Service Appeal No. 15906/2020



<u>ORDER</u> 25.07.2022 Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused. Vide our detailed judgment of today, placed on file of Service Appeal bearing No. 15901/2020 titled "Naeem Khan Versus District Police Officer, Nowshera and three others", the appeal in hand is allowed by setting-aside the impugned orders and the appellant is reinstated in service with all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own cost. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 25.07.2022

(KALIM ARSHAD KHAN) **CHAIRMAN**

(SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

21.06.2021

 $* \uparrow$

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. AG for the respondents present.

Respondents have not submitted reply/comments. Learned AAG seeks further time to contact the respondents. Learned AAG is required to contact the respondents for submission of reply/comments in office within 10 days, positively. If the written reply/comments are not submitted within the stipulated time, the office shall submit the file with a report of non-compliance. File to come up for arguments on 08.11.2021 before the D.B.

08.11.2021

Clerk of counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

Written reply/comments on behalf of respondents have been submitted through office, which is placed on file.

Clerk of counsel for the appellant stated that learned counsel for the appellant is unable to attend the Tribunal today due to strike of Lawyers. Adjourned To come up for arguments before the D.B. on 09.02.2022.

(ATIO-UR-REHMAN WAZIR)

ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

man

(SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

9-2-2022 Due to retirement of the Honoble Chairman the case is adjourned to come up for the same as before on 31-05-2022

01.02.2021

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the impugned order dated 02.10.2020 and contended that the major penalty was awarded to the appellant on the basis of an enquiry/report dated 23.09.2020. He also referred in the context to the enquiry report wherein the contents were almost verbatim to the cases of other co-accused. In his view, the enquiry conducted as such was devoid of substance while no efforts for recording the statement of witnesses, who witnessed the occurrence, were made. The findings contained in the report did not mention the source of collection of information regarding the event. Learned counsel added that the other procedure mandatory for proceedings against the appellant was not adopted as per applicable rules.

In view of the available record and arguments of learned counsel, instant appeal is admitted to regular hearing subject to all just exceptions. Appellant is required to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments on 28.04.2021 before S.B.

Chairman

28.04.2021

Appellant Deposited

enrity & Process Fee

Due to demise of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is non-functional, therefore, case is adjourned to 21.06.2021 for the same as before.

Reader

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

proceedings 3 1 2 3 1- 17/12/2020 The appeal of Mr. Amir Khan resubmitted today by Mr. Muhammar Arif Jan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please. Mathematical Arif Jan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.		Case No	15406 /2020
1-17/12/2020The appeal of Mr. Amir Khan resubmitted today by Mr. Muhammar Arif Jan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.2- M M 2- M M	S.No.		Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
Arif Jan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please. REGISTRAR This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on $\underline{ol/or/2}$	1	· 2	3
This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on $\underline{Ollor/r}$	1-	17/12/2020	Soond
	2-		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be pu up there on $0/0/2$
			· ·
		ļ. Ļ	· · · ·

The appeal of Mr. Amir Khan Ex-Constable no. 394 District Police Nowshera received today i.e. on 14.12.2020 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

Copy of enquiry report against the appellant mentioned in para-6 of the memo of appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

No. 4053 /S.T. Dt. 15/12 /2020

REGISTRA SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

PESHAWAR.

Mr. Muhammad Arif Jan Adv. Pesh.

K/Sir, Re-submitted that one and the same inquiry is conducted against dis appellat 07 officials, hence Annea. E' attached may gracusty be Considered as inquiry report for in appellent. 17/12/2020

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE

TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.____/2020

Amir Khan Ex-Constable.....Appellant

VERSUS

DPO and othersRespondents

S.No.	Description of documents.	Annexure	Dated
1.	Service Appeal		1-8
2.	Stavapplication with amidavit	-	
3.	Addresses of parties	, ,	9
4.	Copy of Mad report No-17	Α	10
5.	Copy of Mad Report No-28	В	//
6.	Copies of FIR's	C & D	12-13
7.	Copy of inquiry report dated	E	., _
	10-09-2020		14-15
8.	Copy of reply to show cause	·F	16-17
9.	Copies of final show cause	G & H	
	notice and its reply		18-19
10.	Copy of order dated 2-10-2020	I .	20
11.	Copies of memo of appeal and	J&K	2, 2
	order dated 20-11-2020	、 ·	21-13
12.	Wakalatnama		26

INDEX

Dated: 09-12-2020

Appellant Through Muhammad Arif Jan

Advocate High Court

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE

TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

5406 Service Appeal No

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal Diary No. 16442

Amir Khan Ex-Constable No-394 District Police Nowshera presently at S/o Ajmal Khan R/o Aza khel Bala District, Nowshera.

.....Appellant

VERSUS

1) District Police Officer, Nowshera.

2) Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

- 3) Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4) Assistant Superintendent of Police (Inquiry officer) circle Cantt, Nowshera.

.....Respondents



SERVICE APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 02-10-2020 PASSED BY **RESPONDENT NO-1, AGAINST WHICH** THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL PREFERRED AND THE SAME WAS TOO **REJECTED BY THE RESPONDENT NO-2** MAINTAINING THE DISMISSAL ORDER OF THE APPELLANT.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

- That the appellant was appointed as Constable in the Police Department and had served the department with his full devotion & determination with unblemished record of throughout his service.
- 2) That initially vide daily dairy No-17 dated 10-09-2020, the Lady Constable Safia of District Police, Nowshera along with her sister namely Neelam reported the matter to SHO (brief mentioned therein) for implementation of the order/judgment of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Peshawar in addition with her personal security.(Copy of Mad Report dated 10-09-2020 is attached as ANNEX-A).
- 3) That the appellant while posted in Police Station Akbar Pura, Nowshera was allegedly been involved for his negligence and misconduct on account of an scuffle/firing took place between one Nazar Muhammad (Police Constable of Peshawar) with Lady Constable Safia of District Police, Nowshera where resultantly the latter expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained injured.
- 4) That the then SHO falsely reported the matter in shape of Daily Dairy No-28 of the same date to Muhharar of the Police Station (brief mentioned therein) regarding the occurrence took place by way of concealing the real facts just to save his skin and held responsible the innocent lower scale

Police constables including the appellant for all. (Copy of Mad Report No-28 dated 10-09-2020 is attached as ANNEX-B).

Ç

- 5) That resultantly FIR No-278 dated 10-09-2020 was registered against the appellant including some other officials by the then SHO and on the same date another FIR No-276 was also registered regarding the occurrence took place where after the appellant was allowed his post arrest bail on 14-09-2020 by the concerned learned Judicial Magistrate (MOD). (Copies of both FIR's are attached as ANNEX-C & D respectively).
- 6) That respondent No-1 started disciplinary proceedings against the appellant and appointed respondent No-4 as inquiry Officer who without going to the real facts and circumstance of the case submitted his one sided inquiry report in a hasty manner just to show his efficiency to high ups on 23-09-2020 .(Copy of inquiry report dated 10-09-2020 is attached as ANNEX-E).
- 7) That the appellant was issued with a show cause notice who submitted his reply on 17-09-2020 by negating the allegations leveled against him and also brought the real facts on the surface. (Copy of reply dated 17-09-2020 is attached as ANNEX-F).
- That final show cause notice was also issued on 23-09-2020 which was too replied by negating the allegations on 28-09-2020. (Copies of final show

cause notice and reply are attached as ANNEX-G & H respectively).

- 9) That respondent No-1 issued the impugned order of dismissal from service vide letter/order dated 02-10-2020 against the appellant. (Copy of letter/order dated 02-10-2020 is attached as ANNEX-I).
- 10) That the appellant filed departmental appeal against the impugned letter/order dated 12-10-2020 before respondent No-2 which was rejected on 20-11-2020. (Copies of memo of appeal and order dated 20-11-2020 are attached as ANNEX-J & K respectively).
- 11) That appellant now approaches this Hon'ble Tribunal on the following grounds:-

GROUNDS

Α.

C

Because the act, commission and omission of the respondents by way of depriving the appellant from his vested right of his service and further the orders dated 02-10-2020 and 20-11-2020 passed by respondents No-1 & 2 respectively (hereinafter impugned) are patently illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority, against the law, rules and regulation governing the subject matter, thus the impugned orders are liable to be set-aside and the appellant may kindly be re-instated in his service with all back benefits.

B. Because, it is worth mentioning here that, neither the then SHO assigned the duties to appellant along with others officials nor deployed properly despite the facts of early information's and held responsible the appellant being of his subordinate and lower scale employees consequently the impugned order passed which is against the norms of justice.

C

E.

C. Because the inquiry officer (Respondent No-4) badly failed to collect/gathered the real facts into the guilt of the appellant. Neither the appellant fled away from the scene of occurrence nor did made any misconduct/negligence but in fact the appellant was present along with the then SHO, it is worth mentioning here that, in fact the SHO fled away by securing himself.

D. Because no suspension order has been issued against the appellant moreover the respondents also badly failed to considered the written reply of the appellant moreover the respondent No-4 could not bother the to record statements of inhabitants/eye witnesses of the occurrence, hence the whole proceedings initiated against the appellant based on mala-fide which is against the law rules and regulations governing the subject matter.

Because the mala-fide of the respondents in even established from the so called inquiry wherein the then SHO was held responsible for his misconduct but he has been sphere without any reason and justification or the reason best known to the respondents.

<

F. Because the appellant is innocent and had served the department with unblemished record throughout of his service and there is/was no any inquiry or complaint pending, hence the impugned order is nothing, but just to deprive the appellant from his service and service benefits etc.

G. Because the appellant is jobless and the only bread winner of his whole family.

- H. Because the impugned order passed without adopting proper criteria and codal requirements by the respondents which is against the ruling of the Hon'ble Superior Courts of Pakistan and therefore, the same is illegal practice and such practice adversely effects efficiency of incumbents and also reduces their confidence and faith in public, hence the impugned order referred above is liable to be set aside.
- Because the impugned order has been passed without applying proper law and rules over the subject.
- J. Because the Respondents erroneously exercised their powers against judicial principle, and have passed the impugned order and opened a new Pandora box in clear violation of Service law, hence, the said impugned order is liable to be set aside, and the appellant may kindly be allowed to

keep and continue his duties by re-instating him with all service benefits.

C

- K. Because it is highly condemnable that the respondents are adopting the policy of "MIGHT IS RIGHT", which is evident from the impugned order passed against the appellant, thus the act, commission and omission of the respondents is in violation of law and rules, hence invites consideration of this hon'ble Tribunal.
- L. Because only show cause notice has been issued to the appellant moreover no statement of allegation, no charge sheet and even no opportunity of personal hearing etc been provided to the appellant.
- M. That any other ground which has not been specifically mentioned will be agitated at the time of arguments with kind permission of this hon'ble Tribunal.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that, on acceptance of the instant appeal, this Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to;

 Declare the impugned order dated 02-10-2020 & 20-11-2020 passed by respondents No-1 & 2 respectively as illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority and void ab-initio and to be set-aside.

- ii. Direct the respondents to re-instate the appellant into his service with all back benefits.
- iii. Any other relief may also kindly be granted in the circumstances of the appellant's

case.

1

Appellant ۲hrough ۲

> Muhammad Arif Jan Advocate High Court

AFFIDAVIT

I, Amir Khan Ex-Constable No-394 District Police Nowshera presently at S/o Ajmal Khan R/o Aza khel Bala District, Nowshera (Appellant), do hereby affirm and declare on oath that the contents of accompanying Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble court.

11-12

Deponent



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE

TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.____/2020

Amir Khan Ex-Constable......Appellant

VERSUS

DPO and othersRespondents

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

APPELLANT

Ć

Amir Khan Ex-Constable No-394 District Police Nowshera presently at S/o Ajmal Khan R/o Aza khel Bala District, Nowshera.

RESPONDENTS

- 1. District Police Officer, Nowshera.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Mardan.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Assistant Superintendent of Police (Inquiry officer) circle Cantt, Nowshera.

Appellant Through Muhammad Arif Jan

Advocate High Court

Anne كظام المرلوره نظر 1 روزنا هم <u>مو</u>ها ور11 والسى عد البصران Sto البرلوده ومت 12:40 مورض 6 1 دو عصر دواتلی بعمرانیال دفت، کوام وربال دعد از گشت علاقتر سے والیس تیا دفتر فساعو جود مسماة أيلم فريد وحماليافت عى مسماة منظيناذ حضر فريدا تذسالنا على شاهموجود خصح جنبه والخ عد الت الب ليشاور حطافی کورٹ ی رف بیشن کنیز -2011 محالی کے لات جناب جنسی دو الاحین خان بیشن کر خصاصر موجوف خد ڈی جس کی لاکیا ہے کہ «سما ٥ فر ليره ٤ نيرادر الناخ هوز من علي 112 مور 0, ما 20 11 جرا 43 22.324 مر تحاد البرلوره عي عرمان استخطارى يداور مسماة سلم رياساما ف . بمال بن دوسر ن مما الرصنية ل درنا وابني ج اسي سلبودي ف جاول تالروزاوره البناسافال دامعاظت بهان سے دوسر حصر شفت درس ليس (+ حلم عد الات حماني الوري ليشاو را وزي طارق 19:4 كام ان 1784 م معساني (2002 عامد 1944 ، وعبد 24 عادف 1040 كامران 1494 در مترور منه ال لسوارى سرطارى يك اب بخريل در اليور روان مطال اذان مسمان منام فراير واقص، برملى شاه يوتانيون-عنادحان لغا بطالحة صل mm psAP 10-09-020 ATTESTED

Anner-B مقاد البرلوره لقلور - بوزناع - 0 ور28 واليسى النسيلين عبد المعير حال فالح وعت ٥٥، ٦٦، ٤ عور حر ٥٥ مس م المورث الطالم ور بالا بعد اذ < إم عليت ال عليه والرسى ريا الحوالدور دورناك_ WP 3271-P 116151 حب الحكم ليشاور حطانيكور ٢٠٦ الاعلن عد الت حاف الحدث ليشاور بنا المع المواللي تعريكو ساوان جولاي حوان متلك فري المراللي قريكو ساوان جولاي حوان مان ارس فريرالتر معكمة علىشا، ليرسد الأسلم وحنفي فازعوف والمنب الأسى الله my and Com من اللار منصف سالنان الس ند مراجع ، لَسْبَهَ وَجود عَقْمَ وَ لَبِي فَتَرَكَره دَالَا فَلَرُوانَ ٤ لَوَلَ مستورات النتشاد بنيآ در فك ترض من ما الدرمان خ أيف إين سل ارد مسلى وجم الله الم المجر الأل الحاري 459 ظامران 1789، سعيد 100، عامر 394، نيمبر 276، خامران 118، علمره 118، المارين 118، علمره 118، علم 118، علم 118، ع م البين البين علم هوذ كر ارد في طام المرتدر فرسيخ هن ملك فرعوض ليرالديد Jen, 1789 Ulrold و مردار فارد وخبارت وارمان دالات س موقع ما فالله و المحلة بتوت دراشموسی فلاند ال خ ت الجنبری 30-16 ایستاور در مرور مسماه سط ور ا رښ قبر خطور کنشن لصيد ت تحصى بغرار بدين ماة محيمة ا رجو الاسل الالس ار حجر ولو لا موقع لمر على بوتر سماة معين از موقع برجال الحق نتروى جس برترانيه فو لاك ورجبت حماء ما 12 مرد من من م اجرم 224 - 20 مح ما المر لوره حرب كام مر المول. فلزمان لمروقو محودان فراری من SHU ح فلرمان کی ارمداری عل میں کرتے کوالے خائر تلکی جو تل مرد کی من SHU کے فلر مان کی کو تل مرد کی موجد کی مار ارد کے جو کر مار فلرمان فراريبوخ مين شامياب يتوخ جملهما الات واحطات المنمران والاشداؤلس سیل فرن او الشی هیں اربی جالد عس ار ASD سرغل طیب ص الم الحد جان ماحب بم حلفرى لوليس RRF لوليس لاش لو شجو سموقع ليراً لرملز مال بن كرفتارى ليك جوالي في كُنيكي دور ال جمالي ذي ها ماز حان بالا ايف معانات مس عدم موجر باغ من جنب وران حالم تلاسم مازم زر حد تحديث الكسى سي الكصر - فلا ستلوف خيرى ما 195 - NE 5176 فيكس ميكرين الم مواعد طارية س 162 اور (رآمر ارتد بروت فرد عقر عد الولي في من الرية عس المرور وروار 177 مورف وم مراجر ISAA اعتان الدركيو ون حرب اجستركدا ليا الدى دودات من فالح ح طلزمان لير25 راوند ما الركف - علم مالات واقع ات سی اندان لولیس اللطاران في عفلت الزرق اور طارس طامس لا وروا يمي و مسيد و عائم الم اور الم عنيمي النساف جنان فالح شوق المنا بمضلاف علم جالا والفات لوليس ليحد ف محلا الاد غالو فاطاروا فا كمن اغلور على مرتب مرتب الم لوليس ليخلاف سعم بالألو ارسال للركى لجودت سرطن في محل ملالة المل محل ملالة الم Sinch . P10-09-2020 ATTER

بین خیر بختر نواد قادم نیز ۲۷ این الماری ال المادانی الملاری الملاری الماری ال

nmlæ

روائى جوتنيش بمتعلق كالمح الراطلاع درج كرفي من توقف مواموتو ديد ند بروانکی کی تاریخ و وقت وحراب فا مرفقة محرفه معدم معدم مدم ما ندائى اطلاع ينيحدرج 100 star 749 0158 . 276 , 29 ale 179 11 . Selv 94 . ale 001 . 2 will have WPN02271. P/2020 10 100 entrapalle - These مال بها قد ولرمان محالة مقدم علم عبر محمار مرام م المله النون محرف الملاحظ ما الما المراب الما المرابع من على لا مقال المرابع المرابع الما الما المرابع المحالية المرابع المحالية المرابع الم 132/0/64 colo Anon on a Malor الموما مفتول جنفيرا فرجتران فرمد الا مساليان عليها و مرانيل ولان فلرزمان لولس بالا ما محمد مراجع مرط محق مول حل مازمان الدلى عفات ا مرادى ولوالى في مرود دى كامطاهر د مرمال حمد المالي حماك المرمان لولس الكران مقار عرال

as apply and stoll and a prophy and all and al

10/9/12020 10/9/12020

unes-D يكتر جزل بوليس خيبر يختو بخواه فارم مبرساي فارم نمبر ۲۴-۵(ابتدائي اطلاعي ريورك ز فائيل ابتدانى اطلاع نسبت جرم قامل دست اندازى بوكيس ربودث شده زيرد فعد ١٩٢ مجموعه ضالط فوجدارى Ulip 16207-0899423 تاريخ <u>فرقحه</u> تاريخ ووقت ريورب تام وسكونت أطلاع ديمندهسن 13130915344 مركيفيت جرم (معددفعه) حال اكر كجطليا كميا بو. 324/148, ، جائے وقوعہ فاصلہ تھا نہ سے اور سمت Ul com in alienteres figure بام دسکونت مکرم کاروائی جو منتیش کے متعلق کی تن اگراطلاح درج کرنے میں تو قف ہوا ہوتو وجہ بیان کرو 1:0, Ter Ciser And Br S dever تحانه ب روانگی کی تاریخ دونت is Sut ارد موصل في الم محاف سم هان المراج المرج الم المرج الم ابتدائي اطلاع ينحے درج Unal my site and the first and the first بعار ومروف المحرفي العش ازان وقاما مسمير ارعبر 26 سال 3, ومرعسا ومام ومديد por purior and and the to the source of the service and and the 30 بجزاص ترين المحارف في مع من عد من عرام منظر ماد عد الت حاف مردف ما میں تحریری وحلام ول is the super Suble warph in the Alt S1860 3 فراكا يرافقون فلاسل فوج شرنا معلى مجرولون بخ 630 n Juli 250 5000 ين المعن مقالها فرون الاست فرومان مرسل ساسمان ارتبار Pille BUD CONTROL Allen Installing aiges chies and will and a J. المرانين محد في مارى لا مح ماع ما عام الدين الاسم والراد حل البواني سى خانىرىكى: مشر محلى فاسراك من مستر معاة معدما ز الماخ مرفع مرطافق مو المعاس دوالون الكون اور دانس ها م برال مر شريد زمن مول وقوم هذا وها نام وهم دول الموجود مع وهذا وملزمان طلا لمساقة سالتر شر ومانام بالاسم مس مشر المساقة بال والإغادالين فرون كامروان وتدومالالمان دمواري وتروال كروالي والمرالي وال مع المسر المار لوران الراج الالمور بمعلم ما ما ورسم تبارع المركوران عزد الموالما المان ال من معمر المعاد مامون معاد معد ما ، وطعلات عرف وتعريب ميرو و) معما و سار در ما مع مرد وسي في معرف الم معرف الم الموسية وعلن المعالي مرافي في الماني الماني الماني الماني الماني الماني الماني الما مع المراجع المحالي الموسية وعلن المعالي مرافي في الماني الماني الماني الماني الماني الماني الماني الماني ال 10% The BAR OF SPRE JOUST HUI NTTE

ENQUIRY REPORT FC MUHAMMAD NAEEM NO.276 PS AKBARPURA. ALLEGATION: Amv 394

Annes. E

Whereas, <u>Constable Muhammad Merces No.</u> 35 while posted at PS Akbarpura, now under suspension at police Line, showed negligence as he left SHO PS Akbarpura alone and ran away from the spot during scuffle / firing that took place between Nazar Muhammad (police constable at District Peshawar) and lady Constable Safia No. 2802/EF residents of Ali Shah, District Nowshera. Resultantly, LFC Safia expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained bullet injuries, which amounts to grave misconduct on his part and rendered him liable for Minor/Major punishment under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975. **PROCEEDINGS:**

The delinquent police official was heard in person by the undersigned. He submitted his statement of defence which is just a pack of lies. (Statement attached).

FINDINGS:

The truth of the matter is: On the fateful day (10th Sep), SHO Abdul Baseer called PP Wapda Colony I/C ASI Abdul Latif to reach Ali Shah for the implementation of an order of High Court. ASI Latif reached the spot and to his surprise, accused party and its other family members including women were already present there. The accused party led by Nazar Muhammad accompanied with other relatives warned ASI Latif to stay out of this as it is their private matter and they have plans to take LFC Safia to task, else get ready for dire consequences. ASI Latif stepped back and told SHO on phone about nefarious designs of the accused party. At this point, SHO was at fault as he shouldn't have reached the spot alongwith Safia and Neelam at the first place, keeping in mind the state of aggression of the accused party. However, SHO reached the spot without any extra force. A Mazda (to transport luggage) and HIACE (seating Safia and Neelam) entered the spot along with SHO.

The following constables and PASI accompanied SHO on the spot: Tariq 459, Saeed Ullah 2002, Kamran 1789, Amir 384, Naeem 276, Kamran 749, Amir 1180, PASI Safi Ullah, ASI Latif. SHO Abdul Baseer along with this limited strength of personnel entered the narrow street, locating house of the accused party as well as that of LFC Safia and Neelam. Ladies from the accused party started heated argumentation with LFC Safia and Neelam, sitting in the HIACE. Meanwhile, the accused party led by Nazar Muhammad and his brother and their other relatives including women started chanting slogans to kill Safia and even all police officers present there. They had weapon (Pistol and AK-47) in hands and women had daggers, knives and wooden sticks. On this call, all afore-mentioned constables and PASI fled the scene and took refuge in nearby houses and in the fields.



Whereas, SHO was left alone and he hid himself between HIACE and Mazda Truck. In the course of events, the accused party surrounded the HIACE in which Safia and Neelam were seated. LFC Safia tried to get hold of her weapon from her purse and seeing this, accused party opened a burst of Kalashnikov. Neelam got bullets on her feet. Both sisters got injured. Meanwhile, SHO asked Neelam (from behind the windows of HIACE) to take his pistol and fire at the accused party. In the blink of an eye, the accused party fled the scene leaving behind both sisters in injured condition. SHO didn't put in an iota of effort to apprehend the accused party, rather waited for 8-10 minutes behind the vehicle, then shifted the injured to another vehicle and then ran after the accused, did some aerial firing, on doors and houses in the path way (just to register his response).

The undersigned has gathered information from eye witnesses of the spot as well as from Neelam (Safia's sister). In the opinion of the undersigned, the delinquent police official is found guilty of misconduct and cowardice. He withdrew himself from the duty assigned to him without permission. He fled the scene as the situation was getting out of control and didn't fire on the accused party in return. He doesn't deserve to be part of police service any more. Hence, he may be dismissed from service.

> Assistant Superintendent of Police, Circle Cantt Nowshera.

/St No. Dated 23/ 09/2020.

Annes -F

بیان ازان عامرخان 394 علاقہ تھاندا کبریورہ حال معطل شدہ پولیس لائن نوشہرہ

جناب عالی!

بحواله حارج شيٹ نمبری 131/PA بتاريخ 2020-09-10 مشموله بمعه (1) FIR قاريخ 10-09-2020 نمبر (2) مقدمه 278 بتاريخ 2020-09-148 نمبر (2) مقدمه 278 بتاريخ 2020-09-11 جرم 118-8 يوليس ايك 2017 تقانه اكبر يوره بمعه ديگر دستاويزات مشموله معروز خدمت ہوں كه مورخه 2020-10-10 كو میں تھانہ اکبر پورہ میں تعینات ہوں مورخہ 2020-09-10 کو میں سرکاری ڈیوٹی بہسلسلہ موبائل گشت علاقہ میں موجو دتھا حسب طلی تھانہ آیا۔تھانہ میں SHO صاحب موجودتھا۔SHO صاحب نے مجھےاور کانشیبل سعید اللہ 2002 کو ہتلایا کہ موبائل گاڑی میں بیڑ کرخود پرائیوٹ موٹر کاربیٹھ بتلایا کہ میرے پیچھے آئے۔تھانہ سے باہرنگل کرایک فلائنگ کوچ کھڑایایا۔ جس میں دومستورات بھی بیٹھی ہوئی تھی اورایک بڑا مذدا کھڑا ہوا تھا۔ مذدے میں تین چارافراد بیٹھے ہوئے تھے۔ ہم سب ندکورین بالاعقب SHO صاحب روانہ ہوئے۔ یا در ہے کہ جاتے وقت SHO صاحب نے کسی قشم کے ہدایات نہیں دی تھی۔ جب در یلی شاہ پہنچے دہاں پر واپڈ اٹاؤن کا موبائل اورانیچارج عبدالطیف خان بمعہ نفری پایا۔ ہم گاڑی سے اُتر گئے اور SHO صاحب بھی اتر کرفلائنگ کوچ کے گیٹ کے سامنے کھڑے ہو گئے ۔اس دوران بیجھے گلی سے بہت سے مستورات اور چندا شخاص بہاسلحہ آتشین آ گئے اور فلائنگ کوچ کو گھیرے میں لے لیا۔اور گلی سے آئے ہوئے خواتین نے فلائنگ کوچ میں بیٹھے ہوئے مستورات سے شور شرابا مچایا۔اور وہ انتخاص جو بہاسلحہ آتشین تصفلائنگ کوچ کے اردگرد کھڑے ہوگئے۔اس د وران و ه اشخاص جو به اسلحه آتشین بین ضحفلائنگ کوچ پر فائرنگ شروع کی ۔ اس دوران SHO صاحب بالکل جیران و پریشان کھڑا پایا گیا۔ میں نے اپنی حق حفاظت ،خود اختیاری کی نفاز میں سرکاری اسلحہ سے ملز مان کو قابو کرنے کیلئے فائرنگ کی۔ ملز مان گلی میں کھڑے ہوئے بہت سےمستورات ، بچے اور تماش گیرلوگوں کے بھیڑ میں بھاگ کر بھا گنے میں فرار ہوئے۔ فلائنگ کوچ میں دومستورات جوہمراہ SHO صاحب آئے تصطرٰ مان کی فائرنگ سے لگ کر ذخمی ہوئی۔جن کوہمراہ یارٹی پولیس بوقت علاج معالجہ سول ہیپتال پھی لے گئے ۔ وقوعہ کے بعد SHO صاحب نے پچھ وقت کے بعد ملز مان کی خانہ تلاش کی۔ جو دوران تلاش ملزمان کے مکان سے ایک عدد کلاشنکوف برآمد ہوئی۔ یہا پر بیدامر ضروری طور پر واضح کرنا جا ہتا ہوں کہ اللہ تعالی گواہ ہے تھانہ سے روائگی کے وقت اور جائے وقوعہ پنچنجے تک SHO صاحب نے اس بارے میں کوئی ہدایت نہیں دی تھی۔ جملہ داقعات کے بعد ہمراہ SHO جا جب تھا اوالیس آئے۔اسلحہ داخلہ کے وقت محرر افسرعلی بتلایا کے آپ نے فائر نگ کی ہے؟

جواباً کہا کہ ہاں میں نے سات عددکارتو س چلائے ہیں۔محرر نے مدد محررا کرام کو ہدایت کی کہ کانٹیبل مذکورہ سے اسلحہ داخل کوت کروایا جائے۔ جس کے بعد مجھے عامر خان 394 ، سید اللہ 2002 ، عامر علی 1180 ، کا مران 1789 نعیم خان 276 ، طارق 459 کانٹیبلا ن کوسرکاری گاڑی میں سوار کر نے زیر حراست محررا فسرعلی پولیس لائن نوشہرہ پہنچائ اور تمام کو کو اٹر گارڈ میں بند کر کے الطے روز عدالت مجاز نوشہرہ میں پیش کیا۔وہ اں مجھے پتہ چلا کہ مجھے اور ہمرایان پر SHO صاحب نے Ber تو لیس آئیکٹ 2017 کے تحت ملز مظہر ایا ہے۔ بندہ سب نوشہرہ سب جیل کہ محصے اور کر این پر SHO

علادہ ازیں تفتیش آفسر نے جو میر ایپان زیر دفعہ 161 ض ف قلمبند کیا ہے بالکل لاعلم ہوں تفتیش آفسر نے اپنی مرضی اور اپنی طرف سے میر ایپان قلمبند کیا ہے۔ اسکی صفائی میں عد الت میں پیش کرونگا۔ SHO صاحب کو ضرور چا ہے تھ کہ ایسے واقعات پیش آمدہ سے جاتے وقت پہلے تمام نفری پولیس کو فالن کر کے قاعدہ کے مطابق ہدایات سے باخبر کرتا۔ اس کو لازم اور ملظوم خاہر کرتا۔ جہاں تک وقوعہ بالا سرز دہونے کا تعلق ہے میں بیر عن کرونگا کہ میں نے کسی قسم خان اور ای بزد لی کا مظاہرہ نہیں کیا ہے۔ اور نہ SHO صاحب کو اکیلا چھوڑ ایے۔ جس کا منہ ہو لیا تہ ہوت ہو ہے کہ میں ای فرائس میں اور کہ میں نے کسی قسم خفلت لا پر واہی اور بزد لی کا مظاہرہ نہیں کیا ہے۔ اور نہ SHO صاحب کو اکیلا چھوڑ ایے۔ جس کا منہ ہو لیا تہ ہوت ہی ہے کہ میں اپنی فرائض منصی کو جانتے ہوئے سرکاری اسلحہ سے ملز مان کو پکڑنے کی خاطر فائرنگ کی ہے۔ عرض کر دلی نہیں کی ہو ہوں ای اور خواہ ستگار ہوں ۔ یہی میر ایپان ہوں یا تھوں ہو ہوں نے کوئی غفلت یا بزد کی نہیں کی ہو ہوں۔ انہ ای کسی خالی کو ای ک خواہ ستگار ہوں ۔ یہی میر ایپان ہے جو میں پر حقیقت ہے۔



FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

4mor G

Whereas, you <u>Constable Aamir Khan No. 394</u>, while posted at PS Akbarpura, charged in case FIR No. 278 dated 10.09.2020 u / s 118 (B) Police Act 2017 P: Akbarpura, as you displayed cowardice by leaving SHO PS Akbarpura alone and ran awa from the spot during scuffle / firing that took place between Nazar Muhammad (police constabl at District Peshawar) and lady Constable Safia No. 2802/EF residents of Ali Shah, Distri-Nowshera. Resultantly, LFC Safia expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained bulk injuries.

On account of which you were suspended, closed to Police Line Nowshera, and proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Bilal Ahmad, ASP Cai Nowshera who after fulfillment of legal formalities submitted his report to undersigned, where the allegations leveled against you have been proved and you were recommended awarding major punishment.

Therefore, it is proposed to impose Major/Minor penalty includi dismissal as envisaged under Rules 4(b) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975. Hence, I, Capt: (R) Najmul Husnain Liauqat, PSP District Police Offic Nowshera, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Rules 5(3) (a) & (b) of the Khy Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975, call upon you to Show Cause finally as to why the propos punishment should not be awarded to you.

Your reply shall reach this office within **07 days** of the receipt of notice, failing which, it will be presumed that you have no defense to offer.

You are at liberty to appear for personal hearing before the undersign

District Police Offi

Nowshera

Dated

had daggers knives and wooden sticks. On this call,

<u>بیان از ان عامرخان 394 علا</u>قه تھاندا کبر بورہ

بحواله جادي شين نمبري 131/PA بتاريخ 2020-10-10 مشموله مقدمه علت (1) FIR (1 -10-09 جرم 149-148-148 نبر (2) مقدمه 278 بتاريخ 2020-09-10 جرم ما يك 2017 نتحانه اكبرىيورە بمعدد يكردستاديزات مشموله معروز خدمت بهول كهمورنته 2020-10-10 رپوره میں تعییات ہوں مورخہ 2020-09-10**کو میں** سرکاری ڈیوٹی بہ سلسلہ موبائل گشت علاقتہ میں موجودتھا آیا۔ تھانہ میں SHO صاحب موجودتھا۔ SHO صاحب نے مجھےاور کانظیبل سعید اللہ 2002 کو بتلایا کہ یں بنیچ کرخود پرائیوٹ موٹر کاربیٹھ بتلایا کہ میرے پیچھے آئے۔تھانہ سے باہرنگل کرایک فلائنگ کوچ کھڑا پایا۔ ہورات بھی ہیٹھی ہوئی تھی اور ایک بڑا ند دا کھڑا ہوا تھا۔ ند دے میں تین چارافرا دبیٹھے ہوتے تھے۔ ہم سب ب SHO صاحب روانہ ہوئے ۔ یا در ہے کہ جاتے وقت SHO صاحب نے کسی قسم کے مدایات نہیں دن یعلی شاہ پہنچ دہاں پر دایڈا ٹاؤن کا موبائل اورانچارج عبدالطیف خان بمعذ نفری پایا۔ ہم ؓ ڈ ز ی نے انر گئے اور ب بھی اتر کرفلائنگ کوچ کے گیٹ کے سامنے کھڑے ہو گئے ۔اس دوران بیجیے گلی سے بہت سے مستورات اور اسلی آئے ہوئے اور فلائنگ کوچ کو گھیرے میں لے لیا۔اور کملی ہے آئے ہوئے خواتین نے فلائنگ کوچ میں ستورات سے شور شرابا مجایا۔اور وہ اشخاص جو بہ اسلحہ آتشین تھے فلائنگ کوچ کے اردگر دکھڑے ہوگئے۔اس باص جو به اسلحه آتشین بیضح فلائنگ کوچ پر فاترنگ شروع کی ۔ اس دوران SHO صاحب بالکل حیران و پریشان ۔ میں نے اپنی حق حفاظت ، خود اختیاری کی نقاز میں سرکاری اسلحہ سے ملز مان کو قابو کرنے کیلئے فائر نگ کی۔ ی کھڑے ہوئے بہت ہے مستورات، بچے اور تماش گیرلوگوں کے بھیڑ میں بھا گ کر بھا گنے میں فرار ہوئے ۔ میں دومستورات جوہمراہ SHO صاحب آئے شیھ ملزمان کی فائزنگ سے لگ کرزخمی ہوئی۔ جن کوہمراہ یارٹی ے علاج معالجہ سول ہپتال بی لے گئے ۔ وقوعہ کے بعد SHO صاحب نے کچھ وقت کے بعد ملزمان کی خانہ جو دوران تلاشی ملزمان کے مکان سے ایک عدد کلاشنکوف برآ مدہوئی۔ یہا پر سیامرضر دری طور پر واضح کرنا جا ہتا بتالی گواہ ہے تھا نہ ہے روائلی کے وقت اور جائے وقوعہ پنچنج تک SHO صاحب نے اس بارے میں کوئی ہدایت یا۔ جملہ دا قعات کے بعد ہمراہ SHO صاحب تھانہ دالیں آئے۔ اسلحہ داخلہ کے وقت محرر افسرعلی بتلایا کے آپ ج ل _؟

DISTRICT NOWSHI

Ames-

DEPARTMENT

ORDER

This order will dispose of the departmental enquiry initiated under Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules-1975, against Constable Amir No. 394 that he while poste Akbarpura, charged in case FIR No. 278 dated 10.09.2020 u / s 118 (B) Police Act 2017 PS A as he displayed cowardice by leaving SHO PS, Akbarpura alone and ran away from the st scuffle / firing that took place between Nazar Muhammad (Police Constable at District Pesh lady Constable Safia No.2802 /EF residents of Ali Shah, District Nowshera. Resultantly, expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained bullet injuries.

On account of which, he was placed under suspension, closed to Police proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Bilal Ahmad, ASP Cantt Nowshera, who afte of legal formalities submitted his report to undersigned vide his office Endst: No. 37 23.09.2020, wherein the allegations leveled against him were proved and was recommende punishment of dismissal.

He was served with Final Show Cause Notice, to which, he submitte perused by the undersigned and found unsatisfactory

He was heard in orderly room on 29.09.2020, wherein he failed t undersigned, therefore, he is hereby awarded major punishment of dismissal from immediate effect, in exercise of powers vested in me under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police R YAND 830 OB No.

Dated ______ / _ / 2020

District Police 12020

Nows

9 O/PA, dated Nowshera, the Copy for information and necessary action to the:

1; 2. 3.	Pay Officer. Establishment Clerk. OHC. FMC with its enclose	ages)
4. 5. 6.	I/C Cloth Godown. Official concerned.	 ••



women had daggers, knives and wooden sticks. On this mentioned constables and PASI fled the scene and took rel

Amer-J (21) بخدمت جناب ڈپٹی انسپکٹر جنرل صاحب آف پولیس مردان ريجن ضلع مردان اپیل برخلاف BNO. 880مورخه 2020-10-202مورخه DPOصاحب نوشهره جسکی رو سے من سائل کوسروس سے Dismissکیا گیا۔ جناب عالى! سائل حسب ذيل عرض كرتا ہے: -بيركه سائل مورخه 2013-38-31 كو پوليس فورس مين تحسيبت كنسطيبل بحرتى موااوراييخ فرائض منصى نهايت خوش اسلوبي سے سرانجام دیتارہا۔ يدكه مورجه 2020-09-10 كومين سركارى دُيوِثْ برسلسله موباكل كشت علاقه مين موجود تقار حسب طلى تقانيراً يا ۔ تھانے میں SHO صاحب موجود تھے۔ یه که تکم عدالت عالیه پیثاور بانی کورٹ ، سائل بمعہ دیگر یولیس ہمرائیان بحکم ایس ۔ ایچ ۔ اور صاحب زیر نگرانی ۳_ عبدالطيف ASI انچارج چوکی واپڈا ٹاؤن حکم و فیصلہ بحوالہ رٹ پٹیش نمبری WP. NO. 3271 P/2020 كونافذكرن كى عرض = SHO صاحب في محص اور كانشيبل سعيد اللدخان 2002 كوبتلايا كه موبائل گاڑی میں بیٹھ کرخود پرائیوٹ موڑ کار میں بیٹھ گئے اور ہمیں بیچھے آنے کا کہا۔ یہ کہ جب ہم تھانے سے باہر نکلے تو ایک فلائنگ کوچ کھڑا یا یا جس میں دومستورات بھی بیٹھی ہوئی تھی اورا یک مزدا (گاڑی) کھڑا ہواتھا۔مزدے میں تین سے جارافرادسوار تھے۔ ۵۔ سید کہ ہم سب مذکورین بالاعقت SHO صاحب روانہ ہوئے۔ اور SHO صاحب نے کسی قسم کی ہدایات نہیں دی تھی۔ جب دیہ علی شاہ پنچے تو وہاں پر وایڈ اٹاؤن کا موبائل اور انچارج چو کی عبدالطیف خان کو بمعہ نفر ی پایا۔ ہم سب گاڑی سے اترے اور SHO صاحب بھی گاڑی سے اتر کرفلائنگ کوچ کے گیٹ کے سامنے کھڑے ہو گئے۔ یہ کہاس دوران بیچھے گلی سے بہت سے مستورات اور چنداشخاص بہ اسلحہ آتشین آ گئے اور فلائنگ کوچ کو گھیرے میں ۲_ لے لیا اورخوا تین نے فلائنگ کوچ میں بھیٹی خواتین سے شورشرا با شروع کیا۔اوراسلجہ سے لیس اشخاص فلائنگ کوچ کے اردگرد کھڑ ہے ہو گئے۔ اورامیا بک سے فلائنگ کوچ پر فائرنگ شروع کر دی۔ م ۔ یہ کہ فائرنگ شروع ہوتے ہی میں نے SHO صاحب کو جیران و پریشان کھڑا یا یا۔اور میں نے اپنی حق حفاظت ، نود اختیاری کی نفاز میں سرکاری اسلحہ سے ملزمان کو قابو کرنے کی غرض سے فائز نگ کی لیکن ملزمان گلی کھڑے ہوئے بہت سے مستورات ، بچوں اور تماش گیرلوگوں کے بھیڑ میں بھا گنے میں کامیاب ہوئے۔ادر دومستورات جو کہ SHO کے ہمراہ فلائنگ کوچ پر آئی تھی فائرنگ سے زخمی ہوگئی تھی جنہیں ہمراہ پولیس یارٹی بغرض علاج و معالجہ سول ہیتال پی لے جایا گیا۔ بیر کہ وقو عد کے بعد SHO صاحب نے کچھ وقت کے بعد ملز مان کی خانہ تلاش کی اور دوران تلاش ملز مان کے مکان ___ أبك عدد كلاشكوف برامد ہوئی۔ یہ کہ یہاں پر بیامر داضح کرنا ضروری ہے کہ اللہ گواہ ہے کہ SHO صاحب نے تھانہ سے ردائگی کے دفت ادر _ 9

جائے وقوعہ پہنچنے تک مندرجہ بالا حالات کے بارے میں کوئی مدایات نہیں دی تھی۔ جملہ داقعات کے بعد ہمراہ SHO صاحب تقانه وايس أف اسلحه داخله که وقت محرر افسر علی نے بتلایا که آب نے فائرنگ کی ب؟ جس کے جواب میں، میں نے کہا کہ ہاں میں نے سات عدد کارتوس چلائے ہیں۔ یہ کہ محرر نے مدد محرر اکرام کوہدایت کی کہ کانشیبل مذکورہ سے اسلحہ داخل کوت کر دایا جائے اور اسکے بعد من عامر خان 394، سعيدالله 2002، عامر على 1180، كامران 1789، نعيم خان 276 كانسليلان كوسركارى كارْي مين سوار کر کے زیر حراست محررا فسرعلی پولیس لائن نوشہرہ پہنچائے اور تمام کوکواٹر گارڈ میں بند کر کے اگلے روز عدالت مجاز نوشہرہ میں پیش کیا۔ جہاں پر مجھے بیتہ چلا کہ مجھے اور ہمرایان پر SHO صاحب اکبر پورہ نے B-B پولس ا یک 2017 کے تحت ملزم تھر ایا ہے۔ رید کششیشی آفیسر نے جومیر ابیان زیر دفعہ 161 CrPC قلم نبند کیا ہے اس بات سے بالکل لاعلم ہوں - تفشیش آفیسر نے اپنی مرضی اوراینی طرف سے میر ابیان قلمبند کیا ہے جسکی صفائی عدالت حضور میں پیش کرونگا۔ یہ کہ میں نے کوئی بزولی نہ گی ہے۔اور نہ ہی لا پرواہی دکھائی ہے۔اور نہ ہی SHO صاحب کوا کیلا چھوڑ اہے۔ SHO صاحب نے اپنی بچاؤ کی خاطر مجھے ملزم ٹہرایا ہے۔ہم نے ملز مان کو پکڑنے کی کافی کوشش کی ہے مگر بوجہ رش مستورات، بیچ ودیگر تماش گیر بھا گنے میں کامیاب ہوئے۔ یہ کہ وقوعہ بالا بہت مشتہ ہوئی جس پر مورخہ 2020-09-10 کو چارج شیٹ سے نوازا گیا جس میں سائل کے Ľ١٣ خلاف Negligence كاالزام عائدكيا_مورخه 2020-09-15 كوجارج شيف كاجواب دے كرالزامات ے انکارکیا۔ یہ کہ محکمہ نے قانون کے مطابق کاروائی نہیں کی اور نوری طور پر قانونی کاروائی کو مختصر کرتے ہوئے مورجہ 23-09-2020 كوفائنل شوكازنونس ديا گياجس كاجواب دے كرالزامات سے سريحاً انكاركيا۔ ید کہ قانونی نقاضوں کو بالائے طاق رکھتے ہوئے DPO نوشہرہ نے سائل کومور خد 2020-10-02 کوسر دس - Dismiss کیاجوکہ ذیل وجوہات کی بناء پر قابل استر داد ہے۔ وجموهات: ہیر کہ پولیس یارٹی کا سرغنہالیں ۔ایچ ۔اورعبدالنصیرخان تھااورا سے جانبے تھا کہ حالات واقعات کو مدنظر رکھتے ہوئے کاروائی کرتے ایمکن موصوف نے کوئی حفاظتی تد ابیراختیار نہ کی ہے، جود قوعہ بالا کی صورت میں رونما ہوا یہ کہ FIR 276 میں مستعیثہ مساۃ نیلم فرید نے پولیس پارٹی مورل الزام نہیں تھہرایا کہ وہ غفلت کا مظاہرہ کرتے

-iii

-iv

ہوئے جائے وتوعہ سے بھا ک نکلے تھے۔

_ii

_10

بیر که ستعیت عبدالبعیبرخان ایس ایچ اونے خود کو بچائے ہوئے کانسٹیلان پر ذمہ دری جوقرین انصاف نہیں ۔ یہ کہ ایس۔ ایج۔ اوصاحب نے میر بے اور دوسر ے ملزمان کے خلاف FIR نمبر 276 درج کیا جس میں متعلقہ میں سے سی کابھی بیان زیر دفعہ 161 ضابطہ فوجداری کے تحت قلمبند نہ ہوا ہے۔ادرا پنی مرضی سے بیانات سائل اوردیگرملزمان ﷺ قام ندکردانے ہیں۔جو کہ غیر قانونی اورانصاف کے بالکل منافی ہے۔اورد دسری طرف ساکل کو

_11

_1+0

()3 بندائکھوں سے سروٹن سے Dismiss کیا جو کہ Double Jeoprdy کے ذمرے میں آتا ہے۔ بيركه مقدمه علت نمبر 276 مورخه 2020-09-10 زير دفعه B-118 يوليس ايك تقانه اكبريوره ميں ملزمان ٧~ صانت برر ماہو چکے ہیں جسکی ساعت تا حال شروع نہ ہوئی ہے۔مقدمہ کی ساعت یورا ہونے کے بعد اگر جرم ملز مان پر ثابت ہوجا تا ہے تو محکمہ کا سائل کوسروں سے Dismiss کرنا برطابق قانون ہوتا۔ تا فیصلہ محاز عدالت سائل کو Suspend رکھتے اور مجاز عدالت کی ساعت میں اگر جرم ثابت ہوجاتا تو سائل کی Dismissal قانوناً درست ہوتالیکن موجودہ تھم بالکل غلط اور خلاف قانون وانصاف ہے۔ یہ کہ نقشہ موقع درمقد مہ علت نمبر 276 میں صاف طور پر یولیس یارٹی ادرسائل کوموجود دکھایا گیا ہے کہ موقع دقوعہ برسائل ہمراہ دیگر متعلقہ یولیس موجود ہے لیکن نقشہ موقع برکہیں بھی کوئی سمت نہیں دکھائی گئی ہے کہ سائل املز مان کس طرف بھا گ گئے ہیں۔جو کہ ستعیث کے بیان کو بالکل یکسر خارج کرتی ہے۔ یہ کہ سب سے ذیادہ ذمہ داری وقوعہ مذکورہ کی ایس ۔ ایچ ۔ ادعبدالبصیر خان ، اے ۔ ایس ۔ آئی عبدالطیف ، پی ۔ _vii ایس ۔ آئی صفی اللہ دفیرہ کی ہے کیکن انگوائری افسران نے مذکورین کو دقوعہ سے بری الذمہ قرار دیا ہے جو کہ خلاف قانون دانصاف ہے۔ viii۔ یہ DPO صاحب نے ایس ایچ اوادر ASI صاحبان کو بغیر کسی وجہ اور عذر کے بری الذمہ قرار دیا اور ہم غريب كانسفيلان كوقر باني كالجرابناديا ... یہ کہ کیس ذکورہ میں انصاف سے کا مہیں لیا گیا جو کیٹنی پریدنیتی وامتیاز ہے۔ _ix لېز ۱۱ ستد عاب کې کم مورخه 2020-10-02 جارې کرده DPO نوشېره کوکالعدم قرارديا جائے اور سائل کو تمام سابقہ مراعات کے ساتھ سروں پر بحال کرنے کاتھم صا در فرمایا جائے۔ عین نوازش ہوگی۔ المرقوم:12-2020-10-1 Brukkhan عامر خان ولداجهل خان ساکن محلَّه داؤ درْيان ،اضاخيل باَلا ،نوش مه سابقه بوليس كانشيبل 394-شناختي كارد نمبر :3-181 8633181 17201 رابط *شير*:0316-1238480 ATTESTED

ORDER.

This order will dispose-off the departmental appeal preferred by **Ex-Constable Amir Khan No. 394** of Nowshera District Police against the order of District Police Officer, Nowshera, whereby he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service vide OB: No. 880 dated 02.10.2020. The appellant was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations that he while posted at Police Station Akbarpura, District Nowshera was charged in case FIR No. 278 dated 10.09.2020 u/s 118(B) Police Act, 2017 Police Station Akbarpura, displaying cowardice by leaving SHO Police Station Akbarpura, alone and running away from the spot during firing, resulting in the murder of Lady. Constable Safia No. 2802/EF and bullet injury to her sister namely Neelam residents of Ali Shah, District Nowshera.

mit - K

Proper departmental enquiry proceedings were initiated against him. He was issued Charge Sheet alongwith Statement of Allegations and Assistant Superintendent of Police Cantt, Nowshera was nominated as Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer after fulfilling codal formalities, submitted his findings stating therein that the allegations leveled against him had been proved. He recommended the delinquent Officer for major punishment of dismissal from service.

He was issued Final Show Cause Notice to which his reply was received and found unsatisfactory. He was also provided opportunity of self defense by summoning him in the Orderly Room by the District Police Officer, Nowshera on 29.09.2020, but he failed to advance any cogent reason in his defense. Hence, he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from Service vide OB: No. 880 dated 02.10.2020.

Feeling aggrieved from the order of District Police Officer, Nowshera, the appellant preferred the instant appeal. He was summoned and heard in person in Orderly Room held in this office on 17.11.2020.

From the perusal of the enquiry file and service record of the appellant, it has been found that allegations leveled against the appellant have been proved beyond any shadow of doubt. Owing to the cowardice and negligent attitude of the appellant, accused succeeded in murdering the Lady Constable Safia No. 2802/EF and causing bullet injury to her sister namely Neelam as they had been left at the mercy of the accused who faced no resistance, whatsoever, to deter them from the commission of their intended



designs. The retention of the appellant in the Police Force with such an attitude will lead to the repetition of such like incidents to the general public as well. Moreover, this type of conduct is also bound to affect the discipline and conduct of other members of the force. Besides, during the course of personal hearing, he could not present any cogent justification to warrant interference in the order passed by the competent authority.

Reeping in view the above, I, Sher Akbar, PSP S.St Regional Reeping in view the above, I, Sher Akbar, PSP S.St Regional Police Officer, Mardan, being the appellate authority, find no substance in the appeal, therefore, the same is rejected and filed, being devoid of merit.

Order Announced.

(*****)

Regional Police Officer,

Mardan.

No. <u>7249</u> <u>IES, Dated Mardan the</u> <u>30-11-</u> <u>12020</u>. Copy forwarded to District Police Officer, Nowshera for information and necessary w/r to his office Memo: No. 2845/PA dated 26.10.2020. His service record is returned herewith.

WAKALATNAMA

IN The KP Service 711

(Petitioner) (Plaintiff) (Applicant) (Complainant) (Decree Holder)

VERSUS

(Respondent) (Defendant) (Accused) (Judgment Debtor)

Case

I/We, Aug V Council do hereby appoint and constitute Muhammad Arif Jan Advocate High Court, Peshawar, to appear. Flead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration to me/ us as my/ our Counsel in the above noted matter, without any liability for their default and with the authority to engage/ appoint any other Advocate/ Counsel at my/ our matter.

Attested & Accepted

Muhammad Arif Jan Advocate, High Court, Peshawar. Office No. 6, 1st Floor Pabbi Medical Centre, G.T. Road Peshawar. Mobile: 0333-2212213 CLIENT/S

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 15906/2020

Amir Khan Ex-Constable No. 394 s/o Ajmal Khan r/o Aakhel Bala District Nowshera.

V ERSUS

The District Police Oficer, Nowshera and others.

.....Respondents

.....Appellant

S.No.	Description of documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Reply of Respondents	-	1-3
2.	Affidavit	ш.	04
3.	List bad entries	A	05
4.	Copy of court order in W.P 3271/2020	В	06-07
5.	Copy of FIR	С	08
6.	Copy of enquiry report	D	09-10
7.	Copy of charge sheet and statement of allegation.	E	11-12
8.	Copy of Final Show Cause Notice	F	13
9.	Copy of punishment order	G	14 .
10.	Copy of order of appellate authority	Н	15-16
11.	Copy of enquiry report and order against the SHO Akbarpura	I&J	17-19

<u>INDEX</u>

Inspector Legal, Nowshera

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. <u>15906/2020</u>

Amir Khan Ex-Constable No. 394 s/o Ajmal Khan r/o Aakhel Bala District Nowshera.

VERSUS

- 1. The District Police Oficer, Nowshera.
- 2. The Regional Police Officer, Mardan.
- 3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. Assistant Superintendent of Police (Inquiry Officer) Circle Cantr. Nowshera.

.....Respondents

Appellant

akht

REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS

Respectfully Sheweth: -

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the appellant has got no cause of action and locus standi to file the appeal.
- 2. That the appeal is badly barred by law and limitation.
- 3. That the appellant has been estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
- 4. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 5. That the appellant has not come to the Honourable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 6. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.

On Facts

- Para to the extent of appointment of appellant as Constable is correct while rest of the para is incorrect as service record of the appellant is tainted with bad entries. (Copy of bad entries is annexed as annexure "A").
- 2. Correct to the extent that vide daily diary No. 17 dated 10-09-2020, lady Constable Safia Naz (Late) alongwith her sister Nelam approached SHO Police Station, Akbarpura, for provision of security/protection in light of order of the Honourable Peshawar High Court, Peshawar in writ petition No. 3271-P/2020, so that she may shift her house hold items from her house to another place. (Copy of court order is annexed as annexure "B").
- 3. Incorrect. Appellant while posted at Police Station, Akbarpura, showed negligence as he left SHO Police Station, Akbarpura alone and ran away from the spot during scuffle/firing that took place between Nazar Muhammad and lady Constable Safia Naz. Resultantly, lady Constable Safia Naz expired while her sister Nelam sustained injuries.
- 4. Incorrect. As on the fateful day the then SHO Police Station, Akbarpura, in order to provide security to lady Constable Safia Naz and her sister during shifting of house hold items, accompanied them alongwith other Police party including appellant. However, as the accused party opened fire on the aforementioned lady constable

and her sister, Police Officials including appellant by displaying cowardice fled away from the spot and left the SHO all alone. To this effect a report was entered vide daily diary No. 28 dated 10-09-2020 Police Station, Akbarpura by the then SHO Inspector Abdul Baseer.

Correct to the extent that an FIR vide No. 278 dated 10-09-2020 u/s 118-B Police Act, 2017 was registered against those officials, including appellant who displayed cowardice by running away from the spot at a very critical movement, which resulted in the death of lady constable Safia Naz. (Copy of FIR is annexure "C").

Incorrect. In order to probe into the matter enquiry was entrusted to the then ASP Nowshera Cantt: who after going through all evidence and collecting information as well as fulfilling all codal formalities held the appellant responsible of cowardice and recommended him for dismissal from service. (Enquiry report is annexed as annexure "**D**").

7. Correct to the extent that appellant was issued charge sheet with statement of allegation on 10-09-2020 to which he submitted his reply but was found unsatisfactory. (Copy of charge sheet and statement of allegation is annexed as annexure "E").

Correct to the extent that on 23-09-2020, appellant was issued final show cause notice to which he submitted his reply but the same was found unsatisfactory. (Copy of Final Show Cause Notice is annexed as annexure "F").

9. Correct to the extent that respondent No. 01 vide order dated 02-10-2020, dismissed appellant from service on cogent grounds after observing all codal formalities under the rules. (Copy of order is annexed as annexure "G").

 Correct to the extent that appellant filed departmental appeal before the appellate authority but the same was also rejected vide No. 7249/ES, dated 20-11-2020, being devoid of merit. (Copy of order is annexed as annexure "H").

11. That the appeal of the appellant is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds:

GROUNDS

- A. Incorrect. Appellant has not be deprived any of his rights. Moreover, orders passed by the respondents No. 01 & 02 respectively on 02-10-2020 and 20-11-2020 are in accordance with law and rules, hence, liable to be maintained.
- B. Incorrect. Appellant was well aware of this fact that security had been sought by lady constable Safia Naz and he alongwith other Police Officials had been deployed for the said purpose, but as the accused party opened fire on her and her sister, appellant fled away from the spot leaving the then SHO alone.

C. Incorrect. As explained in the preceding paras that in order to probe into the matter enquiry was entrusted to a PSP officer i.e the then ASP Nowshera Cantt: who after going through all evidence and collecting information as well as fulfilling all and

6.

5.

codal formalities held the appellant responsible of cowardice and recommended him for dismissal from service.

D. Para already explained hence; needs no comments.

- E. Incorrect. Proper enquiry against the then SHO Police Station, Akbarpura was conducted through Noor Jamal Khan the then Superintendent of Police, Investigation, Nowshera. On the recommendation of the enquiry officer, the then SHO was awarded major punishment of reduction in pay by 02 stage for a period of 02 years. (Copy of enquiry is annexure "I" and copy of order annexure "J").
- F. Incorrect. Service record of the appellant is tainted with bad entries.
- G. Para not related hence; needs no comments.
- H. Incorrect. The orders of respondents are based on facts, justice and in accordance with law/rules.
- I. Para already explained, hence, needs no comments.
- J. Incorrect. Appellant has been dealt in accordance with facts findings of charges and rules.
- K. Para already explained, hence, needs no comments.
- L. Incorrect. Charge sheet and statement of allegation had been issued to the appellant which is evident from annexure "F" of the appeal wherein reply to the charge sheet has been annexed by the appellant. Similarly, before issuing of major punishment, Final Show Cause Notice was also issued to the appellant.
- M. The respondents also seek permission of this Honourable Tribunal to advance additional grounds at the time of arguments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of above submissions the appeal of the appellant may very kindly be dismissed with cost, please.

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondent No. 03



District Police Officer, Nowshera. Respondent No.01

Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region-I, Mardan

Respondent No. 02

Assistant Superintendent of Police, Nowshera Cantt: Respondent No. 04

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No: 15906/2020

Amir Khan Ex-Constable No. 394 s/o Ajmal Khan r/o Aakhel Bala District Nowshera.

V ERSUS

1. The District Police Oficer, Nowshera.

2. The Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4. Assistant Superintendent of Police (Inquiry Officer) Circle Cantt: Nowshera.

.....Respondents

.....Appellant

AFFIDAVIT

We the respondents No. 1,2,3&4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on Oath that the contents of reply to the appeal are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from the Honourable tribunal.

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondent No. 03

> Regional Polide Officer, Mardan Region-I, Mardan. Respondent No. 02

District Police Officer, Nowshera. Respondent No.01

Assistant Superintendent of Police, Nowshera Cantt: Respondent No. 04

DETAIL OF BAD ENTRIES OF EX-CONSTABLE AMIR KHAN NO. 394

Annex-A

- 1. 02 days leave without pay vide OB No. 52 dated 04-05-2018.
- 2. 03 days leave without pay vide OB No .642 dated 18-06-2019.
- 3. 02 days leave without pay vide OB No .659 dated 21-06-2019.
- 4. 01 day leave without pay vide OB No. 1243 dated 01-11-2019.
- 5. 02 days leave without pay vide OB No. 1305 dated 15-11-2019.
- 6. 02 days leave without pay vide OB No. 1425 dated 12-12-2019.
- 7. 05 days leave without pay vide OB No. 1435 dated 12-12-2019.
- 8. 02 days leave without pay vide OB No. 616 dated 10-06-2019.
- 02 days leave without pay and 02 days extra drill vide OB No .1347 dated
 22-11-2019.
- 10. Awarded censure and leave without pay for 03 days absence vide OB No.1270 dated 16-09-2016.

11. Awarded censure and leave without pay vide OB No. 1427 dated 24-10-2020.

Annex - B

IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR,

[Judicial Department].

WP No.3271-P/2020

Mst. Neelam Fareed wife of Liaqat Ali, r/o Ali Shah District Nowshera.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

The State etc

For Petitioner :-For State :-Date of hearing:

.

Respondent (s)

Mr. Noman 1:1 Haq Kakakhel, Advocate Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan, AAG. 09.09.2020

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

ROOH-UL-AMIN KHAN, J:-By invoking the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Mst. Neelam Fareed, the petitioner, seeks issuance of a writ to the effect the District Police Officer, Nowshera and SHO Police Station Akbarpura (respondents No.2 and 3 respective), may be directed to provide her security for the purpose of shifting her house hold articles from her house situated in village Ali Shah Tehsil and District Nowshera.

2. In essence grievance of the petitioner is that her brothers are charged in case FIR No.112 dated 11.04.2020, registered under sections 302/324/34 PPG, at Police Station Akbar Pura, Nowshera, therefore, she apprehends her humiliation and risk to her life at the hands of the opposite party. She has abandoned residence from her village and has shifted to some other place. In support of her version she has annexed copy of the cited Fill along with petition.
The worthy AAG when confronted with the grievance of the petitioner, he sought time to consult the SHO Police Station Akbarpura. After a while, he came to the rostrum and stated that if the petitioner visited Police Station Akbarpur, her grievance shall be redressed by the SHO of the said Police Station.
In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to

visit Police Station Akbarpura tomorrow at 1.00 a.m. where the SHO shall provide her fully security for the purpose of shifting her house hold articles from the house situated in Mohallah Afghan village Ali Shah District Nowshera. This petition is disposed of accordingly.

Announced: 09.09.2020 M.Straj Afridi PS

o^{hm} / JUDGE JUDGE

DB of Hon'hle Mr. Justice Rooh al Amin Khan; and Hon'hle Mr. Justice Muhammad Nasir Mehfooz.

Annex-C ابتذابي الزلياعي زلوري فارم نمبر ۲۴ ۵۰ (۱) با ئىزل الأتوالي الملاح فسيست جرم قابلن سنت الدانرين وليس رايورك شد وزيردامة ١٥٢ بمويد مسابط فوجداري 20 S. 19:00 10 32 Prelista 900 10/22 Prelista 900 10/22 Prelista 1 2017 Cilling 11813 . المريد وسايقي ندست الموجمت 6 th and is of the us o many نه سے روائی کی تاریخ ورونت . تدانی اطلاع میشین کرونسر سر که ایر ندار تحط ما مدیسه محرر ۵ (۲۰ مارس کم مربع کو سر ملازمان طار ¹⁹⁹ ستمداند 20 ، طان 10 بالد 198 ، بعيم 210 ، كار 100 ، ما د مي مرور من مرار مان طاري . حلي من ماليه لعنيا و معرف رو من من مورد من معرف 11. P/25 ، ما د مقال روسا بعد و المرار حلي من لت ماليه لعنيا و معرف رو من من مورد P/2 ، 11 - 10 مرد مع لتسا مرد المرس 307/01/ 108 (D) 10/0/01/02 - 20/01/02/11/2/10/01/07/8/10/07/8/10/05 تواسرا كمراجرده سرمال السرى الشرار موجود تح جسمون في في في الى ورايار حس بر حل ولد زان المس بالاسن مان والى ورقع مرائدا في فراخ عالى تظاهما ماخان معا درور لا مساقريم فريك مقاول وعيرا زرفتران فريدانكم ساليان عليها وسواليك فرا وولون فك بر مساق معدر از معمر برط حق موان حربه ملاحان الالى غفات ا وراسي ولوال مردران مردى وفاحق فر ع حرم بالاح مر المالي حداك ولازمان لولسي لاكراف فعة كرمال مر المر الم مر من من الط مرز ٦ , ٩ ... ال ط تا لم لعنش (ما سون ، ٢ SHO-17 20 -

ENQUIRY REPORT FC AAMIR KHAN NO.394 PS AKBARPURA. ALLEGATION:

Whereas, <u>Constable Aamir Khan No. 394</u> while posted at PS Akbarpura, now under suspension at police Line, showed negligence as he left SHO PS Akbarpura alone and ran away from the spot during scuffle / firing that took place between Nazar Muhammad (police constable at District Peshawar) and lady Constable Safia No. 2802/EF residents of Ali Shah, District Nowshera. Resultantly, LFC Safia expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained bullet injuries, which amounts to grave misconduct on his part and rendered him liable for Minor/Major punishment under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975. <u>PROCEEDINGS:</u>

Amen - J)

The delinquent police official was heard in person by the undersigned. He submitted his statement of defence which is just a pack of lies. (Statement attached). FINDINGS:

The truth of the matter is: On the fateful day (10th Sep), SHO Abdul Baseer called PP Wapda Colony I/C ASI Abdul Latif to reach Ali Shah for the implementation of an order of High Court. ASI Latif reached the spot and to his surprise, accused party and its other family members including women were already present there. The accused party led by Nazar Muhammad accompanied with other relatives warned ASI Latif to stay out of this as it is their private matter and they have plans to take LFC Safia to task, else get ready for dire consequences. ASI Latif stepped back and told SHO on phone about nefarious designs of the accused party. At this point, SHO was at fault as he shouldn't have reached the spot alongwith Safia and Neelam at the first place, keeping in mind the state of aggression of the accused party. However, SHO reached the spot without any extra force. A Mazda (to transport luggage) and HIACE (seating Safia and Neelam) entered the spot along with SHO.

The following constables and PASI accompanied SHO on the spot: Tariq 459, Saeed Ullah 2002, Kamran 1789, Amir 384, Naeem 276, Kamran 749, Amir 1180, PASI Safi Ullah, ASI Abdul Latif. SHO Abdul Baseer along with this limited strength of personnel entered the narrow street, locating house of the accused party as well as that of LFC Safia and Neelam. Ladies from the accused party started heated argumentation with LFC Safia and Neelam, sitting in the HIACE. Meanwhile, the accused party led by Nazar Muhammad and his brother and their other relatives including women started chanting slogans to kill Safia and even all police officers present there. They had weapon (Pistol and AR-47) in hands and women had daggers, knives and wooden sticks. On this call, all aforementioned constables and PASI fled the scene and took refuge in nearby houses and in the fields. Whereas, SHO was left alone and he hid himself between HIACE and Mazda Truck. In the course of events, the accused party surrounded the HIACE in which Safia and Neelam were seated. LFC Safia tried to get hold of her weapon from her purse and seeing this, accused party opened a burst of Kalashnikov. Neelam got bullets on her feet. Both sisters got injured. Meanwhile, SHO asked Neelam (from behind the windows of HIACE) to take his pistol and fire at the accused party. In the blink of an eye, the accused party fled the scene leaving behind both sisters in injured condition. SHO didn't put in an iota of effort to apprehend the accused party, rather waited for 8-10 minutes behind the vehicle, then shifted the injured to another vehicle and then ran after the accused, did some aerial firing, on doors and houses in the path way (just to register his response).

The undersigned has gathered information from eye witnesses of the spot as well as from Neelam (Safia's sister). In the opinion of the undersigned, the delinquent police official is found guilty of misconduct and cowardice. He withdrew himself from the duty assigned to him without permission. He fled the scene as the situation was getting out of control and didn't fire on the accused party in return. He doesn't deserve to be part of police service any more. Hence, he may be dismissed from service.

> Assistant Superintendent of Police, Circle Cantt Nowshera.

No._ 37 / St

CHARGE SHEET

1. I, <u>Capt: (R) Najmul Hasnain Liaguat, PSP</u> District Police Officer, Nowshera, as competent authority, hereby charge <u>Constable Aamir Khan No.394</u> as per Statement of Allegations enclosed.

2. By reasons of above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under Police Rules, 1975 and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in Police Rules, 1975.

3. You are, therefore, required to submit your written defense within <u>07 days</u> of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Enquiry Officer, as the case may be.

4. Your written defense, if any should reach the Enquiry Officer within the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and in that case exparte action shall follow against you.

5,

Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

District Police Officer, Nowshera

Amer - F

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

I, <u>Capt: (R) Naimul Hasnain Liaguat, PSP</u>, District Police Officer, Nowshera as competent authority am of the opinion that <u>Constable Aamir Khan No.394</u> has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he committed the following acts/omissions within the meaning of Police Rules; 1975.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

Whereas, <u>Constable Aamir Khan No.394</u> while posted at PS, Akbarpura, now under suspension at Police Lines, showed negligence as he left SHO PS Akbarpura alone and ran away from the spot during scuffle / firing that took place between Nazar Muhammad (police constable at District Peshawar) and lady Constable Safia No. 2802/EF residents of Ali Shah, District Nowshera. Resultantly, LFC Safia expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained bullet injuries, which amounts to grave misconduct on his part and rendered him liable for punishment under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975.

For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said accused official with reference to above allegations, <u>ASP</u> is hereby nominated as Enquiry Officer.

The Enquiry Officer shall in accordance with the provision of Police Rules, 1975, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the defaulter official, record his findings and make immediate recommendations as to punish or other appropriate action against the defaulter official.

Constable Aamir Khan No.394 is directed to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

> District Police Officer, Nowshera

Dated 10 /



Amex-F

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

Whereas, you Constable Aamir Khan No. 394, while posted at PS, Akbarpura, charged in case FIR No. 278 dated 10.09.2020 u / s 118 (B) Police Act 2017 PS Akbarpura, as you displayed cowardice by leaving SHO PS Akbarpura alone and ran away from the spot during scuffle / firing that took place between Nazar Muhammad (police constable at District Peshawar) and lady Constable Safia No. 2802/EF residents of Ali Shah, Distric Nowshera. Resultantly, LFC Safia expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained bulle injuries

On account of which you were suspended, closed to Police Line Nowshera, and proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Bilal Ahmad, ASP Car Nowshera who after fulfillment of legal formalities submitted his report to undersigned, where the allegations leveled against you have been proved and you were recommended t awarding major punishment.

Therefore, it is proposed to impose Major/Minor penalty includi dismissal as envisaged under Rules 4(b) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975.

Hence, I, Capt: (R) Najmul Husnain Liauqat, PSP District Police Offic Nowshera, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Rules 5(3) (a) & (b) of the Khy Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975, call upon you to Show Cause finally as to why the propo punishment should not be awarded to you

Your reply shall reach this office within 07 days of the receipt of notice, failing which, it will be presumed that you have no defense to offer.

You are at liberty to appear for personal hearing before the undersig

District Police Off

Nowshera

No. 187 /PA, Dated 23/0- 12020.

Amphhon 24-9-020

women had daggers, knives and wooden sticks. On this call, all afo mentioned constables and PASI fled the scene and took refuge in near houses and in the fields.

DISTRICT NOWSHERA

subisep

Homes-

ORDER

bue anigiosib ant toatte of brund are a touto

se vildug lenguge ant of strabioni gall dous to noititation and at book The retention of the appellant in the Police Force with such an

ni eoneret

This order will dispose of the departmental enquiry initiated under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules-1975, against Constable Amir No. 394 that he while posted at PS Akbarpura, charged in case FIR No. 278 dated 10.09 2020 u / s 118 (B) Police Act 2017 PS Akbarpura, as he displayed cowardice by leaving SHO PS. Akbarpura alone and ran away from the spot during scuffle / firing that took place between Nazar Muhammad (Police Constable at District Peshawar) and lady Constable Safia No.2802 /EF residents of Ali Shah, District Nowshera. Resultantly, LFC Safia expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained bullet injuries.

On account of which, he was placed under suspension, closed to Police Lines and proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Bilal Ahmad, ASP Cantt Nowshera, who after fulfillment of legal formalities submitted his report to undersigned vide his office Endst: No. 37 /St: dated 23.09.2020, wherein the allegations leveled against him were proved and was recommended for major punishment of dismissal.

He was served with Final Show Cause Notice, to which, he submitted his reply, perused by the undersigned and found unsatisfactory.

He was heard in orderly room on 29.09.2020, wherein he failed to satisfy the undersigned, therefore, he is hereby awarded major punishment of dismissal from service with immediate effect, in exercise of powers vested in me under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules-1975. OB No. 880

Dated _____/2020

1.

4.

5.

nentioned col Duses and in t

1110 District Police Officer, Nowshera

No. 2685-90/PA, dated Nowshera, the /2020. Copy for information and necessary action to the:

- Pay Officer.
- Establishment Clerk.
- 2. OHC. 3.
 - FMC with its enclosures (15 pages).
 - I/C Cloth Godown.
- Official concerned. 6.

Amex - H

ORDER.

This order will dispose-off the departmental appeal p **Ex-Constable Amir Khan No. 394** of Nowshera District Police ag order of District Police Officer. Nowshera, whereby he was awarded publishment of dismissal from service vide OB: No. 880 dated 02.10. The appellant was proceeded against departmentally on the allegations he while posted at Police Station Akbarpura, District Nowshera was charged case FIR No. 278 dated 10.09.2020 u/s 118(B) Police Act, 2017 Police Station Akbarpura, displaying cowardice by leaving SHO Police Station Akbarpura, alone and running away from the spot during firing, resulting in the murder of Lady Constable Safia No. 2802/EF and bullet injury to her sister namely Neelam residents of Ali Shah, District Nowshera.

Proper departmental enquiry proceedings were initiated against Proper departmental enquiry proceedings were initiated against him. He was issued Charge Sheet alongwith Statement of Allegations and Assistant Superintendent of Police Cantt, Nowshera was nominated as Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer after fulfilling codal formalities, submitted his findings stating therein that the allegations leveled against him had been proved. He recommended the delinquent Officer for major punishment of dismissal from service.

He was issued Final Show Cause Notice to which his reply was received and found unsatisfactory. He was also provided opportunity of self defense by summoning him in the Orderly Room by the District Police Officer, Nowshera on 29.09.2020, but he failed to advance any cogent reason in his defense. Hence, he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from Service vide OB: No. 880 dated 02.10.2020.

Feeling aggrieved from the order of District Police Officer. Nowshera, the appellant preferred the instant appeal. He was summoned and heard in person in Orderly Room held in this office on 17.11.2020.

neard in person in order, where the perusal of the enquiry file and service record of the From the perusal of the enquiry file and service record of the appellant, it has been found that allegations leveled against the appellant have been proved beyond any shadow of doubt. Owing to the cowardice and negligent attitude of the appellant, accused succeeded in murdering the Lady Constable Safia No. 2802/EF and causing bullet injury to her sister namely Neelam as they had been left at the mercy of the accused who faced no: resistance, whatsoever, to deter them from the commission of their intended.

designs. The retention of the appellant in the Police Force with such an attitude will lead to the repetition of such like incidents to the general public as well. Moreover, this type of conduct is also bound to affect the discipline and conduct of other members of the force. Besides, during the course of personal hearing, he could not present any cogent justification to warrant interference in the order passed by the competent authority.

Keeping in view the above, I, Sher Akbar, PSP S.St Regional Police Officer, Mardan, being the appellate authority, find no substance in the appeal, therefore, the same is rejected and filed, being devoid of merit.

Order Announced.

(****)

C. Fre Necord

NO 1611/PA dt. 23/11/2020

Regional Police Officer,

Mardan.

/w X

0]

No. <u>7249</u> <u>/ES, Dated Mardan the</u> <u>20-11</u> <u>12020</u>. Copy forwarded to District Police Officer, Nowshera for information and necessary w/r to his office Memo: No. 2845/PA dated 26.10 2020. His service record is returned herewith.

Annex - I No<u>4412</u>/PA Dt:<u>29/10</u>/2020

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST INSPECTOR ABDUL BASEER SHO POLICE STATION AKBARPURA.

Brief of Allegations: -

Inspector Abdul Baseer while posted as SHO P.S Akbarura, now under suspension at Police Lines, failed to handle the situation by not taking the requisite measures during scuffle/firing that took place between Nazar Muhamamd (police constable at District Peshawar) and lady Constable Safia No. 2802/EF residents of Ali Shah, District Nowshera. Resultantly, LFC Safia expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained bullet injuries. Besides, he was also directed by SDPO Pabbi to include the name of PASI Abdul Latif as accused in case FIR No. 278 dated 10.09.2020 u/s 118-B Police Act 2017 lodged against police officials, as he was also found negligent towards his duties but he turned a deaf ear to the same, which amounts to grave misconduct on his part and rendered him liable for punishment under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975.

Proceedings: -

Charge Sheet and Summary of allegations were issued to and served upon the delinquent officer and the undersigned was tasked to act as fact finder.

Inspector Abdul Baseer was summoned, heard in person and his statement was recorded. He stated that he has executed the court order as well as timely informed his highups from the situation. He did not admit the allegations and claimed himself to be innocent.

Similarly statement of injured Neelam Farid (complainant in case FIR No. 276 dated 10.09.2020 u/s 302/324/148/149 PPC Police Station Akbarpura) was also recorded. In her written statement she held responsible police party for the incident. According to her statement, she was shouting and asking help from SHO and police party but no one turned up except two police officers who helped her while taking to the hospital.

Statement of Mustamir Khan, Mazda driver was also recorded wherein he stated that as he alongwith other labours escaped from the spot similarly police party. also made their escape good.

Head Constable Afsar Ali No. 1065, Muharrir Police Station Akbarpura was also summoned who stated that on the directions of the Honourable Court, SHO/Inspector Abdul Baseer, alongwith police strength of P.S Akbarpura departed in order to shift house hold articles of Neelam Farid from her house to some other place. Later on PASE Abdul Latif telephonically informed that a scuffle/firing took place and resultantly Lady Constable Safia and her sister Neelam Farid have received bullet injures who were taken to Pabbi Hospital for treatment. In this connection a case vide FIR No. 276 dated 10.09.2020 u/s 302/324/148/149 PPC P.S Akbarpura was registered on the

report of Neelam Farid. Also a Murassila written by SHO Abdul Baseer was received from Pabbi Hospital and a case vide FIR No. 228 dated 10.09.2020 u/s 118-B was registered against the delinquent police officials.

Similarly drivers constable Adnan No. 1317 and constable Shah Hussain No. 512 of P.S Akbarpura submitted their written statements wherein they supported the version of SHO.

Other police officials also submitted their written statements wherein they showed themselves as innocents and held the SHO responsible for the incident. Finding/Recommendations: -

After illuminating all aspects of the matter, perusal of FIRs, Daily Diaries, statements of all concerned, the enquiry officer has arrived at the conclusion that the SHO failed to make a proper planning while handling the situation. Such failure of the SHO not only resulted in the death of Lady Constable Safia No. 2802 of Elite Force and injuries of her sister Neelam Farid but also reflects his poor professional skills.

Therefore, SHO/Inspector Abdul Baseer is recommended for major-

Superintendent of Police, Investigation, Nowshera.

W/DPO Nowshera

Amex -

DISTRICT NOWSHERA

ORDER

OLICE DEPARTMENT

This order will dispose of the departmental enquiry initiated under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules-1975, against Inspector Abdul Baseer, under the allegations that he while posted as SHO PS Akbarpura, failed to handle the situation by not taking the requisite measures during scuffle / firing that took place between Nazar Muhammad and lady Constable Safia No. 2802 / EF residents of Ali Shah, District Nowshera. Resultantly, LFC Safia expired and her sister namely Neelam sustained bullet injuries. Besides, he was also directed by SDPO Pabbi to charge PASI Abdul Latif in case FIR No.278 dated 10.09.2020 u / s 118 (B) Police Act 2017 lodged against police official, as he was also found negligent towards his duties but he turned a deaf ear to the same.

On account of which, he was suspended, closed to Police Lines and proceeded against departmentally through Mr. Noor Jamal Khan, SP Investigation Nowshera, who after fulfillment of legal formalities submitted his report to undersigned vide his office Memo. No. 4412/PA dated 29.10.2020, wherein the allegations leveled against him have been proved and was recommended for awarding major punishment.

He was served with Final Show Cause Notice, to which, he submitted his reply which was perused by the undersigned and found unsatisfactory.

He was heard in orderly room by the undersigned wherein he failed to produce any cogent reason in his defense, therefore, he is hereby awarded major punishment of reduction in pay by 02 stages for a period of 02 years and reinstated in service from the date of suspension, in exercise of powers vested in me under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules-1975. OB No. $1CS^{2}$

Dated 1.2 / 11 /2020

No. 3054- 58

District Holice Officer Nowshera

_/PA, dated Nowshera, the 12, Copy for information and necessary action to the:

1. Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

2. Pay Officer

3. Establishment Clerk.

FMC with enquiry papers (46 pages).