「代」有大学が Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of ŧ ·x:

7-20/2022 ÷

Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge		
2	3		
09.12.2022	The execution petition of Mr. Muhammad Sajid		
	Khan submitted today by Naila Jan Advocate. It is fixed		
	for implementation report before Single Bench at		
	Peshawar on Original file be		
-	requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. The		
·	respondents be issued notices to submit		
	compliance/implementation report on the date fixed.		
	Bÿ the order of Chairman		
	REGISTRAR		
	1. (.).		
	an Alice for		
-			
	proceedings 2		

15

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA; PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. $\underline{720}$ /2022

In

Service Appeal No. 978 of 2016

Muhammad Sajid Khan S/O Bahadar Sher

...... Petitioner

Versus

Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and Others

..... Respondents

S#	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Grounds of Execution Petition		1 +03
2.	Affidavit		<u>u</u>
3.	Addresses of Parties		$\overline{\zeta}$
4.	Judgment dated 11-07-2019	· A ·	6 to 10
		j.,	5
	Copy of the Order Sheet dated 18-11-2020	"B"	11
7.	Copy of Order Sheet dated 14-12-2021	"C"	12_
•	Copy of reinstatement order dated 03-11-2021	"D"	13,14
9.	Wakalat Nama		15

INDEX

Throug C

Naila Jan Advocate High Court Peshawar.

Dated: 9/12/2022.

Ľ

BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. /2022

<u>In</u>

Service Appeal No. 978 of 2016

Muhammad Sajid Khan S/O Bahadar Sher R/O House No. 460, Street No. 12 E-4, Phase-7, Hayatabad, Peshawar, EX-PTC (BPS-12), GPS Khojal Khel, South Waziristan Tribal District.

...... Petitioner

Versus

1. Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

2. Director Elementary & Secondary Education, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

3. District Education Officer, South Waziristan, Tribal District at Tank Respondents

Execution Petition for direction to the Respondents to implement judgment dated 11-07-2019 of the Hon'ble Tribunal in its true letter & spirit.

Respectfully Shewth,

- 1. That the appellant was appointed as PST (BPS-12) in the respondent department vide order dated 19-05-2014 w.e.f 01-03-2014 however, the respondents vide order dated 31-05-2016 cancelled the appointment order.
- 2. That the appellant feeling aggrieved from the above order after availing departmental remedy filed Service Appeal No. 978/2016 before the Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 3. That the Hon'ble Tribunal vide its judgment dated 11-07-2019 accepted the Service Appeal with the following orders:

"As a sequel to the above, the instant appeal is accepted, impugned order dated 31-05-2016 is set aside and the appellant is reinstated in service. The respondents are directed to conduct proper inquiry strictly in accordance with law and rules within a period of ninety days after the date of receipt of this judgment and thereafter pass orders as deemed appropriate. The issue of back benefits shall be subject to the final outcome of the de-novo inquiry. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room". Copy of the order dated 11-07-2019 is Annex-A.

- 4. That the petitioner filed Execution Petition No. 413/2019 due to refusal of the respondents to implement the judgment of the Tribunal however, during pendency of the Execution Petition, the respondents on 18-11-2020 produced reinstatement order dated 17-11-2020 of the petitioner issued with immediate effect. Therefore, the Hon'ble Tribunal directed for issuance of reinstatement order w.e.f 26-09-2014 in order to award back benefits to the petitioner. Copy of the Order Sheet dated 18-11-2020 is Annex-B.
- 5. That on the direction of Hon'ble Tribunal, the respondents produced a revised order dated 03-11-2021 whereby the appellant has been reinstated w.e.f 26-09-2014 with all back benefits which is evident from the Order Sheet 14-12-2021. Therefore, the Execution Petition was disposed of by the Hon'ble Tribunal. Copy of Order Sheet dated 14-12-2021 and reinstatement order dated 03-11-2021 are Annex-C and Annex-D, respectively.
- 6. That though the petitioner has been reinstated vide revised order dated 03-11-2021 will all back benefits w.e.f 26-09-2014 however, till date no salary or any other benefit as per judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal and reinstatement order dated 03-11-2021 has been paid so far.
- 7. That the petitioner time and again approached the respondents for full implementation of the judgment by granting/paying all salaries/benefits from the due date of reinstatement however, till date a single penny has not been paid so far.
- 8. That not paying salaries other benefits as per judgment of the Hon'ble Tribunal as well as reinstatement notification is amount to contempt of court/defiance of the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

Prayers:

It is, therefore, requested that appropriate direction may be issued to the respondents for implementation of the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal in its true letter and spirit and to make payment of all salaries/back benefits to the petitioner w.e.f 26-09-2014.

Petitioner

Through

NAILA JÁN

Advocate Reshawar High Court Peshawar

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. /2022

In

Service Appeal No. 978 of 2016

Muhammad Sajid Khan S/O Bahadar Sher

...... Petitioner

Versus

Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and Others

.....Respondents

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Muhammad Sajid Khan S/O BAhadar Sher R/O House No. 460, Street NO. 12 E-4, Phase-7, Hayatabad, Peshawar, EX-PTC (BPS-12), GPS Khojal Khel, South Waziristan Tribal District do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the **accompanied execution** petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed or withheld from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

1) ated: 9/12/222

لأر مردر DEPONENT CNIC: Cell No:

Identified By; NAILA JAN Advocate High Court

Peshawar.

N: 13

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. /2022

In

Service Appeal No. 978 of 2016

Muhammad Sajid Khan S/O Bahadar Sher

...... Petitioner

Versus

Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and Others

..... Respondents

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

APPELLANT.

Muhammad Sajid Khan S/O Bahadar Sher R/O House No. 460, Street NO. 12 E-4, Phase-7, Hayatabad, Peshawar, EX-PTC (BPS-12), GPS Khojal Khel, South Waziristan Tribal District Sajjad Khan S/O Rambel Khan, Tube Well Operator, Govt. Postgraduate College, Nowshera

RESPONDENTS.

- 1. Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 2. Director Elementary & Secondary Education, Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 3. District Education Officer, South Waziristan, Tribal District at Tank

ppellant

Through

NAILA JAN Advocate High Court Peshawar.

Dated: **9**/12/2022

BEFORE THE PROVINCIAL SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KP, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 978 /201

filedto-day

16

19/9

Mohammad Sajid Khan S/o Bahadar Sherd JoqA41 R/o House No. 460, Street Np. 12 E-4, Phase-7, Hayatabad, Peshawar, Ex-PTC (BPS-12), GPS Khojal Khel S.W. Agency Tank Appellant

Versus

- Secretary FATA, FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar
 Director Education FATA, FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road,
- Peshawar.
 3) Sercretary Education FATA, FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar.
- 4) Agency Education Officer, South Waziristan Agency at Tank Respondents

SERVICE APPEAL U/S 4 OF KIRK, ACT, 1974, TRIBUNAL SERVICE IMPUGNED ORDER THE AGAINST NO.5861-64, WHICH WAS ENDST COMMUNICATED TO THE APPELLANT WHEREBY THE 31.05.2016; ON DATED DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL -10.06.2016, WAS PREFERRED BEFORE THE RESPONDENT NO.2 WHICH WAS NOT RESPONDED DESPITE LAPSE OF STATUTORY PERIOD OF 90 DAYS. HENCE THE INSTANT SERVICE APPEAL IS WELL WITH IN TIME.

ATTES

hyber Pakhiael

vice (Fribus Peshuw ar

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKEWA SERVICE IRBUNAL PESHAW Appeal No. 978/2016 Date of Institution 58. 19.09.2016 Date of Decision ... 11.07.2019 Mohammad Sajid Khay S/o Bahadar Sher, R/o House No. 460, Street No. 12 E-Phase-7, Hayatabad, Peshawar, Ex PTC (BPS-12), GPS Khojal Khel S.W. Agency Tank. (Appellani) VERSUS Secretary FATA, FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar and three others. · · · (... (Respondents) -----MR. INA¥AT ULLAH KHAN: Advocate For appellant. MR. MUHAMMAD JAN, Deputy District Attorney For respondents. ... MR. ALIMAD HASSAN. MEMBER(Executive) MR. MUHAMMAD DAMID MUGHALS MEMBER(Judicial) JUDGMENT AIIMAD HASSAN, MEMBER.- Arguments of the learned counse Sc parties haard and record perused. ANT \mathcal{EN} ARGUMENTS Learned counsel for the appellant argued that on the recommendations of 02.5

Departmental Selection committee, he was appointed as FTC (BPS-07) vide order dated 24.02.2007. He assumed the charge on 01.03.2007 and started performing duty. That the Governor, Univer Pakhtunkhwa through notification dated 11.05.2012, approved reappointment of Community School Teachers, qualified to be posted against the regular posts of PST(BPS-07) in a phased manner. Subsequently, the Governor's Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa regularized project employees working in TATA through letter dated 28.05.2013. These instructions were accordingly communicated to the concerned lower foundations. As a sequel to the above directions, the appellant was appointed as PST (BPS-17) on regular basis w.e.f 01.03.2014 and posted at GPS Khujal Khel, Tehsil Shaki, South Waziristan Agency. After charge assumption, he was adjusted by the Agency Education Officer, S.W Agency vide order dated 19.05.2014 as PST at GMPS Azam Wars ik.

03. That respondents without assigning any reason stopped salary of the appellant, which compelled him to submit numerous applications for release of salary. However, vide impugned order dated 31.05.2016, his appointment order was cancelled with retrospective effect. Leeling aggrieved, he filed departmental appeal on 16.06.2016, which remained unanswered, followed by the present service appeal. After regularization of his services, cancelation of his appointment order was against the principle of "lodus poenitentiae". Having rendered more than eight years service as a regular employee, he should have been dealt with according to the invogue rules. As no show cause notice was issued before cancelation of the appointment, therefore, this act on the part of the respondents was also violative of principles of natural justice. Denial of salary for work done, goes against the established norms of fair play, equity and justice. Reliance was placed on case law reported as 2003 SCMR 1128, 2009 SCMR 412, 2004 SCMR 630, 1996 PLC (C.S)851, 1998 PLC (C.S) 337, 2018 SCMR 1405 and 2015 SCMB 1257.

4. Learned Deputy District Attorney argued that the appellant was appointed purely on temporary basis against a project post under Regional Development. Package in 2007 which expired in 2009. Notification dated 11.05.2012 covered only those Community School Teachers, who were regularly performing duty in functional Community Schools under ADP Project. "Recomming of functional Community Schools". As services of the appellant were dispensed with in 2009 therefore, he could not avail the benefits of the said notification. As the appellant was wrongly adjusted against regular PST post, therefore; his appointment order was rightly withdrawn/cancelled by the competent authority. All codal formalities were observed before withdrawing the appointment order.

Febawa

CONCLUSION

5. The record placed before us revealed that the appellant was appointed against the post of PTC' (BPS-7) on temporary basis funded out of an approved ADP project, w.e.f 01.03.2007. After assumption of charge, he started performing duty. That through notification dated 11:05.2012, the Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhiwa was pleased to approve re-appointment of Community Teachers, who stood qualified and to be posted against the regular post of PST (BPS-07). Through another communication circulated by the Governor's Secretariat, Khyber Pakhtunkhiwa al project employees were regularized through letter dated 28.05.2013. Therefafter, the appellant was appointed as PST (BPS-12) vide order dated 24.02.2014. Accordingly he was posted/adjusted vide order dated 19.05.2014 as PST on GMPC Azam Warsak.

6. To the utter astonishmem of the appellant, his salary was stopped by the respondents without assigning any reason. Thereafter, through Impugned order dated 31.05.2016 his appointment order was canceled with retrospective effect. The contention of the respondents is that his initial appointment made in 2007 was against a project post, which expired after completion of the time spin given in the relevant documents in 2009. However, the plea taken by them was quite perplexing; whenever a project is completed either employees are regularized if converted on regular budget or their services terminated by ssuing formal termination order, however, no such order is available on record to support the view point/stance of the respondents. Furthermore, he was again appointed as PST (BPS-12) on regular basis w.c (01.03.20)4 vide order dated 24.02:2014 and stated performing duty. After stoppage of salary the respondents through impugned order cancelled his appointment with refrospective effect. In the absence of any documentary evidence, we have every reason to believe that there was no break in the appointment of the time was no break in the appointment of the there.

Khyber Pakhiufshwa Service Tribunal Penhawar appellant and instructions of Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa dated 11.05:2012 were equally applicable in his case. Respondents have also not been able to rebut the claim of the appellant that he served in FATA for more than eight years as regular employee. A government servant having rendered more than eight years service could not be shown exit door by a single stroke of pen. He should have been dealt with according to the invogue rules and observance of codal formalises confirmed therein. As right of defense was not afforded to him, thus, condemned unheard. Action on the part of respondents was arbitrary, erratic, illegal and unlawful. In the present case factual controversy is involved and can only be resolved by conducting regular/proper enquiry under the prevalent rules.

7. As a sequel to the above, the instant appeal is accepted; impugned order dated 31.05.2016 is set aside and the appellant is reinstated in service. The respondents are directed to conduct proper enquiry strictly in accordance with law and rules within a period of ninety days after the date of receipt of this judgment and thereafter pass orders as deemed appropriate. The issue of back benefits shall be subject to the final outcome of the de-novo inquiry. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

> HMAD HASSAN) MEMBER

(MUHAMMAD HAMID MUGHAL) MEMBER

may 1

the mad

ess in,

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 11.07.2019

Ander 18

18.11.2020

COD

Petitioner with counsel ASDO for respondents present.

and Addl; AG alongwith Hayatullah,

Chairman

The representative of respondents has produced reinstatement order of the petitioner dated 17.11.2020 which is to take effect immediately.

Learned counsel for the petitioner objects to the contents of order as far as these relate to the reinstatement with immediate effect. In that regard he referred to Para 7 of the judgment under implementation and stated that the respondents failed to carry out proper inquiry while the issue of back benefits was made subject to the outcome of De-novo inquiry. In that manner, the petitioner was deprived of back benefits. He further stated that as per judgment the petitioner was entitled for reinstatement in service from the date his appointment was cancelled by the respondents.

The respondents shall furnished the reply of the objections on behalf of the petitioner on 06.01.2021 positively before S.B.

14.12.2021

Petitioner alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Asif Masood, Deputy District Attorney for respondents present.

E.P. 10.413/2019

Learned counsel for the petitioner raised a relevantobservation on revised reinstatement order dated 03,11,2021 on the ground that the petitioner has been reinstated in service w.e from 26,09,2014 whereas he had been terminated retrospectively w.e.f 24,02,2014 vide impugned order and as such he is required to be reinstated in service from that very date. The revised reinstatement order dated 03,11,2021 is therefore, required to be rectified. Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings on 01,02,2022 before S.B.

> (MIAN MUHAMMAD) MEMBER (E)

> > Horn Contra

.ebta...

10/-



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER

SOUTH WAZIRISTAN TRIBAL DISTRICT

Νο......

Dated Tank the 6.3. 1 / / / 20.21

Reinstatement Order (Revised)

In the light of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar Decision issued on dated 11.07.2019, in appeal No.978/2016 and further direction of the Directorate of (E&SE) Merged Areas, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar vide letter No.14631 Dated 27.10.2021, the reinstatement order issued vide this office Endst: No.2078-83 Dated 17.11.2020 is hereby revised and the services of Mr. Muhammad Sajid S/O Bahader Sher Khan, PST GPS Khojal Khel, Tehsil Shaki is hereby reinstated w-e-f the date of his termination i-e 26.09.2014 with @ back benefits as per directions of the Honourable Service Tribunal in the interest of public service.

Endst. No.

DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER SOUTH WAZIRISTAN TRIBAL DISTRICT AT TANK

/2021

Dated Tank the Copy forwarded for information & necessary action to the:-

Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 2.

- Additional Director (Estab) E&SE NMDs, Peshawar. З.
- Chairman Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar. District Accounts Officer, SWTD Tank. 4
- 5. Teacher Concerned.

DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER SOUTH WAZIRISTAN TRIBAL DISTRICT AT TANK



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICE

SOUTH WAZIRISTAN TRIBAL DISTRICT

No 3275

Dated Tank the 20.106/2022

CORRIGENDUM:

In the light of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service, Tribunal Peshawar Decision issued on dated 11.07.2019, in appeal No.978/2016 and further direction of the Directorate of (E&SE) Merged Areas, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, in partial modification to this office reinstatement order (Revised) issued vide this office Endst: No.2805-9 Dated 03.11.2021, the date of reinstatement in respect of Mr. Muhammad Sajid S/O Bahader Sher Khan, PST GPS Khojal Khel, Tehsil Shaki may be read as 24.02.2014 instead of 26.09.2014 as per directions of the Honourable Service Tribunal in the interest of public service.

DISTRICT EDUCAV OFFICER OUTH WARRISTAN TRIBILO BURYCHA TANK

3276-80 Endst. No. 1. Dated Tank the 201 06/2022 Copy forwarded for information & necessary action to the:-

1. Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

- Additional Director (Estab) E&SE NMDs, Peshawar. 2
 - Chairman Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar. District Accounts Officer, SWTD Tank.

Teacher Concerned.

Abmachi

3

TION OFFICER DISTRICT EDUC ABQ. STREET AT TANK

Æ <u>ب</u>ي بي 50 121818 laila Jan ايثروكيك: _ يشاور بارايسوس ايشن، خيبر پختونخواه باركوس/ايسوىايش つつ رابط نبر: <u>(جها 1292)</u> بعدالت جناب: <u>۲ ط مسسسر وس</u> Petitional منجانب: اليعكر بنه د توکی: England B علت تم مورد *:*?? تحاز مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالاميں اپنی طرف ہے واسطے پیروی وجواب دہی کا روائی متعلقہ وا كم جارى _ 11 م كود كيل مقرر آن مقام لعتبا در ۱ ل کل کاردائی کارکامل اختساز جوگا میز دلیل صا < کر کےاقر ارکنا گا ةًا كومقده cio (l'all: Joch راضي نامه كرجم في وآ ¥ دعویٰ اقبال دعویٰ اور درخواست آزیر زری پرد بتخط کر نے کا اختیار ہوگا، نیز بق طرفه باابل إبرآ مدبحي اور منسو ورت عدم بيز دي ما ذكري دائر المجاليل (ای دنظر ثانی دیپروی کردنے کا مختار ہوگا اور بصوریت ضرور بت مقدہ مذکور و سے کن باجز دی كارداني يتحوا ركااختيار جوكا مقررشده كووبق دوران المدكوره كرح المناوكا بأهر بهوتو وكيلء ن نام کھوڈیا تا کہ سندر الرقوم: YAR مقام کے لیے منظور ہے Auest pela Jan Advocate Auest pela Jan Advocate Valla Jan Advocate Accuptoco k نوث: اس دكالت نامدكى فوتوكاً بي نا قاتل تيول بوكى -