Form-A FORM OF ORDER SHEET Court of 法条本 709/2022 **Execution Petition No.** 17 2 .--· Order or other proceedings with signature of judge S.No. Date of order proceedings 195 3 2 1 1 The execution petition of Mr. Ehtisham Nawaz 02.12.2022 1 submitted today by Mr. Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before touring Single Bench at A.Abad on \_ Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The respondents be issued notices to submit compliance/implementation report on the date fixed. By the order of Chairman REGISTRAR

### **BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, FABAD BENCH** ABBO 2022 . NO.709 F. A/2022 CM No. Service Appeal No.12097/2020

Ehtisham Nawaz son of Muhammad Nawaz, resident of House No.1455, Mohallah Khota Kehal, Tehsil & District Abbottabad.

... PETITIONER

# /ERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & Others.

... RESPONDENTS

# APPLICATION

## INDEX

<b>S.</b> #	Description	Page No.	Annexure
1.	Implementation Application	1 to 4	• .
2.	Copy of Service Appeal	5-12	"A"
3.	Copy of judgment dated 23/09/2022 of this Honourable Tribunal	13-16	"B"
4.	Copy of application of appellant	17	"C"
5.	Wakalatnama	18	·

APPLICANT /PEŤITIONER

/2022 Dated:

Through

shad Khan Tanoli) (Mu mad cate Supreme Court of Pakistan Adv Abbottabad

# BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, ABBOTTABAD BENCH

1

CM No. \_\_\_\_\_-A/2022 IN

Service Appeal No.12097/2020

Ehtisham Nawaz son of Muhammad Nawaz, resident of House No.1455, Mohallah Khota Kehal, Tehsil & District Abbottabad.

...PETITIONER

### VERSUS

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Inspector General of Prison Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Additional Inspector General Prison Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Superintendent Circle (Eastern) Headquarter Prison, Haripur.

...RESPONDENTS

# SERVICE APPEAL

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT DATED 23/09/2022 IN SERVICE APPEAL NO.2097-A/2022 FOR REINSTATEMENT IN SERVICE OF THE PETITIONE/APPELLANT.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

That the facts forming the backgrounds of the instant application are arrayed as under;-

That respondents' department illegally removed the appellant from service on 24.08.2020 which was challenged by the petitioner before this Honourable tribunal through service Appeal No.12097/2020. Copy of Service Appeal is annexed as Annexure "A".

That, this Honourable Tribunal accepted the service appeal of the appellant and set-aside impugned order of major penalty and converted the major penalty into minor penalty of stoppage of one annual increment of one year vide judgment dated 23/09/2022. Copy of judgment dated 23/09/2022 of this Honourable Tribunal is annexed as Annexure "B".

3. That the appellant handed over the judgment dated 23.09.2022 to respondent No.3 vide application dated 05.11.2022. Copy of application of appellant is annexed as Annexure "C".

2

1.

2.

3

4.

That, respondents' department did not implement judgment dated 23.09.2022 of this Honourable Tribunal which amounts to the contempt of this Honourable Tribunal.

In view of above, it is prayed that respondents may be directed to re-instate the petitioner/appellant, failing which, contempt of court proceedings may be initiated to punished them.

...APPLICANT /PETITIONER

Through

Dated: \_\_\_\_/2022

(Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli) Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan Abbottabad

# BEFORE THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, ABBOTTABAD BENCH

CM No. \_\_\_\_\_-A/2022

Service Appeal No.12097/2020

Ehtisham Nawaz son of Muhammad Nawaz, resident of House No.1455, Mohallah Khota Kehal, Tehsil & District Abbottabad.

... PETITIONER

## VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & Others.

... RESPONDENTS

# **APPLICATION**

# **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Ehtisham Nawaz son of Muhammad Nawaz, resident of House No.1455, Mohallah Khota Kehal, Tehsil & District Abbottabad, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of foregoing application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein from this Honourable Court.

DEPONENT

Annex \_ A 1

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Appeal No.

/2020

Ehtisham Nawaz son of Muhammad Nawaz, resident of House No. 1455 Mohallah Khola Kehal Tehsil and District Abbottabad.

...APPELLANT

## VERSUS

- 1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Inspector General of Prison KPK, Peshawar.
- 3. Additional Inspector General Prison KPK, Peshawar.
- 4. Superintendent Circle (Eastern) Headquarter Prison Haripur.

... RESPONDENTS

# SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF

KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.

Allester

**PRAYER:** ON ACCEPTANCE OF THE SERVICE APPEAL, IMPUGNED INSTANT REMOVAL FROM SERVICE ORDER NO. 2549 DATED 24/08/2020 AND REJECTION ORDER NO. 2858/WE DATED 15/09/2020 MAY GRACIOUSLY TO BE SET ASIDE AND RESPONDENTS MAY BE DIRECTED TO RE-

INSTATE THE APPELLANT IN SERVICE WITH EFFECT FROM THE DATE OF REMOVAL FROM SERVICE WITH ALL SERVICE BACK BENEFITS. ANY OTHER RELIEF WHICH THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL DEEM APPROPRIATE IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE MAY ALSO BE ALLOWED.

2

Respectfully Sheweth;-

1.

The facts, giving rise to the instant service appeal are arrayed as under;-

Huek

That the appellant got appointment in the respondent prison as warder, vide appointment order dated 22/01/2015. Copy of appointment order is attached as Annexure "A".

 That the appellant served the department with complete devotion, dedication and left no stone unturned in the smooth functioning of the prison. .

3.

4.

5.

That the appellant was suffering from kidney disease due to sever infection, as a result, the appellant filed application for leave as the appellant was physically unable to serve the prison due to kidney pain. The competent authority did not sanction leave of appellant, therefore. The appellant after verbally informing the concerned officials went to the hospital for his treatment. Copy of discharged certificate of the hospital is attached as Annexure "B".

Atticle

That the appellant resumed duty on 09/04/2020 and submitted his medical description chits for grant of medical leave.

That as per revised leave rule 1981, competent authority was supposed to forward the bill to the next higher medical authority to see as to whether the medical treatment/ prescription chits are genuine or otherwise. If this procedure is not adopted then no authority can refuse medical leave. That the respondent department without considering the medical certificate, declared the applicant absent from service w.e.f 24/03/2020 to 29/03/2020.

H-8

- 7. That the respondent department conducted a so called inquiry against the appellant regarding his absence from duties. Copy of inquiry report is attached as Annexure "C".
- 8. That the appellant has been removed from service vide impugned removal from service order No. 2549 dated 24/02/2020. Copy of impugned removal from service order is attached as Annexure "D".
- 9. That the appellant filled departmental appeal against the impugned order dated 24/08/2020 which has also been rejected by the appellate authority vide impugned rejection No. 2855/WE dated 15/09/2020. Copy of impugned rejection letter is attached as Annexure "E". Hence, the instant service appeal is filled, inter-alia on the following grounds.

Atta fort

4

6.

# <u>GROUNDS;-</u>

That the impugned removal from service order of the appellant has been issued without observing legal formalies i.e. without charge sheet and statement of allegations.

That the competent authority only

issued show cause notice which was

properly replied by the appellant, but

the competent authority did not

consider the reply to the show cause

notice of the appellant and issued

removal from service order No. 2549

dated 24/02/2020, which is illegal,

against the law and based on

conjectures, hypothesis and malafide.

b.

c.

a.

Attiell

That the period of absence from 24/03/2020 to 29/03/2020 has been converted into into leave with out pay. Therefore, the appellant caused no loss to the public exchequer. The

5

punishment of removal from service is harsh and pungent.

0

d.

6

That it is settled principle of law that the no employee can be removed from service due to his absence. It is further submitted that the appellant was admitted in Hospital and he cannot be marked absent from duty.

e.

That appeal of the appellant is within the period of limitation. The matter in relates the issue to terms and conditions of service. Hence the Honourable Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain the instant service appeal.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant service appeal, impugned removal from service order No. 2549 dated 24/08/2020 and rejection order No. 2858/WE dated 15/09/2020 may graciously to be set aside and respondents may be directed to re-instate the

Atteill

appellant in service with effect from the date of removal from service with all service back benefits. Any other relief which this Honourable tribunal deem appropriate in the circumstances of the case may also be allowed.

...APPELLANT

1

Through

7

14/10 12020 Dated:

# (Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli) Advocate High Court, Abbottabad

## VERIFICATION;-

Verified on oath that the contents of foregoing appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal  $Q_{1}$ 

Atteelel

LANT

# BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Appeal No. \_\_\_\_/2020

Ehtisham Nawaz son of Muhammad Nawaz, resident of House No. 1455 Mohallah Khola Kehal Tehsil and District Abbottabad.

...APPELLANT

## VERSUS

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & others.

... RESPONDENTS

## SERVICE APPEAL

## AFFIDAVIT

I, Ehtisham Nawaz son of Muhammad Nawaz, resident of House No. 1455 Mohallah Khola Kehal Tehsil and District Abbottabad, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of forgoing appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein

from this Honourable Court. h/(1 - 1)



DEPONENT

### KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR CAMP COURT ATBBOTTABAD

Annex-Bp

Service Appeal No. 12097/2020

BEFORE:

## MR. KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ... CHAIRMAN MISS. FAREEHA PAUL ... MEMBER(E)

Ehtisham Nawaz S/o Muhammad Nawaz, R/o House No. 1455, Mohallah Khola Kehal, Tehsil & District Abbottabad.

!... (Appellant)

... (Respondents)

For appellant

For respondents

### Versus

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home & Tribal

Affairs, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2. Inspector General of Prison Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3. Additional Inspector General Prison Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4. Superintendent Circle (Eastern) Headqarter Prison, Haripur.

Mr. Muhammad Arshad Khan Fanoli -Advocate

Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak Additional Advocate General

#### JUDGEMENT

FAREEHA PAUL, MEMBER (E): The appeal in hand is instituted under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act 1974 against the impugned order dated 24.08.2020 whereby appellant was removed from service and order dated 15.09.2020, whereby his departmental appeal was rejected. The appellant has prayed that on acceptance of his service appeal, both the impugned orders might be set aside and respondents might be directed to reinstate him in

TRETER

service from the date he was removed with all back benefits and any other relief this tribunal deems appropriate.

1/1

Brief facts of the case, as given in the memorandum of appeal, are that 2. appellant was appointed as prison warder vide order dated 22.01.2015. He was suffering from kidney disease and hence filed an application for leave as he was unable to serve due to kidney pain. The competent authority did not sanction the leave and therefore the appellant after verbally informing the concerned officials went to the hospital for his treatment. He resumed his duty on 09.04.2020 and submitted his medical descriptions for grant of medical leave. As per revised leave Rules 1981, competent authority was supposed to forward the bill to the next higher medical authority to ascertain whether the medical treatment and prescription documents were genuine or otherwise, but it was not done. The respondent department without considering his medical certificate, declared the appellant absent from duty w.c.f 24.03.2020 to 29.03.2020. An inquiry was conducted and he was removed from service vide impugned order dated 24.08.2020 . His absence period from 09.06.2020 to 13.07.2020 was considered as extra ordinary leave without pay. He filed departmental appeal which was rejected by the appellate authority vide impugned order dated 15.09.2020; hence this service appeal.

3. Respondents were put on notice who submitted written replies/ comments on the appeal. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the learned Additional Advocate General and perused the case file with connected documents in detail.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant presented the case and argued that the impugned removal order was issued without observing legal formalities of issuing the charge sheet and statement of allegations. He further argued that the competent authority only issued a show cause notice which was properly responded by the

appellant but it was not considered and he was removed from service. According to him the appellant was under treatment and admitted in hospital and hence could not be marked absent from duty. He requested for setting aside the impugned orders and reinstating the appellant from the date of his removal from service with all back benefits.

5. The learned Additional Advocate General contended that the appellant absented himself from duty several times without permission of competent authority. The informed that in the present case he had not applied for medical leave. On the question of inquiry he argued that a charge sheet and statement of allegations was issued and a proper inquiry was conducted. The appellant was involved in the inquiry proceedings and when he could not provide satisfactory response, he was removed from service.

6. After hearing the arguments and going through the record presented before us, it is clear that the appellant got ill and remained under treatment at DHQ Hospital Abbottabad. Record presented before us further indicates that he was admitted in the Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Feaching Hospital, Abbottabad on 27.03.2020. In the light of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Prison Rules 2018, he was bound to give notice to the Superintendent of Jail about the reason of his absence but no such notice or application is available on the record. The statement of allegations attached with the reply of respondents indicates that the appellant deserted himself from duty w.e.f 09.06.2020 till 13.07.2020, which comes to thirty three days. There is a "muafi-nama" (written apology) from the appellant also which is available with the reply in which he has requested for a chance to mend his ways. Instead of giving a thought to it, the competent authority not only imposed major punishment of removal from service but treated the absence period as leave without pay.



In the light of above discussion, we feel that the punishment of removal 7. from service was too harsh on the appellant keeping in view the fact that he remained under treatment in hospital for his kidney disease. The appeal is, therefore, allowed and the impugned order of major penalty is set aside, with the direction to the respondents to convert the major penalty to minor penalty of stoppage of one annual increment for one year and the absence period to be treated as leave of the kind due. Parties are left to bear their own costs. Consign.

Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal 8. of the Tribunal on this 23rd day of September, 2022.

(KALIM ARSHAD KHAN) Chairman Camp Court Abbottabad

(FARÉEHA PAUL)

Member (E) Camp Court Abbottabad

Service Trin 0. wy Peshasuar  $h_{i,j}$ State of 305

Basta wat botati

Serriy,

 $b_{CT}$ 

Q.50

Hnnex-C - iling - C Ne we we we we "And and and and مرفوان مراجع الى مرم معنى بالم المنام الالد مرم المرفوان ما الى منها بدرست مرمى المراب كوت السب ما رفس 2022 - 9-22 2 Apropris aules . Climbio كذارف عمر الم جنا مع منا مع منا مع منا الم من المروار و فو un culin - china ا یہ جار ایک لیے اس کی جناح کر ای کر کر میں ایک کر کر ایک کر کر ایک کر کر ایک کر ک i find the prover such 10- 10 for 15. 10. 200,00 اسے محالی میں میں تی ج Charles 33 - Ca يستجمر فحك مرفعكم - de a his we we we have the we we will a set wind ومان ملی امیرونی کے کابند 03.15-559332.9 Aul Maria 5/11/2022

Ż ور المراجع ~ 6 ~ 69 The SowieTribunal KPK Pesharow Retox لعدالر Govtotkp 3 others por Ftisham Nawaz عثوان: Appleant منجاسية Implementation نوعيت مقدمه: باعث تحريراً نكه مقدمه مندرجه میں اپنی طرف سے داسط پیروی و جواب دبی کل کاروائی متعلقہ آل مقام MASShad Khan Tanel: Atv (2 Atv) کود کیل مقرر کر کے اقر ارکرتا ہوں کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کا روائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف كوكر في راضي نامه وتقرر دثالث وفيصله برحلف وديني اقبال دعوى اوربصورت ديكر دحري کرانے اجراء وصولی چیک رویب وعرضی دعویٰ کی تصدیق اوراس پرد شخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کی کل پاکسی جزوی کاروائی کے لئے کسی اوروکیل پامختارصا حب قانونی کواپنے ہمراہ اپنی بحائے تقر رکا اختبار بھی ہوگا ورصاحب مقرر شدہ کوبھی وہی اور ویسے بی اختیارات ہوں گے اور اس کا ساخنہ برداختہ مجھکومنظور دقبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ جوخر چہ وہر جانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب ہوگا اس کے مستحق وکیل صاحب ہوں گے۔ نیز بقایار قم وصول کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اگرکوئی پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہویا حد ہے باہر ہوتو وکیل صاحب موضوف یا بند ہوں گے کہ پیروی مقدمہ مذکورہ کریں اورا گرمختار مقرر کر دہ میں کوئی جز وبقایا ہوتو وکیل صاحب موصوف مقدمہ کی پیروی کے یا بند نہ ہوں گے۔ نیز درخواست بمراد استحارت ناکش بصیغہ مفلسی کے دائر کرنے اور اس کی پیروی کا بھی صاحب موصوف کواختیار ہوگا۔ لهذاد كالت نامة تحريركيا تاكة سندرب-المرقوم: NIL the am