BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.564/2018

Date of Institution ... 23.04.2018
Date of Decision ... 14.07.2022

Salman FC No.117 Police Station Zaida, presently R/O Mohallah Mama Khel, Tehsil Razzar, District Swabi.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Mardan.
- 3. District Police Officer, Swabi.
- 4. Sub Divisional Police Officer, Tehsil Razzar, District Charsadda.

(Respondents)

Mehtab Sikandar,

Advocate ... For appellant.

Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel,

Assistant Advocate General ... For respondents.

Salah Ud Din ... Member (J)
Rozina Rehman ... Member (J)

JUDGMENT

Rozina Rehman, Member(J): The appellant has invoked the jurisdiction of this Tribunal through above titled appeal with the prayer as copied below:

"On acceptance of this appeal the impugned order dated 17.10.2017 and final order dated 26.03.2018 rejecting departmental appeal may graciously be set aside and appellant be reinstated in the service with full back benefits in the interest of justice."

2. Brief facts of the case are that appellant was appointed as Constable in the Police Force of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa on 17.03.2008. At the relevant



time, he was performing his duties on the grave of Mashal Khan, a victim of Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan. He was served with a charge sheet alongwith statement of allegations alleging therein that he had committed abetment and conspiracy in a murder case registered vide FIR No.364 dated 15.06.2017 of Police Station Kalu Khan. SDPO Razzar Circle was appointed as Inquiry Officer and the appellant was recommended for major punishment. Final show cause notice was also served upon him, wherein, he appeared in person and submitted reply but he was dismissed from service vide order dated 17.10.2017. He filed departmental appeal which was rejected, hence, the present service appeal.

- We have heard Mehtab Sikandar Advocate, learned counsel for appellant and Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.
- 4. Mehtab Sikandar Advocate, learned counsel for appellant inter-alia argued that the impugned order is against law, facts and material as the appellant was not treated in accordance with law and rules. It was contended that no proper inquiry was conducted as the appellant was not afforded proper opportunity of defense and he was not heard as required under the law nor his contention was incorporated in the inquiry report, therefore, the very proceedings conducted by the Inquiry Officer, his recommendation and subsequent dismissal order are of no legal effect.
- 5. Conversely, learned AAG submitted that on account of involvement of the appellant in a murder case, he was served with charge sheet and summary of allegations and after proper departmental inquiry, allegations against appellant were proved and he was recommended for major penalty. He submitted that the order of the respondents are quite legal and in



accordance with law and that proper opportunity of defense was provided to him but he could not prove himself innocent. Lastly, it was argued that after fulfillment of all codal formalities, major punishment of dismissal from service was imposed upon appellant.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through the record of the case with their assistance and after perusing the precedent cases cited before us, we are of the opinion that one Amjad Ali registered FIR No.364 on 15.06.2017 at Police Station Kalu Khan District Swabi regarding murder of his son Tanveer by unknown accused, therefore, FIR was registered against unknown culprits. It was on 09.08.2017 when the present appellant was served with charge sheet alongwith statement of allegation for allegedly involved in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the holy month of Ramazan vide case FIR No.364 dated 15.06.2017. One Shah Mumtaz Khan DSP Razzar was appointed as Inquiry Officer. The inquiry report is available on file, where-after, final show cause notice was issued and vide order of District Police Officer, Swabi dated 17.10.2017, appellant Constable Salman was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service. The order of competent authority as well as appellate authority would reveal that appellant was charged for being member of Police Force, had close contacts with notorious in the area of the Police Station Kalu Khan and that he involved himself in the abetment of a murder which was against discipline and amounted to gross misconduct. The judgment of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV Swabi is available on file, wherein, it has been clearly mentioned that statement of Hilal Ahmad and Kashif were recorded U/S 164 Cr.PC but instead of making them witnesses of the occurrence, they were made accused in the present case and that their respective statement did not fulfill the basic requirements for recording confessional statement of the accused. As the case

di.

was full of doubts, therefore, all the seven accused including the present appellant Salman were acquitted and their sureties were discharged.

- 7. It has been held by the superior fora that all acquittals are certainly honorable. There can be no acquittal which may be said to be dishonorable. Involvement of the appellant in criminal case was the only ground on which he had been dismissed from service and the said ground had subsequently disappeared through his acquittal, making him re-emerge as a fit and proper person entitled to continue his service.
- 8. It is established from the record that charges of his involvement in criminal case ultimately culminated in honorable acquittal of the appellant by the competent court of Law. In this respect we have sought guidance from 1988 PLC (CS) 179, 2003 SCMR 215 and PLD 2010 Supreme Court, 695.
- 9. For what has been discussed above, this appeal is accepted and the impugned orders are set aside and the appellant is reinstated in service with all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED. 14.07.2022

(Salah Ud Din) Member (J)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (V) Appellant present through counsel.

Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel learned Assistant

Advocate General for respondents present. Arguments heard.

Record perused.

Vide our detailed judgment of today of this Tribunal place on file, instant service appeal is accepted and the impugned orders are set aside and the appellant is reinstated in service with all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED.

14.07.2022

(Salah Ud Din) Member (J) (Rozina Rehman) Member (V) 29.06.2022

Appellant alongwith his counsel Mr. Mehtab Sikandar, Advocate, present. Mr. Fazle Subhan, Head Constable alongwith Mr. Riaz Ahmed Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Partial arguments heard. To come up for remaining arguments on 30.06.2022 before the D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) (Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

30.06.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Fazal Subhan HC alongwith Mr. Riaz Khan Paindakheil, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Arguments heard. To come up for order on 14.07.2022 before the D.B.

(Rozina Řehman) Member (J)

(Salah Ud Din) Member (J) Counsel for the appellant present.

Mr. Noor Zaman Khattak, District Attorney alongwith Mr. Fazle Suban H.C for the respondents present.

Partial arguments heard. During the arguments learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment in order to produced relevant record; allowed. To come up for full arguments on 26.01.2022 before D.B.

(Atiq Ur Rehman Wazir) Member (E) (Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

26.01.2022

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Junior of learned counsel for the appellant sought adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is out of station today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 11.03.2022 before the D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J) (Salah-ud-Din) Member (J)

11-3-22

Que to betweenent of the Hos, ble Chairons The case is adjourned to come up for The Same us before on 29-6-22

Reader

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Fazle Subhan H.C for respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment.\` The request is acceded to and appeal is adjourned to 06.04.2021 on which to come up for arguments before D.B.

(Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E) (Muhammad Jamal Khan) Member (J)

06.04.2021 Due to demise of Hon'able Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, the case is adjourned to 07.07.2021 for the same.

07.07.2021

Counsel for appellant present.

Muhammad Adeel Butt learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Request for adjournment was made on behalf of appellant; Request is accorded. To come up for arguments on 23.11.2021 before D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member(J)

Chairman

Counsel for the appellant is present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, DDA for respondents are present.

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment as he has not prepared the brief.

Adjourned to 23.12.2020 for arguments before D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E) (Rozina Rehman) Member(J)

23.12.2020

Counsel for appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Fazle Subhan H.C for respondents present.

Learned Additional Advocate General requested that the instant appeal was entrusted to the Deputy District Attorney who has been transferred, therefore, requested for adjournment for making arrangement a fresh. The request is genuine and the case is adjourned to 01.02.2020 for arguments before D.B.

(Atiq-Ur-Rehman Wazir) Member (E) (M. Jamal Khan) Member (J) Due to public holidays on account of Covid-19, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same on 16.07.2020 before D.B.

16.07.2020

Due to COVID-19, the case is adjourned for the same on 22.09.2020 before D.B.

28.09.2020

Counsel for appellant present.

Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Former made a request for adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 13.10.2020 before D.B.

(Mian Muhammad)

Member (E)

(Roziña Rehman) Member (J) 13.11.2019

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Faheem Inspector present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 16.01.2020 before D.B.

Member

Member

16.01.2020

Appellant absent. Learned counsel for the appellant absent. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents present. Due to general strike of the bar on the call of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Bar Council, the case is adjourned. To come up for further proceedings/arguments on 26.02.2020 before D.B. Appellant be put to notice for the date fixed.



Member

26.02.2020

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Riaz Khan Paindakheil learned Assistant Advocate General present. Adjournment requested. Adjourn. To come up alongwith connected service appeal No.566/2018, on 17.04.2020 before D.B.

Member

Member

06.09.2019

Learned Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Zia Ullah learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present. Learned Deputy District Attorney seeks adjournment to furnish all the relevant documents/record for the just disposal of the present service appeal. Request acceded to Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 04.10.2019 before D.B. Respondent No. 3 (DPO Swabi) be put to notice with the direction to furnish complete record/all the relevant documents till the next date fixed.

(Ahmed Hassan) Member

(M Hamid Mughal) Member

04.10.2019

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Riaz Khan Paindakheil learned Assistant Advocate General alongwith Rashid Ali H.C present.

Representative is directed to make available complete record of inquiry. Additional documents in relation to criminal case submitted and placed on file of service appeal No.566/2018 file by Kashif. Adjourn. To come up for record/arguments on 13.11.2019 before D.B

K()V) Member

Member

30.04.2019

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Zia Ullah learned Deputy District Attorney alongwith Faheem Khan Inspector present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 16.07.2019 before D.B.

Member

_) Member

16.07.2019

00.08.24.192

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Usman Ghani learned District Attorney for the respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 06.09.2019 before D.B

(Hussain Shah)
Member

(M. Amin Khan Kundi) Member

Lucaed estate For the application of the period of the first the learned Departs District Astorney Cortific Aspectation present. Lydned—commed to Fifther appellment of the Lagore Defense.

26.11.2018

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan learned Deputy District Attorney present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 10.12.2018 before D.B.

Member

. Member

10.12.2018

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondent present. Junior to counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment as senior counsel is not in attendance. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 11.02.2019 before D.B

Member

Member

11.02.2019

Appellant alongwith counsel and Mr. Muhammad Riaz, Painda Khel, Asstt. AG for the respondents present.

Learned AAG states that he is not in possession of the brief of instant appeal. Further states that the respondents may be allowed time to produce entire record of enquiry against the appellant as well as the proceedings ensuing to FIR No. 364 dated 15.06.2017 of P.S Kalu Khan. Needful shall be positively done on next date. Adjourned to 30.04.2019 before the D.B.

Member

Chairman

10.07.2018

Mr. Mehtab Sikandar, Advocate, counsel for thje appellant present. No representative of the respondents present. However, Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney put appearance on their behalf. To come up for written reply/comments on 27.08.2018 before S.B.

Chairman

27.08.2018

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG alongwith Mr. Atta-ur-Rehman, S.I (legal) for the respondents present and submitted written reply. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 10.10.2018 before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

10.10.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG alongwith Mr. Atta-ur-Rehman, S.I (Legal) for the respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted rejoinder and requested for adjournment for arguments. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 26.11.2018 before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

(Muhammad Amin Kundi) Member 21.05.2018

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard. It was contended by learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant was serving in Police Department. It was further contended that during service he was involved in case FIR No. 364 dated 15.06.2017 under sections 302/120-B/148/149/114/177 PPC Police Station Kalo Khan. It was further contended that the appellant was dismissed from service vide impugned order dated 17.10.2017 on the allegation of his involvement in abetment and conspiracy in the aforesaid FIR. It was further contended that the appellant filed departmental appeal on 27.10.2017 which was rejected on 03.12.2017. It was further contended that the appellant filed revision petition before IG in 10.01.2018 which was also rejected vide order dated 26.03.2018 hence the appellant filed the present service appeal on 23.04.2018. It was further contended that neither the name of the appellant is mentioned in the first information report nor respondent-department has issued any charge sheet and statement of allegation. It was further contended that neither proper inquiry was conducted nor opportunity of cross examination and defence was provided to the appellant therefore, the impugned order is illegal and liable to be set-aside.

The contention raised by the learned counsel for the appellant need consideration. The appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days thereafter notice be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 10.07.2018 before S.B.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

Appellant Deposited
Security Process Fee

Form-A FORMOF ORDERSHEET

Court of			
Case No	<u> </u>	564/2018	 ,

1.	Case No.	564/2018
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	23/04/2018	The appeal of Mr. Salman presented today by Mr. Mehtak
		Sikandar Advocate may be entered in the Institution Registe
		and put up to the Learned Member for proper order please.
-		200
	•	REGISTRAR
		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for much income hands
2-	15/05/18.	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 216518.
		~
	•	MA
,		MEMBER
	,	
	·	
		Market
		r I
J	ľ	

BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service	Appeal No	/2018
---------	-----------	-------

Salman F.C No.117 Police Station Zaida, presently r/o Mohallah Mama Khel, Tehsil

YEŔSUS

INDEX

S.No	Description of Document	Annexure	Pages
1	Appeal		1-3
2	Affidavit		4
3.	Copy of charge sheet and relevant documents, Carps of FIR		5-8
4.	Copy of inquiry		9-10
5.	Copy of final show cause notice and impugned order dated 17.10.2017		11-21
6.	Corry of impugned order dated		
	20.03.2018		22-23
7	Wakalatuama	Salmon	24

Appellant

Appellent Deposited
Security & Process Fee

Through

Mehtab Sikandar, Advocate.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No....../2018

VERSUS

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Peshawar.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Mardan.
- 3. District Police Officer, Swabi.

APPEAL AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 17.10.2017 WHEREBY SERVICES OF THE APPELLANT WAS DISMISSED WHILE AWARDING MAJOR PUNISHMENT UNDER KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA POLICE RULES 1975 AND AGAINST THE FINAL ORDER DATED 26.03.2018 WHEREBY DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL FILED BY THE APPELLANT WAS REJECTED.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as Constable in Police Force of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa on 17.03.2008 where after posted at various police station.
- 2. Tat appellant put in meritorious services in the department for long 9 years and at the relevant time was performing his duties on the grave of Mashal Khan a victim of the Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan Occurrence.
- That without any information/reason a charge sheet with summary of allegation was served upon the appellant alleging therein that he has committed abetment and conspiracy in a murder case registered vide FIR No.364 dated 15.6.2017 Police Station Kalo Khan (copy of charge sheet and relevant document a/w summary of allegation are annexed as annexure "A" & "B" while FIR etc will be produced at the relevant time.)
- 4. That Sub Divisional Officer Razar Circle Karnal Sher Kaly was appointed as inquiry officer to conduct inquiry and inspite of the fact that it is neither proved from FIR nor the complainant has charge the appellant nor there is any evidence to connect the appellant with the alleged offence, but even then the

 (\overline{s})

subsequent dismissing of departmental appeal amount condemning him unheard.

g). That appellant be allowed to add/rely upon other grounds at the time of arguments.

It is therefore humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the impugned order dated 17.10.2017 and final order dated 26.03.2018 rejecting departmental appeal may graciously be set aside and appellant be reinstated in the service with full back benefits in the interest of justice.

Any other order deem appropriate in the circumstances of the case may also be passed.

Appellant

Through

Fida Muhammad Yousafzai,

KiKandux

And

Mehtab Sikandar,

Advocates.

HPHBERME Tribuzale,
BEFORE THE PESHAWAR.

	• *	*
Service Appeal No/	/2018	
•	• :	
1	·	. ' !.
Salman F.C No.117 Police S	Station Zaida, presently r/o M	ohallah Mama Khel, Tehs
•	Station Zaida, presently r/o M	1
•		1
•		1
Razzar, District Swabi		· ·

AFFIDAVIT

I, Salman F.C No.117 Police Station Zaida, presently r/o Mohallah Mama Khel, Tehsil Razzar, District Swabi, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief that nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

Deponent

1620286647419

Identified by:

Mehtab Sikandar,

Advocate Peshawar.

Karrelas

23/4/18

كونىڭ ئېرلى چادىغار يېلىنىڭ 2206/13 دى ئورلىك ئېزىلى ئىز ئىردە 2011،06،201لىدد (قارى ئىرىياند) ئىلار (ياكس) كونىڭ ئېرلى چادىغار ئىلىرى ئىلىرى ئىلىرى ئىلىرى ئىلىرى ئىلىرى ئىلىرى ئىلىرى بهوبهرم ووفارم فمبراك فارم بر۲۳_۵(۱) 16202-10280 82-3 ابترائي اطلائي ريورك ابندائی اطلاع نسبت جرم فالل دست اندازی بولیس راوری شده زیردند از ۱۵۱ می در شابط و بداری كما اوخان Cas 15/1 000 Co 364.1 207:03.20 Ein 15/1 BAUNE 1'03.00 cis 15/17 ریخ ووقت *راوز*ٺ و كونت اطلاع د من منت الحبال والم المرام المرام الفيان أنه الح 1/58 من الموال 302 مركيفيت جرم (معدونعه) حال أكريكوليا كيا مو Town lite she live of our hours is of the object lists او مکونت الزم المورك والراح CA 400 DOI DE 18/010 100 Mar /4 201/0 رم اندان المر 57/58 مال مكم كارفان في ما ما يل ندش كراش متمول شور وهم 375 مال English or is to this to a last the light of the cost to con Brost of م المراع مل كر لرام متول شوم كرون (زان مدرا الرين واحم مره منه من من را به المان إ الله والمع والم أحمر والمان عوق اكر وافق لرام حق جودات بماراك كالمرك أن مادال ہے۔ فرق کرائم منول شوم کی مزر کھے ارتبہ انتقی تنان کرنے کا برمارف طرح ار طریان ما ملاح امورا الماران والم المرساب وقوم القان المرساع المال المرسان فود اور ما شرك الما من العدات شور أني مرك و من لور أن من حرف كا الوراد الورد ومر فعمال على زف رياك مرم بول رياد وروي المراق وي المراق الما الما المراق ا (WOU) 1385 41,000 = 1000 (10 00) 400 /10 01 6/1 124, 100 6/10 10 000 يه تني كامارة اورافران ما الوراق الوراق الوراق المراق المراق والمراق والمراق فو الاراق المراق وه المال الع ما المراف المراف وف المراف المراف المراف المراف المراف المرافق الم الما يا - افرانا الرك الورا الله ولا ولا المراج الورائي الرما الرما الرما المراج الورائي الرما المراء المرا UPLAN MIC/PS/KIS 15-6-17

CHARGE SHEET

Whereas I am satisfied that formal enquiry as contemplated by Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 is necessary and expedient.

And whereas I am of the view that the allegations if established would call for Major/Minor penalty as defined in Rules 4(b) n & b of the aforesaid Rules.

Now therefore as required by Rules 6(1) of the aforesaid Rules I Muhammad Sohaib Ashraf, PSP, District Police Officer, Swabi charge you Constable Salman Belt No. 117 on the basis of statement of allegations attached to this charge sheet.

In case your reply is not received within seven days without sufficient cause it will be presumed that you have no defence to offer and exparte action will be taken against you.

District Police Officer.

Certified to be free Copy.

ATTESTED.

Dictrict Felic Street Surget

SUMMARY OF ALLEGATIONS

It is alleged that Constable Salman Belt No. 117, while posted in PS Zaida involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy Month of Ramzan vide FIR No. 364 Dated 15.06.2017 U/s 302/120-B/18/149/177 PPC PS Kalu Khan. Being member of Police force instead of protecting life and liberty of citizens you himself murdered an innocent soul for the reason best known to you, which speaks of your inefficiency and amounts to gross misconduct. Hence, summary of allegations.

Mr. Shah Miumtaz Khan, DSP Razzar is appointed to conduct

proper departmental enquiry against him.

District Police Office

No. 15 /CC/PSO. Dated. 9 / 08 /2017

Certified to be Total Copy.

Allen

From:

The Sub Divisional Police Officer,

Circle Razzar, Kernal Sher Kelli.

To

The Police Station Kalu Khan.

i. Sala 369

/S. dated Razzar the 11 / 08/2017.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST FC SALMAN NO.117.

Memo:

Direct Constable Salman No. 117 R/o Mohalla Mama Khel village Kalu Khan while posted at Police station Zaida, Swabi to attend the office of undersigned on 15.08.2017 at 09:00 am positively for recording his statement in connection with his departmental enquiry

Sub Divisional Police officer, Circle Razzar, Kernel Sher Kelli.

Certified to he true copy.

AT STED.

Ciscos Park Timbors water



OFFICE OF THE SUB DIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICER, RAZZAR CIRCLE KERNAL SHER KELLI, SWABI (TEL PHONE NO. 0938.312-777)

To:

The District Police Officer,

Sŵzabi,

No.

467

/S, dated Razzar the <u>78109</u>/2017.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST CONSTABLE SALMAN

NO, 117,

<u>Memo:</u>

In compliance with your office letter No. 15/CC/PSO, dated 09.08.2017 on the subject noted above.

SUMMARY OF ALLEGATION:

My this order will dispose of the departmental proceedings against constable Salman No. 117 who while posted in PS Zaida involved himself in abetment and conspiracy of a murder case in the Holy month of Ramazan vide case FIR No. 364 dated: 15.6.2017 U/s 302/148/149/177/120B PPC, PS Kalu Khan. Being a member of Police Force, he murdered an innocent soul for the reason best known to him. This speaks of his inefficiency and amounts to gross misconduct. The DPO Swabi issued him a show cause notice and subsequently issued charge sheet/summary of allegations and the undersigned has been appointed an enquiry officer to conduct proper departmental enquiry against the defaulter official.

PROCEEDINGS:-

Certified to he True Copy.

The defaulter constable Salman No. 117 was directed to attend this office and record his statement regarding his departmental enquiry. He attended this office and his statement was recorded. According to his statement he has no enmity or bad terms with any one and he has been falsely implicated in the above case. In this connection he is ready for satisfaction. He stated that the complainant is his nearest relative and he did not charged me. He is serving in Police Deptt: as a constable and performing his duty honestly and will protect the life and property of the public. I am serving in Police Deptt: for earning the livelihood of my children. He requested to file the instant enquiry. The defaulter constable was also put into cross question which is enclosed for perusal.

Moreover, the statement of SI/OII of PS Kalu Khan has been also recorded. He stated that in case FIR No. 364 dated: 15.6.2017 PS Kalu Khan, complainant Amjad Ali R/o village Kalu Khan has charged an unknown accused for the murder of his son. After registration of the case, the investigation was started. During investigation, Police arrested Hilal Ahmad glias Swatay S/o Jan Bahadar R/o Kalu Khan, Kashif S/o Zar

ATTESTEERS Swable

Muhammad R/o Kernal Sher Killi and Mirzali Khan S/o Shah Nazar R/o Sikandary. Their statements were recorded U/s 161 and 164 Cr.Pc. On their statements they also nominated Constable Salman S/o Shad Ali R/o Kalu Khan as a co-accused in the above case. Therefore, constable Salman No. 117 was arrested in case and after investigation, he was challanged to court for trial. The statements of the above accused recorded U/s 164 Cr.Pc are enclosed for necessary action.

FINDINGS:-

I have gone through his enquiry file, the statements of OII of PS Kalu Khan as well the statements recorded U/s 164 Cr.Pc in the court by the above mentioned three accused has charged constable Salman No. 117 as a accused.

RECCOMENDATION:-

From the perusal of available record and examination of witnesses and discussion with IO of the case, I am fully convince, that defaulter constable is fully connected with the commission of murder of deceased Tanvir vide case FIR No. 364 dated: 15.6.2017 U/s 302/148/149/177/120B PPC, PS Kalu Khan and found him guilty, hence recommended for Major Punishment, please.

Enclosed: (33 Pages)

Sub Divisional Police Officer; Razzar Circle, Kernal Sher Kelli.

Certified to be True Copy.

Officer, Swabl.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE.

Whereas you Constable Salman No. 117, while posted in PS Zaida involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy Month of Ramazan vide FIR No. 364 dated 15.06.2017 u/s 302/120-B/148/149/114/177 PPC PS Kalu Khan. Being member of Police force instead of protecting life and liberty of citizens you himself murdered an innocent soul for the reason best known to you, which speaks of your inefficiency and amounts to gross mis-conduct.

You are therefore found guilty of gross mis-conduct as define in <u>rule 2.(iii) of KPK Police Rules 1975</u> (as amended) and as such liable to action under rule-3 of the ibid Rules.

Based on the facts reported by the Officer Incharge Investigation PS Kalu Khan, the authority is satisfied that no departmental enquiry through an Enquiry Officer is necessary in this case as contained in clause (a) of rule 5.3 of the said Rules.

Now therefore, I Muhammad Sohaib Ashraf PSP, District Police Officer, Swabi as competent authority called upon you Constable Salman No. 117 under, clause (c) of rule 5.3 of the KPK Police Rules 1975 to Show Cause within 07 days, as to way one or more of major or minor punishment as deemed necessary under rule 5.5 of said Rules should not be imposed upon you.

Certified to be True Copy.

If you failed to submit reply in compliance of this Show Cause Notice within stipulated period, it will be presumed that you have nothing to offer in your defence and in that case ex-parte action shall be taken against you without further notice.

District Police Officer, Swabi.

No 339-40 /PSO,

Dated 12 107 /2017.

16

03462599849 Salaran

117 Ou Jan

ATTESTED.

iline diffican Swall.

Government of Khyber Pukhtunkhawa.
Office of the District Police Officer, Swabi

Phone No: 0938-221399, Fax No. 0938-222434

. 341

/PSO, Dated Swabi, the

12/07/

/2017

To:

The SHO,

Police Station Kalu Khan.

Subject:

SERVICE OF SHOW CAUSE NOTICES.

Memo:

Enclosed find herewith Show Cause Notices of the following Police Officials of your respective area which should be served upon them and duplicate copies of which should reach the office within two days for office record.

1. Kashif 447/FC, Kalu Khan.

2. Salman 147, Kalu Khan.

District Police Officer

Swabi.

In/b/o

Cartified to be True Copy.

سرعار و المراك من المراك المراك من من والولى المراك المراك من من المراك المراك المراك المراك من من المراك المرا

1AC/18/11/5-7-17

ATTESTED

District Polico Diffeer, Swabi

PAROUPDPO NOVISTO -120B-177-144 P. P. 15 17 2354, 50 119 L ور الموان هم در المورد الري سر (سراسي سا که کری در 1. (m) 20 - 1 - 2 (m) Les (m) Les (m) Les (m) Caroling (m) Carolin 613,1-20/junot, 5/50/18.02/18.02/1 تري داد الري وعرو نر ك سر وي 3 المرواري كريس النال خرد الرابا و در الول الراب المالان المال (19 Who will) 200 Bings holan Inglan سومازلول و المال داخ جفرومان by show by Osl Salman 117

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, SWABI US



Whereas, you Constable Salman Belt No. 147, while posted to Police Station Zaida involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy month of Ramzan vide FIR No. 364 Dated 15.06.2017 U/s' 302/120-B/18/149/177 PPC Police Station Kahi Khan, which is against the discipline and amounts to gross mis conduct.

In this connection you were charge sheeted and served with summary of allegation and BSP, Razzar was appointed to conduct proper departmental enquiry. The enquiry officer held enquiry and submitted his findings, wherein, he held you Constable Salman Belt No.147 guilty for the mis-conduct.

Therefore, it is proposed to impose Major/Minor penalty including dismissal as envisaged under Rules 4(b) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975.

Officer, Swabi in exercise of power vested in me under Rules 5(3) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 call upon you to show cause finally as to why the proposed punishment should not be awarded to you.

Your reply should reach to the office of the undersigned within seven days of the receipt of this notice failing which it will be presumed that you have no explanation to offer.

You are also at liberty to appear for personal hearing before

the undersigned.

District Police Officer Swabi.

No. 28 / 00 .. /250 thated 28 / 00 .. /2017.

ATTESTED.

Dictiot Police Officer, Swabl.

my for

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, SWABI

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

Whereas, von Constable Salman Belt No. 117, while posted To Police Station Zaida involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy month of Ramzan vide FIR No. 364 Dated 15,06,2017 U/s 302/120-B/18/149/177 PPC Police Station Kalu Khan, which is against the discipline and amounts to gross mis-conduct. - -

In this connection you were charge sheeted and served with summary of allegation and DSP, Razzar was appointed to conduct proper departmental enquiry. The enquiry officer held enquiry and submitted his findings, wherein, he held you Constable Salman Belt No.117 guilty for the mis-conduct.

Therefore, it is proposed to impose Major/Minor penalty including dismissal as envisaged under Rules 4(b) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975.

Hence I Muhammad Sohaib Ashraf PSP, District Police Officer, Swabi in exercise of power vested in me under Rules 5(3) of the Khyber Pakhimikhwa Police Rules 1975 call upon you to show cause finally as to why the proposed punishment should not be awarded to you. 🚽 Certified to be True Copy.

Your reply should reach to the office of the undersigned within seven days of the receipt of this notice failing which it will be presumed that you have no explanation to offer.

You are also at liberty to appear for personal hearing before

the undersigned.

District Molice Officer.

Swabi.

Officer, Swall:

1126 (Rose BIX - 30 pla ela Esil Espe 168-148 197.144, 1015 6 23 364 w 200 05 E Complie mont shows كسلى دين توسار مول و كاميرا حرا قرم المرادال 200 103 Pine 2 130 Colon را المرابات الماراي سي مرياحول ميل كامام وصلى ك ف المركزون او ركي امن المركان Les Copy. - (19 615 3 1/ 1 2 Jos المرافع درو اس المرافع ها المرافع الحالمة المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع الم موطار فرا ل المراب المر - Colore The Colors ATTERTE Police Officer, Swabi.

117 Let wilder wolding سوالممرك آكي سقرمم حداسي كول چارن كي تحديم كاشف كى ومست متدمه و رح مواتها كيوندم اس ا كيونكم اس نے يوليس و سايا كها كر مس ننز بي ساسل بولسرومهماء سُوْق شُوْم و کی سیان ہوں سوراعيه لا Confiner John Conta un Consis حورات الم سواهم و م عامر ورف ع عامد ولرسرعفورساكن كالوشائع لمين ميرااس حواب Salwan & Jone Cold By 200 4/62) m كياكي روز وقوعم عامركما تقاتق ياشي مواب س Salman 10,000 050 (5,00) 0000 سوالمهرك مر 568/1602 2 165/2016 Salver No. 25 م الحواب على آي اور كاشت دولون عامركه شارول قر سوالمهرة ب 5 2 6 00 V 60 65 00 212 مول م SA John Stallow Salar 200462599049 50 1 1 1 90 1 500 <u>حواب</u> به کیا کاشی اور عامرز کی تیم ان بین آئی کیا س mo [Wings 4195 iorist Police Officer, Swabl.

Che -302/148/149 114/1208/177 pr. (15, 15 06 Nios 364 Nios Nios - & steers oereas upo the circh air? to ans cesso ser un أ مي درو من يسر دود دي وين على درويد ارك بردود ويها ن مدوج کے معمد دی د دستر بنور دوست مندط شرح سور دورای دورس میل الم مدف سفانی و مری وزر سانی is Cin 164, 161 Mass 12 / As his as a white - with ماء ك مله روه عن الألب من وي كارى د راي عن المالية المالية المالية المالية المالية المالية المالية المالية الم عاديان كالعدين توجه نطورميل تامير تيا عادر منف میں سمای فذکورہ میں ساتھ جے فترکورہ نہائ سالی on an id en est at constret sienuis and as al JEW intio w oft is an coas mino and in the Mossie Certimon to be the Copy. 5 1281 KU Citicas Swabi.

<u>OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER</u>

ORDER

Constable Salman Belt No. 117, while posted to Police Station Zäida/involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy Morth of Ramzan vide FIR No. 364 Dated 15.06.2017 U/s 302/120-B/18/148/149 PPC Police Station Kalu Khan, which is against the discipline and amounts to gross misconduct.

In this connection, he was served with a Show Cause Notice under the rules vide this office Diary No. 339-40/PSO, Dated 12,07,2017 and in compliance, he has submitted his reply to the Show Cause Notice which was found unsatisfactory. Therefore, he was served with Charge Sheet and Summery of allegations vide this office No. 15/PSO Dated 09.08.2017 and DSF Razzar was appointed as Enquiry Officer. The officer conducted proper departmental enquiry, collected evidence and recorded statements of all concerned. The Enquiry officer submitted his findings, wherein he found Constable Salman 117 guilty for the charges of murder and hence, recommended him for Major punishment. The undersigned perused the enquiry papers, findings and by agreeing with the Enquiry Officer, served him with Final Show Cause Notice vide this office No. 209/PSO. Dated 28.09.2017 but he did not bother to receive nor attend the office which means that he has nothing to offer in his defense. By means of not attending the office, it convinced me that the delinquent Constable has committed the offence of a murder in assistance with other co-accused. According to the statement of IO, the accused Salman, being member of Police force, has close contacts with the notorious of the area of Police Station Kalu Khan and during the course of investigation; his other co-accused has recorded their statements before the Court U/s 164/364 Cr. Pc and confessed their guilt but the complainant of the case was failed to thoroughly pursue the murder case of his deceased son Tanveer due to fear of enmity who was murdered in the Holy Month of Ramzan. The Enquiry Officer rightly recommends that the definquent Şalman has no right to serve any more sin the Police force being ulcerous for the rest of Police and he has been trained with the purposes to serve the public & state rather to serve according to his own sweet will by taking the lives and properties of others, which is unlawful act on Certified to be True Copy. his part.

Therefore, I, Muhammad Sohaib Ashraf, PSP, District Police Officer, Swabi, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Rules 5(3) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975, hereby award Constable Salman Belt No. 117, Major punishment of Dismissal from service with immediate effect.

Order announced: On 17.10.2017.

O.B No. /0/4/ Dated <u>28 /26 /</u>2017.

District Police Offic Savabi.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, SWABL

No. 842-46 /PSO, dated Swabi, the 23 /10

Copies to the: -

1. DSP, H.QRs. Swabi.

2. Pay Officer.

Establishment Clerk.

14. Fauji Misšal Člerk.

5. Official Concerned

12/12/11/11/Selles Tip Indone Land Words and I want JUNE LE LE COMPANIE being die our 1 good by Sing Sing Sy Hereifer On Philipping to be 18 Mil · 27 10 (361)



ORDER.

This order will dispose-off the appeal preferred by Ex- Constable Salman No. 117 of Swabi District Police against the order of District Police Officer, Swabi, wherein he was awarded Major punishment of dismissal from service vide OB No. 1014 dated 23.10.2017.

Brief facts of the case are that the appellant while posted to Police Station Zaida involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy Month of Ramzan vide case FIR No. 364 Dated 15.06.2017 U/s 302/120-B/18/148/149 PPC Police Station Kalu Khan, which is against the discipline and amounts to gross misconduct. Consequently, he was served with a Show Cause Notice and in compliance, he was submitted his reply to the Show Cause Notice which was found unsatisfactory. Therefore, he was served with Charge Sheet and Summery of allegations and DSP Razzar was appointed as Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer conducted proper departmental enquiry, collected evidence and recorded statements of all concerned. The Enquiry officer submitted his findings, wherein he found the alleged Constable guilty for the charges of murder and hence, recommended him for Major punishment. The District Police Officer, Swabi perused the enquiry papers, findings and by agreeing with the Enquiry Officer, served him with Final Show Cause Notice but he did not bother to receive nor attend the office which means that he has nothing to offer in his defense. By means of not attending the office, it convinced the District Police Officer, Swabi that the delinquent Constable has committed the offence of a murder in assistance with other co-accused. According to the statement of IO, the accused Salman, being member of Police force, has close contacts with the notorious of the area of Police Station Kalu Khan and during the course of investigation his other co-accused has recorded their statements before the Court U/s 164/364 Cr. Pe and confessed their guilt but the complainant of the case was failed to thoroughly pursue the murder case of his deceased son Tanveer due to fear of enmity who was murdered in the Holy Month of Ramzan. The Enquiry Officer rightly recommends that the definquent Constable has no right to serve any more in the Police force being alcerous for the rest of Police and he has been trained with the purposes to serve the public & state rather to serve according to his own sweat will by taking the lives and properties of others, which is unlawful act on his part. Therefore he was dismissed from service,

He was called in orderly room licid in this office on 06,12,2017 and heard him in person, but he did not produce any substantial evidence about his innocence. Therefore, I find no grounds to intervene the order passed by the District Police Officer, Swabi. Appeal is rejected.

GRDEH ANNOUNCED.

OB Ec / (mg) Legal

2725 ° 6 15-12-16 ° 6

(Muhammad Alam Shinwari)PSP Regional Police Officer.

Mardan

88 60

Dared Maydan the

13-12

<u>~_/</u>;017

Copy to District Police Officer. Swabi for information and necessary action w/r to his office Memo: No. 273/Insp: Logal dated 20.11.2017. The Service Record is returned herewith.

15 12 (1/2 + 1/2 +

1 2 com 2 who be with a contract of the land of the The way in the law is المالي فالمان سلمان سلم Mob:-0346-2599049 Ollice of the PPO KPK, Peshawar Addition Memo: Mu. 273 (Instr. Legal dated 20.11.2017) The Service Received in John Memo: Mu. 273 (Instr. Legal dated 20.11.2017)



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

No. S/__/(5/

18, dated Peshawar the 26/03/2018.

ORDER

This order is hereby passed to dispose of departmental appeal under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rule-1975 submitted by Ex-FC Salman No. 117. The petitioner was dismissed from service by DPO/Swabi vide OB No. 1014, dated 23:10:2017 on the charge that he while posted to Police Station Zaida involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy Month of Ramzan vide case FIR No. 364, dated 15:06:2017 u/s 302/120-B/18/148/149 PPC Police Station Kalu Khan.

His appeal was rejected by Regional Police Officer, Mardan vide order Endst: No. 8960/ES, dated 13.12.2017.

Meeting of Appellate Board was held on 01.03.2018 wherein petitioner was heard in person. During hearing petitioner denied the allegation leveled against him.

Petitioner was dismissed from service on the charges that he while posted to Police Station Zaida involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy Month of Ramzan vide case FIR No. 364, dated 15:06:2017 u/s 302/120-B/18/148/149 PPC Police Station Kalu Khan. Petitioner failed to offer plausible explanation in rebuttal of the charges:

The Board see no ground and reasons for acceptance of his petition. Therefore, the Board decided that his petition is hereby rejected.

This order is issued with the approval by the Competent Authority

(AHSAN SAIFULLAH)
AIGÆstablishment,

For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar.

No. S/ 1/52 - 58 /18,

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

- 1. Regional Police Officer, Mardan.
- 2. District Police Officer, Swabi.
- 3. PSO to IGP/Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, CPO Peshawar.
- 4. PA to Addl: IGP/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. PA to DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 6. PA to AIG/Legal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 7. Office Supdt: E-IV CPO Peshawar,

by we we wind distributed **LO/1/18**___ 6,29 8: 5.67 16. Ololin - Light بمقترمه دعوی 564/2018 J. J. J. Oly أباعث تحريرا نكبه مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالابین این طرف سے داسطے بیردی دجواب دہی دکل کار دائی متعلقه the gestion ilmostine es Min plant مقرر کرے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کومقد مہ کی کل کا روائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز وكيل ساحب كوراضي نامه كرنة وتقرر ثالت و فيصله برحلف ديئ جواب دى ا درا تبال دعوى ا در بسورت و گری کرنے اجراء اور صولی چیک دروبیار عرضی دعوی اور درخواست برسم کی تقیدیت زرایی بردستند کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم بیردی یا ڈگری کیطرفہ یا اپیل کی برا مدگی اورمنسوخی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل تکرانی دنظر ثانی دبیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ ن*ا کور* کے کل باجز وی کاروائی کے داسطے اور دکیل یا مختار قانونی کواپیے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجائے تقر رکا اختیار ہوگا۔ازرمساحب مقررشدہ کوبھی دہی جملہ ندکورہ باا ختیا رات حاصل ہوں مےاوراس کا ساخت مِرواختهٔ منظور قبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چہ دہرجاندالتوائے مقدمہ کے سبب ہے وہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ بیتی مقام دورہ پر ہویا حدے باہر ہوتو دکیل صاحب پابند ہوں سے کہ بیروی مْرُكُوْرُكُر مِيں _لہذا وكالت نامەلكھديا كەسندر ہے _ الرتوم ____ ال مراك الرقوم ____ ال مے لئے منظور ہے۔ Kandax July Sahran Attented 21.04.2018 m. A Accepted

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHŢUNKHWA PESHAWĄŔ.

Service Appeal No. 564/2018.

CI I	TO TOKY BY	44 = 4	~ ••	. *		
Salman	EX-FC No.	1173	Swabi	Anr	iellan	ıŧ

VERSUS

WRITTEN REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS.

1. Preliminary Objections.

- 1. That the appellant has got no Cause of action and locus standi to file the present appeal.
- 2. That the appeal is bad due to misjoinder and nonjoinder of necessary parties.
- 3. That the appeal is time barred.
- 4. That the appellant has not come to the Tribunal with clean hands.
- 5. That this Hon'ble Tribunal has got no jurisdiction to entertain the present appeal.
- 6. That the instant appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 7. That the appellant concealed the material facts from this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 8. That the appellant has been estopped by his own conduct to file the appeal.

2. REPLY ON FACTS.

- 1. Para No. 01 of appeal pertains to service record, hence need no comments.
- 2. Para No. 02 of appeal pertains to service record and posting, however according to service record of appellant, he was found habitually absentee.
- 3. Para No. 03 of appeal is incorrect, on account of involvement of appellant in murder case vide FIR No. 364 dated 15.06.2017 u/s 302/120-B/148/149/114/177 PPC PS Kalu Khan he was served with Charge Sheet and Summary of Allegations.
- 4. Para No. 04 of appeal is correct to the extent of appointment of Enquiry Officer, however after proper departmental enquiry, the allegations against appellant were proved and he was recommended for major penalty (Copy of the complete enquiry is annexed as Annexure "A").
- 5. Para No. 05 of appeal is correct to the extent of service of Final Show Cause Notice, however the same was issued on the recommendation of Enquiry Officer, however despite of service he did not bother to receive his Final Show Cause Notice nor attend the office of respondent No. 3 and failed to produced any cogent evidence in support of his innocence, hence dismissed from service.
- 6. Para No. 06 of appeal is correct to the extent of rejection of departmental appeal of appellant, however the same was rejected on merit.

7. The orders of respondents are in accordance with law/rules and the instant appeal of appellant is groundless and liable to be dismissed.

GROUNDS.

- a. Incorrect. The orders of respondents are quite legal and in accordance with law/rules, however the matter of verification regarding presence of appellant in the hospital at the relevant time is of no purpose in the enquiry proceedings against appellant.
- b. Incorrect. Reply already given vide para above.
- c. Incorrect. Proper department enquiry in accordance with law/rules was conducted while proper opportunity of defence was provided to him, but he could not proved himself innocent.
- d. Incorrect. The allegations against appellant have been proved upon which he was dismissed from service. Departmental and Criminal proceedings are two different proceedings and under the well established jurisprudential principles of administrative law the findings of the criminal case has got no bearing on the departmental proceedings.
- e. Incorrect. All codal formalities have been followed by respondents and the orders of respondents are quite legal in accordance with law/rules.
- f. Incorrect. Reply already given vide para above.
- g. That respondents may also be allowed to rely on additional ground at the time of arguments.

It is therefore requested that the appeal of appellant may kindly be dismissed being devoid of merits.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar (Respondent No. 1)

Deputy Inspector General of Police,

Mardan Region-I Mardan.

Respondent No. 2)

District Police Officer Swabi, (Respondent No. 3)

Sub Divisional Police Officer, Razzar (Respondent No. 4)

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 564/2018.

Salman Fr	v TC No	117 Swabi	·	17 4
Saiman Ez	A-I C NO.	117 Swaul	Aթլ	нани

VERSUS

AFFIDAVIT:-

We the respondent No. 1 to 4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the written reply are correct/true to the best of our knowledge / belief and nothing has been concealed from the honorable Tribunal.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar (Respondent No. 1)

Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I Mardan. Respondent No. 2)

District Police Officer Swabi, (Respondent No. 3)

Sub Divisional Police Officer, Razzar (Respondent No. 4)

OFFICE OF THE SUB DIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICER, RAZZAR CIRCLE KERNAL SHER KELLI, SWABI (TEL PHONE NO. 0938 312-777)

To:

The District Police Officer,

Swabi.

No. 467

/S, dated Razzar the <u>Z8 / 09</u> /2017.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST CONSTABLE SALMAN

NO. 117.

Memo:

In compliance with your office letter No. 15/CC/PSO, dated 09.08.2017 on the subject noted above.

SUMMARY OF ALLEGATION:

My this order will dispose of the departmental proceedings against constable Salman No. 117 who while posted in PS Zaida involved himself in abetment and conspiracy of a murder case in the Holy month of Ramazan vide case FIR No. 364 dated: 15.6.2017 U/s 302/148/149/177/120B PPC, PS Kalu Khan. Being a member of Police Force, he murdered an innocent soul for the reason best known to him. This speaks of his inefficiency and amounts to gross misconduct. The DPO Swabi issued him a show cause notice and subsequently issued charge sheet/summary of allegations and the undersigned has been appointed an enquiry officer to conduct proper departmental enquiry against the defaulter official.

PROCEEDINGS:-

The defaulter constable Salman No. 117 was directed to attend this office and record his statement regarding his departmental enquiry. He attended this office and his statement was recorded. According to his statement he has no enmity or bad terms with any one and he has been falsely implicated in the above case. In this connection he is ready for satisfaction. He stated that the complainant is his nearest relative and he did not charged me. He is serving in Police Deptt: as a constable and performing his duty honestly and will protect the life and property of the public. I am serving in Police Deptt: for earning the livelihood of my children. He requested to file the instant enquiry. The defaulter constable was also put into cross question which is enclosed for perusal.

Moreover, the statement of SI/OII of PS Kalu Khan has been also recorded. He stated that in case FIR No. 364 dated: 15.6.2017 PS Kalu Khan, complainant Amjad Ali R/o village Kalu Khan has charged an unknown accused for the murder of his son. After registration of the case, the investigation was started. During investigation, Police arrested Hilal Ahmad alias Swatay S/o Jan Bahadar R/o Kalu Khan, Kashif S/o Zar

Muhammad R/o Kernal Sher Killi and Mirzali Khan S/o Shah Nazar R/o Sikandary. Their statements were recorded U/s 161 and 164 Cr.Pc. On their statements they also nominated Constable Salman S/o Shad Ali R/o Kalu Khan as a co-accused in the above case. Therefore, constable Salman No. 117 was arrested in case and after investigation, he was challanged to court for trial. The statements of the above accused recorded U/s 164 Cr.Pc are enclosed for necessary action.

FINDINGS:-

I have gone through his enquiry file, the statements of OII of PS Kalu Khan as well the statements recorded U/s 164 Cr.Pc in the court by the above mentioned three accused has charged constable Salman No. 117 as a accused.

RECCOMENDATION:-

From the perusal of available record and examination of witnesses and discussion with IO of the case, I am fully convince, that defaulter constable is fully connected with the commission of murder of deceased Tanvir vide case FIR No. 364 dated: 15.6.2017 U/s 302/148/149/177/120B PPC, PS Kalu Khan and found him guilty, hence recommended for Major Punishment, please.

(33 Pages) Enclosed:

Sub Divisional Police Officer, Razzar Circle, Kernal Sher Kelli.

issue psch.

SUMMARY OF ALLEGATIONS

A-2

If is alleged that Constable Salman Beh No. 117, while posted in PS Zaida involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy Month of Ramzan vide FIR No. 364 Dated 15.06.2017 U/s 302/120-B/18/149/177 PPC PS Kalu Khan. Being member of Police force instead of protecting life and liberty of citizens you himself murdered an innocent soul for the reason best known to you, which speaks of your mefficiency and amounts to gross misconduct. Hence, summary of allegations.

Mr. Shah Wumtaz Khan, DSP Razzar is appointed to conduct

propos departmental enquiry against him.

District Police Office Swabi.

No. 15 / /CC/PSO. Dated, 9 / 08 /2017

CHARGE SHEET

Whereas I am satisfied that formal enquiry as contemplated by Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 is necessary and expedient.

And whereas I am of the view that the allegations if established would call for Major/Minor penalty as defined in Rules 4(b) a & b of the aforesaid Rules.

Now therefore as required by Rules 6(1) of the aforesaid Rules I Muhammad Sohaib Ashraf, PSP, District Police Officer, Swabi charge you Constable Salman Belt No. 117 on the basis of statement of allegations attached to this charge sheet.

In case your reply is not received within seven days without sufficient cause it will be presumed that you have no defence to offer and exparte action will be taken against you.

> District Police Officer. Swabi.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE.

Whereas you Constable Salman No. 117, while posted in PS Zaida involved himself in the abetment and conspiracy of a murder in the Holy Month of Ramazan vide FIR No. 364 dated 15.06.2017 u/s 302/120-B/148/149/114/177 PPC PS Kalu Khan. Being member of Police force instead of protecting life and liberty of citizens you himself murdered an innocent soul for the reason best known to you, which speaks of your inefficiency and amounts to gross mis-conduct.

You are therefore found guilty of gross mis-conduct as define in rule 2.(iii) of KPK Police Rules 1975 (as amended) and as such liable to action under rule-3 of the ibid Rules.

Based on the facts reported by the Officer Incharge Investigation PS Kalu Khan, the authority is satisfied that no departmental enquiry through an Enquiry Officer is necessary in this case as contained in clause (a) of rule 5.3 of the said Rules.

Now therefore, I Muhammad Sohaib Ashraf PSP, District Police Officer, Swabi as competent authority called upon you Constable Salman No. 117 under, clause (c) of rule 5.3 of the KPK Police Rules 1975 to Show Cause within 07 days, as to way one or more of major or minor punishment as deemed necessary under rule 5.5 of said Rules should not be imposed upon you.

If you failed to submit reply in compliance of this Show Cause Notice within stipulated period, it will be presumed that you have nothing to offer in your defence and in that case ex-parte action shall be taken against you without further notice.

District Police Officer Swabi.

No 339-40 /PSO,

Dated 12 107 /2017.

011

03462599649 Salwan),

Government of Khyber Pukhtunkhawa.

Office of the District Police Officer, Swabi Phone No. 0938-221399, Fax No. 0938-222434

/PSO, Dated Swabi, the

fo:

The SHO.

Police Station Kalu Khan.

Subject: Memo:

SERVICE OF SHOW CAUSE NOTICES.

Enclosed find herewith Show Cause Notices of the following Police Officials of your respective area which should be served upon them and duplicate copies of which should reach the office within two days for office record.

- 1. Kashif 447/FC. Kalu Khan.
- 2. Salman 117, Kəlu Khan,

Swabi.

aca-302-120B-177-144 PP 15/2 20364 100/13 L الما ما ما ما ما ما مورد المعامل مع الروم المعامل مع والمعامل والمعامل معامل معاملل معامل 3e July of Charles & Charles Charles 13/33,120/5/00/5/5/00/5/5.0%. W. 200 (10 Chara Con Con Color of Sent 131 Ju colillantial Cools spirit Bits dill 0/200 1-625=blo Ocho 160 JU174 CM Cows Labor US W-US Brichigh - Ug ily by Wil Jyg 0, 05 hobir med zindir Blog rez pos Ellober por yilling By Shesie bit On Osle Salman 117

A-7 While 16 Jb = 302 15/017 18/017 364 سام = ٥ لُوسى فرف عامِير ول مقل عنور سام) كالو مال " Jest I O Ullin @ (2) کاش ترن 2×۱ و کر سنر داره ماش تره این شره این می این می این می این می این می می این می می می می می می می می (ق) زير ول فلام فود سان اسولا @ بال او برف بران دل طال بهادر مام كالوفان (و سردلها وله املوم مان کالوهای (۱) اسانیم وله املومای در فراست ارمی علی بی بال زر دنم 164 ف اذال E per propriet 11/2/2 معتر منوان مالا سر) تو رول الحر بدار كالوخال سر وقوع مثل - With the state of 2/2/20 Colored مَنْ مُن مُن طرف في أوران لَقَدُ مِن الْمُناكِ الْمُنْ اللَّهِ اللَّالِي اللَّهِ اللّلِي الللَّهِ الللَّا الللَّالِيلِلْمِلْمِلْمِلْمِلْمِلْمِلْمِلْمِ 160/19/ Dalle July John - - - July July July July - 4 VI b le dis 11 1/16 است عاص کان ولزاقر بام - الا ترکا کا بال زاردس 51. Ps. K. Khan o wed of 29-06-017

Order Janussynskin Her absugent one kashif I read is prisut. Rand person I. Rost de 90 is Jim. Have statut of flu som nours withuss pluly rande 1/4/3 1644he. Origin is plant on file & Umbre Jon to 90, while Mulgini to retain ils Copy in Sylve askedy.

ļ

હત્વ મુખ્ય

(1) way sell 8-000 July 200 6668 20 500 معت رُج و كر ارس ازان مرازوالای دائي ميره مند اک ك للا كسال حين من من (ور فور شامل شر) لف - تو يراف ال كيك رسى موسر كارمن آره في ورفع سره نين ازان ملادالمان رورنہ ہوئے۔ اپنے می مورا کم توسر کو آرمیڈ مرکورہ میں ا الله هر دیگر اربط مون: این میرا بران سے will change for the Jusock (18/ 1905) - 1 Olepit will in Colin ر فس انکام کا لوطان 0 12 18 (3)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re Service Appeal No.564/2018

Salman

Ex-FC No. 117 P.S Zaida, District Swabi.

Appellant

Versus

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others.

Respondents

INDEX

S.No	Description of Document	Annexure	Pages
1	Copy of con Repoinder		1-4 9
2	Affidavit		* 7

Appellant

Through

Mehtab Sikandar, Advocate, Peshawar.

3

<u>BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR</u>

In Re Service Appeal No.566/2018

Salman

Ex-FC No. 117 P.S Zaida, District Swabi.

Appeilant

Versus

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and others.

Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Salman Ex-FC No. 117 P.S Zaida, District Swabi presently r/o Mohallah Mama Khel Teshil Razzar District Swabi, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of rejoinder to the reply are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief that nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

Identified by:

Deponent

Mehtab Sikandar, Advocate Peshawar.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNEKHAWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO 564-P/2019

Rut up to the court with

SALMAN VS IGP AND OTHERS

APPLICATION FOR ASSAILLING ORDER ON APPEAL DATED 13/12/2017 INADVERTANTLY NOT MENTIONED IN THE PRAYER ALTHOUGH PLACED ON FILE AT PAGE NO 21 AND SUBSEQUENTLY ORDER DATED 26/3/2019 HAS BEEN CHALLANGED.

RESFECTULLY SHEWETH:-

- 1. That captioned Appeal matured for final hearing after submission of reply and rejoinder but inadvertently order dated 13/12/2017 rejecting departmental appeal has not been mentioned in prayer portion of the appeal although placed on record at page no 21.
- 2. That no doubt original order dated 17/10/2017 and final order passed on revision dated 26/3/2018 have been challenged but due to inadvertent omission order Regional Police Officer Mardan dated 13/12/2017 passed on

departmental appeal has not been mentioned in the prayer clause of the appeal.

on

As Kanded

It is therefore humbly prayed that acceptance of this application the order dated 13/12/2017 at page no 21 of the appeal may graciously be considered as part and parcel of the impugned order in appeal in the interest of justice.

Applicant

Through

Mehtab Sikandar

Advocate Peshawar.

VERIFICATION:

It is verified as per instruction of my client that contents of the Application are true and correct and nothing has been concealed

therein

Advocate