25th July, 2022

1. Nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Jan Bakht Said, Supdt for respondents present.

On 16.09.2020, representative of the respondents had 2. provided final seniority list of female D.M Teachers of Dir Lower at Timergara, which was placed on file and copy of the same was handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner, who had requested for some time to go through the list and submit observations/objections, if any. The matter was adjourned to 02.11.2020 but on 02.11.2020, nobody appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was then posted to 23.12.2020 on which date the learned counsel for the petitioner appeared before the Tribunal and sought some more time to go through the list and submit objections/comments. Last opportunity was granted to the learned counsel for the petitioner to submit objections/comments, if any, before 04.02.2021. On 04.02.2021 and the subsequent dates i.e 13.07.2021, 26.08.2021, 23.11.2021 nobody appeared on behalf of the petitioner. On the 20.01.2022 clerk of counsel for the petitioner appeared. On last date learned counsel for the petitioner was present. Today since, nobody is present thus desired list seems to be the compliance with the judgment of the Tribunal, therefore, this execution petition is filed. Consign.

3. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal on this 25^{th} day of July, 2022.

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

Ist June, 2022

Counsel for the petitioner present. Nobody is present on behalf of the respondents nor any Law Officer is present.

Notices be issued to the respondents to appear in person alongwith compliance report on 25.07.2022 before S.B.



23.11.2021

None for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Notices be issued to the petitioner and his counsel. Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings on 20.01.2022 before S.B.

(MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (E)

20.01.2022

Clerk of learned counsel for the petitioner present. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Due to general strike of the bar, the case is adjourned. To come up for further proceedings on 08.03.2022 before SB.

(Mian Muhammad) Member(E)

08.03.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 01.06.2022 for the same as before.

Reader.

06.04.2021

Due to demise of the Worthy Chairman the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 13.07.2021 for the same as before.

READER

13.07.2021

Nemo for the petitioner. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl. AG alongwith Mr. Jehan Bakht Superintendent for the respondents present.

Implementation report has been submitted but counsel for the petitioner is not in attendance due to strike of the lawyers. The departmental representative is relieved from attendance. File to come up for further proceedings on 26.08.2021 before S.B.

26.08.2021

Nemo for the petitioner. Mr. Muhammad Rasheed, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

Notice for prosecution of the petition be issued to the petitioner and to come up for further proceedings before the S.B on 23.11.2021.

(SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (J)

23.12.2020

Counsel for petitioner present.

Kabir Ullah Khattak learned Additional Advocate General alongwith Jan Bakht Said Superintendent for respondents present.

A request was made for adjournment on behalf of petitioner; allowed.

Perusal of order sheet dated 16.09.2020 reveals that seniority list of Female D.M Teachers of Dir Lower at Timergara had been provided by the representative of respondents, copy whereof was handed over to the learned counsel for petitioner who requested for some time to go through the list and submit comments/objections but till today, objections/comments were not submitted. Last chance is given to learned counsel for petitioner with direction to submit objections/comments, if any, before the date and file to come up for arguments on 04.02.2021 before S.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

04.02.2021

Nemo for petitioner Addl. AG alongwith Jan Bakht Superintendent for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the petitioner appeared in the morning but at the time when case was called neither the petitioner nor her learned counsel appeared. It is already past 01.00 P.M, therefore, proceedings are adjourned to 06.04.2021 before S.B.

Chairman

16.09.2020

Counsel for the petitioner and Addl. AG alongwith Miss Asmat Ara Qureshi for the respondents present.

Representative of the respondents has provided final seniority list of Female D.M Teachers of Dir lower at Timergara, which is placed on record. A copy of the same **isst** has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner who requests for some time to go through the list and submit comments/objection, if any, thereon.

Adjourned to 02.11.2020 before S.B.

Chairman

02.11.2020

Nemo for petitioner. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional Advocate General for the respondents is also present.

Since the Members of the High Court as well as of the District Bar Association, Peshawar, are observing strike today, therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner is not available today. Adjourned to 23.12.2020 on which date to come up for further proceedings before S.B.

(Muhammad Jamal Khan) Member (Judicial)

25.03.2020

Due to public holidays on account of Covid-19, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same on 17.06.2020 before S.B.

Reader

MEMBE

17.06.2020

Counsel for the petitioner and Asst: AG for respondents present. Notices be issued to the respondents for submission of implementation report on 29.07.2020 before S.B.

29.07.2020

Nemo for the petitioner. Addl. AG alongwith Jan Bakht Said Superintendent for the respondents present.

Representative of the respondents states that settlement of fresh seniority list is in process. He, therefore, requests for further time to submit implementation report.

Adjourned to 16.09.2020 on which date the requisite report shall positively be submitted.

Chairman

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Execution Petition No. 18/2020

Court of

Order or other proceedings with signature of judge Date of order S.No. proceedings 3 1 2 The execution petition of Mst. Ghazala Shams submitted 17.01.2020 1 today by Mr. Irfan Ali Yousafzai Advocate may be entered in the relevant register and put up to the Court for proper order please. REGISTRAR This execution petition be put up before S. Bench on 2-07 2000 . CHAIRMAN Counsel for the petitioner present. Addl: AG for 07.02.2020 respondents present. Notice be issued to the respondents for submission of implementation report. To come up for further proceedings on 25.03.2020 before S.B. Member

BEFORE THE SERVICES TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

EP NO 19/202 Service Appeal No: 69/2014

Mst. Ghazala ShamsPETITIONER

VERSUS

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Grounds of Execution Petition & affidavit	*	1-4
2.	Copy of the judgment dated 07/11/2016	A	5-9
3.	Copy of order dated 11/01/2017	В	10
4.	Wakalat Nama	*	11

INDEX

Belu Petitioner Mst. Ghazala Shams

Through

Shams-Ul-Hadi & Auf Sardar Muhammad Arif Tajik

De 38

Irfan Ali Yousafzai Advocates High Court Peshawar Cell# 0347-4773440 0314-9070658

Date: 17/01/2020

BEFORE THE SERVICES TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

 $\frac{1}{2020}$ Service Appeal No: 69/2014 Date of judgment: 07/11/2016

Mst. Ghazala Shams D/o Shams Ul Haq DM, GGMS Shatai, Balambat, District Lower Dir.....**PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. District Education Officer (Female), Dir Lower.

2. District Coordination Officer, Dir Lower.

3. Director (School & Literacy) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4. Secretary Finance, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar

.....RESPONDENTS

akh (

EXECUTIO	DN	PETITIO	N FOR
IMPLEAD	IENT	OF	THE
JUDGMEN	T DA	TED 0'	7/11/2016
PASSED	BY	THIS	HON'BLE
TRIBUNAL	IN LE	TTER AN	D SPIRIT.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the petitioner had presented the service appeal in this Hon'ble Tribunal and this Hon'ble

Tribunal vide judgment dated 07/11/2016 had accepted the appeal and the petitioner is entitled to be considered as appointees with effect from the date with other similar candidates were appointed. (Copy of the judgment dated 07/11/2016 is attached as annexure "A").

- 2. That the respondents department issued a letter No. 235-40 dated 11/01/2017 in which the respondents department gave the seniority to the appointees on the direction of this Hon'ble Tribunal but ignored the present petitioner. (Copy of order dated 11/01/2017 is Annexure "B")
- 3. That the petitioner having no other alternate remedy for impalement of judgment dated 07/11/2016 in letter and spirit except to knock the door of this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 4. That the petitioner tired to make them see light of reason and implement the judgment passed by this Hon'ble Tribunal in letter in spirit but same proved as cry in the wildness, the said conduct of respondent falls within the mischief of law of COC and disobedience of Court orders, duly explained by the August Superior Court of Pakistan.

 That any other grounds will be raised at time of arguments with prior permission of this Hon'ble Court.

> It is, therefore most humbly requested that on acceptance of this execution petition the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the judgment dated 07/11/2016.

> Any other relief which this Hon'ble Tribunal deems appropriate may also be awarded to the petitioner.

Lintel

Petitioner Mst. Ghazala Shams

Through

Shams-Ul-Hadi

85

Sardar Muhammad Arif Tajik

Inful 85

Irfan Ali Yousafzai Advocates High Court Peshawar

Date: 17/01/2020

BEFORE THE SERVICES TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No: 69/2014

Mst. Ghazala ShamsPETITIONER

VERSUS

District Education Officer (Female), Dir Lower<u>Respondents</u>

AFFIDAVIT

I. Irfan Ali Yousafzai Advocate (Counsel for Petitioner), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the accompanying **Execution Petition** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed [1-1- Doro

from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Ortal li

DEPONENT

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. ______2014

Mst. GHAZALA SHAMS D/O SHAMS UL HAQ DM, GGMS SHATAI, BALAMBAT, DISTRICT LOWER DIR

APPELLANT

S. 3.052.

Annextere - A

VERSUS

1. DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (FEMALE) DIR LOWER

2. DISTRICT COORDINATION OFFICER. DIR LOWER

3. DIRECTOR (SCHOOL & LITERACY) KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Appeal under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 for grant of Arrears and Seniority to the appellant from the date of application i.e. 22/08/2007 for the post or alternatively, from the date of decision of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Peshawar dated

June 28, 2012 till June 19, 2013

Respectfully submitted as under.

ind will

1.

2

Brief facts of the case are as follows.

Tribunal.

ATTESTED

That the appellant got appointed with the respondents as DM, BPS-15 vide office order dated 20.06.2013.

(Appointment order is appended herewith as Annexure "A").

The appointment of the appellant was the result of the Writ Petition No. 1896/ 2007 titled "Mst. Nagina and Others Vs EDO & Others where the Divisional Bench of Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Dar Ul – Qaza at

		and the second sec
	na shatar an	6 6
	≫x 	
S.No.	Date of	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge or Magistrate and
	Order or proceedings.	that of parties where necessary.
	· · · ·	
1	2	3
	• • • • • • • • •	
'• ,		BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
		CAMP COURT SWAT
· · · ·	,	1. Appeal No. 51/2014, Khaista Rahman,
		2. Appeal No. 52/2014, Muhammad Ishaq,
- 	1. 1.	3. Appeal No. 53/2014, Rehman Said,
-	х.	4. Appeal No. 54/2014, Mst. Noorsheeda,
	· · · ·	5. Appeal No. 55/2014, Mst. Fatima Bibi,
		6. Appeal No. 56/2014, Mst. Rabia Bibi,
		7. Appeal No. 57/2014, Mst. Salma Bibi,
		8. Appeal No. 58/2014, Mst. Mehnaz,
		9. Appeal No. 59/2014, Mst. Nuzhat Ali,
		10. Appeal No. 60/2014, Mst. Thaoheed Begum,
	1	11. Appeal No. 61/2014, Mst. Hemayat Shaheen,
		12. Appeal No. 62/2014, Mst. Faryal Bano,
		13. Appeal No. 63/2014, Mst. Farah Naz,
	1/	14. Appeal No. 64/2014, Mst. Zahida Begum,
X	0/./1	15. Appeal No. 65/2014, Mst. Farzana Tabasum,
	h."	16. Appeal No. 66/2014, Mst. Farida Bibi,
1		17. Appeal No. 67/2014, Mst. Farhana Bibi,
		18. Appeal No. 68/2014, Mst. Gul Naz Begum
		19. Appeal No. 69/2014, Mst. Ghazala Shams
		20. Appeal No. 70/2014, Mst. Nagina Bibi,
		21. Appeal No. 71/2014, Mst. Rabia Sultan,
ATT	ESTED	22. Appeal No. 72/2014, Mst. Hina Sumbal,
		23. Appeal No. 73/2014, Mst. Sujaat Bibi,
AK	Denter P.	24. Appeal No. 84/2014, Atta Ullah,
Khyber	- Contractiva	25. Appeal No. 85/2014, Sherin Zada,
Serv	ide The and	26. Appeal No. 86/2014, Ghulam Hazrat,
	1	٠

27. Appeal No. 87/2014, Shahid Mahmood,
28. Appeal No. 88/2014, Ikram Ullah,
29. Appeal No. 89/2014, Hafiz Ul Haq,
30. Appeal No. 90/2014, Gul Rasool Khan,
Versus District Education Officer(Male) Dir Lower & 3 others.

JUDGMENI

07.11.2016

ATTESTED

THREE

MUHAMMAD AZIM KHAN AFRIDI, CHAIRMAN:-

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Zubair, Senior Government Pleader alongwith Mr. Fayazud Din, ADO for respondents present.

2. This judgment shall dispose of the instant service appeals No. 51/2014 as well as connected service appeals No. 52/2014 to 73/2014 and service appeals No. 84/2014 to 90/2014 as identical questions of facts and law are involved therein.

3. Brief facts of the afore-stated cases are that the appellants were declined appointments against posts advertised by the respondents constraining them to prefer Writ Petitions No. 1896, 2093 of 2007, 294 of 2008, 3402 of 2009, 3620 and 4378 of 2010, 159 and 2288 of 2011 before the august Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench (Dar-ul-Qaza) Swat which were allowed vide worthy judgment dated 28.06.2012 and respondents were directed to appoint the appellants against the said posts. The said worthy Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court was challenged before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan in Civil Petitions No. 456-P of 2012, 7-P to 11-P of 2013 and 19-P & 20-P of 2013. The said appeals were dismissed vide worthy judgment of the appellants of the appeal and their

appointments orders were produced before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan. There-after Review Petitions were preferred by certain petitioners in the said Writ Petitions before the Peshawar High Court, Mingora Bench (Dar-ul-Qaza) Swat which was allowed vide worthy judgment dated 22.10.2013 and the petitioners seeking relief were allowed to be considered as appointees from the dates when other candidates were appointed, without any financial benefits.


4. Learned counsel for the appellants has argued that the appellants are also entitled to similar treatment as extended to similarly placed employees by the Hon'ble High Court in Review Petition No. 7-M/2012 in Writ Petition No. 3620/2012(D).

5. In support of his stance he placed reliance on case-laws reported as 2009-SCMR-1 (Supreme Court of Pakistan), 1998-SCMR-2472 (Supreme Court of Pakistan) and 1999-SCMR-988 (Supreme Court of Pakistan).

6. Learned Senior Government Pleader has argued that the appellants are not entitled to the relief claimed as they have not preferred any Review Petition against the judgment and appointment orders before the Hon'ble High Court.

7. We have heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The august Supreme Court of Pakistan in the reported cases referred to above, had ruled that if a Tribunal or the Supreme Court decides a point of law relating to the terms and conditions of a civil



servant who litigated, and there were other civil servants, who may not have taken any legal proceedings, in such a case, the dictates of justice and rule of good governance demand that the benefit of the said decision be extended to other civil servants also, who may, not be parties to that litigation, instead of compelling them to approach the Tribunal or any other legal forum.


9. Though the appellants have not preferred any review petition before the Hon'ble High Court but in view of the case-laws as discussed above, appellants are entitled to the benefits of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court as they are similarly placed civil servants.

10. In view of the above, we hold that the appellants are entitled to be considered as appointees with effect from the dates when other similarly placed candidates were appointed. The appellants would however not be entitled to any financial back benefits. The respondentdepartment is to prepare their seniority list according to rules. The appeals are accepted in the above terms, leaving the parties to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

> (Abdul Latif) Member

(Muhammad-Azim Khan Afridi) Chairman Camp Court, Sylat

<u>ANNOUNCEI</u>



Number of Words	of Applicati	
Copying Fee	18-	
Urgent		
Total	\mathcal{N}	~~~~
Norne et Cupp		/
Date of Compile	· · · · · · · ·	12-1-
Bate of Center, in	- 	12/1-2

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (MALE) DIR LOWER.

Consequent upon the verdict of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar vide Service Appeal No,51,52 & 53,84,86,87,88 & 89/2014 dated 7/11/2016,the following D.Ms appointed vide No,9968-75 dated 20/6/2013 are hereby placed at the seniority after the appointees of order No,3864-79 dated 22/8/2007 without financial benefits.

- 1.Mohammad ishaq D.M GMS Ganjla
- 2.Khaistsa Rahman D.M GHS Katan
- 3.Rahman Said D.M GMS Tango Manz
- 4.Attaullah D.M GHS Munjai
- 5.Shahid Mehmood D.M.GMS-Qandaray
- 6.Ghulam Hazrat DM GHS Sharnshi Khan 7.Ikramullah D.M GHS Bajam Makhai
- 8.Hafizul Haq D.M GMS Gumbat Talash

Note;-Necessary entries to this effect shoud be made in their Service Books accordingly.

(Hafiz Dr. Mohammad Ibrahim) District Education Officer (Male) Dir Iower.

Endst;No, 235-40 / Dated Timergara the

11 0/ /2017

Copy forwarded to;-

1. 2.

З.

4.

5.

.6.

The Registrar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Trbunal Peshawar. The Director (E&SE) KPK Peshawar. The District Accounts Officer Dir Lower. The Deputy District Officer(M) Local Office. The Principals/Headmasters concerned. The Teachers concerned.

Mur District Education Officer (Male) DA løwer.

ATTESTED

all the we we all مؤلاحه ممكار حبد دعومي 17 بإعث تحرير] نكه ر پر کور رو مکری مقد مدمن روج منوان بالامين ابن طرف بواسط بيردى وجواب درى وكل كاردائي متعلقه رفاني آن مقام مسب علم مسب كماري المرجمة المرجم كارم مقرركر كاقراركياجاتا ب-كمصاحب مدصوف كومقدمه ككل كاردائي كاكال اختيارة وكا-نيز وکیل ساحب کوراضی نامه کرنے وتقرر مثالت ہ فیصلہ برحلف دیتے جواب دہی اورا قبال دعوی اور يسورت ذكرى كرف اجراءا ورصول جيك وروب إرعرضى دعوى ادرد دخواست برتم كماتفيدين زرای بردستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم بیروی یا د کری بیطرفہ یا بیل کی برامد کی ادرمنسونی نیز دائر کرنے اپیل نگرانی دنظر نانی و بیروی کرنے کا اعتبار ہوگا۔از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کر باجر دی کاردانی کے داسطے اورو کس با مختار قانونی کوانے ہمراہ پالے بی بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ا الموكا اور صاحب مقرر شده كويمي واي جمله نه كوره باا نعتبا رات حاصل ول محما وراس كاساخت برداخت منظور قبول موكار دوران مقدمه مين جوخر جدد مرجان التواسخ متحدمه سرسب سے وموكار كوكى تاريخ بيتى مقام دور ، پر دويا حدب با جر موتو وكل صاحب با بند مول مك - كربيروى مدادر کریں۔ لہذا وکالت تا میکھدیا کہ سندرہے۔ · 2000 - (Conto + ol سواه العبي کے لئے منظور ہے۔ سمقام Allester & Acepelit A01 \$ Odi Inaul