Petitioner alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl: AG alongwith Mr. Behramand, Assistant Director for respondents present.

On the previous date respondents stated at bar that the implementation under execution is in process and compliance report will be submitted on the next date but today implementation report has not been submitted. This Tribunal has no other alternative but to take action against respondents. The Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and District Accounts Officer, Hairpur are directed to attach salary of the respondents are directed to appear in person alongwith the implementation report on 26.12.2022 before S.B.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman Appellant present in person. DEO (Male) Abbottabad present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional AG for respondents present.

14.06.2022

Despite the fact that Director Elementary & Secondary Education was summoned to be present in person, he sent Haseen Ullah, Assistant to attend the hearing.

Representative of the department submitted an application seeking time as they have initiated the case and have forwarded working paper for promotion of the Petitioner to the Director E&SE Peshawar. Last opportunity is granted. To come up for implementation report on 18.08.2022 before S.B at Camp Court Abbottabad.

(Fareeha Paul) Member (E) Camp Court A/Abad

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Appeal No. 6608 /2021

Abdul Hameed S/O Muhammad Khan PSHT GPS Gheba Haripur R/O Village Gheba, Tehsil and District Haripur.....

(Appellant)

Versus

Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Peshawar & Others.....

(Respondents)

APPLICATION FOR THE SUBMISSION OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

- 1. That the above titled case is pending before the Honorable Service Tribunal.
- 2. That the case is related to the promotion of the petitioner/appellant from PSHT BPS-15 to SST BPS -16 which is the competency of Worthy Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
 - 3. That the applicant/respondent has prepared the working papers for the promotion of the appellant and sent to Worthy Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar through registered post on 10-06-2022 (Copy of working papers and registry receipt are attached as A& B)
 - 4. That the applicant/respondent has implemented the judgment of the Honorable Service Tribunal to the extent of his power.

Therefore it is requested to accept the implementation of the applicant/respondent and the petition of the petitioner/appellant may very kindly be decided accordingly please.

istrict Education Officer (M) Haripur.

<u>Affidavit:</u>

Solemnly affirmed and declared that the contents of the application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Defendant District Education Officer (M) Haripur

هر ۱			· · · ·	e en gra d'an an an			
3							
					· ·		
-		:.					
			A Contraction of Management	un in the second se	and the second		
1			and the second		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1 · · ·
71				·			
	TOWN ASTO	OFFICE OF	_		ON OFFICE	R(M)	
<i>√/</i> & [™]	WEE	OFFICE OF	THE DISTRIC	TEDUCAL			William Reality
A A	Ť	OFFICEOF	<u>H</u>	ARIPUR			
			Ph No.0995	-920150,920151			
KP	,eseo	25	Emil:deoma	lehrp@gmail.com			
an an a china. An an	n na series Egymernen an S Charles an ar Sarjagaige agus	NO			Dated:	: 10 / 06 /	2022
No	o-' 1	5 /SA 660)8/2021 Abdul H	ameed		5 	
~~~	···· ··· ··· ··· ···						
Тe	<b>).</b>						
· · .		Director					
- -		E&SE Khyber Pa	khtunkhwa		• •		
		Peshawar.	• • • • • •				
6	.1 .	IMPLEMENTA	TIAN OF HID	MENT PASS	ED BY HONG	ORABLE	
Su	ibject:	IMPLEMENTA SERVICE TRI	TION OF JUDY	FOUTION PE	TITION NO.	the second s	<u>N SA</u>
		6608/2021 TIT	LED ABDUL	HAMEED	VS GOVT:	<u>OF ŘHY</u>	BER
		PAKHTUNKH		IIIIIII			
		IANITONNI	<u>.</u>				
М	emo;						
		With reference	to the Execution	Petition No. 3	32/2022 in Se	rvice Aprea	I No.
66(	08/2021	and Khyber Pakhtu	nkhwa Service	Tribunal letter	No. 933-34	dated 25-04	2022
rec	eived o	n 01-06-2022.					
		It is stated that w	orking papers fo	r the case of pro	omotion from I	PSHT (BPS-1	[5] to
SS	T (G) (	BPS-16) in r/o Abdul	Hameed PSHT G	PS Gheba are h	ereby prepared	l and being s	ent to
you	ur good	office which are attac	ned herewith for	further necessar	y action/impler	mentation ple	ease.
	2				•		
E		i. Working Papers. (01		•		,	
	i	i. Seniority List. (13	pages)			Sd-	
					District Educ	cation Officer	
						aripur	
Ex	ven No.	& date:				aripin	
				·			
Ce	opy forv	warded to the:-					तः दूर्वे इ.स.
	. I. R	egistrar Khyber Pakhtuk	hwa Service Tribu	nal Peshawar.		· · ·	
		DEO (M) Haripur.	· ·				
	3. A	bdul Hameed PSHT GP	S Gheba Haripur.			. <i>K</i>	
		ffice Record.				MANA	-
	., -				1	All and a second	1.1.1.2.
		· .				ation Officer	(M)
					H	aripur	
2						Y	
		<u>.</u> *					
						Ň	
				· "·			
							مريقت معيون
		-				2	
							4 7
ò							يەر مەرى يۇرى
					. '		
l		•					

# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (M) HARIPUR

# Promotion of PSHT Male to the post of SST (G) (BPS-16) on Regular Basis

The case of Promotion of PSHT Male to SST -G (BPS-16) was considered in light of direction conveyed Judgment passed on . No 32/2022 Anneal No 6608/2021

by KPK Service Tribunal Peshawar Petition No.52/2022 Appe			1110.0000.2021				23	
Total No. of Vacant post of SST (G)					·			06
<u> </u>	25	% Initial Recruitement (	Quota					03
<u> </u>		75% Promotion Quota	a					
	20% P	SHT Promotion Quota t	to SST (G)				· · ·	0
	Alre	ady PromotedPSHT to S	SST (G)	·			<u> </u>	
				AND IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF		A codemic A		
S#	Sr. No	Name of Official	Name of School 3	Date of Birth	Date of Apptt	Date of Apptt: as Regular PST	Professional Qualification	Remarks a second
新報			GPS Gheba	12/Mar/67	16/Feb/88	29/Мау/94	M.A (PTC) B.Ed	He is junior in seniority, however in the light of judgment of Service Tribunal in SA No. 6608/2021 passed on 20-04-2022 he is included in promotion zone.

#### Certificate:

1. All the PHST (Male) have been included in the pannel for promotion to SST (G) post.

2. All the PSHT (Male) hold the post on regular basis and none of them is on Adhoc/acting charge/contract basis.

3. All the PSHT (Male) have completed the required minimum length of qualifying service and qualification as required forpromotion to SST(G) under the rules.

4. None of them is on deputation to any organization under the Federal/Provincial/Autonomous/International Organization.

5. Neither any disciplinary/ departmental proceeding/anti -corruption/judical inquiry is pending against them, nor has been

penalty been imposed upon any one of them during the last 05 years.

6. None of them is on long leave /Ex-Pakistan Leave.

7. Their ACRs synopsis is free of adverse remarks.

8. They are aloive & serving.

9. Their appointment order against PSHT post is attached herewith.

10. The Seniority list of PSHT officials is final, undisputed and not subjudious.

11. The Departmental Promotion committee is requetsed to determine the suitability of the above named PSHT for Promotion with

immediate effect.

Superintendent

O/O DEO (M) Haripur

$\sim$		
<b>D</b> istrict	Education Officer (M)	
4	Haripur	
4		

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

02.02.2022

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG Haseenullah, Asstt. and Saleh Mushtaq, ADEO for the respondents present.

The representative of the respondent No. 2 has brought and produced the copy of summary moved for implementation of the judgment. Recommendation has been made for conditional implementation of the judgment vide para-5 of the said summary and the same has been submitted for approval competent authority i.e. the respondent No. 2. The copy of summary is placed on file. To come up for progress report on 21.02.2022 before S.B.

21.02.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 20.04.2022 for the same as before.

20th April, 2022

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Learned AAG requests for further time to implement the judgment and submit implementation report. Last opportunity is granted. Respondents No. 2 and 3 be summoned to attend the Tribunal personally alongwith implementation report on 14.06.2022 before S.B at Camp Court, Abbottabad.

Chairman

Reader

EP 32/2022

31.01.2022

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG alongwith Muhammad Saleh Mushtaq, ADEO and Basirullah, Librarian, for the respondents present.

Learned AAG seeks further time to implement the judgment conditionally. Learned AAG is required to take the respondents on board to implement the judgment dated 09.11.2021 in the light of order dated 13.01.2022 and submit compliance report positively on 01.02.2022 before S.B.

01.02.2022

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG alongwith Muhammad Saleh Mushtaq, ADEO and Tahseenullah, Asstt. for the respondents present.

Learned AAG seeks further time. Learned AAG is required to take the respondents on board to implement the judgment at credit of the petitioner in the light of order dated 13.01.2022 and submit compliance report positively on 02.02.2022 before S.B.

han

26.01.2022

~

Counsel for the petitioner present and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG alongwith Muhammad Saleh Mushtaq, ADEO and Basirullah, Librarian for the respondents present.

The copy of letter dated 20.01.2022 alongwith order dated 13.01.2022 has been handed over to Mr. Basirullah, Librarian in attendance on behalf of respondent No. 2 with the direction to submit the same in the relevant section of the office for further necessary action in view of the order dated 13.01.2022 To come up for implementation report on 31.01.2022 before S.B.

LODSA!

heiore:

مين زون مير زور ز je în

MAN

2

20.01.2022

Petitioner in person and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Learned AAG seeks short adjournment to contact the respondents and submit implementation report as per directions given on 3.01.2022. Request accorded. Case to come up for implementation report on 21.01.2022 before S.B.



21.01.2022

Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG Mr. Muhammad Saleh Mushtaq, ADEO for the respondents present.

Learned AAG again seeks short adjournment in order to contact the concerned respondents to implement the judgment in light of directions given on 03.01.2022 and submit compliance report on next date. Request accorded. Case to come up for implementation report on 2**6**.01.2022 before S.B.

Chairman

* Execution Petition No.32/2022

13.01.2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner present.

The petitioner through this Execution Petition has brought the judgment of this Tribunal for execution which was passed in his favor on 09.11.2021, in service appeal No. 6608/2021. The findings in the judgment were followed by the operative part as copied below:-

"In view of the above discussion, the instant appeal is accepted. Consequently, the respondents are directed to actualize the recommendation of appellant's promotion from the post of PSHT to SST with effect from 11.11.2019 with all back benefits."

The petitioner has submitted that the judgment is still in field and has not been suspended or set aside by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. Therefore, the respondents are legally bound to pass formal reinstatement order and he prayed for implementation of the judgment at his credit in letter and spirit.

Needles to say that the respondents are at liberty to challenge the judgment at credit of the petitioner before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, if so advised; however, filing of the petition against the judgment before august Supreme Court of Pakistan does not absolve the respondents from their obligation from implementation of the judgment of this Tribunal in letter and spirit unless the same is suspended by a specific order of the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. If the respondents are not in possession of any such order, they are supposed to implement the judgment at credit of the petitioner but with liberty to get an affidavit from him for return/restoration of the benefits, if the judgment of this Tribunal at his credit is set aside by the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. Copy of Execution Petition alongwith copy of this order be sent to Respondent No. 3 for implementation report on or before the date fixed. Notice of Execution Petition be given to other respondents.

To come up for implementation report on 20.01.2022 before S.B.


# Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

32/2022 Execution Petition No._ Order or other proceedings with signature of judge S.No. Date of order proceedings 2 3 1 ÷ The execution petition of Mr. Abdul Hameed submitted today 11.01.2022 1 by Syed Noman Ali Bukhari Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for propervorder please. REGISTRAR U -This execution petition be put up before S. Bench at Peshawar 2on 13.01.2022

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE-TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. <u>32</u> /2022



#### In Service Appeal 6608/2021

Abdul Hameed S^W/o Muhammad Khan PHST, GPS Gheba Haripur R/o Village Gheba Tehsil and District Haripur. (APPELLANT)

### VERSUS

- (1) Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary E&SE KPK Peshawar.
- (2) Director E&SE KPK Peshawar.

•(3) District Education Officer (Male) Haripur.

(4) Departmental Promotion Committee through its Chairman Director E&SE.

## (RESPONDENTS)

EXECUTION	PETITION	FOR	DIR	ECTING	THE
RESPONDENT	S TO	IM	PLEN	<u>AENT</u>	THE
JUDGMENT	DATED	09.11	.2021	OF	THIS
HONORABLE	TRIBUNA	<u>L</u>	<u>N L</u>	ETTER	AND
<u>SPIRIT.</u>					

#### **Respectfully Sheweth:**

- 1. That the appellant filed an appeal bearing No.6608/2021 against the DPC recommendation held on 11.11.2019.
- 2. That the said appeal was finally heard by the Honorable Tribunal on 09/11/2021 which was accepted and the respondent are directed to actualise the recommendation of appellant promotion from the post of PSHT to SST with effect from 11/11/2019 with all back benefit. (Copy of the Judgment as Annexure-A).

- 3. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the department after passing the judgment of this august Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
- 4. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the respondents are legally bound to pass formal appropriate order.
- 5. That the appellant has having no other remedy except to file this execution petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may be directed to implement the judgment dated 09.11.2021 of this august Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favour of petitioner.

Petitioner

Abdul Hameed

Through:

Syed Noman Ali Bukhari Advocate, High Court Peshawar

### **AFFIDAVIT:**

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of the execution petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from Hon'able Tribunal.

Deponent



6

# BEFORE THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

# SERVICE APPEAL NO: 6608 /202

Service Tribunal Diary No. 657


**spellant** 

Abdul Hameed S/o Muhammad Khan PHST GPS Gheba Haripur R/o Village Gheba Tehsil & District Haripur. akhtunkh

#### Versus

- 1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Fementary
  - Secondary Education, KPK, Peshawar.
- Director Elementary & Secondary Education, KPK, Peshawar.
- ✓ 3. District Education Officer (Male) Haripur.
  - 4. Departmental Promotion Committee through its Chairman, Divelove EESE.

...Respondents



APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT'S REVISED IMPUGNED THE 1974. AGAINST SENIORITY LIST DATED 31.08.2019 AND FOR THE TO THE EFFECT THAT THE DECLARATION APPELLANT IS ENTITLED FOR THE PROMOTION TO THE POST OF SST, IN THE LIGHT OF DPC MEETING AND RECOMMENDATION HELD ON 11.11.2019 AND RESPONDENTS MAY ALSO BE DIRECTED TO PREPARE SENIORITY LIST OF PSTS STRICTLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 8 OF CIVIL SERVANT ACT, 1973 AND RULE-17 OF APT RULES 1989 READ WITH THE KP-EMPLOYEES REGULARIZATION OF SERVICE ACT 2018 AS GUIDANCE ISSUED VIDE LETTER NO. 29015-70/F.NO, KHAN DATED PESHAWAR THE APPEAL DI 0.807.2020. AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITH IN PERIOD GIVEN BY THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT PESHAWAR

ATTESTED Service khwg (ibuna) Pester Wite

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 6608/2021

Date of Institution...25.06.2021Date of Decision...09.11.2021

Abdul Hameed S/O Muhammad Khan P.S.H.T G.P.S Gheba Haripur R/O Village Gheba Tehsil & District Haripur.

(Appellant)

# VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and three others.

(Respondents)

For appellant.

Syed Noman Ali Bukhari, Advocate

Kabir Ullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General

For respondents.

Ahmad Sultan Tareen Rozina Rehman

1. 1.2.

Chairman Member (J)

# JUDGMENT

Rozina Rehman, Member(J): Brief facts of the case are that appellant was appointed as P.T.C against the vacant post at Masjid Primary School Kalanwan Tehsil Haripur, District Abbottabad vide order dated 15.02,1988. He got the certificate of P.T.C in 1994 and the entries regarding P.T.C certificate were properly entered and recorded in his service. Book: His service was regularized vide Notification dated 30.03.2009 and two advance increments were also awarded to the appellant. He was promoted to B.P.S-15 as P.S.H.T. The S.D.E.O (Male) issued general seniority list as stood on 31.08.2019 and the appellant was placed at Serial No.237. In the light of seniority list, his case for promotion from BS-15 to BS-16 was duly. considered and recommended by the D.P.C held on 11.11.2019. The respondents were reluctant to issue promotion order which act made the appellant aggrieved. He, therefore, filed departmental appeal which was not responded to. He then filed writ petition and vide order dated 29.09.2020, the respondents were directed to consider the departmental appeal and to decide the same within a period of one month after giving an opportunity to the appellant but without giving opportunity, his appeal was rejected, hence, the present service appeal.

2. We have heard Noman Ali Bukhari Advocate learned counsel for appellant and Kabir Ullah Khattak, learned Additional, Advocate General for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.

3. Noman Ali Bukhari Advocate, learned counsel for appellant submitted that the appellant was not promoted despite the fact that the departmental Promotion Committee properly recommended appellant for promotion to the post of S.S.T which clearly shows malafide on the part of respondents. He submitted that the impugned seniority list is against the norms of service law and principles of natural justice as the Department failed to take into consideration the settled principles governing seniority/promotion and lastly, he submitted that valuable rights of the appellant were affected by the respondents by not granting him his due promotion from the date of his actual entitlement.

4. Conversely, learned A.A.G submitted that appellant was regularly ATTESTED appointed on 29.05.1994 and that as per Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil

Feeling

Servants (Appointment, Promotion & Transfer) Rules, 1989, the seniority of civil servant would be counted from the date of regular appointment. He submitted that his service was regularized and he was rightly placed in the seniority list as per Section-4(2) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees (Regularization of Services) Act, 2009. Lastly, he submitted that his name was placed at Serial No.167 which was not objected to by the appellant, therefore, he is not entitled for promotion due to low position in the seniority list and that his name was dropped from promotion papers by the office of respondents because his name had been entered in the working paper of D.P.C beyond the right position in the seniority.

From the record it is evident that appellant is holder of higher 5. degrees as M.A, M.Ed who was initially appointed as P.T.C on 15.02.1988. His services were regularized later on. This is not denied that SDEO (Male) office issued general seniority list of P.S.H.T (BS-15) as stood on 31.08.2019 and the appellant was placed at Serial No.237. On the strength of this seniority list, working paper for Departmental Promotion Committee for the promotion of P.S.H.T to S.S.T (Male) (BS-16) was prepared and placed before the duly constituted DPC with footnotes including that the seniority list was final. Working paper clearly shows name of the present appellant at Serial No.6 and he was recommended for promotion by D.P.C among other four persons/teachers out of six while two namely Muhammad Ikram (at Serial No.1) and Sagheer Ahmad (at Serial No.4) were not recommended. The validity of working paper bearing signatures of the DPC members though rebuttable but remained unrebutted in absence of any cogent plea on behalf of respondents. Rather they in their factual reply to para 04 of the Memo of appeal affirmed the

TESTED

shaway

from this post to PSHT (BPS-15). The holders of PSHT post who possess the prescribed higher qualification in general and professional education are promoted to the post of SST (BPS-16) in respective category having regard to their higher qualification, on the basis of seniority list of the incumbents of PSHT post. The plea taken by the respondent department in their comments about change of seniority position of appellant on PSHT post is quite shallow when his length of service was not questioned for his promotion to the post of PSHT at the time of his promotion to the said post. The appellant having been considered for promotion as PSHT on the same length of service cannot be taken aback by curtailing his length of service for promotion to SST post for which the length of service is not the part of criteria; and he otherwise was eligible for promotion in view of his particulars given in the Working Paper taken into account for recommendation of his promotion to SST post noted acted upon by. the respondents.

6. Before preference of present appeal, the appellant filed writ petition in the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench challenging the non-action of respondents on recommendation of DPC and being not proper forum, he was shown the way for this Tribunal. He reached in the Tribunal with his appeal on 25-06-2021 before recasting of seniority list as stood on 30-06-2021 when the matter of promotion of appellant was subjudice. So, the respondents' plea against the already recommended promotion is not workable unless they could show a tangible order of the competent authority i.e. Respondent No.3 meant to set aside the recommendation of DPC with valid reasons. We afforded the respondents with reasonable opportunity by adjournment of hearings with direction to their representative for production of such order, if any, to demonstrate as to why after holding of DPC followed by its recommendations, appointment of appellant/recommendee through promotion was withheld by the competent authority. Certainly, they could not be able to produce such order despite the given opportunity. In this background of the case, it has become inevitable to hold that the appellant is entitled for promotion on the basis of recommendation of DPC held on 11.11.2019 and inaction of the respondents towards implementation of such recommendation is unwarranted.

6. In view of the above discussion, the instant appeal is accepted. Consequently, the respondents are directed to actualise the recommendation of appellant's promotion from the post of PSHT to SST with effect from 11-11-2019 with all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own cost. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED. 09.11.2021

(Ahma tan Chairman

Cortified to be ture copy TER Puchtunshwo Tvice Tribunal

	e of Presentatio	n of Applicat	ion 33/1	124
Non	nber of Mards.		· · ·	
Cop	ving Fee	26/		
Urg	ent	2/		
Tet	al3t			
	me of Copylest		27/12	121
Da	te of Complecti	on of Copy	SIT	1 il internet
Re c	te of Delivery o	of Copy2	31124	1

(Rozina Rehman)

Member (J)

6

VAKALAT NAMA NO. /20 IN THE COURT OF 100 Niebin exvice Abdul rtormeed (Appellant) (Petitioner) (Plaintiff) VERSUS sica-(Respondent) (Defendant) I/We, 799

Do hereby appoint and constitute **SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI Advocate High Court Peshawar,** to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/Counsel on my/our costs.

I/We authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case at any stage of the proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against me/us.

Dated 11-1 /2023

(CLIENT)

ACCEPTED

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI Advocate High Court Peshawar.



2

## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (M)

#### HARIPUR

Ph. No. 0995-920150, 920151 Emil:deomalehrp@gmail.com



No. 867

/SA 6608/2021/A.Hameed

Dated 2.0 / 01/2022

To,

Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

# Subject:- OBTAINING OF INJUNCTION FROM AUGUST SUPREME COURT OR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGMENT

Memo:

- It is submitted that:-
- The Service Appeal No. 6608/2021 titled Abdul Hameed VS Govt of KPK etc has been decided against the department vide judgment dated 09-11-2021. (Copies of appeal and judgment are attached as annexure A&B)
- 2. The working papers for CPLA had been prepared and sent to your good office to filing to appeal in August Supreme Court against the above mentioned judgment. (Copy of working papers is attached as annexure C)
- 3. The appellant has filed execution petition before Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar in which the date is fixed for 20-01-2022 and provided Court Affidavit for promotion. (Copy of Execution Petition and Original Court affidavit is attached as annexure D&E)
- 4. The injunction on execution petition may be obtained or the judgment may very kindly be implemented.

Therefore it is requested to approach the law department for obtaining of stay order or the judgment may be implemented as your good self deems fit please.

Encls: 17 pages

74 1

#### Even No. & date:

Copy forwarded for information to the:-

- 1. Registrar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar.
- 2. Office Copy.



Тο

# OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (M)

#### HARIPUR



Ph. No. 0995-920150, 920151 Emil:deomalehrp@gmail.com

No. 867

SA 6608/2021/A.Hameed

Dated 2.9 / 01/2022

Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

Subject:- OBTAINING OF INJUNCTION FROM AUGUST SUPREME COURT OR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGMENT

Memo:

It is submitted that:-

- 1. The Service Appeal No. 6608/2021 titled Abdul Hameed VS Govt of KPK etc has been decided against the department vide judgment dated 09-11-2021. (Copies of appeal and judgment are attached as annexure A&B)
- 2. The working papers for CPLA had been prepared and sent to your good office to filing to appeal in August Supreme Court against the above mentioned judgment. (Copy of working papers is attached as annexure C)
- 3. The appellant has filed execution petition before Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar in which the date is fixed for 20-01-2022 and provided Court Affidavit for promotion. (Copy of Execution Petition and Original Court affidavit is attached as annexure D&E)
- 4. The injunction on execution petition may be obtained or the judgment may very kindly be implemented.

Therefore it is requested to approach the law department for obtaining of stay order or the judgment may be implemented as your good self deems fit please.

Encls: 17 pages

District Education Officer (M)

#### Even No. & date:

Copy forwarded for information to the:-

- 1. Registrar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar.
- 2. Office Copy.

K153413 100 plin. م.» برای مرمی ورز از مرابع مرا لعید الا ملم در می نشاشین شری تور می بید بن سلود بر ملادا به ملم کامی برای سرمس تر بود بن در برون با باتا مجود ۵۵ معدر بدی من تر مان بر مرز آن ایمان مر ا میلم بردش خطرف طانط تا به از من نظر مطرف المكالي فالم فالم المومي الموسي (1), in filling 10-01-16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 16 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 18-01-2022 and it 18.01.2022

NO

ذالق اداستامب فرونر منهري مالك η. VERIFIED Care イイ ( ) / l 1320-05270281 11220-0527028-1 18-1-1-81

# Subject: CONDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT DATED 09-11-2021 PASSED BY HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

## HISTORY OF THE CASE

Abdul Hameed PSHT G.P.S Gheba Haripur has filed Service Appeal No.6608/2021 under case titled Abdul Hameed PSHT Vs Govt; of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar, seeking for promotion PSHT to the post of SST BPS-16 with effect from 11-11-2019 with all back benefits.

The appeal of the appellant was allowed vide Judgment dated 09-11-2021, whereby the Respondent Department was directed vide operative part of the judgment which is re-produced as under:-

2.

3.

4.

5.

"Certainly, they could not be able to produce such order despite the given opportunity. In this background of the case. It has become inevitable to hold that the appellant is entitled for promotion on the basis of recommendation of DPC held on 11-11-2019 and inaction of the respondent toward implementation of such recommendation is unwarranted. In view of the above discussion, the instant appeal is accepted. Consequently, the respondent are directed to actualize the recommendation of appellant's promotion from the post of PSHT to SST with effect from 11-11-2019 with all back benefit."

The appellant then filed in Execution Petition No.32/2022 before the Service Tribunal for the implementation of the Judgment dated 09-11-2021, wherein, an order dated 13-01-2022 has been passed by the Tribunal for strict compliance & submission of implementation report before the Honorable Court with direction to the Respondent Department as under:

"In view of the above discussion, the instant appeal is accepted. Consequently, the respondent are directed to actualize the recommendation of appellant's promotion from the post of PSHT to SST with effect from 11-11-2019 with all back benefit."

In continuation of the Order dated 20-01-2022, a subsequent Order dated 21-01-2021 has been passed by the Honorable Court, whereby the Respondent Department has been once again directed for compliance of court orders with regard to the implementation of the said Judgment, failing which may result in the shape of adverse action against the Worthy Director & Secretary E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

Therefore, it is suggested that the case in hand may be referred to the Deputy Director (Estab-I/M) local Directorate for the implementation of the Courts order dated 03-01-2022 (which have been reproduced in Para-3/N) conditionally till the outcome of pending CPLA before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan, so that to avoid consequences of non-compliance in the shape adverse action against the Respondents i.e. Worthy Secretary & Director E&SE Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar if agreed Sir.

-2-22



01702


Deputy Director (Legal):

Parov-05101 may please be approved Sio.

. .

V. Driector 707 0





All communications should be addressed to the Registrar KPK Service Tribunal and not any official by name.

Ph:- 091-9212281 Fax:- 091-9213262

То

1. The Director E&SE,

- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- District Education Officer Male, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Haripur.

Subject:

ORDER IN EXECTION PETITION NO. 32/2022 MR. ABDUL HAMEED.

l am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of order dated 20.04.2022 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for compliance please.

χų,

Encl: As above

REGISTRAR, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR