual account in memorandum of appeal, the appellant challenged the ground of her willful absence taken for her removal from service with the counter plea that the local communities of the concerned School verified that the appellant had attended the duty. Original verification report of local community as pressed into service by the appellant was annexed with the memorandum of appeal and is available on file. Accordingly, the community people who are signatory of this report had purportedly verified the opening of school and denied its closure. They further verified the performance of duty by relevant staff up to their satisfaction. It was also stated by the appellant that she was transferred from GGPS Bahadur Shah to GGPS Umra Khel on 02.03.2018. According to the charge report annexed with the memorandum of appeal, the appellant took over the charge in the said School on 03.03.02.18. According to the inquiry report annexed with comments of the respondents, it was reported against the appellant that she was posted at GGPS Umra Khel on 02.03.2018 but she did not perform her duty at the School even a single day. It is also mentioned in the inquiry report that she got maternity leave w.e.f 04.01.2019 to 17.02.2019 (45 days), although she was absent from duty. If the competent authority was in notice about her absence, it was duty of the said authority to take notice of the absence before granting maternity leave. If the competent authority had

granted the maternity leave, it was duty of the said authority to get the report

Monney Common of the Common of

from the field staff whether the appellant on expiry of maternity leave had assumed the charge or not. If it is presumed that the appellant was really absent from duty, it makes a case of contributory negligence for determination of proportional role of the concerned officers responsible to ensure attendance of the teaching staff in the School. It has already been observed that the inquiry was not conducted according to the proper procedure as provided under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011. Therefore, it has become expedient to set aside the penalty imposed upon the appellant but without her exoneration from the charge of willful absence. It is directed that the appellant be reinstated for the purpose of de-novo inquiry in accordance with law. It is further directed that the incumbent District Education Officer (Female) will conduct the inquiry including the field officers i.e. S.D.E.O and ASDEO (Female) as to their proportional negligence in checking the absence of the appellant, if any. The appeal stands disposed of in the given terms. There is: no order as to costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 30,09.2021

(ROZINA REHMAN)

CAMP COURT

Certified to be gure cops

eshawar

(AHMAD **CHAIRMAN** CAMP COURT, A/ABAD

A/ABAD Sate of Presentation of Application-

Number of Words 1

Copying Fee-

Minne of Copylest.

Date of Complection of Copy.

State of Delivery of Copy

8 Amexice B

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA. PESHAWAR

Service appeal No 300 of 2019

Service Tribunal

VERSUS

- 1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Education Peshawar.
- Director Elementary and secondary Education Peshawar.
- District Education officer (Female)
 Kohistan at Dassu.
- 4) Head Teacher Government Girls Primary School Nimraty Sosak, District Kohistan upper.

 Respondents

Filed & 1019

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KHYBER
PAKHTUN KHAWA SERVICE TEIBUNAL
ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED
OFFICE ORDER NO 2789-96 DATED
11.06.2019 ON THE OFFICE OF THE
DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (F)
KOHISTAN UPPER, WHEREBY THE
APPELLANT HAS BEEN AWARDED MAJOR
PENALTY OF THE REMOVAL FROM

ATTESTED

EXAMINER

Schice Pakatakhya

Scrvice Tribunal

Peshawar

SERVICE WITHOUT ANY INQUIRY AND INTENDING OPPORTUNITY BEING HEARD WITHOUT AND BEYOND TO THE LAW SUCH CONDUCT OF THE RESPONDENTS IS ILLEGAL, AND VOID-AB-INITIO.

PRAYER:-

On acceptance of the instant appeal the impugned order No 2789-96 dated 11.06.2019, the removal of the appellant being void and illegal, may kindly be setaside and appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with all back benefits.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. That, appellant is a civil servant in BPS-12 and is a PST Teacher appointed and having qualification of F.A and PTC.

(Copy of Education documents are annexed as annexure "A").

2. That, appellant was initially appointed as a PST, BPS-12 in GGPS Badar Shah District Kohistan on dated 01.12.2006.

(Copy of appointment order is annexed as Annexure "B").

ATTESTED

ENAMOVER Khyler Pokhtukhwi Service Tribunga

- 3. That, appellant was performing her duty with great zeal and devotion, sincerity for long time from period since 2006 in District Education Department Kohistan.
- That, the appellant join the service and resume her duty and mark her attendance in attendance register which is in a custody of respondent No 04.

(Copy of attendance register are annexed as annexure "C").

5. That, the allegation is that the appellant is absent from her duty but the local communities of the concerned school verified that appellant willfully attend their duty.

(copy of the local community verification about the open of school is annexed as annexure "D").

6. That, the appellant was transfer from GGPS Badar Shah to GGPS Nimraty on dated 02.03.2018.

(Copy of the Transfer order is annexed as Annexure "E").

7. That, on 02.03.2018, the appellant joined and attending the charge at GGPS Nimraty.

(Copy of the charge report is annexed as Annexure "F").

ATVESTED

Nothing Particulations

Service Tribunal

Perhansi

8. That, the respondent No 03 without any legal and codal justification allegedly issued impugned removal order dated 11.06.2019, vide which the appellant service were removed.

(Copy of impugned removal order is annexed as annexure "G").

order the appellant filed the Departmental appeal No 633/F No 324 on dated 14.06.2019, however the same is a pending and not communicated to the appellant.

(Copy of the departmental appeal is annexed as annexure "H").

10. That, felling aggrieved, from the impugned order with in a time period of 90 days collapse the appellant filed this service appeal on the following grounds, inter alia:-

GROUNDS:-

A) That, the impugned order of removal from service is against the law, facts and principle of natural justice.

HXAMILER Envice Postustines Service Tribunes

- B) That, the impugned order is against Article 10-A and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- C) That, the appellant was never been proceeded in accordance with the spirit of law, no inquiry whatsoever has been conducted and proceeded.
- D) That, the appellant has been condemned unheard as no opportunity of defense or personally hearing has been provide to the appellant.
- E) That, no charge sheet, statement of allegation, Show cause notices and publication in daily newspaper has been issued nor did any regular or fact finding inquiry has been conducted which is mandatory under the law before awarding major penalty.
- F) That, the appellant before 02.03.2018, was performing her duty in GGPS Badar Shah, and respondent allegation is that the appellant is absent in GGPS, Nimarty Sosak which is against the facts.
- G) That, the impugned order has been issued with retrospective effect which is against the law and void order.

Muyhde Dikhtukhwa Service Tribunal



- That, the alleged allegation of absence H) from a duty by the respondents is a totally wrong and without any fact.
- I) That, the appellant is a jobless since then and the whole family of the appellant face miserable condition.
- J) That, the appellant seeks permission to advance other ground during course of arguments.

	PRA	YER:-	

IT IS, THEREFORE, MOST HUMBLY

Prayed that on acceptance of the instant appeal the impugned order No 2789-96 dated 11.06.2019, removal of the appellant being void and illegal, may kindly be set-aside and appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with all back benefits.

Dated 04.10.2019

Mst Huree (Appellant)

Through:-

MUHAMMAD TASCÉEM KHAN KALOCH Advocate High Court

At Mansehra

Certified to be ture copy

htunkhwo

ice Tribunal.

(C) Amneaure

BEFORE THE HONRABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR CAMP COURT ABBOTTABD.

APPEAL NO. 1300/2019

Mst:HUREE..... APPELLANT

VS.

1. Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Eler tentary & Secondary Education Peshawar.

2. Director Elementary & Secondary Education KPK Feshawar

3. District Education Officer (Female) Kohistan

RESPONDENTS

Para wise comments on behalf of the respondents No 1,2&3

Respectfully Sheweth:

Para wise comments on behalf of the respondents No 1 to 3 are as under.

PRELIMINARY OBJECTION

- 1. That the appellant has no locus standi/cause of action to file instant appeal.
- 2. That the appellant is stopped to agitate the instant matter before this Honorable Tribunal.
- 3. That the appellant has not approached this Honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 4. That the appellant has filed instant appeal with malafide intention for wrongful gain and suppressing the original facts, from this Honorable Tribunal, hence the appeal is liable to be dismissed.
- 5. That the appeal is hopelessly time barred.

ATTESTED

e erinen ar



- 6. That the appellant is treated as per rules and law and policy. Therefore appellant is not entitled for any relief and hence appeal is liable to be dismissed without further proceeding.
- 7. That the instant appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 8. That appellant was found irregular in her respective duties.
- 9. That the instant appeal is against the law/service rules hence not maintainable in the eye of law and liable to be dismissed.
- 10. That the appellant has field the present appeal just to pressurize the respondents.
- 11. That the act of the respondentwithin law and rules the order dated 11-06-2019 issued after fulfillment of the codelformalities hence appeal is liable to be dismissed.

FACTUAL OBJECTION

- 1. Para No 1 is appeal relates to appellant service record hence no comments.
- 2. Para No 2 of appeal it relates to appellant service records hence no comments.
- 3. Para No 3 of the appeal relates to record, hence no comments.
- 4. Para No 4 is the appeal is relates to record, hence no comments
- 5. Para No 5 is incorrect to the extent that appellant was remain willful absent from her respective duties w.e.f 01-02-2018 till the issuance of termination order No 2789-96 dated 11-06-2019. The DCMA of Independent Monitoring Unit concern visited the school and reported time to time that the appellant was absent from her duties as well as ASDEO visit the school and found appellant absent. That on the receiving of absence report the matter was duly inquired by the District Education Officer through ADEO P&D (Male) and Sir Aman CT GMS Karang. The inquiry committee also confirmed that the appellant willfully absent from her duty w.e.f 01-02-2018 without any prior permission/leave sanctioned from competent authority and

Kh. Service ribunial PSSMBND.



Education Officer (F) has issued show cause notice to the accused official vide Endstt: No.1356 dated 02-05-2019 with the directionto submit the reply of show cause notice within fifteendays. The teacher concerned submitted her reply to the show cause notice on 15-05-2019 through SDEO concerned with doubtful dates i.e. 06-02-2019 as show cause notice was served on 02-05-2019. Reply to the show cause notice submitted by the appellant was declared as non-convincing by the competent authority and directed the appellant to attuned the office for personal hearing on 30-05-2019 vide office Endstt: No.2676-79 dated 28-05-2019 but the appellant failed to avail the opportunity of personal hearing.

Copy of the inquiry report show cause notice letter for personal hearingare annexed as Annexure "A", "B" & "C".

- 6. Para No 6 of the appeal is correct, hence no comments.
- 7. Para No 7 is correct to the extent that after the transfer appellant assumeher charge but after the charge she had failed to perform her respective duties. The DCMA of Independent Monitoring Unit reported GGPS Namraty non-functional and the appellant was remained absent each and every time.

Report of the IMU is annexed as Annexure "D

8. Para No 8 of the appeal it is submitted that appellant after the transfer from GGPS Badar Shah to GGPS Namraty, she was reported absent most of the time by DCMA of Independent Monitoring Unit and ASDEO concerned. Appellant services were not satisfactory according to rules, after having considered charges and evidence on record, report of the inquiry committee, reply of show cause notice the charges against the accused teacher have been proved and the appellant was imposed the major penalty of removal from service w.e.f 01-03-2018. Appellant was treated in accordance with law and rules.

EXAMPLE hyber Bachtukhy



- 9. Para No 9 of the appeal is incorrect appellant is not aggrieved person, further stated that appellant filed Departmental appeal to the appellate authority in which the authority/respondent No 3 has been given/submitted reply of Departmental appeal of the appellant to appellate authority, on which the appeal of the appellant was rejected by appellate authority. Respondents are not bound to obey the illegal demand of appellant. Photo copy of the rejection letter of appeal is annexed as <u>Annexure "E"</u>.
- Para No 10 of the appeal is incorrect. Appellant is not aggrieved. Appellant treated as per rules and law.

GROUNDS

- a. Para of the ground "a' is incorrect, hence denied. Appellant has been treated as per rules.
- b. Para of the ground "b" is incorrect. Appellant was remain willfully absent from her duties without prior approval of leave of sanction, the authority has passed the order as per rules and law; hence the appeal is liable to be dismissed.
- c. Para of the ground "c" is incorrect. Reply has already been given in above factual objection in detail.
- d. Para of the ground "d" is incorrect, hence denied. The appellant has treated as per rules.
- e. Para of the ground "e" is incorrect. Appellant gives the opportunity of defense but she has failed to defend herself. Letter of personal hearing is <u>annexed as Annexure "C".</u>
- f. Para of the ground "f" incorrect all the proceeding has been done by the authority as per rules and law. She remained absent each and every time during the visit of the IMU and concern ASDEO.
- g. Para of the ground "g" is incorrect, hence denied. Appellant was treated in accordance with rules and law. Case was properly preceded and gives opportunity of hearing and appellant was removed from her service after fulfillment all the codal formalities.
- h. Para of the ground "h" is incorrect, hence denied. Reply has already been given in above Paras. Appellant is leveling baseless allegation to save her skin.

EXAMINER Klybes Bakhtukhwi Service Tribusta



- Para of the ground "i" is relates to appellant personal matter and respondents are not bound to obey the appellant. Appellant was treated in accordance with rules and law. Respondent No 3 gives the opportunity for personal hearing but she was failed to availed the opportunity. Hence appeal is liable to be dismissed as score alone.
- Para of the ground "j" is incorrect; respondent will explain more factual position at the time of arguments.

It is therefore humbly prayed that in the light of foregoing comments the appeal may graciously be dismissed with cost throughout.

> District Education Officer (Female) Kohistan.

> > (Respondent No 3)

Certified Service Tribunal Peshawar

Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 2) ECRETARY Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

(Respondent No. 1)





OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER FEMALE KOHISTAN

OFFICE ORDER

In the light of the decision of honorable service tribunal Peshawar regarding reinstatement of Mst. Gul Shad for the appeal No 1310/2019 dated 24/09/2021 and re-instatement of Mst. Huree Bibi for the appeal No 1300 dated 30-09-2021, subject to the De-novo Enquiry.

Now in the consequences of the above decision of the honorable service tribunal KP Peshawar in respect of Mst. Gul Shad PST GGPS Sultan Abad Harban Huree Bibi PST GGPS Nimraty. The following officers are hereby nominated as Enquiry officers for the mentioned De- novo Enquiry with the directions to go through the service regard as well as adopted procedure. The officers may submit the report within 07 days with clear cut recommendation.

- 1. Fozia Bibi SDEO (F) Dassu
- 2. Abdul Salam ASDEO (F) Estt: Secy: Kohistan

District Education Officer (Female) Kohistan

Endstt No 417 - 31 Dated 37 / 11 /2021

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

- 1. Registrar Service Tribunal KP Peshawar.
- 2. Deputy Commissionar Kohistan Upper.
- 3. PA to Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. SDEO (F) Dassu Kohistan
- 5 Office Copy

District Education Officer
(Female) Kohist



DE-NOVO ENQUIRY REPORT IN RESPECT OF MST: HUREE NAMRTAY

In the reference of the office order no 417-21 dated 27-11-2021 consequent upon the decision/ directions of the honorable service tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa at Peshawar regarding de-novo enquiry in respect of Mst. Huree PST as under. PROCEDURE /METHODALOGY

To go through the service record

To check the performance record in duty station/ stations.

To see the legal proceedings adopted by the authority before removal.

BACK GROUND:

The teacher was initially appointed on 01-12-2006 vide Executive District Officer (S&L) Kohistan No, 8622-30 at GGPS Badar Shaha.

The teacher was transferred to different stations/schools and last station was GGPS Namrtay where she was removed.

The teacher was removed from service vide DEO (F) Kohistan No 2789-96 dated 11-06-2019 in the light of absentee report by IMU and recommendation of enquiry report submitted

The teacher filed the appeal before the honorable service tribunal of KPK at Peshawar appeal No, 1300/2019 dated 08-10-2019. And the court set-aside the impugned order No, 2789-96 on 30/09/2021 in the decision with the direction of de-novo inquiry

The Proceedings was carried out under E&D Rules, 2011 by the competent authority in the light of the recommendation of the preliminary enquiry report submitted on 24-04-2019. During the reporting period the teacher was on maternity leave for 90 days quoted in the enquiry report

The teacher transferred to GGPS Namrtay on 02-03-2018 while the DCMA of IMU reported her absent from Namrtay on 23-02-2018.

The show Cause notice mentions the absentee of the teacher in the month of March 2019 referred the absentee reported by IMU while in the removal order the competent authority quoted the absentee of the teacher from 01-02-2018 to 11-06-2019. While the maternity leave starts w.e.f. 04-01-2021 upto 04-03-2019.

The photo copy (attested by on chair teacher) of the attendance register of the school indicates no such absentee of the teacher as was reported.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The recommendations of the enquiry committee stand as under:

The service of the teacher may be made intact by restoration her permanently on her designated post with current benefits of salary.

The teacher posses no right of back benefits due to not performance any duty from 11-06-2019 up to the re instatement order issued. Therefore the intervention period may be considered as extra ordinary leave (EOL).

Enquiry officer (1)

Abdul-Salam ADEO Estab: Secy:

Enquiry officer (2) Fozia SDE D (F) Dassu



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER



OFFICE ORDER

Whereas Mst: Bibi Huree PST GGPS Nimrtay was removal from service vide DEO (Female) Kohistan 2789-96 Dated 11-06-2014 and she submitted service appeal in service Tribunal Peshawar against the taken action. The Honourable Tribunal accepted her appeal and passed decision on 30-09-2021 of re-instatement of the teacher in the light of the appeal No 1300/2019 Dated 08-10-2014, subject to only de-novo enquiry.

In the view of the above facts, Mst. Bibi Huree PST GGPS Nimrtay is hereby re-instated and adjusted in GGPS Soyal Dong only for the purpose of de-novo enquiry in the consequences of the directions of Honourable Service Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Conditions:

- 1 No TA/DA is allowed
- 2 Her re-instatement and other benefits will remained fully dependent upon the recommendation of de-novo enquiry report
- 3 Charge report should be submitted to all concerned

District Education Officer (Female)Kohistan

E/No: Estt/ Sec/ $\frac{49}{-50.3}$ DEO (F) Dated $\frac{10}{12}$ /2021 Copy of the above is forwarded to:

- 1 Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar
- 2 Registrar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar
- 3 District Account Officer Kohistan
- 4 Sub-Divisional Education Officer (Female) Dassu Kohistan
- 5 ADEO Litigation Local Office
- 6 PA to DEO(Female) Kohistan
- 7 Office copy

District Education Officer
Female Kohistan

DBAM No. 649 122	SECOND OF
S.No. 8259	
Name of Advocate Pilotopis	
Marile of Advocate	2020-21
	General Segretar
765-10/16/ 12/ Jish Jish 1000013/20	Manschre
- 013 51/2 Colo : 10. 8.8. 1.9 5/m	
Edelution petition je jetitioned &	_
باعث تحريرآ نکه مسر بری	- <u>-</u>
قدمه عنوان بالامیں اپنی طرف سے برائے پیروی وجواب دہی بمقام <u>سرمیسی میں میں کی شرب کے لئے</u> م	دري من
01986 20 20 20 06 06 July	1 to 1
ں شرائط وکیل مقرر کیا ہے کہ کیں ہر پیٹی پرخودیا بذریعہ مختار خاص رو بروعدالت حاضر ہوتا رہوں گا اور بوقت پکارے	
وکیل موصوف کواطلاع دیکر حاضر کردل گا۔ اگر کسی پیشی پرمظہر حاضر نہ ہوا اور غیر حاضری کی وجہ سے کسی طور پر مقدمہ خلاف ہوگیا تو دکیل موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دار نہ ہول گے۔ نیز وکیل موصوف صدر مقام کچبری کے علاوہ	
طلاف ہو گیا تو ویل موسوف آن نے کی سرح و مددار نہ ہوں ہے۔ بیر ویس سوسوف سندر منعا م پہرت سے ساوہ ر جگہ کچہری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یا بروز تعطیل پیروی کرنے کے مجاز نہ ہوں گے۔اگر مقدمہ کچہری کے علاوہ سے	
ر جبکہ پہر ن سے سرزہ اوقات کے آگے پیچھے ساعت ہونے پر مظہر کو کوئی نقصان پہنچے تو وکیل موصوف ذمددار سر م رجبکہ ساعت ہوا یا کیجبری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچھے ساعت ہونے پر مظہر کو کوئی نقصان پہنچے تو وکیل موصوف ذمددار سر م	
ی اور وکیل موصوف کوعرضی دعویٰ اور درخواست اجراء ڈگری ونظر تانی اپیل نگرانی دائر کرنے نیز ہرتشم کی درخواست	
کی وتصدیق کرنے اور اسپر دستخط کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اور کسی تھم یا ڈگری کے اجراء کرانے اور تسم کا روپیہ وصول	ا بان طفح
، اوررسید دینے اور داخل کرنے کا ہرتتم کا بیان دینے اور سپر د ثالثی و راضی نامہ و دستبر داری واقبال دعویٰ کا اختیار بھی ہوگا	25: 1/8
ن ایپل و برآ مدگی مقدمه یامنسوخی ذگری کیطر فد درخواست عظم امتناع یا فیصله قبل از ذگری اجرائے ذگری بھی وکیل موصوف میں بھا اعلی میں میں میں زیر در مصور اور میں میں میں میں ایسا میا کی از کس میں کیاں میران	الما الما الما الما الما الما الما الما
طادا نیگی علیحدہ محنتانہ ادا کرنے کا مجاز ہونگا ادر بصورت ضرورت بدوراں مقدمہ یا پیل ونگر انی کسی دوسرے وکیل یا بیرسٹر کو خودیا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں اور مشیر قانونی کو بھی اس امر میں وہی اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے جیسے وکیل موصوف کو م	
وو یا بچے برازہ سرار ویں اور سراہ وی وی اس مرین وی اسٹیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی بیروی ندکریں اور ایسی حالت پوری فیس تاریخ پیشی سے پہلے اوا ند کروں گا تو وکیل موصوف کو پوراا ختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی بیروی ندکریں اور ایسی	
پرون کا متاب میں مصوب کے ہر خلاف نہیں ہوگا مجھے کل ساختہ پر داختہ وکیل موصوف مثل ذات خود منظور وقبول ہوگا۔ را مطالبہ وکیل موصوف کے ہر خلاف نہیں ہوگا مجھے کل ساختہ پر داختہ وکیل موصوف مثل ذات خود منظور وقبول ہوگا۔	
لت نامه لکھ دیا ہے اور دستخط / انگوٹھا ثبت کر دیا ہے تا کہ سندر ہے۔مضمون وکالت نامہ کن لیا ہے اور اچھی طرح سمجھ لیا ہے۔	
12021 Sid 12 315	1/2
	John B
L BIN	11.01 /2
ACCEPTED	Pir
	~

MATIBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIB	SUNAL, PESHAWAR.
JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHY	YBER ROAD,
PESHAWAR.	
	TBAIA
Appeal No. 1300	~ *
MST Huree	Appellant/Petitioner
Through Sey = (ESSE)	Respondent
Respondent N	/o
Notice to: _ District Education of	Acer (Female)
DIST Kolistan UPPE	y Passu
WHEREAS an appeal/petition under the provision Province Service Tribunal Act, 1974, has been presented/the above case by the petitioner in this Court and notice has hereby informed that the said appeal/petition is fixed for *on	registered for consideration, in as been ordered to issue. You are or hearing before the Tribunal of to urge anything against the fixed, or any other day to which rised representative or by any re, therefore, required to file in a 4 copies of written statement elease also take notice that in the manner aforementioned, the gof this appeal/petition will be egistrar of any change in your nationed in this notice which the our correct address, and further
Copy of appeal is attached. Copy of appeal has alre	eady been sent to you vide this
ofi. se Notice Nodateddated	
Given under my hand and the seal of this Court, at	Peshawar this2
Day of	22
it camp Court	
Dayof 6 20 It camp Court Alphad.	Registrar
Khyhon Pok	htunkhwa Samiaa Tsibusal

Peshawar.

Note:

The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays. Always quote Case No. While making any correspont ence.

"B"

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

JUDICIAL COMPLEX (OLD), KHYBER ROAD,

PESHAWAR.

(Col 91	
No.	EPN041/22 1BA1A Appeal No. 1300 0520
	Appeal No
<i>*/</i>	N. 6. 11
f. &	1) St. H. J. J. P. E. Appellant/Petitioner
5 7	Versus
]}	Respondent No. 3
	Respondent No
Notice to: -015	DIST Koliston officer (Female) an appeal/petition under the provision of the Rhyber Pakhtunkhwa
Province Service	Tribunal Act, 1974, has been presented/registered for consideration, in
	the petitioner in this Court and notice has been ordered to issue. You are that the said appeal/petition is fixed for hearing before the Tribunal
*on	at 8.00 A.M. If you wish to urge anything against the
	ner you are at liberty to do so on the date fixed, or any other day to which costponed either in person or by authorised representative or by any
	pported by your power of Attorney. You are, therefore, required to file in
	t seven days before the date of hearing 4 copies of written statement
,	her documents upon which you rely. Please also take notice that in ppearance on the date fixed and in the manner aforementioned, the
	ill be heard and decided in your absence.
given to you by re address. If you fail address given in the	ny alteration in the date fixed for hearing of this appeal/petition will be egistered post. You should inform the Registrar of any change in your I to furnish such address your address contained in this notice which the ne appeal/petition will be deemed to be your correct address, and further his address by registered post will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of on.
Copy of app	peal is attached. Copy of appeal has already been sent to you vide this
off. re Notice No	dated
	r my hand and the seal of this Court, at Peshawar this
Day of	
eteans	Court
A	Court (Albad) Registrar,
	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal,

Peshawar.

2. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

Note:

^{1.} The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays.