01.11.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that he has not made preparation for arguments.

Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 21.12.2022 before the D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E) (Salah-Ud-Din) Member (J)

21st Dec, 2022

Appellant in person and Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present.

Appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not available today. To come up for arguments on 20.03.2023 before the

D.B.

(Salah Ud Din)

Member (Judicial)

(Kalim Arshad Khan)

Chairman

01.03.2022

Due to retirement of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is defunct, therefore, case is adjourned to 06.06.2022 for the same as before.

Reader.

6th June, 2022

Proper D.B is not available. Therefore, case is adjourned to 23.08.2022 for the same as before.

Reader

23.08.2022

Nemo for the appellant. Mr. Abdul Jameel, Assistant alongwith Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.

Previous date was changed on Reader note, therefore, notice for prosecution of the appeal be issued to the appellant as well as his counsel and to come up for arguments on 01.11.2022 before the D.B.

(Rozina Rehman) Member (Judicial)

(Salah-Ud-Din) Member (Judicial)

Motor my

Learned Addl, A.G be reminded about the omission and for submission of reply/comments within extended time of 10 days.

Counsel for appellant present.

Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakheil learned Assistant Advocate General alongwith Sajid ADEO for respondents present.

Reply on behalf of respondents was submitted. Request for adjournment was made on behalf of appellant; granted. To come up for arguments on 01x03.2022 before D.B.

(Mian Muhammad) Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman) Member (J)

22.06.2021

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard.

The appellant seek determination of seniority from the date of his appointment i.e. 16.10.2004 instead of 01.01.2005. Obviously, the preparation of seniority list and its circulation is the requirement of law relating to terms and conditions of service. More-so, there is a particular procedure for raising objections on the seniority list if circulated and communicated as per requirement of the law. Accordingly, the question is involved whether the impugned seniority list was prepared and circulated in accordance with law and if so whether any objection was filed by the appellant in due course of time? The second question arises that if the impugned seniority list was not circulated and communicated as per requirements of law whether the appellant can be prevented to challenge the same at a belated stage? For want of determination of those questions, this appeal is admitted to regular hearing. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments in office within 10 days of the receipt of notices positively. If the reply/comments are not submitted within the stipulated time, the office is directed to submit the file with a report of noncompliance. File to come up for arguments on 09.11.2021 before the D.B.

Appsticat Deposited
Security & Process Fee

Chairman

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court or		······································	
	1066		
se No	_/060	/2021	

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	15/01/2021	The appeal of Mr. Niaz Ali resubmitted today by Mr. Munfat Al Yousafzai Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.
2-		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on 15/03/> CHAIRMAN
15.0	3.2021	Due to tour of Camp Court Abbottabad and shortage of Members at Principal Bench Peshawar, the case is adjourned to 22.06.2021 before S.B. Reader