BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 630/2019

Date of Institution ... 15.05.2019

Date of Decision... 23.11.2022

Mrs. Nida Ali, Ex-Lady Constable No. 2787, Police Lines, District Mardan.

....(Appellant)

VERSUS

The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and 02 others.

(Respondents)

For appellant.

MR. WALEED ADNAN, Advocate

MR. MUHAMMAD RIAZ KHAN PAINDAKHEL, Assistant Advocate General ----

For respondents.

SALAH-UD-DIN MIAN MUHAMMAD

MEMBER (JUDICIAL) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT:

SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:- Precise facts forming background of the instant service appeal are that departmental action was taken against the appellant on the allegations of her absence from duty and she was dismissed from service vide order bearing OB No. 531 dated 24.02.2017. The departmental appeal of the appellant was declined vide order dated 07.03.2019 on the ground that she was having bad service record and her appeal was also time barred. The mercy

petition of the appellant was also declined vide order dated 29.03.2019, hence the instant service appeal.

2. Notices were issued to the respondents, who submitted their comments, wherein they refuted the assertions made by the appellant in his appeal.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has argued that the absence of appellant could not be considered as willful for the reason that she was unable to attend her duty due to illness; that no regular inquiry was conducted in the matter and she was condemned unheard; that the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law/rules and her rights guaranteed under Articles 4 & 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 have been violated; that as the absence of appellant was treated as leave without pay, therefore, there was no justification in awarding her major penalty of dismissal from service; that the impugned orders are against law and facts, therefore, the same may be set-aside and the appellant may be reinstated in service with all back benefits. Reliance was placed on 2003 SCMR 826, 2004 SCMR 527 and 2008 PLC (C.S) 1055.

4. On the other hand, learned Assistant Advocate General for the respondents has contended that the appellant had willfully remained absent from duty for considerable long period without any casual leave or permission of the competent Authority; that charge sheet as well as statement of allegations were issued to the appellant, however she did not join the inquiry proceedings; that disciplinary action was

2

taken against the appellant by complying with all legal and codal formalities and as the allegations against the appellant stood proved, therefore, she was dismissed from service; that the appellant was dismissed from service vide order dated 24.02.2017, which was departmental appeal challenged through filing of on 15.02.2019, which was badly time barred; that as the departmental appeal of the appellant was barred by time, therefore, her service appeal is not maintainable; that in her service appeal, the appellant has alleged that she was unable to attend her duty due to illness, while in her departmental appeal she has taken altogether different stance by alleging that she had remained absent from duty for a long period on account of her marriage; that the appellant has taken different stances in her service appeal and departmental appeal, which would show that she has not come to the court with clean hands and her appeal is thus liable to be dismissed.

5. We have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

6. While perusing the record, we have observed that the appellant was dismissed from service vide order bearing O.B No. 531dated 24.02.2017 on account of her willful absence from duty for a period of 118 days. The said order was challenged by the appellant through filing of departmental appeal in the year 2019, which was badly time barred. It is settled proposition of law that when the appeal of an employee was time barred before the appellate Authority, then his appeal before the Tribunal was not competent. Reliance is placed on

3.

2007 SCMR 513, PLD 1990 S.C 951 and 2006 SCMR 453. Furthermore, august Supreme Court of Pakistan in its judgment reported as 1987 SCMR 92 has held that when an appeal is required to be dismissed on limitation, its merits need not to be discussed.

7. In view of the above discussion, it is held that as the departmental appeal of the appellant was badly time barred, therefore, the appeal in hand being not competent is hereby dismissed. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 23.11.2022

(SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

<u>ORDER</u> 23.11.2022 Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Atta-ur-Rehman, Inspector (Legal) alongwith Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.

Vide our detailed judgment of today, separately placed on file, it is held that as the departmental appeal of the appellant was badly time barred, therefore, the appeal in hand being not competent is hereby dismissed. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 23.11.2022

> (Mian Muhammad) Member (Executive)

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (Judicial) 27,11.2021

Proper D.B is not available, therefore, case is adjourned to $\frac{\mathcal{PB}}{\mathcal{P}}$ / $\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{P}}$ for the same as before.

28-2-22

Due to retriement of the Hon ble chairman The case is adjammed on S-6-22 Reach Reach on 5-6-22

5-6-22

Proper PB not available the cape is adjourned to 6-9-22

Radder

06.09.2022 Compel were telephon April and the t

Nemo for appellant.

Kabir Ullah Khattak, learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Atta Ur Rehman Inspector for respondents present.

Preceding three dates were adjourned on a Reader's Note, therefore, notice be issued to appellant/counsel for 23.11.2022 for arguments before D.B.

(Fareeha Paul) Member(E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member(J)

18.02.2021

Mr. Haider Ali, Advocate on behalf of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Rashid, DDA for respondents present.

Former requests for adjournment as learned senior counsel for the appellant is engaged before the High Court in various cases today.

Adjourned to 28.05.2021 for arguments before D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

28.05.2021

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kheyal Roz, Inspector (legal) alongwith Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Former sought adjournment being not prepared for arguments today. Adjourned. File to come up for arguments before the D-B on 16.09.2021.

(MIAN MUHAPMAD) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

(SALAH-UD-DIN)

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

16.09.2021

Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak, Advocate, for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Arguments could not be heard due to paucity of time. Adjourned. To come up for arguments before the D.B on 24.11.2021.

(ATIQ-UR-REHMAN WAZIR) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

(SALAH-UD-DIN)

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

23.06.2020

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Riaz Paindakhel learned Asst. AG alongwith Mr. Atta Ur Rehman SI for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant states that due to adjournment on previous date through readers note he had no notice of the fixation of case today, therefore, he is not in p possession of the appeal.

Adjourned to 08.09.2020 for arguments before

D.B.

Membei

08.09.2020

Mr. Afrasiab Khan Wazir, Advocate, Junior to senior counsel for the appellant is present. Mr. Mr. Kabriuallah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Formal request@ for adjournment that his senior counsel is busy in august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.

Adjourned to 26.11.2020 for arguments before D.B

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

(Muhammad Jamal) Member(J)

Chairman

26.11.2020

Counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG alongwith Khyal Roz, Inspector for the respondents present.

Former requests for adjournment in order to further prepare the brief. Adjourned to 18.02.2021 for hearing before the D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member(E)

Chairman

27.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present. Asst: AG alongwith Mr. Atta ur Rehman, SI for respondents present. Representative of the respondents submitted copy of departmental appeal of the appellant submitted to the appellate authority which is placed on file. A copy of the same was also handed over to the learned counsel for the appellant. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment to furnish rejoinder and to further prepare the appeal. Adjourn. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 30.01.2020 before D.B.

Member

Member

30.01.2020

None for the appellant present. Asst: AG alongwith Mr. Atta ur Rehman, Inspector for respondents present. Due to General Strike of the bar on the call of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Bar Council, the instant case is adjourned. To come up for further proceedings/arguments on 31.03.2020 before D.B. Appellant be put on notice for the date fixed.

Member

Member

31.03.2020

Due to public holidays on account of Covid-19, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same on 23.06.2020 before D.B

5MA.84 ? .

630/2019

Appellant Deposited

hoess Fee

18.09.2019

Counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG alongwith Attaur Rahman, Inspector (Legal) for the respondents present.

On 26.06.2019 it was observed by this Tribunal that according to order of departmental appellate authority the appeal of appellant was barred by time while the copy of appeal available on the record suggested that it was submitted on 11.04.2017. On that score and in order to ascertain the real date of submission of departmental appeal pre-admission notice was ordered to be issued to the respondents. They were required to produce the enquiry file including memo of departmental appeal.

Today the representative of respondents has submitted reply on behalf of all the respondents, however, the record appended with the reply is bereft of the departmental appeal which could reflect the date of its filing. In the circumstance, the appeal in hand is admitted for regular hearing. The appellant shall deposit security and process fee within 10 days. As reply on behalf of the respondents has already been submitted the matter is assigned to a D.B for hearing on 2**3**.11.2019. The appellant may submit rejoinder, within a fortnight, if so advised.

Chairma

U/

25.06.2019

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Heard.

As per order dated 07.03.2019 of the appellate authority, the departmental appeal of the appellant is badly time barred. On the other hand stance of the learned counsel for the appellant was that the appellant filed departmental appeal within the prescribed period.

In the interest of justice, preadmission notice be issued to the respondents for reply. Adjourn. to come up for reply of the respondents alongwith record of inquiry including memo of departmental appeal of the appellant filed before the RPO Mardan (appellate authority), on 06.08.2019 before S.B.

06.08.2019

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, DDA for respondents present.

The respondents have not produced the requisite record as ordered on the last date of hearing. Learned DDA shall attempt to procure the same on next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 18.09.2019 before S.B.

Chairman

Member

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

	Case No	630/2019	
S.No.	Date of order proceedingsOrder or other proceedings with signature of judge		
1	2	3	
1-	15/05/2019	The appeal of Mst. Nida Ali presented today by Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register	
		and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please. REGISTRAR	
2-	16/05/19	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on $\frac{25/06}{19}$	
		CHAIRMAN	
	2	· · ·	
	-		
		•	

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 630 / 2019

VS

NIDA ALI

POLICE DEPARTMENT

INDEX				
S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE	
1	Memo of appeal		1- 3.	
2	Medical prescriptions	Α	4- 7.	
3.	Roznamcha	В	8.	
4.	Applications	C & D	9-10.	
5.	Impugned order	E	11.	
6.	Departmental appeal	F	12.	
7.	Rejection	G	13.	
8.	Revision petition & Rejection	H & I	14- 15.	
9.	Vakalat nama		16.	

APPELLANT

THROUGH: NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK, ADVOCATE

Flat No. 3, Upper Floor, Islamia Club Building, Khyber Bazar, Peshawar 0345-9383141

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 630 /2019

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal Diary No. 751 ANT

Mrs. Nida Ali, Ex- Lady Constable No. 2787, Police Lines, District Mardan.....

VERSUS

- 1- The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, District Mardan.
- 3- The District Police Officer, District Mardan.

APPEAL UNDER SECTION OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 28.02.2017 WHEREBY MAJOR PENALTY OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE HAS BEEN IMPOSED UPON THE APPELLANT AND AGAINST THE APPELLATE ORDER DATED 07.03.2019 AND REVISION ORDER DATED 29<u>.3.</u>2019 COMMUNICATED TO THE APPELLANT ON 26.04.2019 WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AND REVISION PETITION (11A) OF THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN REJECTED ON **NO GOOD GROUNDS**

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the impugned orders dated 28/02/2017, 07.03.2019 and 29.3.2019 communicated to the appellant on 26.4.2019 may very kindly be set aside the appellant may be re-instated into service with all back redto-daybenefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

⁽⁾ <u>R/SHEWETH:</u>

<u>ON FACTS:</u>

Brief facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:-

- 1- That appellant was the employee of the respondent Department and had served as Lady Constable the respondent Department for quite considerable time efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of her superiors.
- 2- That due to illness (Gyne Issue) the appellant submitted application for medical leave. That the said leave was allowed to the appellant for a period of thirty days i.e. w.e.f. 12.10.2016 to 11.11.2016. That on expiry of medical leave the appellant submitted her arrival on 11.11.2016 and requested for the extension of her medical leave w.e.f 12.11.2016 to 11.3.2017 but no response was given by the respondent No.3 to the said application of the appellant for grant of

- 3- That after gaining health when the appellant visited the concerned quarter to join her duty the appellant was handed over the impugned order dated 28.2.2017 whereby major penalty of dismissal from service was imposed on the appellant. Copy of the impugned order is attached as annexure.
- 4- That appellant feeling aggrieved from the impugned order dated 28.02.2017 preferred Departmental appeal before the respondent No.2 but the same was rejected on 07/03/2019 on no good grounds, where after the appellant submitted revision petition (11A) before the respondent No.1 and the same has also been rejected on 29.03.2019 communicated to the appellant on 26.04.2019. Copies of the Departmental appeal, rejection, review petition and rejection order dated 29.03.2019 are attached as annexure......
- 5- That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the impugned orders dated 28.02.2017, 07.03.2019 and 29.03.2019 are against the law, facts, norms of natural justice and materials on the record hence not tenable and liable to be set aside.
- B- That the appellant has not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That no charge sheet and statement of allegation has been served on the appellant before issuing the impugned order dated 28.02.2017.
- D-That no show cause notice has been served on the appellant before issuing the impugned order dated 28.02.2017.
- E- That no chance of personal hearing has been provided to the appellant which is necessary as per Supreme Court Judgment before taking any punitive action against the Civil Servant.
- F- That the absence of the appellant was not willful but caused due to the illness, therefore under the principle of natural justice the appellant deserve to be re-instated into service with all back benefits.
- G-That the respondent Department acted in arbitrary and malafide manner while issuing the impugned order dated 28.2.2017, 07.02.2019 and 29.03.2019.

- H- That the impugned order dated 28.02.2017 is void-ab-initio on the score that the absence period is treated by the respondents as leave without pay.
- I- That no regular inquiry has been conducted in the matter of the appellant which is as per Supreme Court judgments is necessary in punitive actions against the Civil servants.
- J- That appellant seeks permission to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

Dated: 08.05.2019

APPELLANT

NIDA ALI

THROUGH:

SHAHZULLAH KHAN YOUSAFZAI

MIR ZAMAN

&

ADVOCATES (

MMC MTI- MARDAN MEDICAL COMPLEX, MARDAN Accident & Emergency Service Deptt Light-. Name ___ . Åge Sex . Shadden -Address _ Hospital Yearly No_ Stat. Mrs. States Bundler Dated . R/-approved (1994) " partier geographie e Pt. Status. م العبيق لوالي + CiDeres Pt. Hist. 3. Repaire Tem MED O Pour 1210) **Clinical Examination** Parts Novigot soons Parina do S. Tallo -Provisional Diagnosis Aboquin Goomes Cap. Investigations Required 0, L.S. N 19 019 141 11 $\sim^{\ell} \eta$. $j_{1}j_{2}$ 1 263001 Dr. Name Signature: ATTESTED

PATHOLOGY DEPARTMENT MTI/MMC MARDAN

Dida Ali

PATIENTNAME

Remarks.

Lab.Tech.

SIDD				
SER	OLOGY		CHEMIST	RY
Blood Group	Report	de l'alests	Report	N.Range
mood Group		Sugar Fasting		60
IT D 1				115mg/d1
H.Pylori	(Postine (+ve)	Sugar Random		.70
DAD	ar respected to the first			130mg/dl
R A Factor		Blood Urea		10
	h.			48mg/dl
ASO Titer		Serum Creatinine		Upto
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1.0mg/dl
HBS Antigen		Serum Uric Acid		3.5-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				7.7mg/dl
Anti HCV		SGPT (ALT)		Upto 40u/l
HIV		T. Billirubine!		
		1. Shin dome		Upto
VDRL		D. Billirubine		1.0mg/dl < 0.3mg/dl
ANF		In. Billirubine		
TYPHIDOT	IgG	Alkaline		<0.7mg/dl
	16 (105 it is e (2-7)e)	phosphates	· · · .	120250U/L
	IgM	Serum		
		Cholesterol		120-
TAXOPLASMA	IgG			200mg/dl
	*go	Triglycerides		120-
	IgM	Total lipids		200mg/dl
· · ·	-8	, iotal lipius		450-
WIDAL	TO			850mg/dl
	TH	Na+	UTULY IS	
	AO	K+		135-145mEq/l
	BO			3.55.1mEq/1
BRUCELLA	Ab	Cl-		98106mEq/1
DICCULUA		HBA1C		
	Mel	ICT TB		

HOD (Pathology) M.T.I. MMC Mardan

DATE 28/9/1016

ATTESTED

PATHOLOGY DEPARTMENT MTI/MMC MARDAN

PATIENTNA	ME ()	Ojda A	DATE 2	518/roth
	EAMATOLOG	v		
Tests	Report	N.Range	SEMIN AN	VALYSIS
HB%	TCC POINT	M=13.516.5	Tests Repo	
M.P		F=11.513.5	Physical & Chemi	
TLC	· · ·		Quantity	0104ml
<u> </u>		400010000	Color	TURBID
	DLC	· ·	Viscosity	VISCUS
Neutrophil		4575%	Reaction	ALKALINE
Lymphocytes	· .	2045%	MICROSCOPIC I	EXAMINATION
Monocytes		01	Total sp count	60150
Esoinophil		0106%	Active Sperm	
Basophil		0001%	Sluggish sper	-<20%
Platelets.		150000-500000/cmm	Dead Sperm	<50%
ESR	·····	010mm/h		
ВТ		15min	RBCs	020
СТ		510min	Others	Nil
UR	INE ANALYS	IS	MORPHO	Nil
Color	DUOMON	P.YELLOW	Normal sperm	>80%
PH	Acidie	ACIDIC	Abnormal spr	
SP.Gravity	10,15	1,0101,030		<20%
Sugar	CAC2	NIL	COAGULATI(
	AUG			12 Sec
Protein	1.10	NIL	APTT	Less then 35sec
	<u><u><u>All</u>X</u></u>			
			INR	1.20
MICROS	COPIC EXAMIN			
Pus Cells			STOO	LR/F
RBCs	$\frac{00}{04} - 08$	0003	Color	Brown
Casts.	Alry .	NIL	Blood	Nil
Crystals	nesp.	a few	Mucus	Nil
EP-Cells	110	a few	MICROSCOPIC H	
Others A	Civerts +1	/Nil	RBCs	Nil
			Ova /	Nil
Pregnancy test		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Casts	Nil
Remarks			Casis	Nil

Lab.Tech.....

HOD (Pathology) M.T.I. MMC Mardan Ь

CEL-DYN	Ruby Software V	ersion 2.2 ML 5/1	1/2019 0:29 A	nalyzer S/N; 356	26BG	(1)
Chartable I Storid	Page No ID		IOLOG		ADMARAM	
Pat Name	NJDA ALI					SEQ# 6128 OPID Lidmi
Pat ID	NTON NUT			DOB	Sex Unknown	Run 5/1/205
Doctor				Draw Date/Time		Test CBC
Comment				lser Field 1		Mode Open Param
				Jser Field 2		Limits Default (1)
WBC	5.20 10e3/uL					
		%	Q	, 		
LYM		%	520		550	
MONO		%	50 20]		
		%	й Х		50	
BASO		%	<u>.</u> 8	in site		
			SIZE		GRANULARITY	
RBC	>>>>		, <u>s</u>			
HGB 🦯	<u>£1.2</u> g/dL		. 2		100 ERAL	
HCT	%		· ·		U U	
	<u>78.0</u> fL		. , <mark>.</mark>		<u> </u>	The second second
MCH	pg		_			1
	g/dL		o	0 50 100	150 200 250	50 100 150 200 25
RDW	13.4 %			COMP	LEXITY	LOBULARITY
	160. 10e3/uL	•	· · ·			
MPV	7.33 fL					
			•			
			. ·			
			·			
	• •					
1		u				
	· .	.•	•			
• • •		· ~ ·				
Ann	D= xlo.		Sear)	50 100	150 200 250	50 100 150 200 26
	V KIO	un y		P		RBC 250
LIMIT SET WBC 3.70-	10.1					
NEU 1.63-	6.96 39.3-73.7 %	RBC / 4.06-4.69	PLT 155.366.			
LYM 1.09-	2.99 18.0-48.3 %	HGB 12.9-14.2 HCT 37.7-53.7	MPV 6.90-10.6	INTERODETATI		
MONO .240-	.790 4.40-12.7 %	MCV 81.1-96.0	. ·	INTERPRETATIC		
EOS .030 BASO 0.00		MCH 27.0-31.2			NORMAL POPULATIONS:	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
DASO 0.00	.080 0.00-1.70 %	MCHC 31.8-35.4			RBC Data Over	
<u> </u>		RDW 11.5-14.5				iwiige
		· ·		USER DEFINED	ABNORMALITIES:	
		•		Lymphopenia	Microcytic RBC	:
			· · · ·			
· . ·		· · ·				

MTI/MMG/BKMG MARDAN

ATTECTED

مقل 28 روز نا 3- <u>المرا</u>ر جبلع عسردان il in que B فا 22 ولور فير طاجرى تحديث MABI وقت ما 1411 مورف " 11 درج فعنك ليرمي من ارمل 1872 جوكم بوالم مر 19 مونوا في 10 رمات فاول وي لوم ورتعه رفور من معرف على جنكى فرج والسى مقرر فقى مكر وركور مرتبه رفعي مك والمس ما حر میں مرح کی سے مردست میڈی تخیل ندار ملی 1874 کی جرف میں مع جاجری در ج موز الحرير بعد مرد على مد مليك ٥ حرت كر خ مفرض مثل مس كا دواتيم مران الا فد فتر فين م رسل مع -Bpe by E Jep Citte Min=mps SOR 26-04-19 ATTED

1'de is مود با مر رس مس سال جون ٥ مهاد يد . ادر ES ~ (3) ~ (3) ~ ~ 3) المت عاد ومن مدان و وار ما م دهد ما () و بغ ما De 62 / 10 p · 300- 1000 C Prot 11 1 / Just 1 ATTESTED

(10) جا ملك ا نور بالم رش برمانی میں ، معالم اررانی رو) میں جو تی ذریب میں حوال جا میں ہے۔ دستهاد تعلم سانه و اردی روم میں سیسی سوے ی اماز مل وفاق في مرق مرق * Sull 11 2016 Just معرى بسك درا وعلى عدر 187 مسلما جودي 11 م 14 ATTESTED Å.

POLICE DEPARTMENT

MARDAN DISTRICT

<u>ORDER</u>

This order will dispose-off departmental inquiry, which has been conducted against Lady Constable Nida No. 2787, on the allegation that he while posted at Women Disk Police Station Saddar, deliberately absented herself from lawful duty from dated DD No. 32 dated 28.09.2016 to DD No. 13 dated 12.10.2016 and vide DD No. 28 dated 11.11.2016 to till-date i.e 23.02.2017. This attitude adversely reflected on his performance which is an indiscipline net and gross misconduct on his part as defined in rale 2(iii) of Police Rules 1975. Therefore he was recommended for departmental action.

In this connection, Lady Constable Nida No. 2787, was charge sheeted vide this office No. 383/R, dated 19.10.2016 and also proceeded him against departmentally through Mr. Shah Mumtaz Khan, DSP/City Mardan, who after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his findings to the undersigned vide his office endorsement No. 3284/S, dated 49.12.2016. The allegations have been established against him and recommended for major punishment.

The undersigned agreed with the findings of the enquiry officer and the aileged Lady Constable Nida No. 2787, is hereby awarded major punishment of "Dismissal from Service" while his 1/8-days absence period is counted as leave without pay, with immediate effect in exercise of the power vested in me under the above quoted rules. Order announced

0.B.No. 531 Deserd Rof 1. R. 13617.

Dr. Mian Saced Ahmed (PSP) District Police Officer, Mardan,

No. 3. 01 - 2.71 dated Mardon the 28/01 12017.

Copy for information and necessary action to:-

1. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-1, Mardan.

- 2. The S.P Operations, Mardan.
- 3. The DSP/ City, Mardan.
- 4. The DSP/HQrs: Mardan.
- 5. The Pay Officer (DPO) Mardan.
- The E.C (DPO) Mardan.
- The OSI (DPO) Mardan.

in

The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 28.02.2017 WHEREBY THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN DISMISSED FROM SERVICE.

Respected Sir,

It is most humbly stated that was the employee of your good self Department and had served as Lady Constable the respondent Department for quite considerable period efficiently and upto the entire satisfaction of her superiors. Due to illness (Gyne issue) I have submitted application for medical leave. That the said leave was allowed to me for a period of thirty days and on the expiry of medical leave the appellant submitted my arrival on 11.11.2016 and requested for the extension of medical leave w.e.f 12.11.2016 to 11.3.2017 but the said application was un-responded. After recovery from the said illness I visited the concerned quarter for joining of my duty but the concerned authority handed over the impugned order dated 28.2.2017 whereby I have been dismissed from service. I was feeling aggrieved from the above mentioned dismissal order filed this Departmental appeal before your good self for redressal of my grievances and to re-instate in service.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental the impugned order dated 28.2.2017 may very kindly be set aside and I may be re-instated into service with all back benefits. Any other remedy which your good self deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of me.

Dated: 11.04.2017.

Your obediently

NIDA ALI Ex-Lady Constable No.2787, District Mardan

G-(13)

<u>ORDER.</u>

This order will dispose-off the departmental appeal preferred by Ex-Lady Constable Nida No. 2787 of Mardan District Police against the order of the District Police Officer, Mardan, wherein she was awarded Major Punishment of dismissal from Service by the then District Police Officer, Mardan vide his office OB: No. 531 dated 24,02.2017.

Brief facts of the case are that the appellant while posted at Women Desk Police Station Saddar deliberately absented herself from lawful duty vide daily dairy No. 32 dated 28.09.2016 to daily diary No. 13 dated 12.10.2016 and daily diary No. 28 dated 11.11.2016 till the date of her dismissal. Therefore, she was recommended for departmental action.

Consequently she was charge sheeted and also proceeded him against departmentally through Mr. Shah Mumtaz Khan the then DSP/City, Mardan. The Enquiry Officer after fulfilling necessary process submitted his findings to the then District Police Officer, Mardan. The allegations were established against her and recommended for Major Punishment.

The then District Police Officer, Mardan agreed with the findings of the enquiry Officer and the alleged Lady Constable Nida was awarded Major Punishment of dismissal from service while her 118 days absence period was counted as leave without pay.

She was called in orderly room held in this office on **27.02.2019** and heard in person. The appellant did not produce any cogent reason for her absence. Therefore, I find no grounds to intervene into the order passed by the then District Police Officer, Mardan. She has bad service record and her appeal is also badly time barred. Hence field.

ORGER ANNOUNCED

(MUHAMMAD ALI KHAN)PSP Regional Police Officer,

FSTED

(*****)

Mardan. Dated Mardan the D7 03 /2019.

Copy to District Police Officer, Mardan for information and necessary action w/r to his office Memo: No. 101/LB dated 22.02.2019. Her Service Record is returned herewith.

SIGRO

This onfer with dispose-off the departmental appeal preferred by Bx-Lody Constrable Nide No. 2787 of Mardon Disvilet Porce against the order of the District Philee Officer, Mardon, wherein she was awarded major Ponshmeny of dismissed from Survice by the trade District Police Officer, Mardon Vide his riflee DS; No. 631 at ted 24.02.2017.

Orief facts of the gase are that the appullent while photed at Women Device Station Saddor deliperitely notential incredit from inwish dure vide dr. y delip No. 22 meted 28 09.2016 to deliv diary No. 13 duted 14.10.2016 and daily alory No. 28 dated 11.11.7816 hit the date of her demissul. Therefore, she was recommended for departmental action.

Consequently and was charge shepted and also proceeded him actions, departmentally threegh Mr. Shah Mumtar Khal, the theo DSP/Clty. Mardhe, the Engury Officer after fulfilling necessory process submitted his inding: to the theo District Police Officer, Mardon, The allegittons were established against flar and incommended for Mojor Fungshment.

The then Cirtuet Parei Officer Harden annued with the findings of the angulty Officer and the chequed Lady Constable fillda was awarded Major Punishment of dismissal from service wille her 118 days discence period was counted as leave without pays.

She war cated in orderly room hold in this cilice on 27.02.2019 and heard is person. The expensar old rot produce at y cogent rector for her obsence. Thurefore, I find no grounds to interpane into the order passed by the thun District Police Officer, Menden. She has had service record and her appeal to stochedly this burned. Pence and.

ALL PRAISES

(Muhammad ali khan)PSP Reginnal Poince Officer, Miardan

Dated Mardamena 0702 12019.

Copy to District Police Officer, Marden for Information and nacessary action will in the nifice Memo Mo. 101/LB Cuted 22.02.2019. Her Service Record is returned betowith.

(+++++)

selles in the provinces H-(4) 5 H-(4) inpressiones, ille (the show of the store of the 6-101 07-3-2018 Proposition 100 per 1000 per 10000 $= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2}$ 0_{1} 0_{1 15/3/2018 / Auful in the state of th

الخمين من أى في ولس فير حرو كو الم I - (15) ورفراست برائی دین خارج در مطرفانی $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$ بک رجم در فراست برا^ی بی لی فزیری دی تقا محصے در الق $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial t}$ افراج مرکی کالی اوں تک کھے جانے کا مل in ignores de concritiquelis - 66012 = 10 m 1963 2000 2166 16/2010 - 26,000 26/4/2019 31 الوں جز Jein j, 2787 ju 5 6 g ...



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA Central Police Office, Peshawar.

No. S/_____/19, dated Peshawar the <u>29/03</u>/2019.

i To:

Subject:

Memo:

The Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

MERCY PETITION.

The Competent Authority has examined in the light of Police Rule 16.32 and filed the mercy petition submitted by Ex-Lady Constable Nida No. 2787 of Mardan District Police against the punishment of dismissal from service awarded by DPO/Mardan vide OB No. 531, dated 24.02.2017 being badly time barred.

The applicant may please be informed accordingly.

(SYED JL-HASSAN)

Registrar, For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

ATLESTER

VAKALATNAMA Before the Rhyber Parentenna Service Tribunal Neoleaner /2019(APPELLANT) Vida Ale: (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER) VERSUS (RESPONDENT) Police Department (DEFENDANT) Nida, Ali IN Do hereby appoint and constitute NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK, Advocate, Peshawar to appear, plead, act, compromisé, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. Dated. / /2019 CLIENT WRI NOC Waleed Adren W ACCÉ NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK SHAHZULLAH KHAN YOUSAFZAI MIR ZAMAN SAEI **ADVOCATES** OFFICE: Room No.1, Upper Floor, Islamia Club Building, Khyber Bazar, Peshawar City.

Phone: 091-2211391

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 630/2019

Mrs. Nida Ali, Ex-Lady Constale No. 2787, Police Lines, Mardan.

.....Appellant

VERSUS

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawra.

2. Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, District Mardan.

3. District Polie Officer, Mardan.

......Respondents

REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS No. 1,2 &3,

Respectfully Sheweth: -

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the appellant has got no cause of action.
- 2. That the appeal is badly time-barred.
- 3. That the appellant has been estopped by his own conduct to file the appeal.
- 4. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 5. That the appellant has not come to the Honourable Tribunal with clean hands.

ON FACTS

2.

- 1. Para to the extent employment in Police Department, pertains to record needs no comment while rest of the para is not plausible because every Police Officer/Official is under obligation to discharge duties upto the entire satisfaction of seniors.
 - Para incorrect. Because the appellant while posted at women desk Police Station, Saddar, willfully and deliberately absented herself from her lawful duty and in this regard proper report was penned in daily diary vide No. 32 dated 28-09-2016, Police Station, Saddar, where-after she reported her arrival on 12-10-2016 vide daily diary No. 13. However, on 11-11-2016, a report was again entered in daily diary vide No. 28, according to which the appellant was granted 30 days leave and her arrival was due on the aforementioned date but neither she reported her arrival nor applied for leave hence, she was marked absent. (Daily diary reports are annexed as Annexure "A","B" & "C").

- Para incorrect. The appellant in order to give legal cover to her absence period propounded this story because her arrival was due on 11-11-2016, but instead of arrival she again willfully and deliberately absented herself and after long absence of almost 03 months and 17 days, she alleged to report her arrival which is not plausible. That on account of her willful absence, she was proceeded against departmentally by issuing charge sheet & statement of allegation and SDPO City, Mardan was appointed as enquiry officer, who during the course of enquiry perused her service record which depicted that the appellant had tented service record with 19 bad entries which also show her lethargic attitude towards her official duties. Therefore, the enquiry officer after fulfillment of all legal and codal formalities, recommended the appellant for awarded appropriate punishment. Since, the allegations against the appellant have been proved to the hilt therefore, she was awarded appropriate punishment of dismissal from service which does commensurate with the gravity of misconduct of appellant. (Copy of charge sheet and statement of allegation are annexed as annexure "D"&"E").
- 4. Correct to the extent that the appellant preferred departmental appeal while rest of the para is incorrect, because, her dismissal order was passed on 24-02-2017 and she preferred departmental appeal in the year 2019, the appellant was also called in Orderly Room held on 27-02-2019, by providing of her right of self defense, but she bitterly failed to prove her innocence. Hence, the same was dismissed vide order No. 1946/ES dated 07-03-2019.
- 5. That appeal of the appellant is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds amongst the others: -

GROUNDS

- A. Incorrect. The orders passed by the competent as well as appellate authority are in accordance with law, facts, norms of natural justice and materials available on record, hence, tenable in the eye of law.
- B. Incorrect. Neither the respondent department has any grudges against the appellant nor she has been treated against the law.
 Hence, plea of the appellant is not plausible.
- C. Incorrect. As discussed earlier, the appellant was issued charge sheet and statement of allegation and proper departmental enquiry was initiated, during the course of which all legal and codal formalities were fulfilled and the appellant was provided ample opportunities to defend herself but in fiasco.

3.

D. Para already explained needs no comments.

5 - Maria - 51 - 55 7 3

- E. Incorrect. The appellant during the course of enquiry was provided fullfledged opportunities of defending herself but she bitterly failed to produce any sort of evidence regarding her innocence, therefore, plea taken by the appellant is a whimsical one.
- F. Incorrect. The appellant being member of disciplined force was under obligation to take proper leave/permission of the competent authority, but she did not bother to do so, rather absented herself, which shows her lethargy towards her official duties.
- G. Incorrect. As discussed earlier, the respondent department has no grudges against the appellant rather she has been treated in consonance with law, facts and material available on record.
- H. Para already explained hence, no comments.
- I. Para explained in preceding para hence, no comments.
- J. That the respondents also seek permission of this Honourable Tribunal to raise additional grounds at the time of arguments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of above submissions the appeal of the appellant may very kindly be dismissed with cost through out.

> Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondent No.1

Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region-I, Mardan Respondent No. 2

District Police Officer, Mardan **Respondent No.3**

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

 $\dot{\mathbb{Q}} \neq$

Service Appeal No. 630/2019

2.

Mrs. Nida Ali, Ex-Lady Constale No. 2787, Police Lines, Mardan.

.....Appellant

V ERSUS

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawra.

Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, District Mardan.

3. District Polie Officer, Mardan.

.....Respondents

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT.

We, the respondents do hereby declare and solemnly affirm on oath that the contents of the Para-wise comments in the service appeal cited as subject are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honourable Tribunal.

> Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondent No.1

Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region-I, Mardan Respondent No. 2

District Police Officer, Mardan Respondent No.3

11 - 11 = 2 in 28 00 IN MPS ليرى منظر نالى 278 جركر حوالم مر 12 مرز 27 م 21 ر--30 سيم ر محرض مراخ كما كالم محل من كما آج ما مي حضرر عوا مر . آعين ما من مرى برى ب مريب ليزم كتب مريد المري كتب مراحد مرب در المرب براما بر الري در در در بر بر مر مر مر مر مر ا ساسب كارود في المسبران بالاسم حدمت حو الربال سوائل ... 15-1 5 W! W - 1 Mipsi Mips Souther 11.1116 11-11-16 Khýber Pakhtunkhwa Service i ribuliai, Peshawar.

Note: 1. 2. The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays. Always quote Case No. While making any correspondence.

04/03 26 - 12 2 3 3 10 - 12 2 3 3 S لوتس لان الا - سر حافرى : من زار ، المجمع دقت كماده عى مردف المجالاه الرال جررہ نے لیکن خلوی حارث میں کرے حقے خاند میں ح ليرى تبله ندايه جهج بالكركي في فلاف مرز درج سراج مرع تعلمه فلر في فاست كادوان الأرات ومرا 1/14-10 نعل سطابق اسل 2 M.M. pl Mauch-Sir, Forv/arded 20-14/16. Lo Pic lingdan ملى دىش 2/04/066 a/oza win 30 de لور بي تعني. بوري تعني حافرى: الخبية زروه المجمع وهذ معدمهم بجرمور في الم الم وت المرى لنا ila \$842 in aline Elher 26 mais 20/40 5 aline وى حاوى در مدانيم كم تعلم في معلم في منا ب كاروالى المران مالا ميل) م 104-00 نعک سرطان (صل) ع ند = ند مسن - تصمير - درس i. mpl mandu 20/4/16 Formatics FE (40 vm RITLING I Torruan 21 - 54-16 2 akntunknwa ser Peshawar. The hours of attendance in the court are the same that of the High Court except Sunday and Gazetted Holidays. Always quoto Case No. While making any correspondence.

(3) up Mps iles 28 76 36 200 100 - مدر عنى من م رك در فرر لأن معمر فر م م م مى مى مى مرس من حدف المراغ مراد مال بورا مع رجن المنال المراد مال بوران المران مال مرجم عن مع المراب مال بوران مال بوران مال i goviller MM MPS Section 29-9-10 Harwarded SHO-MARS-SDR 05-فمردما عب تحا 1: مرمر ر لور مل حمر ی لف کریں - اگر میرکور رور مر جامزے - لؤجی آلحوں -NO=99-R (#= 5/10/16 Think RIASI, DSP. ail? $S_{\lambda Y_{2}}$ 5/10/10 500-10 FORWARDED PLS مر فور مجر مع ما المرب مع المور الم D.S.P City MDN: 14/10/16 h 10=16

MAMPSclus 12/10 23 him 13 Mai طفرى ارت طاف المروت ٥٥:٥٥ عرج ٢٦ ٢٠ ١ مرت رأى كر العلى طفرى المراج ل المرك ما ما المراط در ج درزما في مرز مع مع الخياره مرتب مرد کاردائی اف او ال کا در می م Ule i. تسوم بلات المراي AMHC·MPS·SDR B-12-46

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

No. 303 /R/D.A-P.R-1975.

Dated 19-10- /2015

DISCIPLINARY ACTION UNDER KPK POLICE RULES - 1975

I, Faisal Shahzad District Police Officer, Mardan as competent authority am of the opinion that **LFC Nida No. 2787**, rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he committed the following acts/omission within the meaning of section-02 (iii) of KPK Police. Rules 1975.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

That LFC Nida No. 2787, while posted at Women Disk Police Station بالان Saddar. deliberately absented herself from lawful duty from dated DD No. 32 dated 28.09.2016 to-date.

2. For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said official with reference to the above allegations Shah Mumtaz Khan DSP/City Mardan is appointed as Enquiry Officer.

3. The enquiry officer shall conduct proceedings in accordance with provisions of Police Rules 1975 and shall provide reasonable opportunity of defense and hearing to the accused official, record its findings and make within twenty five (25) days of the receipt of this order, recommendation as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused officer.

4. The accused officer shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

(Faisal Shahzad) PSP

District Police Officer, Mardan

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MARDAN

No. 383 /R, dated Mardan the 19-10 /2016.

Copy of above is forwarded to the:

1. DSP/City Mardan for initiating proceedings against the accused official / Officer namely LFC Nida No. 2787, under Police Rules. 1975.

2. LFC Nida No. 2787, with the directions to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry officer for the purpose of enquiry proceedings.

(0348-5705485)

12/13 12/10/16 in ville

CHARGE SHEET UNDER KPK POLICE RULES 1975

I, Faisal Shahzad District Police Officer. Mardan as competent authority aereby charge you LFC Nida No. 2787, as follows.

That you Lady constable, while posted at Women Disk Police Station Saddar, deliberately absented yourself from lawful duty from dated DD No. 32 dated 28.09.2016 to-date.

This amounts to grave misconduct on your part, warranting departmental action against you, as defined in section - 6 (1) (a) of the KPK Police Rules 1975.

- By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under section 02 (iii) of the KPK Police Rules 1975 and has rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties as specified in section - 04 (i) a & b of the said Rules.
- 2. You are therefore, directed to submit your written defense **within seven days** of the receipt of this charge sheet to the enquiry officer.
- 3. Your written defence if any, should reach to the enquiry officer within the specified period, failing which, it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put-in and in that case, an ex-parte action shall follow against you.
- 4. Intimate whether you desired to be heard in persons.

1.

(Faisal Shahzad) PSP District Police Officer, Mardan.

URY REPORT AGAINST LADY CONSTABLE NIDA NO 2787.

Undersigned was deputed to conduct Enquiry of LFC Nida No 2787 PS Saddar Mardan, by Worthy District Police Officer Mardan through office Letter No.383/R/D.A.P.R-1975 Dated

RIEF FACTS.

hat LFC Nida No 2787, while posted at Women Disk Police Station Saddar, deliberately absented herself from lawful duty vide DD No 32 dated 28-09-2016, to-date.

PROCEEDINGS.

The proceedings of the enquiry have been conducted strictly in accordance with the NWFP Police Rules 1975.

The Ex-record of the above mentioned Lady Constable was questioned from establishment branch, wherein it was observe that she join police department from 18/05/2009 with 02 good. and 19 bad entries.

The Moharrar of PS Saddar was contacted regarding the enquiry of lady constable Nida, wherein it was observe that she was approved with 30 days casual leave vide DD No 19 dated 12/10/2016, who had to report her arrival on 11/11/2016, but she did not report her arrival. Thus, a report of her absence has been registered against her vide DD No 28 dated 11/11/2016, PS Saddar Mardan.

FINDINGS.

During the enquiry it was observe that the above mentioned lady constable has total 14 days absence, wherein she had also 30 days leave and up to date absence from 11/11/2016.

CONCLUSION.

In view of the above, the undersigned has reached to the conclusion that the above mentioned lady constable may be given major punishment, if agreed.

3284 Dt : 19-1 Encl: (

Good = 2 Bad= 21

Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Circle, Mardan.

Dismissal From Service 2.210717

POLICE DEPARTMENT

MARDAN DISTRICT

<u>order</u>

This order will dispose-off departmental inquiry, which has been conducted against Lady Constable Nida No. 2787, on the allegation that he while posted at Women Disk Police Station Saddar, deliberately absented herself from lawful duty from dated DD No. 32 dated 28.09.2016 to DD No. 13 dated 12.10.2016 and vide DD No. 28 dated 11.11.2016 to till-date i.e 23.02.2017. This attitude adversely reflected on his performance which is an indiscipline act and gross misconduct on his part as defined in rule 2(iii) of Police Rules 1975. Therefore he was recommended for departmental action.

In this connection, Lady Constable Nida No. 2787, was charge sheeted vide this office No. 383/R, dated 19.10.2016 and also proceeded him against departmentally through Mr. Shah Mumtaz Khan, DSP/City Mardan, who after fulfilling necessary process, submitted his findings to the undersigned vide his office endorsement No. 3284/S, dated 19.12.2016. The allegations have been established against him and recommended for major punishment.

The undersigned agreed with the findings of the enquiry officer and the alleged Lady Constable Nida No. 2787, is hereby awarded major punishment of "Dismissal from Service" while his 118-days absence period is counted as leave without pay, with immediate effect in exercise of the power vested in me under the above quoted rules.

Order announced

O.B No. <u>531</u> Dated <u>24 / 2</u>/2017.

Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmed (PSP)

Dr. Mian Saeed Ahmed (PSP) District Police Officer, M a r d a n.

No. $\frac{3321-27}{102}$ dated Mardan the $\frac{38102}{102}$ /2017.

Copy for information and necessary action to:-

1. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan.

- 2. The S.P Operations, Mardan.
- 3. The DSP/ City, Mardan.
- 4. The DSP/HQrs: Mardan.
- 5. The Pay Officer (DPO) Mardan.
- 6. The E.C (DPO) Mardan.
- 7. The OSI (DPO) Mardan.

in- - (1)

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 630/2019

Mrs. Nida Ali, Ex-Lady Constale No. 2787, Police Lines, Mardan.

VERSUS

- 1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawra.
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, District Mardan.

3. District Polie Officer, Mardan.

.....Respondents

.....Appellant

AUTHORITY LETTER.

Mr. Atta-ur-Rahman Inspector Legal, (Police) Mardan is hereby authorized to appear before the Honourable Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar in the above captioned service appeal on behalf of the respondents. He is also authorized to submit all required documents and replies etc. as representative of the respondents through the Addl: Advocate General/Govt. Pleader, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondent No.1

Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region-I, Mardan Respondent No. 2

District Police Officer, Mardan Respondent No.3

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 630/2019

Mrs. Nida Ali, Ex-Lady Constale No. 2787, Police Lines, Mardan.

.....Appellant

.....Respondents

V ERSUS

a start

1. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawra.

2. Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, District Mardan.

3. District Polie Officer, Mardan.

AUTHORITY LETTER.

Mr. Atta-ur-Rahman Inspector Legal, (Police) Mardan is hereby authorized to appear before the Honourable Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar in the above captioned service appeal on behalf of the respondents. He is also authorized to submit all required documents and replies etc. as representative of the respondents through the Addl: Advocate General/Govt. Pleader, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Respondent No.1

Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region-I, Mardan Respondent No. 2

District Police Officer, Mardan Respondent No.3

ب فريف فنام وي و ماهند الترك ميل، ملك ، 27021 (A) در محاسبه المر وصعابيل و بخالف العين كالبيس 1295 1 Flore + + F. فتبلان عاليا درهر الهراس الم ليركد سألد/ البل شرى 2703 فللع من الجامي المحال الحص المحالي المريد اوم سالمان الذي فريوف ماندس معرى ما اور مدون فريد المسلار الم فسلمانت كالمدقع تنبير م دياكما مط الديندين كوتي لمبهم كالمندف التي الم المراس موالي المراسيل مور موقع مدار مصلي من ملي ملي الحرار المرابع المرابع المرابع المرابع المرابع المرابع مرق امراج شادی ساہ محمدول ملزفت ساموس شر محمدول از العظم المر المنها واج مع ملازمت ما مانته بوبى مع مالالد مرد مرد المراد ى تعدر العرف فى عد او محمد الد مالات مسلسل ما حرف الم الاست محرمه المالي وشوم المركب من المن المن من المركب من المركب المركب المركب المركب المركب المركب المركب المركب مان فيلاده مع المرشون م بدرور ما رسيع الدركار مح الركار ما مراقبت م الد الدين محمد للبور حالات كافي كسرم ب الدون سالم وتتو مرض يموني درسي سال عمر ب Diplight Min " بي مسلم اليك كاليلم المرم مع التركي كالمان في المركيمي كالمسل كالمان في الملكان في Ec For comments كمنا سني رم سيلم/ ليد كالسلم ما معدلة والات و عال مالات محد مدار الم ادر مناطبه که طالا ت بمرسم در در دهم قام ارد الدی محالفها جهار د. ادا و النظام صادر فرقالی ما وقع معادله/ ملیک ملز بعد مرحاست تقدیر تمام مرد او الح ی عاد No. 1310/85, 57.14-2-26 Ec/Opomeran 2 We 2703 We the plater Find Comments 0383 0927412, Dane 95/1B RPO - Secoles 15:02 12 - C.S.