10<sup>th</sup> Oct, 2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Written reply on pre-admission notice on behalf of the respondents not submitted. Learned AAG seeks time to contact the respondents for submission of reply. Last chance is given to the respondents for written reply. To come up for written reply/preliminary hearing on 28.11.2022 before S.B.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

28.11.2022

Junior to counsel for the appellant present. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Fahim Khan Assistant and Behramand Khan Deputy Directory for respondents present.

Written reply on behalf of respondents not submitted. Representative of the respondents strictly directed to submit written reply on the date fixed, failing which strict legal action will be initiated against the respondents. To come up for written reply/preliminary hearing on 05.01.2023 before S.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

Learned counsel for the appellant contended that the appellant is aggrieved of the impugned order dated 28.06.2019 whereby he was appointed as CT (BS-15) with immediate effect whereas his other colleagues were appointed vide order dated 30.11.2016 and 27.12.2016 thus effected seniority of the appellant. The appellant also filed Writ Petition No. 690-B of 2017 before the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Bannu Bench, which was disposed of and directions were issued to respondent No. 3 to consider the prayer of the petitioner strictly in accordance with law, and merit, and if no relief could be granted to the petitioner, he be provided the reasons in writing within a period of one (01) month. The appellant filed another Writ Petition No. 295/2019 before the Hon'be Peshawar High Court, Bannu Bench for his appointment as CT, and in the meanwhile appellant was issued appointment order in question i.e. dated 28.06.2019. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant preferred departmental appeal on 06.04.2022, which was not responded within a statutory period.

Mofices 10/10/2s

When the learned counsel for appellant was confronted with the question of limitation he argued that being seniority issue, limitation does not run against such cases. An application for condonation of delay though submitted with the service appeal but no specific reason has been mentioned to justify the abnormal delay. At this stage, this appeal is not admitted to regular hearing and it deems appropriate to issue preadmission notice to respondents for submission of reply/comments. Adjourned. To come up for reply/comments as well as preliminary hearing on 10.10.2022 before S.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

## Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	 

	Case No	1119/2022
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1.	2	3
1-	18/07/2022	The appeal of Mr. Khan Muhammad presented today by Mr. Afrasiab Khan Wazir Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on 21/7/22. Parcha peshi is given to the appellant/counsel.
2-	Senswar Kpst Kpst	By the order of Chairman  REGISTRAR