



Form- A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Case No. 1091/2014

S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	29/08/2014	<p>The appeal of Mr. Mehboob Ali resubmitted today by Mr. Ijaz Anwar Advocate may be entered in the Institution register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for preliminary hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>
2	3-9-2014	<p>This case is entrusted to Primary Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on <u>20-11-2014</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p>

3.

Reader Note:

20.11.2014

Clerk of counsel for the appellant present. Since the Tribunal is incomplete, therefore, case is adjourned to 29.12.2014 for the same.


Reader


4.

Reader Note:

29.12.2014

Clerk of counsel for the appellant present. Since the Tribunal is incomplete, therefore, case is adjourned 11.03.2015 for the same.


Reader



11.03.2015

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant was serving as Stenographer when served with show cause notice dated 01.07.2011 contained allegation for corruption and vide impugned order dated 11.07.2011 the appellant was compulsorily retired from service without any enquiry and only on the strength of show cause notice. That the appellant preferred departmental appeal against the impugned order which was rejected on 04.07.2014 and communicated to the appellant on 19.07.2014 where-after the instant service appeal was preferred on 18.08.2014.

*Appellant deposited
Process fee & Security*

That the impugned order and findings of the respondents are violative of law and rules governing the subject as the allegations of corruption were required to be probed through a departmental enquiry in the prescribed manners.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 24.06.2015 before S.B.


Chairman

24.06.2015

Agent of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Samiullah, Judicial Assistant alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Requested for adjournment. To come up for written reply/comments on 1.9.2015 before S.B.


Chairman

01.09.2015

Agent of counsel for the appellant, M/S Samiullah, Judicial Assistant and Ayaz Muhammad, Computer Operator alongwith Assistant A.G for respondents present. Written reply by respondent No. 1 submitted while request for adjournment made on behalf of respondent No.2. To come up for written reply/comments on behalf of respondent No. 2 on 10.11.2015 before S.B.


Chairman

10.11.2015

Appellant in person and Mr. Muhammad Ayaz, Assistant alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Written reply by respondent No. 2 also submitted. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 25.4.2016.


Chairman

25.04.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Adeel But, GP for official respondents present The learned Judicial Members is on official tour to D.I. Khan, therefore, case is adjourned to 16.8.2016 before D.B.


Chairman



16.08.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, GP for respondents present. During the course of arguments, learned GP stated that per recent decision of the august Supreme Court of Pakistan reported in 2016 SCMR 1206, the Service Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain appeal of the Employees of the District Judiciary. Hence it would be appropriate to put up this case

alongwith other appeals on the same point to the Worky Chairman for further orders. *as similar nature cases are already pending before the learned*

Chairman
Member

[Signature]
Member

29.09.2016

Clerk of counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG for the respondents present. Seeks adjournment. Adjourned for final hearing before the larger bench on 14.12.2016.

[Signature]
(Pir Bakht Shah)
Member

[Signature]
(Abdul Latif)
Member

[Signature]
(Muhammad Azim Khan Afridi)
Chairman

[Signature]
(Muhammad Aamir Nazir)
Member

10.07.2018

Neither appellant nor his counsel present. Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney for respondents present. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 21.08.2018 before D.B.


(Ahmad Hassan)
Member


(Muhammad Hamid Mughal)
Member

21-8-2018

Due to Eid-ul-Azha vacation
the case is adjourned to
17-10-2018


Reader

17.10.2018

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Riaz Ahmed Painsdakhel learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 30.11.2018 before D.B.


Member


Member


1091/2014

30.11.2018

Nemo for appellant. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Painsa Khel,
Asstt. A.G for the respondents present.

The record shows that adjournment in the matter was sought many times by/on behalf of appellant while on at least two occasions i.e. on 14.12.2017 and 10.08.2018 the appellant remained unrepresented. It is now 2.30 P.M and the case has been called several times.

Dismissed for non-prosecution. File be consigned to the record room.



Member



Chairman


Announced:
30.11.2018

17.12.2021

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present. Arguments on restoration application heard and record perused.


Vide our order of today passed in restoration application bearing No. 05/2019 titled "Mehboob Ali Versus The Honorable Administrative Judge through Registrar Peshawar High Court Peshawar & another", the appeal in hand stands restored on its original number. To come up for arguments before the D.B on 28.03.2022.



(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)
MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)


(SALAH-UD-DIN)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

28-3-2022

Proper DB not available the case is adjourned to come up for the same as before on 4-7-2022


Reader




04.07.2022

Appellant in person present. Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Appellant submitted rejoinder, copy of which handed over to learned Assistant Advocate General. Appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that his counsel is out of station. Adjourned. Last opportunity given. To come up for arguments on 31.08.2022 before the D.B.

As the appeal in hand lingering on since the year 2014, therefore, appellant shall make sure presence of his counsel on the next date positively.



(Mian Muhammad)
Member (E)




(Salah-ud-Din)
Member (J)

31.08.2022

Bench is incomplete, therefore, case is adjourned to 23.09.2022 for the same as before.




Reader



23.09.2022

Appellant alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant sought time for further preparation of arguments. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 23.11.2022 before the D.B.



(Mian Muhammad)
Member (Executive)



(Salah-Ud-Din)
Member (Judicial)

23rd Nov. 2022

Appellant in person present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. Advocate General for the respondents present.

Appellant seeks adjournment due to engagement of his learned counsel in Honourable Peshawar High Court. Last opportunity granted. To come up for arguments on 11.01.2023 before the D.B.



(Fareeha Paul)
Member(E)



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman